HAHN-BANACH THEOREM

It's one of the most powerful tools to study dual space, with surprising connections with machine learning...

Thu. (Hahu - Bauach):

Let X be a vector space over \mathbb{R} , $p: X \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$ a quan-noun:

1) $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x) \forall x \in X, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0$ (positive homogeneity)

2) $p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$

Let Y be a proper vector subspace of X, $T:Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear s.t. $T(x) \leq p(x) \ \forall x \in Y$. Then there $\exists \ \widehat{T}:X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear extension of T s.t. $\widehat{T}(x) \leq p(x) \ \forall x \in X$

In particular, if $(X, ||\cdot||)$ is a nonned space and $T \in X'$, then we can extend it to $T: X \rightarrow |R|$ linear with $||T||_{X'} = ||T||_{Y'}$ (this corollary follows by chaosing $p(x) = ||T||_{Y'} \cdot ||x||$, so we have $T(x) \leq ||T||_{Y'} \cdot ||x||$)

Cordlary:

Given $(X, ||\cdot||)$ unused space, Y proper vector subspace of X, $T: Y \rightarrow |R|$ linear and continuous. Then $\exists \widetilde{T}: X \rightarrow |R|$ linear extension of T s.t. $||\widetilde{T}||_{X'} = ||T||_{Y'}$

Corollary:

Given (X, 11:11) a normed space, we have the following:

1) $\forall x_o \in X \exists T \in X' \text{ s.t. } ||T||_{X'} = 1 \text{ and } T(x_o) = ||x_o||$

2) \(\text{\(\x\) \| \x \| = m \(\approx \) \(\T \x \x' \) \(\IT\) \(\x' \leq 1 \)

3) $\times, \times \in \times$, $\times \neq \times \Rightarrow \exists \top \in \times'$ s.t. $\top(\times) \neq \top(\times)$ (The Dual Space \times' separates points of \times)

Proof:

- 2) \leqslant : $\Upsilon(x) \leqslant || \uparrow ||_{X'} \cdot ||_{X} || \leqslant ||_{X} ||$
 - (2): thanks to 1.

```
Boof (Hahu-Banach):
CLAIM:
```

I proper vector subrepose of X, $T: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ linear s.t. $T(x) \leq p(x) \ \forall x \in Z \Rightarrow \exists \widetilde{T}: \widetilde{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with \widetilde{Z} strictly larger than Z s.t. $\widetilde{T}(x) \leq p(x) \ \forall x \in \widetilde{Z}$, $\widetilde{T}(x) = T(x) \ \forall x \in Z$

PROOF OF THE CLAIM:

Let $x_0 \in X \setminus Z \Rightarrow we extend T: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to $\widetilde{Z} = Z \oplus \mathbb{R}\{x_0\}$ = $\{x + tx_0 : x \in Z, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then:

$$\widetilde{T}(x+tx_o) = \widetilde{T}(x) + t\widetilde{T}(x_o) = T(x) + t\widetilde{T}(x_o)$$

⇒ The condition we need to prove is:

(*) $T(x) + t_{x} < p(x + t_{x_0}) \forall x \in Z, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

£>0)

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}(T(\frac{\times}{t}) + \omega) \leqslant \mathcal{X} p(\frac{\times}{t} + \times_{o}) \Leftrightarrow T(\times) + \omega \leqslant p(\times + \times_{o}) \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \omega \leqslant T(\times) - p(\times + \times_{o})$$

ヒ<0)

$$(*) \Leftrightarrow -\mathcal{K} \left(\top \left(- \stackrel{\times}{\leftarrow} \right) - \mathcal{L} \right) \leqslant -\mathcal{K} p \left(- \stackrel{\times}{\leftarrow} - \times_{o} \right) \Leftrightarrow \top (\gamma) - \alpha \leqslant p (\gamma - \chi_{o}) \forall \gamma \in \mathcal{Z}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L} \geqslant \top (\gamma) - p (\gamma - \chi_{o})$$

 $\Rightarrow T(\gamma) - p(\gamma - \chi_o) \leqslant \chi \leqslant T(\chi) - p(\chi + \chi_o) \quad \forall \chi, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$

We now show that $T(y) - p(y-x_0) \leqslant T(x) - p(x+x_0) \quad \forall x,y \in \mathbb{Z}$: $\Leftrightarrow T(x) + T(y) \leqslant p(x+tx_0) \Leftrightarrow T(x+y) \leqslant p(x+y) \leqslant p(x+x_0) + p(y-x_0)$ Sor the claim is proved. We now prove the main statement of the Hahn-Banach Thur. Let $F = \{S: Z \rightarrow IR : S \text{ linear}, Z \supset Y, Z \text{ or vector subspace, } S \text{ extends } T \text{ and } S(x) \leqslant p(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We put a portial order relation on F:

 $(S_1: Z_1 \rightarrow |R) \leq (S_2: Z_1 \rightarrow |R) \Leftrightarrow S_2$ extends S_1 Thoubles to the Housdonff Maximal Principle $\exists \mathcal{G} \subset F$ s.t. \mathcal{G} is a maximal totally ordered set:

⇒ \exists upper bound of $g: S: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $Z = \bigcup_{x \in I} Z_x$ s.t. $S(x) := S_x(x) \quad \forall x \in Z_x$

DUAL SPACE OF THE LP SPACE

We prove now that the LP-now II II LP(u) is, indeed, a nown: Lemma (Young's inequality):

Let $p \in (1, +\infty)$, q consugate exponent of p (i.e. $\frac{d}{p} + \frac{d}{q} = 1$ $\Leftrightarrow q = \frac{p}{p-1}$). Then $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, a, b > 0 we have $ab \leqslant \frac{d}{p} a^p + \frac{d}{q} b^q$

Proof:

Y → logy is concone

log $(ab) = log_a + log_b = \frac{1}{p} log_a(ap) + \frac{1}{q} log_a(bq) \leq log_a(\frac{1}{p}a^p + \frac{1}{q}b^q)$ and we take the exp on both sides.

<u>Leuma</u> (Holder's inequality):

Let $p \in [1, +\infty]$, q consugate exponent of p, μ outer measure of a set X, let $u, v: X \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ μ -meas. Then we have:

 $\int_{X} |u(x)v(x)| d\mu(x) \leq ||u||_{L^{p}(\mu)} \cdot ||v||_{L^{q}(\mu)}$

Proof:

Obvious if either one of the 2 nonus are +00 and the other is positive, or when one of the nonus is 0. Remember that $\|u\|_{L^p(\mu)} = \left(\int_X |u(x)|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Assume then who $0 < \|u\|_{L^p(\mu)}, \|v\|_{L^p(\mu)} < +\infty$ $\iff \int_X |\frac{u}{\|u\|_{L^p}} \frac{v}{\|v\|_{L^p}} |d\mu \le 1 \implies \text{it is enough to prove the inequality when } \|u\|_{L^p} = \|v\|_{L^p} = 1$:

 $\int_{X} |u(x)| \cdot |v(x)| \, d\mu(x) \leq \int_{X} \frac{1}{p} |u|^{p} + \frac{1}{q} |v|^{q} \, d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot 1 + \frac{1}{q} \cdot 1 = 1.$ $\frac{1}{p} |u|^{p} + \frac{1}{q} |v|^{q}$

Cose p=1, $q=+\infty$ (the other cose is symmetric):

⇒ lv(x)1 < llvlle p-a.e. x ∈ X

 $\Rightarrow \int_{X} |u(x)| |v(x)| d\mu(x) \leq ||v||_{L^{\infty}} \int_{X} |u(x)| d\mu(x) = ||u||_{L^{2}} ||v||_{L^{\infty}}$

<u>Lemma</u> (Min Komski's inequality):

 $u,v: \times \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ μ -meas. $\Rightarrow \|u+v\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leqslant \|u\|_{L^p(\mu)} + \|v\|_{L^p(\mu)}$ $\forall p \in [1,+\infty]$

 $\frac{\text{Proof}}{\text{Case } p=1, +\infty}$ are trivial.

```
Case p \in (1, +\infty):
        \Rightarrow Remark: u, v \in L^p(\mu) \Rightarrow u + v \in L^p(\mu) (comes from the fact
                                                                        that YIN is convex, so 14+219 < \frac{1}{2} (1u19+1v19))
       We have:
                                     \int_{X} |u(x) + v(x)|^{p} d\mu = \int_{X} |u(x) + v(x)|^{p-1} |u(x) + v(x)| d\mu

\[
\left\| \left\|
                                                                               = \left( \|u\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} + \|v\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} \right) \left( \left( \left( \left( \left( x \right) + v(x) \right) \right) \right) \left( \left( \left( \left( x \right) + v(x) \right) \right) \right) \right) 
            ⇒ so we have ( \sum \langle \
Thu. (Riesz-Fischer):
          LP(n) is Banach. Moneover, if {un}_u C LP(n) \ Un LP u
then \exists \{U_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} subsequence s.t. U_{n(x)} = 0 U(x) for u-a.e. x \in X
            (No need to extract a subsequence if p = +\infty).
Proof:
      Case p E [1, +00):
           Let fund, be a Couchy seq. in LP(µ): YE>O FVEIN s.t.

Yu, m>, v || un-um || v < E. We can find up in creasing seq. of

indexes s.t. || u<sub>m+1</sub> - u<sub>m</sub> || <sub>LP(µ)</sub> < \frac{1}{2}\tau. Courider the series
                         g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |U_{n_{k+1}}(x) - U_{n_k}(x)| \in L^p(\mu), \quad g_{k}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |U_{n_{k+1}}(x) - U_{n_k}(x)|
              ⇒ || g<sub>K</sub>||<sub>L<sup>P</sup>(µ)</sub> < 1 ∀K ∈ IN ⇒ || g<sub>K</sub> ||<sub>L<sup>P</sup>(µ)</sub> (Beppor - Levi)
            \Rightarrow g(x) EIR for \mu-a.e. \times EX \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} (U_{\mu_{k+1}}(x) - U_{\mu_{k}}(x)) converges absolutely for a.e. \times EX and it also is telescopic:
                                           \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{M}_{k+1}}(x) - \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{M}_{k}}(x) \right) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{M}_{k+1}}(x) - \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{M}_{1}}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(x) - \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{M}_{1}}(x)
           \Rightarrow U_{\mu_{K+1}}(x) \longrightarrow U(x) \text{ for } \mu - a.e. \times EX
\Rightarrow |U_{\mu_{K+1}}(x)| \leqslant (g(x) + |U_{\mu_{1}}(x)|) \in L^{p}(\mu) \Rightarrow U_{\mu_{K}} \xrightarrow{L^{p}(\mu)} U \text{ (Dominated conv.)}
\Rightarrow \text{ the subsequence converges in } L^{p}(\mu) \Rightarrow \text{ the whole sequence converges}
```

 \Rightarrow { U_n } is Cauchy because it converges $\Rightarrow \exists U_{u_k}(x) \xrightarrow{L^p(u)} v(x) \mu-a.e.$ $\Rightarrow u=v \ (uniqueness of the limit in <math>L^p$)

Case $p = +\infty$:

Let $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset L^\infty(\mu)$ be a Couchy seq. \Rightarrow \forall $K\in\mathbb{N}$, $K\neq 0$ fixed, \exists $u_k\in\mathbb{N}$ s.t.:

| | Un - Um | 200(µ) ≤ 1/K Vn, m > nK

(Def. of Cauchy seq. with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{k}$). But:

 $\forall u, m \geqslant u_{K} \mid u_{M}(x) - u_{M}(x) \mid \leqslant \frac{s}{K}$ is true except for a set of measure O. Define:

 $A_{K} = \left\{ \times \in \times : |U_{M}(x) - U_{M}(x)| > \frac{1}{K}, u, u, u, u_{K} \right\} \Rightarrow \mu(A_{K}) = 0$ $\Rightarrow A = \bigcup_{N=1}^{+\infty} A_{K} \Rightarrow \mu(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{if } \times \in \times \setminus A, \text{ then } \{U_{M}(x)\}_{M} \text{ is } \text{Cauchy in } \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow U_{M}(x) \xrightarrow{u} U(x) \text{ and } U_{M} \xrightarrow{u \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}.$ $\text{in } \times \setminus A$