


# Greedy




## Interval scheduling Problem


Input: Set of requests  $\{1 \dots n\}$

$i^{\text{th}}$  request starts at  $s(i)$  and ends at  $f(i)$


Objective: To find the largest compatible subset of these requests




try #1 Earliest start time X



try #2 Smallest requests first X



try #3 Smallest # of overlaps first



try #4 Earliest Finish Time

Solution:

Initially  $R$  is the complete set of requests  
 $A$  is empty

While  $R$  is not empty

choose a request  $i \in R$  that has the  
smallest finish time

Add request  $i$  to  $A$

Delete all requests from  $R$  that  
are not compatible w/  $i$

end while

Return  $A$

## Proof of Correctness

① Show that A is a compatible set


② Show that A is an optimal set

Say A is of size k

Say there is an opt. solution O


Requests in A: i<sub>1</sub>, ..., i<sub>k</sub>  
" " " O: j<sub>1</sub>, ..., j<sub>m</sub>

We will first prove that for all indices  $r \leq k$ , we have  $f(i_r) \leq f(j_r)$




base case

inductive step



We can then easily prove that  $|A| = |O|$



## Implementation


$O(n \lg n)$

Sort requests in order of finish time  
and label in this order:

$$f(i) \leq f(j) \text{ where } i < j$$


$O(n)$

Select requests in order of increasing  
 $f(i)$ , always selecting the first.  
Then iterate through the intervals in  
this order until reaching the first  
interval for which  $se(j) \geq f(i)$




overall complexity =  $O(n \lg n)$

Orders:  
- QTY  
- width  
- Grade



Coffin  
Scheduling



warm up  
Stage

## Fractional Knapsack

Knapsack has a weight capacity of  $W$ .

We are given as input, a set of  $n$  objects with weight  $w_i$  and value  $v_i$ .

Objective: Fill up the knapsack to its weight capacity such that the value of items in knapsack is maximized.

Ex. knapsack weight caps: 10

items	1	2	3	4	5
Values	10	20	15	2	8
weights	4	10	5	1	2

Scheduling to Minimize

Lateness

Sol. 1

reg. 1 late by 0 hrs

reg. 2 late by 7 hrs

Sol 2

reg. 1 late by 5 hrs

reg. 2 ~ 6 hrs

## Scheduling to Minimize Lateness


- Requests can be scheduled at any time
- Each request has a deadline

- Notation:  $L_i = f(i) - d_i$


$L_i$  is called lateness for request  $i$ .

Goal: Minimize the Maximum Lateness  $L = \max_i L_i$

try #1 smallest rag's first ~~X~~



try #2 Smallest slack first




Solution :


Schedule jobs in order of  
their deadline without any gaps  
between jobs.

### Proof of Correctness


- ① There is an optimal solution with no gaps.



② Jobs with identical deadlines can be scheduled in any order without affecting Maximum Lateness.



③ Def. Schedule A' has an inversion if a job  $i$  with deadline  $d_i$  is scheduled before job  $j$  with earlier deadline.



Observation: Our sol. has no inversions in it.

(4) All schedules with no inversions and no idle time have the same Maximum Lateness.

=gap


(5) There is an optimal schedule that has no inversions and no idle time.

background

$d_x=5 \ d_y=6 \ d_z=4$

$d_a=5$

$d_b=3$



So, if there is an optimal solution that has inversions, we can eliminate the inversions one by one as shown above until there are no more inversions. This solution will also be optimal.

⑥ Proved that there exists an optimal schedule with no inversions and no idle time.

Also proved that all schedules with no inversions and no idle time have the same Maximum Lateness.

Our greedy algorithm produces one such solution  $\Rightarrow$  It will be optimal

# Priority Queues

A priority queue has to perform these two operations fast!

1. Insert an element into the set

2. Find the smallest element in the set

insert

FindMin

array implementation      O(1)      O(n)


Sorted array      O(n)      O(1)

linked list      O(1)      O(n)


Sorted linked list      O(n)      O(1)

## Background

Def. A binary tree of depth  $\underline{k}$  which has exactly  $2^k - 1$  nodes is called a full binary tree.



Def. A binary tree with  $\underline{n}$  nodes and of depth  $\underline{k}$  is complete iff its nodes correspond to the nodes which are numbered 1 to  $\underline{n}$  in the full binary tree of depth  $\underline{k}$ .



## Traversing a complete binary tree stored as an array

Parent(i) is at  $\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor$  if  $i \neq 1$   
if  $i=1$ ,  $i$  is the root

Lchild(i) is at  $2i$  if  $2i \leq n$   
otherwise it has no left child

Rchild(i) is at  $2i+1$  if  $2i+1 \leq n$   
otherwise it has no right child

Def. A binary heap is a complete ~~binary tree~~ with the property that the value  $k$  (of the key) at each node is at least as large as  $\ell$  the values at its children (Max heap)


Insert

insert (90)

takes  $O(\lg n)$


Find\_Max

takes  $O(1)$




Extract Max

Takes  $O(\lg n)$




Delete

Takes  $O(\lg n)$



Decrease-key


Takes  $O(\lg n)$



Construction of a binary heap

$n$  inserts  $\rightarrow O(n \lg n)$

# Bottom up Construction:



$$n/4 * 1$$

$$n/8 * 2$$

$$n/16 * 3$$

:

:

$$1 * \log n$$

$$\sum$$

$$T = n/4 * 1 + \cancel{n/8 * 2} + \cancel{n/16 * 3} + \dots$$


$$T/2 = \cancel{n/8 * 1} + \cancel{n/16 * 2} + \cancel{n/32 * 3} + \dots$$

$$T - T/2 = \underbrace{n/4 + n/8 + n/16 + \dots}_{n/2}$$

$$T - T/2 = n/2$$

$$T/2 = n/2$$

$$\boxed{T = n}$$



use linear time construction  
takes  $O(n)$

## Problem Statement


Input: An unsorted array of length  $n$

Output: Top  $k$  values in the array ( $k < n$ )

Constraints:

- You cannot use any additional memory
- Find an algorithm that runs in time  $O(n \lg k)$

Minel.



Min heap       $n$

Construction of heap takes  $O(k)$

going thru the rest of  $(n-k)$  el's

takes  $O((n-k) \lg k)$

$$O(k) + O((n-k) \lg k) = \underline{\underline{O(n \lg k)}}$$

## Discussion 3

---

1. Let's consider a long, quiet country road with houses scattered very sparsely along it. We can picture the road as a long line segment, with an eastern endpoint and a western endpoint. Further, let's suppose that, despite the bucolic setting, the residents of all these houses are avid cell phone users. You want to place cell phone base stations at certain points along the road, so that every house is within four miles of one of the base stations.

Give an efficient algorithm that achieves this goal and uses as few base stations as possible.

Prove that your algorithm correctly minimizes the number of base stations.

2. Your friend is working as a camp counselor, and he is in charge of organizing activities for a set of campers. One of his plans is the following mini-triathlon exercise: each contestant must swim 20 laps of a pool, then bike 10 miles, then run 3 miles. The plan is to send the contestants out in a staggered fashion, via the following rule: the contestants must use the pool one at a time. In other words, first one contestant swims the 20 laps, gets out, and starts biking. As soon as this first person is out of the pool, a second contestant begins swimming the 20 laps; as soon as he or she is out and starts biking, a third contestant begins swimming, and so on.

Each contestant has a projected *swimming time*, a projected *biking time*, and a projected *running time*. Your friend wants to decide on a *schedule* for the triathlon: an order in which to sequence the starts of the contestants. Let's say that the *completion time* of a schedule is the earliest time at which all contestants will be finished with all three legs of the triathlon, assuming the time projections are accurate.

What is the best order for sending people out, if one wants the whole competition to be over as soon as possible? More precisely, give an efficient algorithm that produces a schedule whose completion time is as small as possible. Prove that your algorithm achieves this.

3. The values 1, 2, 3, . . . , 63 are all inserted (in any order) into an initially empty min-heap. What is the smallest number that could be a leaf node?



4. Given an unsorted array of size  $n$ . Devise a heap-based algorithm that finds the  $k$ -th largest element in the array. What is its runtime complexity?

5. Suppose you have two min-heaps, A and B, with a total of  $n$  elements between them. You want to discover if A and B have a key in common. Give a solution to this problem that takes time  $O(n \log n)$  and explain why it is correct. Give a brief explanation for why your algorithm has the required running time. For this problem, do not use the fact that heaps are implemented as arrays; treat them as abstract data types.

1. Let's consider a long, quiet country road with houses scattered very sparsely along it. We can picture the road as a long line segment, with an eastern endpoint and a western endpoint. Further, let's suppose that, despite the bucolic setting, the residents of all these houses are avid cell phone users. You want to place cell phone base stations at certain points along the road, so that every house is within four miles of one of the base stations.

Give an efficient algorithm that achieves this goal and uses as few base stations as possible.



Prove that your algorithm correctly minimizes the number of base stations.




2. Your friend is working as a camp counselor, and he is in charge of organizing activities for a set of campers. One of his plans is the following mini-triathlon exercise: each contestant must swim 20 laps of a pool, then bike 10 miles, then run 3 miles. The plan is to send the contestants out in a staggered fashion, via the following rule: the contestants must use the pool one at a time. In other words, first one contestant swims the 20 laps, gets out, and starts biking. As soon as this first person is out of the pool, a second contestant begins swimming the 20 laps; as soon as he or she is out and starts biking, a third contestant begins swimming, and so on.

Each contestant has a projected *swimming time*, a projected *biking time*, and a projected *running time*. Your friend wants to decide on a *schedule* for the triathlon: an order in which to sequence the starts of the contestants. Let's say that the *completion time* of a schedule is the earliest time at which all contestants will be finished with all three legs of the triathlon, assuming the time projections are accurate.

What is the best order for sending people out, if one wants the whole competition to be over as soon as possible? More precisely, give an efficient algorithm that produces a schedule whose completion time is as small as possible. Prove that your algorithm achieves this.






Finish time  
after removing the  
inversion


Finish  
time  
in before scenario

3. The values  $1, 2, 3, \dots, 63$  are all inserted (in any order) into an initially empty min-heap. What is the smallest number that could be a leaf node?

$$64 - 1 = 2^6 - 1$$



5. Suppose you have two min-heaps, A and B, with a total of  $n$  elements between them. You want to discover if A and B have a key in common. Give a solution to this problem that takes time  $O(n \log n)$  and explain why it is correct. Give a brief explanation for why your algorithm has the required running time. For this problem, do not use the fact that heaps are implemented as arrays; treat them as abstract data types.



Sol. 1: Create sorted array  $A' \rightarrow O(n \log n)$   
Extract-Min from B and do binary search in  $A'$ .  
 $\hookrightarrow O(n \log n)$

Sol 2.  $TA = \text{top of } A$   
 $TB = \text{top of } B$

*loop over this*

if  $TA < TB$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{ExtractMin}(A)$   
 if  $TA > TB$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{ExtractMin}(B)$   
 else we found it.

Takes  $O(n \log n)$