

# Multivariable Stochastic Calculus

Thomas Lonon

Division of Financial Engineering  
Stevens Institute of Technology

March 10, 2016



**Definition 4.6.1:** A  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion is a process

$$W(t) = (W_1(t), \dots, W_d(t))$$

with the following properties.

1. Each  $W_i(t)$  is a one-dimensional Brownian motion
2. If  $i \neq j$ , then the processes  $W_i(t)$  and  $W_j(t)$  are independent
3. **(Information Accumulates)** For  $0 \leq s < t$ , every set in  $\mathcal{F}(s)$  is also in  $\mathcal{F}(t)$
4. **(Adaptivity)** For each  $t \geq 0$ , the random vector  $W(t)$  is  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ -measurable
5. **(Independence of future increments)** For  $0 \leq t < u$ , the vector of increments  $W(u) - W(t)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}(t)$

[1]

Because of the nature of Brownian motion, we have

$$[W_i, W_i](t) = t$$

which can be expressed as

$$dW_i(t)dW_i(t) = dt$$

But, as we shall see, if  $i \neq j$  then by the independence of the Brownian motions

$$dW_i(t)dW_j(t) = 0$$

## 2-dimensional Itô Process

Let  $W(t)$  be a 2-dimensional Brownian motion. Recall that we have the form for an Itô process as

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \Delta(u) dW(u) + \int_0^t \Theta(u) du$$

If we rewrite this process letting  $W(t) = (W_1(t), W_2(t))$  and  $\Delta(t) = (\sigma_1(t), \sigma_2(t))$  where both functions are adapted processes, we have

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \sigma_1(u) dW_1(u) + \int_0^t \sigma_2(u) dW_2(u) + \int_0^t \Theta(u) du$$



Let  $X(t)$  and  $Y(t)$  be Itô processes. This means we now have

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t \sigma_{1,1}(u) dW_1(u) + \int_0^t \sigma_{1,2}(u) dW_2(u) + \int_0^t \Theta_1(u) du$$

$$Y(t) = Y(0) + \int_0^t \sigma_{2,1}(u) dW_1(u) + \int_0^t \sigma_{2,2}(u) dW_2(u) + \int_0^t \Theta_2(u) du$$

where  $\Theta_i$  and  $\sigma_{i,j}$  are adapted process for all  $i$  and  $j$ . We can express this in differential notations as

$$dX(t) = \Theta_1(t)dt + \sigma_{1,1}dW_1(t) + \sigma_{1,2}dW_2(t)$$

$$dY(t) = \Theta_2(t)dt + \sigma_{2,1}dW_1(t) + \sigma_{2,2}dW_2(t)$$

Looking at the quadratic variation for these Itô processes, we have

$$[X, X](t) = \int_0^t (\sigma_{1,1}^2(u) + \sigma_{1,2}^2(u)) du$$

or in differential form

$$dX(t)dX(t) = (\sigma_{1,1}^2(t) + \sigma_{1,2}^2(t))dt$$

Similarly we have

$$dY(t)dY(t) = (\sigma_{2,1}^2(t) + \sigma_{2,2}^2(t))dt$$

$$dX(t)dY(t) = (\sigma_{1,1}(t)\sigma_{2,1}(t) + \sigma_{1,2}(t)\sigma_{2,2}(t)) dt$$

## Two-dimensional Itô formula

**Theorem 4.6.2:** Let  $f(t, x, y)$  be a function whose partial derivatives  $f_t$ ,  $f_x$ ,  $f_y$ ,  $f_{xx}$ ,  $f_{xy}$ ,  $f_{yx}$ , and  $f_{yy}$  are defined and are continuous. Let  $X(t)$  and  $Y(t)$  be Itô processes as discussed. The two-dimensional Itô formula in differential form is

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, X(t), Y(t)) &= f_t(t, X(t), Y(t))dt \\ &+ f_x(t, X(t), Y(t))dX(t) + f_y(t, X(t), Y(t))dY(t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}f_{xx}(t, X(t), Y(t))dX(t)dX(t) \\ &+ f_{xy}(t, X(t), Y(t))dX(t)dY(t) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}f_{yy}(t, X(t), Y(t))dY(t)dY(t) \end{aligned}$$

This form can be written much more succinctly. If we suppress the arguments, we have

$$df(t, X(t), Y(t)) = f_t dt + f_x dX + f_y dY + \frac{1}{2} f_{xx} dX dX \\ + f_{xy} dX dY + \frac{1}{2} f_{yy} dY dY$$

If you compare this form to equation (4.6.10) from your book, you can see how much simpler the differential form is to express compared to the integral form.



## Itô Product Rule

**Corollary 4.6.3:** Let  $X(t)$  and  $Y(t)$  be Itô processes. Then

$$d(X(t)Y(t)) = X(t)dY(t) + Y(t)dX(t) + dX(t)dY(t)$$

[1] Proof: Use the differential form from the previous slide, letting  $f(t, x, y) = xy$ , so  $f_t = 0$ ,  $f_x = y$ ,  $f_y = x$  and all second order partial derivatives are 0 except for  $f_{xy} = 1$

## Lévy, one dimension

**Theorem 4.6.4:** Let  $M(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , be a martingale relative to a filtration,  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Assume that  $M(0) = 0$ ,  $M(t)$  has continuous paths, and  $[M, M](t) = t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then  $M(t)$  is a Brownian motion.[1]

Note that this theorem doesn't mention normality. The key to the proof is to use

$$df(t, M(t)) = f_t(t, M(t))dt + f_x(t, M(t))dM(t) + \frac{1}{2}f_{xx}(t, M(t))dt$$

which holds from the condition  $[M, M](t) = t$

## Lévy, Two Dimensions

**Theorem 4.6.5:** Let  $M_1(t)$  and  $M_2(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , be martingales relative to a filtration  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . Assume that for  $i = 1, 2$  we have  $M_i(0) = 0$ ,  $M_i(t)$  has continuous paths, and  $[M_i, M_i](t) = t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If, in addition,  $[M_1, M_2](t) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , then  $M_1(t)$  and  $M_2(t)$  are independent Brownian motions.[1]

To prove this theorem, use the one-dimensional Lévy theorem and an approach similar to its proof as well as the two-dimensional Itô formula.

## Correlated Stock Prices

**Example 4.6.6:** Suppose

$$\frac{dS_1(t)}{S_1(t)} = \alpha_1 dt + \sigma_1 dW_1(t)$$

$$\frac{dS_2(t)}{S_2(t)} = \alpha_2 dt + \sigma_2 [\rho dW_1(t) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dW_2(t)]$$

where  $W_1(t)$  and  $W_2(t)$  are independent Brownian motions,  $\sigma_1 > 0$ ,  $\sigma_2 > 0$  and  $-1 < \rho < 1$  are constants.

Can we express this instead in terms of correlated Brownian Motions?

**Definition 4.7.1:** A Gaussian process  $X(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a stochastic process that has the property that, for arbitrary times  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$ , the random variables  $X(t_1), X(t_2), \dots, X(t_n)$  are jointly normally distributed.[1]

Jointly normally distributed variables are defined through their means and covariances. Let  $m(t) = \mathbb{E}[X(t)]$  and  $c(s, t) = \mathbb{E}[(X(s) - m(s))(X(t) - m(t))]$

- [1] S.E. Shreve. *Stochastic Calculus for Finance II: Continuous-Time Models*. Number v. 11.