

HW 2. Yifu He 10442277

①

Q.3.2.

Proof: suppose  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,

to show  $W^2(t) - t$  is a martingale, is to prove

$$E[W^2(t) - t | F_s] = W^2(s) - s$$

then, we begin to prove.

$$E[W^2(t) - t | F_s] = E[(W_t)^2 - (W_s)^2 - t | F_s]$$

$$= E[(W_t - W_s)^2 - 2W_s(W_t - W_s) + W_s^2 - t | F_s]$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[(W_t - W_s)^2] &= E[W_t^2 - 2W_tW_s + W_s^2] = E[W_t^2] - E[2W_tW_s] \\ &= D[W_t - W_s] \quad \text{since } W_t \text{ and } W_s \text{ are independent.} \\ &= t - s. \end{aligned}$$

$$E[W_s^2 | F_s] = W_s^2$$

$$= W_s \cdot E[W_t - W_s]$$

$$= W_s \cdot 0 = 0$$

and  $W_t - W_s$  are independent of  $W_s$

$$\begin{aligned} E[(W_t - W_s)^2 | F_s] &= E[W_t^2 - 2W_tW_s + W_s^2] \\ &= t - s \end{aligned}$$

in a sum,

$$E[W^2(t) - t | F_s] = t - s - 0 + W_s^2 - t = W^2(s) - s.$$

13.3. Let  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  to prove  $\frac{E[(X-\mu)^4]}{64} = 3$  (2).

$$Q_X(k) = E[e^{Xk}] = e^{\mu k + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k^2}$$

~~$Q_{X-\mu}(k) = E[e^{(X-\mu)k}] = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k^2}$~~

$$Q'_{X-\mu}(k) = E[(X-\mu)e^{(X-\mu)k}] = k\sigma^2 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k^2}$$

$$Q''_{X-\mu}(k) = E[(X-\mu)^2 e^{(X-\mu)k}] = (\sigma^2 + \sigma^2 k^2) \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k^2}$$

$$Q'''_{X-\mu}(k) = E[(X-\mu)^3 e^{(X-\mu)k}] = (2k\sigma^4 + k\sigma^4 + \sigma^4 k^3) e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k^2} = (3k^4 + k^4 + k^3 k^6) \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Q''''_{X-\mu}(k) &= E[(X-\mu)^4 e^{(X-\mu)k}] = (3k^4 + 3k^2 k^6 + 3k^3 k^6 + k^4 k^8) \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k^2} \\ &= (3k^4 + 6k^2 k^6 + k^4 k^8) \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k^2} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k=0$ .

$$E[(X-\mu)^4] = 3 \cdot \sigma^4$$

Q3.4. 布朗运动的其他变种

(i) proved by theorem 3.4.3.

$$[W, W](T) = T \text{ is almost surely true}$$

~~QII~~ there exists a path whose  $Q_I$  isn't infinite suppose it's  $T'$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{for } \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j))^2 \leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} |W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)| \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)|$$

$$\lim_{\|T\|\rightarrow 0} Q_{II} \leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} |W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)| \cdot Q_I$$

Because  $W$  is continuous. so.  $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} |W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)|$  has the limit 0 as  $\|T\|$

$T \leq 0 \cdot T'$ , that's false. We cannot prove the hypothesis.

So.  $Q_I$  approaches  $\infty$ .

(ii). similar as (i)

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{III} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j)|^3 \leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} |W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)| \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (W(t_{j+1}) - W(t_j))^2 \\ &= \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} |W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)| \cdot T \\ &= 0 \cdot T = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  So  $Q_{III}$  approaches 0. for almost every path of  $W$ .

j Q3.6

(i) ~~to prove~~ the Markov property of  $X(t)$

$$E[f(X(t))|F(s)] = E[f(X_t - X_s + X_s) | F(s)]$$

let dummy variable  $w = X_s$ , let  $w$  be a constant

$$\therefore E[f(X_t)] | F(s) = E[f(X_t - X_s + w) | F(s)] \quad (X_t - X_s \text{ is independent of } X_s, \\ X_s \text{ is } s\text{-measurable})$$

$$= E[f(X_t - X_s + w)]$$

$$X_t - X_s = W_t - W_s + (t-s)M \sim N((t-s)M, t-s), \quad \text{Let, } y = X_t - X_s + w$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(t-s)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(X_t - X_s + w) e^{-\frac{(y - (X_t - X_s + w))^2}{2(t-s)}} dy \quad \text{then } X_t - X_s = y - w$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(t-s)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) e^{-\frac{(y - (w - M(t-s)))^2}{2(t-s)}} dy = g(w), \quad w \text{ is } X_s$$

$$\text{rewrite. } T=t-s, \quad p(T, w, y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} e^{-\frac{(y - (w - MT))^2}{2T}}$$

~~(ii) to prove the Markov property of  $S(t)$~~  similar to (i)

$$E[f(S(t))|F(s)] = E[f((S_{t-s} - S_s) + S_s) | F(s)] \quad \text{let, } w = S_s, \quad w \text{ is a constant}$$

$$= E[f((S_{t-s} - S_s) + w)] \quad \begin{aligned} & S_{t-s} \text{ and } S_s \text{ are independent} \\ & S_s \text{ is } s\text{-measurable.} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) next page.

$$\text{(ii). } S(t) = S_0 e^{6Wt + \frac{1}{6}t}$$

$$\text{similar to (i). } \mu = \frac{1}{6}, \quad X(t) = Wt + \frac{1}{6}t.$$

$$S(t) = S_0 e^{6 \cdot X(t)} \quad \text{composite function}$$

in this situation  $f(y)$  in (i) is  $f(g(y))$  in (ii) where  $g(y) = S(t)$ .

$\therefore$  we can use the conclusion of (i).

$$(t, y = X(t) - x_{(s)} + w)$$

$$x_0 - x_{(s)} = y - w.$$

$$E[f(S(t)) | F(s)] = E[f(S_0 e^{6X(t)}) | F(s)].$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} \int_0^\infty f(z) e^{-\frac{1}{2(t-s)} (y-w-\mu(t-s))^2} dz. \quad (\text{conclusion of (i)})$$

$$\text{let } z = S_0 e^{6y} \quad = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} \int_0^\infty f(z) e^{-\frac{1}{2(t-s)z^2} (\ln \frac{z}{S_0} - \frac{1}{6} \ln S_0 - \frac{1}{6}(t-s))^2} dz. \quad (\text{put (i) in})$$

$$dz = S_0 6 \cdot e^{6y} dy \quad ①$$

$$y = \ln \frac{z}{S_0} - \frac{1}{6} \quad ②$$

$$= \frac{1}{6z \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty f(z) e^{-\frac{(\ln \frac{z}{S_0} - \frac{1}{6}(t-s))^2}{26(t-s)}} dz = g(s)$$

reunite.  $T = t-s$ .

$$P(T, x, y) = \frac{1}{6y \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\ln \frac{y}{x} - \frac{1}{6}T)^2}{26T}}$$

Additional Question

a).  $W, B$  are brownian Motion

No.

⑥

$$\therefore \text{let } A = \frac{1}{2}(W+B).$$

according to the definition.

$$(i). A(0) = \frac{1}{2}(W(0)+B(0)) = 0, \quad A(t) = \frac{1}{2}(W(t)+B(t)) > 0.$$

(ii) for each increments.

$$\Delta t_i = A(t_{i+1}) - A(t_i) = \frac{1}{2}(W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}) + \frac{1}{2}(B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}), \quad \Delta t_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2}(W_{t_{i+2}} - W_{t_{i+1}}) + \frac{1}{2}(B_{t_{i+2}} - B_{t_i}) \dots$$

~~every increments of  $B$  and  $W$  are independent~~

~~every increments of  $A$  are independents.~~

$$(iii). E[A(t_{i+1}) - A(t_i)] = \frac{1}{2}E[W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}] + \frac{1}{2}E[B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}] = 0.$$

$$\text{Var}[A(t_{i+1}) - A(t_i)] = \text{Var}\left[\frac{1}{2}(W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i})\right] + \text{Var}\left[\frac{1}{2}(B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i})\right] = \frac{1}{2}(t_{i+1} - t_i), \quad A_t \text{ is not Brownian Motion}$$

Yes

b).  $X, Y$  are martingales.

$$\text{suppose } 0 \leq s \leq t. \quad \text{and } A(s) = \frac{1}{2}(X(s) + Y(s))$$

$$E[X(s)|F_{s_2}] = X(s), \quad E[Y(s)|F_{s_2}] = Y(s).$$

$$\text{thus. } E[A(t)|F_{s_2}] = E\left[\frac{1}{2}X(s)\right|F_{s_2}] + E\left[\frac{1}{2}Y(s)\right|F_{s_2}] = \frac{1}{2}(X(s) + Y(s)) = A(s)$$

c) suppose, ~~Q<sub>II</sub>~~  $Q_{II} = [x, x](T) = A, \quad 0 < A < \infty, \quad$

order.

$Q_I$  is the first variation.

$$Q_{II} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}|^2 \leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} |X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}| \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}|$$

i). if  $X$  is continuous,  $\max_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} |X_{t_{k+1}} - X_{t_k}|$  has the limit 0.

thus,  $A = 0 \cdot Q_I, \quad Q_I = \infty,$

ii). if  $X$  isn't continuous. that's false.

the counter example is  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in [0, 1] \\ 1, & x \in [1, 2]. \end{cases}$

if

Bonus Question. 3.5.

⑥

$$E[e^{-rT}(S_T - K)^+] = S_0 [e^{-rT} S_0 - K | S_T - K \geq 0]$$

$$\because S_T - K \geq 0 \rightarrow S_0 e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T + \sigma W(T)} - K \geq 0$$

$$\underline{\sigma W(T)} > \frac{K}{S_0 e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T}}$$

$$W(T) > \frac{1}{\sigma} (\ln \frac{K}{S_0} - (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T)$$

$$= d,$$

$$E[e^{-rT}(S_T - K)^+] = \int_{d_1}^{+\infty} (S_0 e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T + \sigma X} - K) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} dx$$

$$= \frac{S_0}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{d_1}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(X-d)^2}{2}} dx - \frac{K e^{-rT}}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \int_{d_1}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{(W-d)^2}{2}} dw$$

$$\frac{d_1}{\sqrt{T}} - d = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} [\ln \frac{S_0}{K} + (r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T] = -d_+(T, S_0),$$

$$\frac{-d_1}{\sqrt{T}} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} [\ln \frac{S_0}{K} + (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T] = d_-(T, S_0)$$

$$\therefore E[e^{-rT}(S_T - K)^+] = S_0 N(d_+(T, S_0)) - K e^{-rT} N(d_-(T, S_0))$$