INF-SUP COMPATIBLE SPACES

Let V_h , Q_h not be inf-sop compatible, then $\exists p_h^* \in Q_h$ s.t. $b(u_h, p_h^*) = 0 \ \forall u_h \in V_{h,o} \Leftrightarrow \exists \hat{p}^* \in IR^{Np} \text{ s.t. } \hat{u}^{\mathsf{T}} B^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{p}^* = 0 \ \forall \hat{u} \in IR^{Nu} \Leftrightarrow \hat{p}^* \in \text{Kev } B^{\mathsf{T}}$

Remork:

If inf-sup isu't valid, the spurious pressure mode are in Ker BT

If Va, Qh are inf-sup compatible then:

Ker BT= {o} ⇔ |mB = IRNP ⇔ Yû ∈ IRNU]p∈IRNPS.t. Bû=p ⇔ vank B = vank BT = Np, B∈IRNP×Nu, B: IRNU → IRNP ⇒ dim Ker B = Nu-Np>0

Remark:

- 1) If inf-sup holds, there I Nu-Np soleunidal velocities
- 2) When Va, Qh are inf-sup compatible, dim Va > dim Qh !!!

CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

If Vh, Qh are inf-sup comp., then the following holds:

 $\|\nabla(u-u_{h})\|_{L^{2}} \leq 2\left(1+\frac{\sqrt{d}}{\beta_{h}}\right) \inf_{w_{h} \in V_{h}} \|\nabla(u-w_{h})\|_{L^{2}} + \frac{\sqrt{d}}{v} \inf_{\pi_{h} \in Q_{h}} \|p-\pi_{h}\|_{L^{2}}$

 $\|p-p_{h}\|_{L^{2}} \leq \frac{2v}{\beta_{h}} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\beta_{h}}\right) \inf_{w_{h} \in V_{h}} \|\nabla(u-w_{h})\|_{L^{2}} + \left(1 + \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{\beta_{h}}\right) \inf_{\pi_{h} \in Q_{h}} \|p-\pi_{h}\|_{L^{2}}$

Remark:

If BA LOO, convergence is not granted !!!

luf-Sup Compatible FE:

- 1) $V_h = [X_h]^J$, $Q_h = X_h^{\vee} \Rightarrow V_h$, Q_h are NOT in f-sup compatible
- 2) Vn = 1P1, Qn = 1P0 => Vn, Qn are NOT inf-sup compatible
- 3) $V_h = V_{K+1}$, $Q_h = V_K$, $K > 1 \Rightarrow V_h$, Q_h are inf-sup compatible with order of convergence K+1
- 4) $V_h = |V_1|$ iso $|V_2|$, $Q_h = |V_1| \Rightarrow V_h$, Q_h are in f-sup compatible with order of convergence 1
- 5) $V_h = 1P_4$ bubble, $Q_h = 1P_4 \Rightarrow V_h$, Q_h are inf-sup compatible with order of convergence 1

where

 $W_{\kappa} := \text{polynomials of deg} = \kappa$, W_{1} bubble := { 0 at $\partial_{-}\Omega_{i}$, polynomials of deg = 3 in Ω_{i} }

STABILIZATION METHODS

Let s: Qh × Qh → IR pas. def. . We find (un, pn) ∈ Vn × Qh s.t. un = gn m Tb and:

$$\begin{cases} a(u_h, v_h) + b(v_h, p_h) = F(v_h) & \forall v_h \in V_h \\ b(u_h, q_h) - b(p_h, q_h) = 0 & \forall q_h \in Q_h \end{cases}$$

=> lutroduce SEIR Np×Np, Ske = s(tk, te), then we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B^{T} \\ B & -S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\varphi} \\ \hat{\rho} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F \\ \hat{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$

<u>Remorks</u>:

2) Compatibility:

Optimal convergence holds if $s_{h} \to 0$ at least at the same order as the method. Generally this is true if strong continuum compatibility holds:

$$0 = (q, q) \land$$

STABILIZERS:

1) Brezzi - Pitkerasta:

⇒ reinformly stable if dim Qh = dim Vh with convergence rate 1 independently from the convergence order of the method.

2) Interior - Penalty (IP):

$$s(p_h, q_h) = S \sum_{\Omega \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_i^3 |_{\Omega \cap \Omega} [\nabla p_h] \cdot [\nabla q_h] d\Gamma$$
where $[f] = f^+ - f^-$

RESOLUTION OF THE GALERKIN PROBLEM

1) PRESSURE METHOD:

$$\begin{cases} A\hat{u} + B^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{\rho} = \mathsf{F} \\ B\hat{u} - S\hat{\rho} = \vec{D} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \hat{u} = A^{-1} \left(\mathsf{F} - B^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{\rho} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow BA^{-1}(F - B^{T} \hat{\rho}) - S\hat{\rho} = \vec{D} \Rightarrow (BA^{-1}B^{T} + S)\hat{\rho} = BA^{-1}F$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum \beta = BA^{-1}F$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum = BA^{-1}B^{\top} \Rightarrow we have:$$

$$\frac{C_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} h_{min} \|\hat{\rho}\| \leqslant \hat{\rho} \frac{H_{p}}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\rho} \leqslant \frac{C_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} h_{msx} \|\hat{\rho}\|$$

$$\frac{C_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} h_{min} \beta_{h}^{2} \leqslant \lambda (\beta A^{-1} \beta^{T}) \leqslant \frac{C_{2}}{\sqrt{2}} h_{msx}^{d} d$$

$$\mathcal{K} (\beta A^{-1} \beta^{T}) \leqslant \frac{C_{2}}{C_{1}} \frac{\beta_{h}^{2}}{d} \left(\frac{h_{msx}}{h_{min}}\right)^{d}$$

$$P^{-1}BA^{-1}B^{T}v = \lambda v \Rightarrow (vM_{p}^{-1})BA^{-1}B^{T}v = \lambda v$$

$$\Rightarrow BA^{-1}B^{T} = \lambda \stackrel{H_{p}}{\vee} v \wedge \beta_{\lambda}^{2} \leq \lambda \leq d$$

and the same holds for P=diza Mp

2) MONOLITHIC APPROACH:

$$\begin{cases} A\hat{u} + B^{T}\hat{p} = F \\ \mp B\hat{u} \pm S\hat{p} = \vec{D} \end{cases} \Rightarrow we have:$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B^{T} \\ B & -S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F \\ \hat{D} \end{pmatrix} \quad V \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B^{T} \\ -B & S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F \\ \hat{D} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{a} \sum_{b} \left(\frac{1}{a} \right) \left(\frac{1}$$

$$(\hat{u} \hat{p})^{T} \sum_{1} (\hat{p}) = \hat{u}^{T} A \hat{u} + 2 \hat{p}^{T} B \hat{u} - \hat{p}^{T} S \hat{p}$$

2)
$$\Sigma_2$$
 is NOT symmetric BUT it is semi-pos. def.:

$$(\hat{u} \ \hat{p})^T \Sigma_2 \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u} \\ \hat{p} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{u}^T A \hat{u} + \hat{p}^T S \hat{p} > 0$$

 \Rightarrow take $P = \begin{pmatrix} A & \overline{D} \\ \overline{D} & \underline{M}_{P} \end{pmatrix}$, then we have: $P^{-1} \sum v = \mu i \cdot v$, $\sum = \begin{pmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & \overrightarrow{D} \end{pmatrix}$, i = 1, ..., Nu + Np $\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & B^{T} \\ B & \overrightarrow{O} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{u} \\ \widehat{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \mu i \begin{pmatrix} A & \overrightarrow{O} \\ \overrightarrow{O} & M_{PK} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{u} \\ \widehat{\varphi} \end{pmatrix}$ 1) û E Kev B, \$ = 0 => dim Kev B = Nu - Np ∫Aû = μ; Au ⇒ ∃Nu·Np eigenvalues μ;, 2Np remain !!! 2) û & KevB, p ≠ 0: $\begin{cases} A\hat{u} + B^{T}\hat{\rho} = \mu_{i} A\hat{u} \\ B\hat{u} = \mu_{i} \stackrel{\text{de}}{\Rightarrow} A(1 - \mu_{i})\hat{u} = -B^{T}\hat{\rho} \end{cases}$ $\Rightarrow A\hat{u} = \frac{1}{\mu_{i-1}} B^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{p} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu_{i-1}} B A^{-1} B^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{p} = \mu_{i} \frac{\mathsf{Mr}}{\mathsf{V}} \hat{p}$ $\Rightarrow BA^{-1}B^{T}\hat{\rho} = Mi(Mi-1) \stackrel{MP}{V}\hat{\rho}$ $\Rightarrow \mu i(\mu i - 1) = \lambda i, O < \beta_h^2 < \lambda i < d$ $\Rightarrow \mu_i = \frac{1 \pm \lambda 1 + 4\lambda_i}{2}$ 1) Np are negative, $\mu_i \in \left[\frac{1-\sqrt{1+4d}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4\beta_h^2}}{2}\right]$ 2) Np are positive, $\mu_i \in \left[\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4\beta_h^2}}{2}, \frac{1+\sqrt{1+4J}}{2}\right]$ Remark: $\begin{pmatrix} A & \overrightarrow{D} \\ \pm B & \underline{H_{P}} \end{pmatrix}$ is also a good preconditioner $(+: \Sigma_{1}, -: \Sigma_{2})$ ⇒ hu this approach, we have the following system: $\begin{pmatrix}
\nabla_{K} & O & \mathcal{B}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \\
O & \nabla_{K} & \mathcal{B}_{2}^{\mathsf{T}}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{1} \\
\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{2}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
F_{1} \\
F_{2}
\end{pmatrix}
\Rightarrow \begin{cases}
K_{V} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{1} + \mathcal{B}_{1}^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{\rho} = F_{1} \\
K_{V} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{2} + \mathcal{B}_{2}^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{\rho} = F_{2} \\
\mathcal{B}_{1} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{1} + \mathcal{B}_{2} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{2} = O
\end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{\Omega}_{1} = K_{v}^{-1} \left(F_{1} - B_{1}^{T} \hat{\rho} \right) \\ \hat{\Omega}_{2} = K_{v}^{-1} \left(F_{2} - B_{2}^{T} \hat{\rho} \right) \end{cases} \Rightarrow (B_{1} K_{v}^{-1} B_{1}^{T} + B_{2} K_{v}^{-1} B_{2}^{T})_{p} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b = B_{1}^{T} \hat{\rho} , K_{v} = b$$