

TDT4136 Logic And Reasoning Systems

Exercise 2

Stian Hvatum (hvatum)
MTDT

15. september 2011

Innhold

1	Propositional Logic	1
1.1	Truth and proofs	1
1.1.1	Truth table for $((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q \Rightarrow r) \wedge r) \Rightarrow p$	1
1.1.2	How many models can you find for the formula: $((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q \Rightarrow r) \wedge r) \Rightarrow p$?	1
1.1.3	Is the formula $((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q \Rightarrow r) \wedge r) \Rightarrow p$ <i>satisfiable</i> ?	1
1.1.4	The iacme-algorithm	1
1.1.5	Express the following sentences in Propositional Logic:	1
1.1.6	Convert the above formulas to Conjunctive Normal Form and prove with resolution that: "John's car is not working."	2
1.1.7	The Deduction Theorem	2
2	First Order Predicate Logic	3
2.1	Express the following sentences in first order predicate logic	3
2.1.1	A boy kisses a girl	3
2.1.2	Francine talked to every person in the room	3
2.1.3	All paid jobs absorb and degrade the mind	3
2.2	Express the following sentences in first order predicate logic	3
2.2.1	Jack loves a girl who doesn't love him	3
2.2.2	Jack was given a rose by all the girls, except by the one he loves	3
2.2.3	Not everything that can be counted counts, and not everything that counts can be counted	3

1 Propositional Logic

1.1 Truth and proofs

1.1.1 Truth table for $((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q \Rightarrow r) \wedge r) \Rightarrow p$

p	q	r	$\neg q$	$(p \Rightarrow q)$	$(\neg q \Rightarrow r)$	$((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q \Rightarrow r) \wedge r)$	$((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q \Rightarrow r) \wedge r) \Rightarrow p$
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0
0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1

1.1.2 How many models can you find for the formula: $((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q \Rightarrow r) \wedge r) \Rightarrow p$?

Det er 6 modeller som tilfredsstiller formelen.

1.1.3 Is the formula $((p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q \Rightarrow r) \wedge r) \Rightarrow p$ satisfiable?

Ja, den er vist tilfredsstilt i tabellen over.

1.1.4 The iacme-algorithm

Given the $KB = (p \Rightarrow q) \wedge (\neg q \Rightarrow r) \wedge r$. ACME Soft wants to sell you its fantastic inference algorithm: iacme. They claim that the following derivation is good: $KB \underset{iacme}{\vdash} p$. Explain if iacme is any good or not.

ACME Soft påstår at de har en algoritme *iacme* slik at p kan bevises ut ifra KB , eller at p medfølger fra KB . Problemet er at KB er sann også i tilfeller der p ikke er det. Dermed kan ikke algoritmen *iacme* være noe bra.

1.1.5 Express the following sentences in Propositional Logic:

“If John drives to the airport then he catches the plane.” $D \Rightarrow P$

“If John’s car is working then he drives to the airport.” $C \Rightarrow D$

“John did not catch the plane.” $\neg P$

1.1.6 Convert the above formulas to Conjunctive Normal Form and prove with resolution that: “John’s car is not working.”

$D \Rightarrow P$ blir $\neg D \vee P$

$C \Rightarrow D$ blir $\neg C \vee D$

$\neg P$ er alt på denne formen

Setningen blir da: $(\neg D \vee P) \wedge (\neg C \vee D) \wedge (\neg P)$

Tabell for denne setningen:

D	P	C	$\neg D$	$\neg P$	$\neg C$	$\neg D \vee P$	$\neg C \vee D$	$(\neg D \vee P) \wedge (\neg C \vee D) \wedge (\neg P)$
0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0

Vi ser at den eneste modellen der kunnskapsbasen er sann, er en modell der bilen ikke fungerer ($\neg D$), altså kan vi konkludere med at bilen ikke fungerer.

1.1.7 The Deduction Theorem

Given $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \models a$. Prove that $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \models (a_n \Rightarrow a)$ by using the Deduction Theorem and standard logical equivalences?

Vi bruker deduksjonsteoremet, $a \models b \Leftrightarrow a \Rightarrow b$, og får følgende utfall:

$$\frac{a \vee (b \Rightarrow a) \equiv (b \Rightarrow a) \quad \frac{(a \models b) \Leftrightarrow (a \Rightarrow b) \quad \frac{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \models a}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \models a \vee a_n \models a}}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \models a \vee a_n \Rightarrow a}}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} \models (a_n \Rightarrow a)}$$

(Jeg ønsker gjerne en kommentar på denne, da jeg føler meg litt på gyngende grunn...)

2 First Order Predicate Logic

2.1 Express the following sentences in first order predicate logic

2.1.1 A boy kisses a girl

$$\exists x \exists y \text{ boy}(x) \wedge \text{girl}(y) \wedge \text{kiss}(x, y)$$

2.1.2 Francine talked to every person in the room

$$\forall x \text{ person}(x) \wedge \text{in}(x, \text{Room}) \Rightarrow \text{talk_to}(\text{Francine}, x)$$

2.1.3 All paid jobs absorb and degrade the mind

$$\forall x \text{ paid}(x) \wedge \text{job}(x) \Rightarrow \text{absorbs}(x, \text{Mind}) \wedge \text{degrades}(x, \text{Mind})$$

2.2 Express the following sentences in first order predicate logic

2.2.1 Jack loves a girl who doesn't love him

$$\exists x \text{ girl}(x) \wedge \text{loves}(\text{Jack}, x) \wedge \neg \text{loves}(x, \text{Jack})$$

2.2.2 Jack was given a rose by all the girls, except by the one he loves

$$\forall x \exists y \exists z \text{ girl}(x) \wedge \neg \text{loves}(\text{Jack}, x) \wedge \text{girl}(z) \wedge \text{loves}(\text{Jack}, z) \wedge \text{rose}(y) \Rightarrow \text{gives}(x, y, \text{Jack}) \wedge \neg \text{gives}(z, y, \text{Jack})$$

2.2.3 Not everything that can be counted counts, and not everything that counts can be counted

$$\exists x \exists y (\text{countable}(x) \wedge \neg \text{counts}(x)) \wedge (\neg \text{countable}(y) \wedge \text{counts}(y))$$