

# MATH 475 Assignment 1

Mihail Anghelici 260928404

October 12, 2020

## Question 1

First and foremost we know that

$$\text{Net Heat} = \text{External heat source} + \text{Heat through edges},$$

where the external heat source is

$$\int_V r(x, t) p \, dx$$

and the heat through the edges corresponds to

$$- \int_{\partial V} \mathbf{q}(x, t) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\sigma.$$

Then the rate of change in energy, defined by the thermal energy per unit mass  $e(x, t)$ , is equal to the sum of the net flux and the external heat source;

$$\begin{aligned} \int_V \frac{\partial e(x, t)}{\partial t} p \, dx &= \int_V r(x, t) p \, dx - \int_{\partial V} \mathbf{q}(x, t) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, d\sigma \\ &= \int_V r(x, t) p \, dx + \int_V \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q}(x, t) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\partial(e(x, t))/\partial t = cu_t$  then

$$\int_V cu_t p - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q}(x, t) \, dx = \int_V r(x, t) p \, dx$$

Since  $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{q}(x, t) = \operatorname{div}(\kappa(x) \nabla u)$  we obtain

$$\int_V cu_t p - \operatorname{div}(\kappa(x) \nabla u) \, dx = \int_V r(x, t) p \, dx$$

This holds  $\forall V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e., it is pointwise, therefore

$$\implies cu_t p - \operatorname{div}(\kappa(x) \nabla u) = r(x, t) p$$

We rearrange by dividing  $cp$  on both sides

$$\implies u_t - \frac{1}{cp} \operatorname{div}(\kappa(x) \nabla u) = \frac{r(x, t)}{c}$$

Let us define  $k(x) = \kappa(x)/cp$ , we can take a constant out of a div argument such that

$$\therefore u_t - \operatorname{div}(k(x) \nabla u) = r(x, t).$$

## Question 2

Let  $w = u - v$  solve

$$\begin{cases} w_t - \nabla \cdot (k(x) \nabla w) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ w(x, 0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ w(\sigma, t) = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, T], \end{cases}$$

Then by the energy method,

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &:= \int_{\Omega} w^2(x, t) \, dx \\ E'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{d}{dt} w^2(x, t) \, dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} w w_t \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Using  $w_t = \nabla \cdot (k(x) \nabla w)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E'(t) &= 2 \int_{\Omega} w (\nabla \cdot (k(x) \nabla w)) \, dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} w(x) \operatorname{div}(k(x) \nabla w) \, dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\Omega} w(x) \sum_i (k(x) w_{x_i})_{x_i} \, dx \\ &= 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} w(x) k(x) \sum_i w_{x_i} \, d\sigma - 2 \int_{\Omega} k(x) \sum_i (w_{x_i})^2 \, dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\Omega} k(x) \sum_i (w_{x_i})^2 \, dx \\ E'(t) &= -2 \int_{\Omega} k(x) \Delta(w) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is  $\leq 0$  since  $k(x) > 0$ , therefore since  $E(0) = 0$  it follows that  $E(t) \leq 0$ , but by definition  $E(t) \geq 0$ , we conclude that

$$E'(t) = -2 \int_{\Omega} k(x) \Delta w = 0 \implies w \equiv 0,$$

the solution is unique.

**Question 3**

We first note that  $x + 1 + 2 \sin^2(2\pi x) = x + 2 - \cos(4\pi x)$ . We let  $w(x, t) = u(x, t) + v(x, t)$  and set  $v(x, t) = -(x + 2)$ . Then  $w(x, t)$  solves

$$\begin{cases} w_t - 2w_{xx} = 0 \\ w(x, 0) = -\cos(4\pi x) \\ w_x(0, t) = 0, \quad w_x(1, t) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The form of the solution is evidently  $w(x, t) = e^{-2\lambda^2 t} (A \cos \lambda x + B \sin \lambda x)$ , since it's the only one that matches the sinusoidal nature of the initial condition.

$$w_x(x, t) = \lambda e^{-2\lambda^2 t} (B \cos \lambda x - A \sin \lambda x)$$

$$w_x(0, t) = \lambda e^{-2\lambda^2 t} B = 0 \implies B = 0.$$

$$w_x(1, t) = -2\lambda^2 t A \sin \lambda = 0 \implies \sin \lambda = 0 \implies \lambda = n\pi, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

So we have that the solution is

$$w(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-2n^2\pi^2 t} A_n \cos(n\pi x).$$

We carry on with the initial condition ;

$$w(x, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^N A_n \cos(n\pi x) = -\cos(4\pi x)$$

$$w(x, 0) = 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 - 1 \cos(4\pi x) = -\cos(4\pi x)$$

Finally, having found the appropriate constants we write the general solution ;

$$w(x, t) = e^{-2(16)\pi^2 t} \cos(4\pi x) \implies u(x, t) = e^{-32\pi^2 t} \cos(4\pi x) + x + 2.$$

**Question 4**

Let  $w = u - v$  solve

$$\begin{cases} w_t - kw_{xx} = 0 & \text{in } L_T := (0, L) \times (0, T] \\ w(x, 0) = 0 & \text{in } (0, L], \\ w_x(0, t) - \alpha w(0, t) = 0, \quad w_x(L, t) + \alpha w(L, t) = 0 & \text{in } \times (0, T] \end{cases}$$

Then by the energy method

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &:= \int_{\Omega} w^2(x, t) \, dx \\ E'(t) &= \int_{\Omega} 2ww_t \, dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} 2kw w_{xx} \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2k \left[ w(x, t) w_x(x, t) \Big|_0^L - \int_0^L w_x^2(x, t) \, dx \right] \\ &= 2k \left[ (w(L, t) w_x(L, t) - w(0, t) w_x(0, t)) - \int_0^L w_x^2(x, t) \, dx \right] \\ &= 2k \left[ -\alpha(w_x^2(L, t) - w_x^2(0, t)) - \int_0^L w_x^2(x, t) \, dx \right] \end{aligned}$$

The RHS  $\leq 0$  since  $\alpha > 0, k > 0$  and  $w_x^2 > 0$  with positive integral bounds given that  $L \neq 0$ . All of which implies that  $E'(t) \leq 0 \implies E'(t) = 0$ , then since  $E(t) \geq 0$  by definition, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{2k\alpha(w_x^2(L, t) - w_x^2(0, t))}_{k>0, \alpha>0 \implies \geq 0} &= \underbrace{-2k \int_0^L w_x^2(x, t) \, dx}_{k>0 \implies \leq 0} \\ \therefore 2k\alpha(w_x^2(L, t) - w_x^2(0, t)) &= -2k \int_0^L w_x^2(x, t) \, dx = 0 \\ \implies w_x &\equiv 0 \implies w \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

### Question 5

Let the solution be of the form  $u(x, t) = e^{-3\lambda^2 t} (A \cos \lambda x + B \sin \lambda x)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) = 0 &\implies e^{-3\lambda^2 t} A = 0 \implies A = 0. \\ u_x(x, t) &= \lambda e^{-3\lambda^2 t} (B \cos \lambda x - A \sin \lambda x) \\ \implies u_x(\pi, t) &= e^{-3\lambda^2 t} B \cos \lambda \pi = 0 \implies \cos \lambda \pi = 0 \implies \lambda = \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have,

$$u(x, t) = e^{-3\lambda^2 t} B \sin\left(\frac{nx}{2}\right)$$

Extending the solution in the summation form

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, t) &= \sum_{n=0}^N e^{-3\lambda^2 t} B_n \sin\left(\frac{nx}{2}\right) \\
 u(x, 0) &= \sum_{n=0}^N B_n \sin\left(\frac{nx}{2}\right) = 4 \sin \frac{x}{2} - \frac{4}{3} \sin \frac{3x}{2} \\
 \implies B_1 &= 0, B_2 = 5, B_3 = 0, B_4 = -\frac{4}{3}. \\
 \therefore u(x, t) &= 4e^{-3t} \sin\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \frac{4}{3}e^{-12t} \sin\left(\frac{3x}{2}\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

### Question 6

By the Weak Maximum Principle,

$$\min_{\partial_P \Omega_T} v \leq v(x, t) \leq \max_{\partial_P \Omega_T} v,$$

where  $\partial_P \Omega_T$  represents the parabolic boundary of a space-time cylinder (i.e., the base and the sides). Now since  $\partial_P \Omega_T = \Omega \times \{t = 0\} \cup \partial\Omega \times (0, T]$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{\partial_P \Omega_T} v &= \min \left( \min_{\Omega \times \{t=0\}} v, \min_{\partial\Omega \times (0, T]} v \right) \\
 &= \min \left( \min_{\Omega \times \{t=0\}} v, \min \left( \min_{(0, T]} (1 + \sqrt{3}t), \min_{(0, T]} (\pi^4 + e^t) \right) \right) \\
 &= \min(1, \min(1, \pi^4)) \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore v(x, t) \geq 1,$$

We conclude that  $v(x, t)$  is a super solution. Then, we verify if the given function we're comparing to is a sub solution or a super solution to the PDE ; Let  $w = x^4 + e^{-t} \sin(x)$  solve the PDE, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_t - w_{xx} &= (x^4 + e^{-5} \sin(x))_t - (x^4 + e^{-5} \sin(x))_{xx} \\
 &= -e^{-t} \sin(x) - ((4x^3 + e^{-t} \cos(x))_x) \\
 &= -e^{-t} \sin(x) - 12x^2 + e^{-t} \sin(x) \\
 &= -12x^2 \leq 0 \quad \forall (x, t) \in (0, \pi) \times (0, T]
 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $w$  as defined is a sub solution. Finally, by definition, super solutions are bigger than sub solutions so

$$v(x, t) \geq x^4 + e^{-5} \sin(x) \quad \forall (x, t) \in \pi_T.$$

**Question 7**

a)

Since  $u$  solves the heat equation, and  $u$  is the fundamental solution which is infinitely smooth, we can take the derivative inside the integral. Indeed,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \, dx \stackrel{u_t = u_{xx}}{=} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x} \, dx = u_x(\infty) - u_x(-\infty)$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} u_x = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u_x = 0$  then it follows that

$$E'(t) = 0.$$

Now since,

$$E'(t) = 0 \implies \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, t) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, 0) \, dx$$

Since  $g(x)$  is continuous on the specified domain and is integrable, and by theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(x, t) = g(x),$$

It follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, 0) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \, dx \implies \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, t) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \, dx.$$

b)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, t) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(x - y, t) g(y) \, dy \, dx$$

By Fubini's theorem, we may interchange the order of integration

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(x - y, t) g(y) \, dx \, dy$$

Since  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(x, t) \, dx = 1 \implies \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(x - y, t) \, dx = 1$ , therefore

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y) \, dy$$

Since  $y$  in this case is a dummy variable, we may let  $y \rightarrow x$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(x, t) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \, dx.$$

**Question 8**

Fixing  $t_0 \in (0, T]$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} r(x, t) &= t \sup_{\mathbb{R} \times (0, T]} f + \sup g = At + B \\ p(x, t) &= -t \inf_{\mathbb{R} \times (0, T]} f - \inf g = -At - B. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $(u - r)$  and  $(p - v)$  be two solutions. Plugging them in the given PDE we find trivially that for  $(u - r)$  the PDE is  $f - \sup f \leq 0$  therefore it is a sub solution and similarly for  $(p - v)$  the PDE is  $-f - \sup f \leq 0$  which is also a sub solution. Thus, we may apply the Global Maximum Principle . Let  $w = u - r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, t) &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x, 0) \\ u - r &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x, 0) \\ u &\leq \underbrace{\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(x) - \sup g) + r}_{\leq 0} \\ \therefore u &\leq r \end{aligned}$$

Similarly letting  $w = p - u$

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, t) &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x, 0) \\ p - u &\leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x, 0) \\ p &\leq \underbrace{\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(x) + \sup g) + u}_{\geq 0} \\ \therefore p &\leq u \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that  $Pp \leq u \leq r$  which translates to

$$-t \inf_{\mathbb{R} \times (0, T]} f - \inf g \leq u(x, t) \leq t \sup_{\mathbb{R} \times (0, T]} f + \sup g,$$

as it should.

**Question 9**

$$|u(x, t)| = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(x - y, t) g(y) dy \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Gamma(x - y, t)| |g(y)| dy$$

Following the properties of the absolute value and since the fundamental solution is always positive,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma(x-y, t) |g(y)| dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4kt}} |g(y)| dy \end{aligned}$$

$(x-y)^2 \geq 0 \forall x, y \implies -(x-y)^2/4kt$  is strictly decreasing. Moreover,  $\max \exp\{-(x-y)^2/4kt\} = 1$  and  $\min \exp\{-(x-y)^2/4kt\} = 0$ , but it is never reached since it's an exponential function. We conclude that  $0 < \exp\{-(x-y)^2/4kt\} \leq 1$ , hence it follows that

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(y)| dy$$

Since  $g$  is bounded by  $C$  as defined, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t)| &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}} \geq 0 \\ \implies u(x, t) &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{4\pi kt}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows evidently that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = \frac{C}{\infty} = 0,$$

as it should.

### Question 10

a)

$$\begin{aligned} p(x, t + \tau) &= \frac{1}{4}p(x + he_1, t) + \frac{1}{4}p(x - he_1, t) + \frac{1}{4}p(x + he_2, t) + \frac{1}{4}p(x - he_2, t) \\ &= p(x, t) + p_t(x, t)\tau + O(\tau) \\ p(x + he_1, t) &= p(x, t) + p_x(x, t)he_1 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) \\ p(x - he_1, t) &= p(x, t) - p_x(x, t)he_1 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) \\ p(x + he_2, t) &= p(x, t) + p_x(x, t)he_2 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_2|^2) \\ p(x - he_2, t) &= p(x, t) - p_x(x, t)he_2 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_2|^2) \end{aligned}$$



Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x, t) + p_t(x, t)\tau + O(\tau) &= \frac{1}{4} \left( p(x, t) + p_x(x, t)he_1 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left( p(x, t) - p_x(x, t)he_1 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left( p(x, t) + p_x(x, t)he_2 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_2|^2) \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left( p(x, t) - p_x(x, t)he_2 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_2|^2) \right) \\
 \therefore p_t(x, t)\tau + O(\tau) &= \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) + O(|he_2|^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by  $\tau$

$$p_t(x, t) + \frac{O(\tau)}{\tau} = \frac{p_{xx}(x, t)}{2} \frac{((he_1)^2 + (he_2)^2)}{\tau} + \frac{O(|he_1|^2 + |he_2|^2)}{\tau}$$

Let us suppose  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} ((he_1)^2 + (he_2)^2)/\tau \neq 0$ , then  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  should return something non trivial.

Suppose  $((he_1)^2 + (he_2)^2)/\tau = 2k > 0$ . Then sending  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  and  $he_i \rightarrow 0$ , which in return makes the remainder terms vanish results in

$$p_t(x, t) - kp_{xx}(x, t) = 0.$$

b)

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x, t + \tau) &= \frac{1}{8}p(x + he_1, t) + \frac{1}{8}p(x - he_1, t) + \frac{3}{8}p(x + he_2, t) + \frac{3}{8}p(x - he_2, t) \\
 &= p(x, t) + p_t(x, t)\tau + O(\tau) \\
 p(x + he_1, t) &= p(x, t) + p_x(x, t)he_1 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) \\
 p(x - he_1, t) &= p(x, t) - p_x(x, t)he_1 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) \\
 p(x + he_2, t) &= p(x, t) + p_x(x, t)he_2 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_2|^2) \\
 p(x - he_2, t) &= p(x, t) - p_x(x, t)he_2 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_2|^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(x, t) + p_t(x, t)\tau + O(\tau) &= \frac{1}{8} \left( p(x, t) + p_x(x, t)he_1 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) \right) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{8} \left( p(x, t) - p_x(x, t)he_1 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) \right) \\
 &+ \frac{3}{8} \left( p(x, t) + p_x(x, t)he_2 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_2|^2) \right) \\
 &+ \frac{3}{8} \left( p(x, t) - p_x(x, t)he_2 + \frac{p_{xx}}{2}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_2|^2) \right) \\
 \therefore p_t(x, t)\tau + O(\tau) &= \frac{p_{xx}}{8}(x, t)(he_1)^2 + \frac{3p_{xx}}{8}(x, t)(he_2)^2 + O(|he_1|^2) + O(|he_2|^2) \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$A(x)D^2p \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{8}he_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{8}he_2 \end{pmatrix} p_{xx}(x, t),$$

then dividing by  $\tau$  on both sides of (1) we have

$$p_t(x, t) + \frac{O}{\tau} - \text{tr}A(x) \frac{D^2p}{\tau} = \frac{O(\tau)(|he_1|^2 + |he_2|^2)}{\tau}$$

Let us suppose  $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} ((he_1)^2 + (he_2)^2)/\tau \neq 0$ , then  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  should return something non trivial. Suppose  $((he_1)^2 + (he_2)^2)/\tau = 2k > 0$ . Then sending  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  and  $he_i \rightarrow 0$ , which in return makes the remainder terms vanish results in

$$p_t - \text{tr}(A(x)D^2p) = 0.$$

We note there is no drift because the random walk system as defined is stable. Indeed, the probabilities in each direction are symmetric such that there's no preferred direction for a given orientation.