# Sokoban: Search in a complex domain

Yann Chazallon, Nicolas Dossou-Gbété, Tony Chan Ki Hong and Michal Staniaszek

October 21, 2013

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Development Process
- 3 Evaluation
- 4 Conclusions

#### What is Sokoban?

Sokoban is a puzzle game first published in 1982

- The goal is to push boxes onto goal locations in a map
- Movements in cardinal directions
- Boxes can only be pushed into empty spaces
- Can only move one box at a time

# Why is it interesting?

- Application of AI to games can lead to investigation of new techniques
- High branching factor comparable to chess
- Solution depth much deeper than any chess game, can be infinite

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Development Process
- 3 Evaluation
- 4 Conclusions

## **Board Representation**

## Static Lock and Cost Map

###XXXXX###### #X#XXXXX#X210X# #X######6321X# #Xcba98765432X# ########XXXXX# XXXXXXX######

# Heuristics

#### Locked State Detection

```
Dynamic Lock Test Map
  ##############
  #$
           $$
                   #
  #
      $$
                   #
  #$
      $$
                   #
             #$$
  #
                   #
       $#
  #
                   #
  #
      #$
                 ###
  #
                 . +#
  ##############
```

# Player Space Search

#### Our first approach

- Successors of states based on the motions of the player
- Very slow—useless moves, even deeper solutions
- Applied A\* search to find solutions
- Only trivial maps solved

# Board Space Search

Improvement on the player space search

- Successors of states based on possible moves of accessible boxes
- Search changed to best-first search—don't need to find optimal solution
- BFS is complete, since we are using a closed list
- Managed to solve some nontrivial maps

#### Bi-directional Search

Our final version, improved search method rather than heuristics

- Previous attempts at improving heuristics failed
- Improving search seemed to be a better option
- Reduces the complexity from  $\mathcal{O}(b^d)$  to  $\mathcal{O}(b^{d/2})$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Development Process
- 3 Evaluation
- 4 Conclusions

# Method Comparison

	Time limit		
Search Method	5 sec	11 sec	15 sec
	12	15	16
Best First	56	60	64
Bi-directional Best First	76	81	82
Bi-directional A*	39	41	43

- No significant difference in number of maps solved with different limits
- Is the search going in the right direction?

## Map Performance

- Can be solved within 15 sec. but not 11
- Requires a box to be positioned (at x) and not moved until the end.
- Problem is caused by heuristic preferring boxes on goals

# 

### \$

##

#\$ #

#######

# Map Performance

- Solved very quickly
- All but one box require only a single move
- Heuristic gives accurate estimate to the goal

#### Map 66

```
#########
##.$@ ###
###.# ###
###$#
#.$ #.# #
##.$ $# #
#.$ # # #
## #.$ #
#.$ #.###
##.$ $###
#.$ # ###
## $# ###
   .# ###
##
##
      ###
#########
```

# Map Performance

- Unsolved within 15 sec
- Intermediate goal area causes issues with heuristic
- Requires making specific move sequences to get boxes on goals

#### Map 93

```
####
          @##
###
          ##
      $ ##
##
                    ###
       ##
               ##
     ##
    ##
            ##
   ##
           ##
          ##
               ###
####*
        ##
               ###
   #**##
                       #
   ####### . . * . #####
           ## . . . #
            #####
```

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Development Process
- 3 Evaluation
- 4 Conclusions