BANACH- STEINHAUS THEOREM

<u>Londlary</u>:

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a unused space,  $Y \subset X$  a proper vector subspace.

Y is deuse  $\Leftrightarrow \forall T \in X'$  s.t.  $T(y) = 0 \forall y \in Y$ , T = 0

Proof:

(a) Let Y be a vector subspace s.t.  $\overline{Y} \subseteq X$ . Let  $X \in X \setminus \overline{Y}$  and consider the closed convex sets  $\{x_0\}$ ,  $\overline{Y}$ : they are closed, disjoint and  $\{x_0\}$  is compact  $\Rightarrow \exists T \in X'$  s.t.  $T(Y) < T(x_0)$  $\forall y \in \mathcal{Y} \Rightarrow T(y) = 0 \ \forall y \in \mathcal{Y}$ 

Thu. (Banach-Steinhaus Thu. - Uniform Boundedness): Let (X, 11.11) be a Banach-Space, {Th} }new (X's.t.

 $\sup\{|T_{k}(x)|: K \in \mathbb{N}\} < +\infty \quad \forall x \in X$ 

("Tx is bounded pointurise")

Theu:

sup { ||Th||x|: KEIN} < + 00

<u>Leeuno</u> (Baire):

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space,  $\{F_K\}_{K\in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of closed sets with lempty interiors. Then the union  $\mathbb{N}_{K=1}^{\infty}F_K$ has empty intern.

=> Application:

(×, 11·11) Banach, {F<sub>K</sub>}<sub>K∈IN</sub> closed in × s.t.  $\bigvee_{K=2}^{+\infty} F_K = X$ ⇒ ∃ K s.t.  $\mathring{F}_K \neq \emptyset$ 

Proof (Baire's Lemma):

Take DCX, Dapen, Q + o. We show that:

 $\Omega \setminus (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k) \neq \emptyset$ 

 $-\Omega \setminus F_1 \neq \emptyset$ , open  $\Rightarrow \exists \times 1$ ,  $M_1 < 1$  s.t.  $\overline{B}_{M_1}(\times_1) \subset (\Omega \setminus F_1)$ 

 $\Rightarrow \beta_{n_1}(x_1) \mid F_2 \neq \emptyset$ , open  $\Rightarrow \exists x_2, n_2 < \frac{1}{2} \text{ s.t. } \overline{\beta_{n_2}(x_2)} \in (\beta_{n_1}(x_1) \mid F_2)$ 

```
⇒ Bu2(X2)/F3 ≠Ø, gpen ⇒ ∃×3, M3 < 3 s.t. ....
  \Rightarrow B_{n_{k-1}}(x_{k-1}) \setminus F_k \neq \emptyset, open \Rightarrow \exists n_k, x_k < \frac{1}{k} s.t.
 Then \{X_{K}\}_{K} is a Cauchy Sequence \Rightarrow X \xrightarrow{K} = \Omega \setminus (\bigcup_{K=1}^{+\infty} F_{K})
Proof (Banach-Steinhaus Thu.):
  Courider, for m = 1, 2, 3, ..., the closed sets:
                     F_{n} = \{x \in X : |T_{k}(x)| < n \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \}
  \Rightarrow Fu is closed \forall n \in \mathbb{N} and \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = X \Rightarrow (Baire's Zeuma)
 ∃m s.t. Fn ≠ φ ⇒∃x, v>o s.t. Bv(x) CFn. Let ×EX le
  s.t. 11×11≤1, theu:
                 |T_{k}(x)| = |\frac{2}{V}T_{k}(\frac{y}{2}x)| = \frac{2}{V}|T(\frac{y}{2}x+y) - T(y)|
                        \leq \frac{2}{\nu} \left( |T(\bar{x} + \frac{\nu}{2} \times)| + |T(\bar{x})| \right) \leq \frac{4}{\nu} \pi
 => 11/1/x, < 4/2
BIDUAL SPACE - REFLEXIVE SPACES
Courider the BIDUAL space of X:
(\times, \|\cdot\|) NORMED Space \longrightarrow (\times', \|\cdot\|_{\times'}) DUAL Space \longrightarrow (\times'', \|\cdot\|_{\times''}) BIDUAL
 ∃ 5:X→X" ismultic injection with J(x) = S_x, S_x: X' \rightarrow \mathbb{R},
  S_{\times}(T) = T(\times)
 \|S_{x}\|_{X^{11}} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ |S_{x}(T)| : T \in X', \|T\|_{X'} \leq 1 \right\} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ |T(x)| : T \in X', \|T\|_{X'} \leq 1 \right\}
Def. (Reflexive Space):
 Given (X, 11 11) Bonach space, it is called a REFLEXIVE SPACE
 if T(X) = X"
N.B. LP is reflective \forall p \in (1, +\infty), L^1, L^\infty are not reflexive
```

```
Proposition:
 Given (X, 11.11) a normed space, {Xx}_KEIN CX, we have:
  \{\times_{k}\}_{k} is bounded in norm \Leftrightarrow \{T(\times_{k})\}_{k} is bounded in \mathbb{R} \forall T \in X'
Corollory (trivial):
 Given (X, 11.11) a normed space, ACX, we have:
        A is bounded in X \Leftrightarrow T(A) is bounded in IR \ \forall T \in X'
Proof (Proposition):
 (\Xi): T(X_{k}) = S_{X_{k}}(T), \{S_{X_{k}}\}_{k} \subset X^{"} \Rightarrow S_{X_{k}}(T) \text{ is bounded}
         ⇒ Sxx is bounded pointurise ⇒ ||Sxx ||x|| = ||xx|| is bounded
Corollary (of Banach - Steinhaus)

Let (X, ||\cdot||) be a Banach space, \{T_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} (X' s.t. \forall x \in X)

\exists \lim_{k \to +\infty} T_k(x) =: T(x) \in \mathbb{R} \ (N.B. T(x): X \to \mathbb{R} \ is clearly linear)
  then \{T_{k}(x)\}_{k} is bounded in IR \forall x \in X \Rightarrow ly Banach-Steinhaus
  \|T_{k}\|_{X'} \leqslant C \wedge \|T_{k}(x)\| \leqslant \|T_{k}\| \cdot \|x\| \leqslant C\|x\| \wedge |T_{k}(x)| \longrightarrow |T(x)|
  ||T||_{x_1} \leqslant \lim_{k \to +\infty} ||T_k||
Application of BANACH-STEINHAUS TO FOURIER SERIES
f: IR → IR 2π - periodic
\Rightarrow \text{ Its Fourier series is } S(x) = \frac{\alpha_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \alpha_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx \text{ with}
                  a_{k} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \cos kt \, dt, b_{k} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \sin kt \, dt
If f \in C^1 then S(x) converges uniformly to f!
CLAIM:
 If EC° 271-periodic s.t. S(x) does not converge pointurise
 at o
Proof:
 Let C^{\circ}(2\pi) = \{f: |R| \rightarrow |R| \text{ continuous, } 2\pi \text{-periodic}\} with \|\cdot\|_{\infty} be a normed space. Define S_{N}(x) = \frac{a_{0}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k} \operatorname{cosk} x + b_{k} \operatorname{sink} x
  = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi}^{\pi} \sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)(y - x)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2\sin\left(\frac{y-x}{2}\right)} f(y) dy (Dirichlet's FORHULA).
```

We hove:  $S_{N}(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin\left(\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right) y\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2\sin\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)} f(y) dy =: T_{N}(f)$ 

 $\Rightarrow T_N : C^{\circ}(2\pi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \text{ we call } g_N(y) := \sin((N+\frac{1}{2})y)) \cdot \frac{1}{2\sin(x)} \text{ the}$ DIRICHLET'S KERNEL and we have:

 $\|T_N\|_{(C^0(2\pi))^1} = \|g_N\|_{L^1((-\pi,\pi))} \xrightarrow{N \to +\infty} +\infty$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Then  $S_N(0)$  doesn't converge to f(0)  $\forall f \in C^0(2\pi)$ , otherwise To would be bounded pointuise and therefore bounded in umu ly Bauach-Steinhaus.

## OPEN MAPPING THEOREM

Thu. (Open Mapping):

Given (X, ||·||x), (Y, ||·||x) Banach spaces, T: X→Y linear, continuous and sursective. Then T is open (the image of any open set of X through T is open in X). If T is also iuzective, then T-1 is continuous.

 $\frac{200\%}{1}$ :
It is enough to show that  $T(B_1(0)) \supseteq B_1(0)$  for some v>0

CLAIM:

 $\overline{T(B_1(0))} \supset B_{2\nu}(0)$  for some  $\nu > 0$ 

Indeed,  $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \overline{T(B_n(0))} = Y \Rightarrow \exists \pi \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \overline{T(B_n(0))} \neq \emptyset \text{ lrg. Baise's}$ Lemma.  $\Rightarrow \overline{T(B_n(0))} \neq \emptyset \text{ and it is convex and symmetric wet o}$  $\Rightarrow 0 \in \overline{T(\tilde{B}_{1}(0))}$   $\checkmark$ 

We now work in order to sense the closure from the hypothesis

CLAIM:

 $T(B_1(0))\supset B_V(0)$  for some V>0ludeed, we show that if  $y \in \mathcal{B}_{V}(0)$  then  $\exists \overline{x} \in \mathcal{B}_{I}(0)$  s.t.  $T(\overline{x}) = y$ (⇔11×11<v). lu particular:

 $T(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)) \supset B_{V}(0) \iff T(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)) \supset B_{V}(0)$ 

⇒ 1 21 € B2(0) 1.6 | Y- T(21) | < 1/2

⇒ ∃ zz ∈ B{(0) s.t. || Y-T(zz) - T(zz))|| < {

 $\Rightarrow \| y - \sum_{i=1}^{K} T(z_i) \| = \| y - T(\sum_{i=1}^{K} z_i) \| \bigwedge \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} Z_k \text{ converges because}$  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|z_k\| < +\infty$  (the sequence of the postial sums is Cauchy)

 $\Rightarrow \overrightarrow{x} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k \Rightarrow || y - T(\overrightarrow{x})|| = 0 \Rightarrow y = T(\overrightarrow{x}) \wedge || \overrightarrow{x} || \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} || z_k ||$  $\langle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k} = 1$ 

Cordlong Given X a vector space, II:II, III: III Banach nouns on X s.t.  $\exists c>0$  s.t.  $||x||| \leqslant c ||x|| \; \forall x \in X$ , then  $||\cdot||\cdot||$ ,  $||\cdot||$  are equivalent

Proof We apply the open mapping thm. to Id: (X, 11·11) → (X, 11·11) ⇒ the inverse is continuous ∧ ∃B>0 s.t. 11×11 < B ||x|| ∀x ∈ X