

## CLOSED GRAPH THEOREM

Thm. (Closed Graph Thm.):

$(X, \|\cdot\|_X), (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  Banach spaces,  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  linear, then:  
 $T$  is continuous  $\Leftrightarrow T_T = \{(x, T(x)) : x \in X\}$  is closed in  $X \times Y$

Proof:

$\Rightarrow$ : trivial, suppose  $T$  is continuous, consider  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \overline{T_T}$ , then:  
 $\exists \{x_k\} \subset X$  s.t.  $(x_k, T(x_k)) \rightarrow (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \wedge x_k \rightarrow \bar{x}$

$$\Rightarrow T(x_k) \rightarrow T(\bar{x}) \Rightarrow \bar{y} = T(\bar{x}) \Rightarrow (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in T_T$$

$\Leftarrow$ : suppose  $T_T$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ : it is a closed linear subspace of the Banach space  $X \times Y \Rightarrow T_T$  is Banach.  
Consider the projection  $p_1: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $p_1(x, y) = x$ , it is linear, continuous of norm 1. Then  $p_1: T_T \rightarrow X$  is linear, continuous and an isomorphism. By the Open Mapping Thm.,  $p_1$  is open  $\Rightarrow p_1^{-1}$  is continuous, but:

$$p_1^{-1} = \phi: X \rightarrow X \times Y, \phi(x) = (x, T(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow T(x) = p_2 \circ \phi(x) \text{ is continuous}$$

□

## COMPACTNESS IN $\infty$ -DIM. SPACES

"If  $\dim X = +\infty$ , then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  does NOT look like  $\mathbb{R}^n$ "

Thm. (Riesz):

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space,  $\overline{B_1(0)} = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ .

Then  $\overline{B_1(0)}$  is compact  $\Leftrightarrow \dim_{\mathbb{R}} X < +\infty$ .

Proof:

$\Leftarrow$ : trivial,  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = n \Rightarrow X \cong \mathbb{R}^n \wedge X, \mathbb{R}^n$  are isometric

$\Rightarrow$ : we use the following:

Lemma (Riesz's Lemma):

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space,  $Y$  a closed proper vector subspace of  $X$ . Then  $\exists \bar{x} \in X$  s.t.  $\|\bar{x}\| = 1 \wedge \text{dist}(\bar{x}, Y) \geq \frac{1}{2}$

Proof:

Let  $x_0 \in X \setminus Y$ ,  $\delta = \text{dist}(x_0, Y) = \inf \{\|x_0 - y\|, y \in Y\} > 0$ .

$\Rightarrow \exists \bar{y} \in Y$  s.t.  $\|x_0 - \bar{y}\| = \delta$ , define  $\bar{x} = \frac{x_0 - \bar{y}}{\|x_0 - \bar{y}\|}$ .

$$\text{Let } y \in Y, \text{ then } \|\bar{x} - y\| = \left\| \frac{x_0 - \bar{y}}{\|x_0 - \bar{y}\|} - y \right\| = \frac{1}{\|x_0 - \bar{y}\|} \|x_0 - \bar{y} - y\| \|x_0 - \bar{y}\| \\ = \frac{1}{\|x_0 - \bar{y}\|} \|x_0 - (\underbrace{\bar{y} + y}_{\in Y})\| \geq \frac{\delta}{2\delta} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Choose a seq. of vector subspaces of  $X$  ( $Y_1 \subset Y_2 \dots \subset Y_n$ ) s.t.  $\dim Y_k = k$ . Let  $x_1 \in Y_1$  s.t.  $\|x_1\| = 1$ , then  $\exists x_2 \in Y_2$  s.t.  $\|x_2\| = 1 \wedge \text{dist}(x_2, Y_1) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

We proceed in the same way:

$$\exists x_k, x_{k-1} \text{ s.t. } \|x_k\| = 1 \wedge \text{dist}(x_k, Y_{k-1}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ \Rightarrow \{x_k\} \subset X \Rightarrow \exists n \neq m \text{ s.t. } \|x_n - x_m\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

### CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPACTNESS IN A METRIC SPACE:

Thm. (Compactness in a Metric Space):

Given  $(X, d)$  a metric space,  $K \subset X$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1)  $K$  is sequentially compact ( $\forall \{x_k\}_k \subset K \exists \{x_{k_h}\}_h, \bar{x} \in K$  s.t.  $x_{k_h} \rightarrow \bar{x}$ )
- 2)  $K$  is topologically compact (each open covering of  $K$  has a finite subcovering)
- 3)  $K$  is complete and totally bounded ( $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  there is a finite number of open balls with radius  $\varepsilon$  covering  $K$ )

Proof:

$$1 \Leftrightarrow 2 \quad \checkmark.$$

$$1, 2 \Rightarrow 3:$$

Let  $K$  be compact,  $\{x_k\}_k \subset K$  Cauchy seq.  $\Rightarrow \exists \{x_{k_h}\}_h, \bar{x} \in K$  s.t.  $x_{k_h} \rightarrow \bar{x} \Rightarrow x_k \rightarrow \bar{x} \Rightarrow K$  is complete.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $\{B_\varepsilon(x) : x \in K\}$  is an open covering of  $K$ .

By topological compactness,  $\exists$  finite number of balls covering  $K \Rightarrow K$  is totally bounded.

$$3 \Rightarrow 1, 2:$$

We prove that  $K$  is sequentially compact. Let  $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$ . By total boundedness we can cover  $K$  with a finite number of balls of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

$\Rightarrow \exists B_{\frac{1}{2}}$  ball of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$  s.t.  $x_k \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}$  for infinitely  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\{x_k^{(1)}\}_k$  be the subsequence of the elements in  $B_1$ .  
We now cover  $K$  with a finite number of balls of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ .  
 $\Rightarrow \exists B_2$  ball of radius  $\frac{1}{2}$  s.t.  $x_k^{(1)} \in B_2$  for infinitely  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
Let  $\{x_k^{(2)}\}_k$  be the subsequence of  $\{x_k^{(1)}\}$  of the elements in  $B_2$ . etc.

$\Rightarrow$  cover  $K$  with a finite number of balls of radius  $\frac{1}{n}$ , choose  $B_n$  s.t.  $\{x_k^{(n-1)}\} \subset B_n$  for infinitely  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\{x_k^{(n)}\}$  be the corresponding subsequence of  $\{x_k^{(n-1)}\}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Let  $\{\tilde{x}_k\}_k$  be the diagonal subsequence ( $\tilde{x} := x_k^{(k)}$ ): it is a Cauchy sequence in  $K$ .

□