

PHYS 350 Assignment 4

Mihail Anghelici 260928404

October 28, 2020

Question 1

a)

i)

$$[\hat{A}, [\hat{B}, \hat{C}]] + [\hat{B}, [\hat{C}, \hat{A}]] + [\hat{C}, [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] = 0$$

We use the Lemma $[\hat{A}, [\hat{B}, \hat{C}]] = \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} - \hat{A}\hat{C}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{C}\hat{A} + \hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} - \hat{A}\hat{C}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{C}\hat{A} + \hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A} + \hat{B}\hat{C}\hat{A} - \hat{B}\hat{A}\hat{C} - \hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{B} \\ &+ \hat{A}\hat{C}\hat{B} + \hat{C}\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{C}\hat{B}\hat{A} - \hat{A}\hat{B}\hat{C} + \hat{B}\hat{A}\hat{C} \\ &= 0 \quad \checkmark. \end{aligned}$$

ii)

We use the dagger properties,

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{A}, \hat{B})^\dagger &= (\hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A})^\dagger = (\hat{A}\hat{B})^\dagger - (\hat{B}\hat{A})^\dagger \\ &= (\hat{B}^\dagger \hat{A}^\dagger) - (\hat{B}^\dagger \hat{A}^\dagger) = (\hat{B}\hat{A})^\dagger - (\hat{B}\hat{A})^\dagger = (\hat{B}\hat{A} - \hat{A}\hat{B})^\dagger = [\hat{B}^\dagger, \hat{A}^\dagger] \end{aligned}$$

b)

First we show $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n] = n\hat{B}^{n-1}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$.

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n] &= \hat{A}\hat{B}^n - \hat{B}^n\hat{A} \\ &= [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{B}^{n-1} + \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}^{n-1}] \\ &= [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{B}^{n-1} + \hat{B}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}](n-1)\hat{B}^{n-2} \\ &= [\hat{A}, \hat{B}](\hat{B}^{n-1} + (n-1)\hat{B}^{n-1}) \\ &= [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]n\hat{B}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]n = n[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ so then finally,

$$\implies [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n] = n[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{B}^{n-1}. \quad (1)$$

Then we show the claim.

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}, F(\hat{B})] &= \hat{A}F(\hat{B}) - F(\hat{B})\hat{A} \\ &= \hat{A} \left(I - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^3 + \dots \right) \\ &\quad - \left(I - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^3 + \dots \right) \hat{A} \\ &= \left(\hat{A} - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right) \hat{A}\hat{B} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \hat{A}\hat{B}^2 - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 \hat{A}\hat{B}^3 + \dots \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\hat{A} - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right) \hat{B}\hat{A} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \hat{B}^2\hat{A} - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 \hat{B}^3\hat{A} + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{-i}{\hbar} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^2] - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^3] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

We use the relationship $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n] = cn\hat{B}^{n-1}$ proved in (1) which means that the commutator between $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n]$ is the derivative with respect to \hat{B} acting on \hat{B}^n .

$$\begin{aligned} &= c \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 2\hat{B} - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 3\hat{B}^2 + \dots \right) \\ &= cF'(\hat{B}) \end{aligned}$$

For $F'(\hat{B})$ defined as $F'(\hat{B}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \right) \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^{n-1}$, i.e., the derivative applied to an exponential with a matrix argument.

c)

First of all we note that since $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ commutes with both \hat{A} and \hat{B} , then We perform the exact procedure outlined in 1b.

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{A}, F(\hat{B})] &= \hat{A}F(\hat{B}) - F(\hat{B})\hat{A} \\ &= \hat{A} \left(I - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^3 + \dots \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left(I - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^3 + \dots \right) \hat{A} \\
& = \left(\hat{A} - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right) \hat{A} \hat{B} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \hat{A} \hat{B}^2 - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 \hat{A} \hat{B}^3 + \dots \right) \\
& \quad - \left(\hat{A} - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right) \hat{B} \hat{A} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \hat{B}^2 \hat{A} - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 \hat{B}^3 \hat{A} + \dots \right) \\
& = \frac{-i}{\hbar} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^2] - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 [\hat{A}, \hat{B}^3] + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

We use the relationship $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n] = n\hat{C}\hat{B}^{n-1}$. Indeed, since \hat{A} and \hat{B} commutes with $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$, then it follows that $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A} \implies \hat{A}\hat{B} = \hat{B}\hat{A}$. Therefore, $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{B}^{n-1} - \hat{B}^{n-1}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \implies [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]\hat{B}^{n-1} = \hat{B}^{n-1}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$. We conclude that $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}^n] = n\hat{C}\hat{B}^{n-1}$ holds for non-integer commutator.

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \hat{C} \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 2\hat{B} - \frac{1}{24} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^3 3\hat{B}^2 + \dots \right) \\
& = \hat{C}F'(\hat{B})
\end{aligned}$$

For $F'(\hat{B})$ defined as $F'(\hat{B}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \right) \left(\frac{-i}{\hbar} \hat{B} \right)^{n-1}$, i.e., the derivative applied to an exponential with a matrix argument.

d)

Let $\hat{\beta}(x) \equiv e^{x\hat{A}}e^{x\hat{B}}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dx} (\hat{\beta}(x)) & = \frac{d}{dx} (e^{x\hat{A}}e^{x\hat{B}}) \\
& = \hat{A}e^{x\hat{A}}e^{x\hat{B}} + e^{x\hat{A}}\hat{B}e^{x\hat{B}} \\
& = \hat{A}e^{x\hat{A}}e^{x\hat{B}} + [e^{x\hat{A}}, \hat{B}]e^{x\hat{B}} + \hat{B}e^{x\hat{A}}e^{x\hat{B}} \\
& = (\hat{A} + \hat{B})e^{x\hat{A}}e^{x\hat{B}} + [e^{x\hat{A}}, \hat{B}]e^{x\hat{B}}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $F(x\hat{A}) \equiv e^{x\hat{A}}$, then as found before $[F'(x\hat{A}), \hat{B}] = xF(x\hat{A})[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& = (\hat{A} + \hat{B})e^{x\hat{A}}e^{x\hat{B}} + \left(x e^{x\hat{A}} [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \right) e^{x\hat{B}} \\
& = (\hat{A} + \hat{B} + x[\hat{A}, \hat{B}])\hat{\beta}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \int \frac{\hat{\beta}'(x)}{\hat{\beta}(x)} dx &= \int \hat{A} + \hat{B} + x[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] dx \\ C e^{\ln \hat{\beta}(x)} &= e^{\hat{A}x + \hat{B}x + \frac{x^2}{2}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]} \end{aligned}$$

We set $x \equiv 1$, then C vanishes since it's an integration constant with respect to x , we're left off with the requested claim

$$\hat{\beta}(1) = e^{\hat{A}} e^{\hat{B}} = e^{\hat{A} + \hat{B} + \frac{1}{2}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]} \quad \checkmark.$$

Question 2

- For the first part, let $|\varphi\rangle = | +z \rangle$. Then

$$| +z \rangle \xrightarrow{x \text{ basis}} \begin{pmatrix} \langle +x | +z \rangle \\ \langle -x | +z \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore

$$\langle \varphi | S_x | \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2}.$$

We conclude that $\Delta S_x = 0$. Similarly for $\langle S_y \rangle$,

$$| +z \rangle \xrightarrow{y \text{ basis}} \begin{pmatrix} \langle +y | +z \rangle \\ \langle -y | +z \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

therefore

$$\langle \varphi | S_x | \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

We conclude that $\Delta S_y = \hbar/2$. We expect $\langle S_z \rangle = 0$.

$$\langle \varphi | S_z | \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \checkmark.$$

- Similarly for eigenstates of \hat{S}_x . Let $|\varphi\rangle = | +x \rangle$. Then

$$\langle \varphi | S_x | \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2},$$

we conclude that $\Delta S_x = 0$. Then similarly,

$$| +x \rangle \xrightarrow{y \text{ basis}} \begin{pmatrix} \langle +y | +x \rangle \\ \langle -y | +x \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - i \\ 1 + i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\langle \varphi | S_y | \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1+i & 1-i \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 10 & -1 \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1-i \\ 1+i \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\hbar}{2},$$

we conclude that $\Delta S_y = 0$. We finally expect $\langle S_z \rangle = 0$

$$\langle \varphi | S_z | \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \checkmark.$$

Question 3

By definition the eigenbasis of S_z is $(1 \ 0 \ 0)^T$, $(0 \ 1 \ 0)^T$ and $(0 \ 0 \ 1)^T$ since z is the default basis direction. Then we convert the transformation matrix

$$\hat{S}_x = \frac{\hat{S}_+ - \hat{S}_-}{2} \stackrel{Notes}{=} \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By definition, the eigenvalues must be (ignoring the scaling constants)

$$\lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = 0, \quad \lambda_3 = -1.$$

We look for the eigenvectors

$$\lambda_1 = 1 \implies \hbar \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} a - c = 0 \\ b - \sqrt{2}c = 0 \end{cases} \implies \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0 \implies \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} a + c = 0 \\ b = 0 \end{cases} \implies \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_3 = -1 \implies \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \begin{cases} c - a = 0 \\ b + \sqrt{2}c = 0 \end{cases} \implies \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally, we may express the eigenstates normalized in Ket notation.

$$\begin{aligned} |1, 1\rangle_x &= \frac{1}{2} |1, 1\rangle_z + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1, 0\rangle_z + \frac{1}{2} |1, -1\rangle_z \\ |1, 0\rangle_x &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1, 1\rangle_z + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1, -1\rangle_z \\ |1, -1\rangle_x &= \frac{1}{2} |1, 1\rangle_z - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |1, 0\rangle_z + \frac{1}{2} |1, -1\rangle_z \end{aligned}$$

Question 4

Following the results from Question 3,

$$|_x \langle 1, 0 | 1, 1 \rangle_z|^2 = |_z \langle 1, 1 | 1, 0 \rangle_x^*|^2 = \left| -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Question 5

First and foremost,

$$\hat{J}_x \stackrel{\text{Notes}}{=} \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For a spin 3/2 particle, by definition the eigenvalues are (ignoring the scaling constants)

$$\lambda_1 = 3, \quad \lambda_2 = 1, \quad \lambda_3 = -1, \quad \lambda_4 = -3.$$

We look for the eigenvectors

$$\lambda_1 = 3 \Rightarrow \hbar \begin{pmatrix} -3 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & -3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -3 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d = \frac{c}{\sqrt{3}} \\ b = c \\ a = \frac{b}{\sqrt{3}} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{3} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_2 = 1 \Rightarrow \hbar \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d = \sqrt{3}c \\ b = -c \\ a = \sqrt{3}b \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{3} \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_3 = -1 \Rightarrow \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d = -\sqrt{3}c \\ b = c \\ a = -\sqrt{3}b \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ \sqrt{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_4 = -3 \Rightarrow \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{3} & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} d = -\frac{c}{\sqrt{3}} \\ b = -c \\ a = -\frac{b}{\sqrt{3}} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \sqrt{3} \\ -\sqrt{3} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We write the Ket notation for the state $S_x = \hbar/2$, with the proper normalization factor

$$\left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \left(-\sqrt{3} \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle_z - \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_z + \left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_z + \sqrt{3} \left| \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \right\rangle_z \right).$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{z=\frac{3\hbar}{2}} &= \left| {}_z \left\langle \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_x \right| \right|^2 = \left| -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \right|^2 = \frac{3}{8} \\ P_{z=\frac{\hbar}{2}} &= \left| {}_z \left\langle \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_x \right| \right|^2 = \left| -\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{8} \\ P_{z=-\frac{\hbar}{2}} &= \left| {}_z \left\langle \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_x \right| \right|^2 = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{8} \\ P_{z=-\frac{3\hbar}{2}} &= \left| {}_z \left\langle \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle_x \right| \right|^2 = \left| \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \right|^2 = \frac{3}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{3}{2} \geq 1 -$$