**Your Name**

**Your Andrew ID**

**Homework 4**

# Collaboration and Originality

1. Did you receive help of any kind from anyone in developing your software for this assignment (Yes or No)? It is not necessary to describe discussions with the instructor or TAs.

If you answered Yes, provide the name(s) of anyone who provided help, and describe the type of help that you received.

1. Did you give help of any kind to anyone in developing their software for this assignment (Yes or No)?

If you answered Yes, provide the name(s) of anyone that you helped, and describe the type of help that you provided.

1. Are you the author of every line of source code submitted for this assignment (Yes or No)? It is not necessary to mention software provided by the instructor.

If you answered No:

* 1. identify the software that you did not write,
  2. explain where it came from, and
  3. explain why you used it.

1. Are you the author of every word of your report (Yes or No)?

If you answered No:

* 1. identify the text that you did not write,
  2. explain where it came from, and
  3. explain why you used it.
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**Homework 4**

# Instruction

***Instructions are shown in a red italic bold font. Do not include instructions in your report. We will deduct points for leaving instructions in your final report.***

# Experiment: Baselines

***Provide information about the effectiveness of your system in three baseline configurations.***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **BM25** | **Indri**  **BOW** | **Indri**  **SDM** |
| **P@10** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| **P@20** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| **P@30** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| **MAP** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

***Document the parameter settings that were used to obtain these results.***

# Custom Features

***Describe each of your custom features, including what information it uses and its computational complexity. Explain the intuitions behind your choices. This does not need to be a lengthy discussion, but you need to convince us that your features are reasonable hypotheses about what improves search accuracy, and not too computationally expensive to be practical.***

# Experiment: Learning to Rank

***Use your learning-to-rank software to train four models that use different groups of features.***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **IR**  **Fusion** | **Content-**  **Based** | **Base** | **All** |
| **P@10** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| **P@20** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| **P@30** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| **MAP** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

***Discuss the trends that you observe; whether the learned retrieval models behaved as you expected; how the learned retrieval models compare to the baseline methods; and any other observations that you may have.***

***Also, discuss the effectiveness of your custom features. This should be a separate discussion, and it should be more insightful than “They improved P@10 by 5%”. Discuss the effect on your retrieval experiments, and if there is variation in the metrics that are affected (e.g., P@k, MAP), how those variations compared to your expectations.***

# Experiment: Features

Experiment with four different combinations of features.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **All**  **(Baseline)** | **Comb1** | **Comb2** | **Comb3** | **Comb4** |
| **P@10** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| **P@20** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| **P@30** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| **MAP** | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

***Describe each of your feature combinations, including its computational complexity. Explain the intuitions behind your choices. This does not need to be a lengthy discussion, but you need to convince us that your combinations are investigating interesting hypotheses about what delivers good search accuracy. Were you able to get good effectiveness from a smaller set of features, or is the best result obtained by using all of the features? Why?***

# Analysis

***Examine the model files produced by SVMrank. Discuss which features appear to be more useful and which features appear to be less useful. Support your observations with evidence from your experiments. Keep in mind that some of the features are highly correlated, which may affect the weights that were learned for those features.***

***Some of this discussion may overlap with your discussion of your experiments. However, in this section we are primarily interested in what information, if anything, you can get from the SVMrank model files.***