

# Risk-Neutral Measure and Girsanov

Thomas Lonon

Division of Financial Engineering  
Stevens Institute of Technology

March 17, 2016

# Outline

## Precursors

Previous Material

## Risk-Neutral Measure

Girsanov For Single Brownian Motion  
Stock Under the Risk-Neutral Measure  
Under the Risk-Neutral Measure

## Martingale Representation Theorem

Martingale with One Brownian Motion  
Hedging with One Stock

## Fundamental Theorems and Definitions

Multidimensional Market Model  
Key Definitions and Theorems

**Theorem 1.6.1:** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space and let  $Z$  be an almost surely nonnegative random variable with  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 1$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , define

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega)$$

Then  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  is a probability measure. Furthermore, if  $X$  is a nonnegative random variable, then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \mathbb{E}[XZ]$$

If  $Z$  is almost surely strictly positive, we also have

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}\left[\frac{Y}{Z}\right]$$

for every nonnegative random variable  $Y$ . [2]

## Equivalent Measure

**Definition 1.6.3:** Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{F}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ . Two probability measures  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  are said to be equivalent if they agree which sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  have probability zero.[2]

**Definition 1.6.5:** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space, let  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  be another probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  that is equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$ , and let  $Z$  be an almost surely positive random variable that relates  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  via Theorem 1.6.1. Then  $Z$  is called the Radon-Nikodým derivative of  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ , and we write

$$Z = \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}}$$

[2]

Let  $\theta$  be a constant and let  $X \sim N(0, 1)$  and define  $Y = X + \theta$ .

Note that  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \theta$  and  $\text{Var}(Y) = 1$ . Define

$Z(\omega) = e^{-\theta X(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2}$ ,  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ . We have

1.  $Z(\omega) > 0$

2.  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(Y \leq b) &= \int_{(\omega: Y(\omega) \leq b)} Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{I}_{\{Y(\omega) \leq b\}} Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{I}_{\{X(\omega) \leq b - \theta\}} e^{-\theta X(\omega) - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2} d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{b - \theta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\theta x - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^b \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} dy\end{aligned}$$

## Radon-Nikodým Derivative Process

For a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and a filtration  $\mathcal{F}(t)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$  where  $T$  is fixed. Further suppose that  $Z$  is an almost surely positive random variable with  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 1$ . Define  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_A Z(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega)$ . This leads us to the definition of the **Radon-Nikodým derivative process**:

$$Z(t) = \mathbb{E}[Z | \mathcal{F}(t)], 0 \leq t \leq T$$

This process is a martingale as can be seen using iterated conditioning:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z(t) | \mathcal{F}(s)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z | \mathcal{F}(t)] | \mathcal{F}(s)] = \mathbb{E}[Z | \mathcal{F}(s)] = Z(s)$$

**Lemma 5.2.1:** Let  $t$  satisfying  $0 \leq t \leq T$  be given and let  $Y$  be an  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ -measurable random variable. Then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)]$$

[2]

Proof:

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[YZ] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[YZ|\mathcal{F}(t)]] = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbb{E}[Z|\mathcal{F}(t)]] = \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)]$$

**Lemma 5.2.2:** Let  $s$  and  $t$  satisfying  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$  be given and let  $Y$  be an  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ -measurable random variable. Then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[Y|\mathcal{F}(s)] = \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)]$$

[2]

The proof of this lemma depends on using part of definition 2.3.1 which states

$$\int_A \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}](\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) = \int_A X(\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega), \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{G}$$

or in our case

$$\int_A \frac{1}{Z(s)} \mathbb{E}[YZ(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] d\tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \int_A Y d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}, \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F}(s)$$



## Girsanov

### **Theorem 5.2.3:(Girsanov, one dimension)** Let

$W(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a Brownian motion on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a filtration for this Brownian motion. Let  $\Theta(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , be an adapted process. Define

$$Z(t) = e^{-\int_0^t \Theta(u) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Theta^2(u) du}$$

$$\tilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t \Theta(u) du$$

and assume that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \Theta^2(u) du \right] < \infty$$

Set  $Z = Z(T)$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 1$  and under the probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , the process  $\tilde{W}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$  is a Brownian motion.[2]

## Discount Process

Consider a stock process ( $S(t)$ ) that follows the Generalized Geometric Brownian Motion given as

$$dS(t) = \alpha(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)S(t)dW(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$$

Which has the solution

$$S(t) = S_0 e^{\int_0^t \sigma(s)dW(s) + \int_0^t (\alpha(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(s))ds}$$

In addition, we have an adapted interest rate process  $R(t)$ . The **discount process** is given as

$$D(t) = e^{-\int_0^t R(s)ds}$$

$$dD(t) = -R(t)D(t)dt$$

## Discounted Stock Process

The discounted stock process is

$$D(t)S(t) = S_0 e^{\int_0^t \sigma(s) dW(s) + \int_0^t (\alpha(s) - R(s) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(s)) ds}$$

and so its differential is

$$\begin{aligned} d(D(t)S(t)) &= (\alpha(t) - R(t))D(t)S(t)dt + \sigma(t)D(t)S(t)dW(t) \\ &= \sigma(t)D(t)S(t)[\Theta(t)dt + dW(t)] \end{aligned}$$

where we define

$$\Theta(t) = \frac{\alpha(t) - R(t)}{\sigma(t)}$$

which is the market price of risk

## Risk-Neutral Measure

If we introduce the measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  and let  $\Theta(t)$  be our adapted process in the Girsanov Theorem, we have

$$d(D(t)S(t)) = \sigma(t)D(t)S(t)d\tilde{W}(t)$$

Note that under this measure, the discounted stock process is now a martingale. As such we call this measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  the **risk-neutral measure**. To see this just integrate both sides to get

$$D(t)S(t) = S(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(u)D(u)S(u)d\tilde{W}(u)$$

and then take the expectation of each side

## Portfolio Process Value under Risk-Neutral

Start with initial capital  $X_0$  and adjust your portfolio at each time  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . The change of value of your portfolio at each time is given as

$$\begin{aligned} dX(t) &= \Delta(t)dS(t) + R(t)(X(t) - \Delta(t)S(t))dt \\ &= R(t)X(t)dt + \Delta(t)(\alpha(t) - R(t))S(t)dt + \Delta(t)\sigma(t)S(t)dW(t) \\ &= R(t)X(t)dt + \Delta(t)\sigma(t)S(t)[\Theta(t)dt + dW(t)] \end{aligned}$$

Which leads us to

$$\begin{aligned} d(D(t)X(t)) &= \Delta(t)\sigma(t)D(t)S(t)[\Theta(t)dt + dW(t)] \\ &= \Delta(t)d(D(t)S(t)) \\ &= \Delta(t)\sigma(t)D(t)S(t)d\tilde{W}(t) \end{aligned}$$

## Pricing Under the Measure

Let  $V(T)$  be an  $\mathcal{F}(T)$ -measurable random variable. We need to know the value of  $X(0)$  and the process  $\Delta(t)$  in order to have  $X(T) = V(T)$  almost surely. Once we have this property satisfied, because  $D(t)X(t)$  is a martingale under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  we also have

$$D(t)X(t) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D(T)X(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)]$$

This leads us to the **risk-neutral pricing formula** for the continuous time model

$$V(t) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[e^{-\int_t^T R(u)du} V(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)], 0 \leq t \leq T$$

**Theorem 5.3.1: (Martingale representation, one dimension)**

Let  $W(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a Brownian motion on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , be the filtration generated by this Brownian motion. Let  $M(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a martingale with respect to this filtration. Then there is an adapted process  $\Gamma(u)$ ,  $0 \leq u \leq T$ , such that

$$M(t) = M(0) + \int_0^t \Gamma(u) dW(u), 0 \leq t \leq T$$

**Corollary 5.3.2:** Let  $W(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a Brownian motion on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a filtration for this Brownian motion. Let  $\Theta(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , be an adapted process. Define

$$Z(t) = e^{-\int_0^t \Theta(u) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Theta^2(u) du}$$

$$\tilde{W}(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t \Theta(u) du$$

and assume that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \Theta^2(u) du \right] < \infty$$

Set  $Z = Z(T)$ . Then  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 1$  and under the probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , the process  $\tilde{W}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$  is a Brownian motion.

Now let  $\tilde{M}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a martingale under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ . Then there is an adapted process  $\tilde{\Gamma}(u), 0 \leq u \leq T$  such that

$$\tilde{M}(t) = \tilde{M}(0) + \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(u) d\tilde{W}(u), 0 \leq t \leq T$$



From previously, we have

$$D(t)V(t) = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)]$$

This discounted option price process is a martingale under the measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  as can be seen

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D(t)V(t)|\mathcal{F}(s)] &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(t)]|\mathcal{F}(s)] \\ &= \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[D(T)V(T)|\mathcal{F}(s)] \\ &= D(s)V(s)\end{aligned}$$

So there is a representation

$$D(t)V(t) = V(0) + \int_0^t \tilde{\Gamma}(u)d\tilde{W}(u), 0 \leq t \leq T$$

But we also have

$$D(t)X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \Delta(u)\sigma(u)D(u)S(u)d\tilde{W}(u), 0 \leq t \leq T$$

So in order to have  $X(t) = V(t)$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$  we set  $X(0) = V(0)$  and

$$\Delta(t)\sigma(t)D(t)S(t) = \tilde{\Gamma}(t)$$

or equivalently

$$\Delta(t) = \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}(t)}{\sigma(t)D(t)S(t)}, 0 \leq t \leq T$$

# Multidimensional Market Model

Assume the existence of  $m$  stocks, each with a stochastic differential

$$dS_i(t) = \alpha_i(t)S_i(t)dt + S_i(t) \sum_{j=1}^d \sigma_{ij}(t)dW_j(t), i = 1, \dots, m$$

In this representation, the  $\sigma_{ij}$ 's denote entries in the covariance matrix of a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion. Note that if  $d = m$  and  $\sigma_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  then each stock price is uncorrelated.

**Definition 5.4.3:** A probability measure  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  is said to be risk-neutral if

1.  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  are equivalent (i.e. for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$  if and only if  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = 0$ )
2. under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , the discounted stock price  $D(t)S_i(t)$  is a martingale for every  $i = 1, \dots, m$

[2]

**Lemma 5.4.5:** Let  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$  be a risk-neutral measure, and let  $X(t)$  be the value of a portfolio. Under  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , the discounted portfolio  $D(t)X(t)$  is a martingale.[2]

**Definition 5.4.6:** An arbitrage is a portfolio value process  $X(t)$  satisfying  $X(0) = 0$  and also satisfying for some time  $T > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(X(T) \geq 0) = 1, \mathbb{P}(X(T) > 0) > 0$$

[2]

**Theorem 5.4.7: (First fundamental theorem of asset pricing)** If a market model has a risk-neutral probability measure, then it does not admit arbitrage.[2]

**Definition 5.4.8:** A market model is complete if every derivative security can be hedged.[2]

**Theorem 5.4.9: (Second fundamental theorem of asset pricing)** Consider a market model that has a risk-neutral probability measure. The model is complete if and only if the risk-neutral probability measure is unique.[2]

- [1] S.E. Shreve. *Stochastic Calculus for Finance I: The Binomial Asset Pricing Model*. Number v. 1 in Springer Finance.
- [2] S.E. Shreve. *Stochastic Calculus for Finance II: Continuous-Time Models*. Number v. 11.