

# MATH 475 Weekly Work 5

Mihail Anghelici 260928404

October 29, 2020

## Question 1

Let  $x = r \cos \theta$  and  $y = r \sin \theta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_r &= \cos \theta, & x_\theta &= -r \sin \theta \\ y_r &= \sin \theta, & y_\theta &= r \cos \theta \end{aligned}$$

We compute  $u_{rr}$  then  $u_{\theta\theta}$ . We use the chain rule since  $r = r(x, y)$  and  $\theta = \theta(x, y)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} u_r &= u_x x_r + u_y y_r = u_x \cos \theta + u_y \sin \theta \\ u_{rr} &= u_{xr} \cos \theta + u_{yr} \sin \theta \\ &= (u_{xx} x_r + u_{xy} y_r) \cos \theta + (u_{yx} x_r + u_{yy} y_r) \sin \theta \end{aligned}$$

$u$  is harmonic therefore  $u \in C^2$  and we apply Clairot's theorem

$$\therefore u_{rr} = u_{xx} \cos^2 \theta + 2u_{xy} \sin \theta \cos \theta + u_{yy} \sin^2 \theta$$

Similarly for  $u_{\theta\theta}$ ,

$$u_\theta = u_x x_\theta + u_y y_\theta = -u_x r \sin \theta + u_y r \cos \theta$$

For the double derivative we apply the product rule and chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\theta\theta} &= -u_x r \cos \theta - r \sin \theta (u_{xx} x_\theta + u_{xy} y_\theta) = u_y r \sin \theta + r \cos \theta (u_{yx} x_\theta + u_{yy} y_\theta) \\ &= -r \underbrace{(u_x \cos \theta + u_y \sin \theta)}_{u_r} + u_{xx} r^2 \sin^2 \theta - 2u_{xy} r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta + u_{yy} r^2 \cos^2 \theta \\ \implies \frac{u_{\theta\theta}}{r^2} &= -\frac{u_r}{r} + u_{xx} \sin^2 \theta - 2u_{xy} \sin \theta \cos \theta + u_{yy} \cos^2 \theta \end{aligned}$$

We finally add these two expressions,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{rr} + \frac{u_{\theta\theta}}{r^2} &= -\frac{u_r}{r} + u_{xx} + u_{yy} \\ \implies \Delta_{r,\theta} U &= U_{rr} + \frac{1}{r} U_r + \frac{1}{r^2} U_{\theta\theta} \quad \checkmark. \end{aligned}$$

**Question 2**

**Corollary 1.** If  $u$  is a  $C^2(\Omega)$  harmonic function on a domain  $\Omega$  which is  $C(\bar{\Omega})$ , and the values of  $u$  on the boundary are bounded between  $m$  and  $M$ , then the values of  $u$  everywhere are bounded between  $m$  and  $M$ . (Ref: R. Choksi, p.411)

Following the corollary 1, since  $u$  is harmonic, the boundary  $g = \sin^2 \theta$  is evidently bounded by  $0 \rightarrow 1$ , hence it follows that  $u(x, y) = U(r, \theta)$  is bounded by  $0 \rightarrow 1$ , so we conclude

$$0 \leq U(r, \theta) \leq 1.$$

Alternatively, since the function is harmonic, it satisfies the MVP such that we can apply the maximum principles. The maximum of  $u(x)$  occurs at the boundary, which is bounded by 1. Similarly, since  $u$  is harmonic then so is  $-u$  such that  $\max(-u) = -\min(u) \implies -\max(-u) = \min(u)$ . The minimum occurs at the boundary which is 0 for  $\sin^2(\theta)$ . We arrive at the same conclusion.

Next we look for the mean value of  $u(x)$ , since we're integrating in polar coordinates over the circumference of a circle it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \frac{1}{|\partial B(x, R)|} \int_{\partial B(x, R)} u(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \pi - \frac{\sin 2\theta}{4} \Big|_0^{2\pi} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u$  is harmonic then it follows that it satisfies the Mean Value Property, thence,

$$u(0, 0) = U(0, 0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Question 3**

Let  $U(r, \theta) \equiv R(r)\Theta(\theta)$  and

$$-\left(R''(r)\Theta(\theta) + \frac{1}{r}R'(r)\Theta(\theta) + \frac{1}{r^2}R(r)\Theta''(\theta)\right) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Rearranging (1) we get

$$\frac{R''(r)r^2 - rR'(r)}{R(r)} = \frac{\Theta''(\theta)}{\Theta(\theta)},$$

for which it follows that point wise,

$$R''(r)r^2 - rR'(r) = -\lambda^2 R(r) \quad , \text{ and } \Theta''(\theta) = -\lambda^2 \Theta(\theta). \quad (2)$$

We verify the three cases for  $\lambda^2$  :  
 $\lambda^2 < 0$  :

$$\Theta''(\theta) - \lambda^2 \Theta(\theta) = 0$$

The characteristic equation has repeated roots ( $\lambda^2 = k^2$ ) so the general solution is

$$\Theta(\theta) = Ae^{\lambda\theta} + Be^{-\lambda\theta}$$

Since  $\Theta$  is periodic, then  $\Theta(0) = \Theta(2\pi)$ ,

$$\Theta(0) = A + B \neq \Theta(2\pi) = Ae^{2\pi} + Be^{-2\pi}$$

We reject the  $\lambda^2 < 0$  solution.

$\lambda^2 = 0$  :

$$\Theta''(\theta) = 0 \implies \int \Theta'(\theta) = A \implies \Theta(\theta) = Ax + B$$

Since  $\Theta$  is periodic, then  $\Theta(0) = \Theta(2\pi)$ . In this case we clearly do not have periodicity , so the  $\lambda^2$  case is also dismissed.

$\lambda^2 > 0$  :

$$\Theta''(\theta) + \lambda^2 \Theta(\theta) = 0$$

The characteristic equation has complex roots ( $\lambda^2 = -k^2$ ) so the general solution is

$$\Theta(\theta) = A \cos(\lambda\theta) + B \sin(\lambda\theta)$$

Since  $\Theta$  is periodic, then  $\Theta(0) = \Theta(2\pi)$ ,

$$\Theta(0) = B = \Theta(2\pi) = B \quad \checkmark.$$

We look for  $R(r)$ . We perform a change of variables in (2). Let  $s = \ln(r) \implies R(r) = \varphi(\ln(s)) = \varphi(s)$ . Then,

$$R'(r) = \varphi'(s) \frac{1}{r} \quad \text{ and } \quad R''(r) = \frac{1}{r^2} (\varphi''(s) + \varphi'(s))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\stackrel{(2)}{\implies} \left[ \frac{r^2}{r^2} \varphi''(s) + \frac{r^2}{r^2} \varphi'(s) \right] - \frac{r}{r} \varphi'(s) - \lambda^2 \varphi(s) = 0 \\ &\implies \varphi''(s) - \lambda^2 \varphi(s) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Solving the characteristic equation we get similar roots  $k_1 = \lambda$ ,  $k_2 = -\lambda$ , it follows that the general solution is

$$\varphi(s) = Ae^{\lambda s} + Be^{-\lambda s}$$

Converting back to the initial variable dependence,

$$R(r) = Ar^\lambda + Br^{-\lambda}$$

We note that  $R(r)$  needs to be bounded so we exclude the  $r^{-\lambda}$  term by setting  $B = 0$ , then

$$R(r) = Ar^\lambda.$$

Recombining the solutions ,

$$U(r, \theta) = R(r)\Theta(\theta) = r^\lambda (A \cos(\lambda\theta) + B \sin(\lambda\theta))$$

This represents infinite solutions since  $\Theta(\theta)$  is periodic , so we can extend with

$$= r^\lambda (A_\lambda \cos(\lambda\theta) + B_\lambda \sin(\lambda\theta)) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The general solution is then

$$U(r, \theta) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^N r^\lambda (A_\lambda \cos(\lambda\theta) + B_\lambda \sin(\lambda\theta))$$

We use the boundary condition and the half angle identity,

$$U(1, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\cos(2\theta)}{2} = \sum_{n=0}^N A_n \cos(n\theta) + B_n \sin(n\theta) \implies A_0 = \frac{1}{2}, A_1 = 0, A_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ and } B_n = 0 \forall n.$$

Then it follows that

$$U(r, \theta) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{r^2}{2} \cos(2\theta), \quad \therefore U(0, 0) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \checkmark.$$

**Note.** It is to be noted that the procedure outlined in question 3 is far more computationally heavy when compared to that of question 2. Suggesting that the Mean Value Property combined with the maximum principles are strong tools.