# Booth School of Business, University of Chicago

Business 41202, Spring Quarter 2017, Mr. Ruey S. Tsay

## Midterm

## ChicagoBooth Honor Code:

I pledge my honor that I have not violated the Honor Code during this examination.

Signature: Name: ID:

## Notes:

- Open notes and books. Exam time: 180 minutes.
- You may use a calculator or a PC. However, turn off Internet connection and cell phones. Internet access and any communication are strictly prohibited during the exam.
- The exam has 9 pages and the R output has 8 pages. Please **check** that you have all 17 pages.
- For each question, write your answer in the blank space provided.
- Manage your time carefully and answer as many questions as you can.
- For simplicity, if not specifically given, use 5% Type-I error in hypothesis testings.
- Round your answer to 3 significant digits.

**Problem A**: (36 pts) Answer briefly the following questions. Each question has two points.

- 1. (For Questions 1 to 4) Let  $r_t$  denote the daily log return of an asset. Describe a procedure for testing the existence of serial correlations in  $r_t$ . What is the reference distribution of the test statistic used?
- 2. Let  $\mu_t = E(r_t|F_{t-1})$ , where  $F_{t-1}$  denotes the information available at time t-1. Write the return as  $r_t = \mu_t + a_t$ . Describe the null hypothesis for testing the ARCH effect of  $r_t$ , including definition of the statistics involved in  $H_0$ .

- 3. Let  $a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ , where  $\sigma_t^2 = E(a_t^2 | F_{t-1})$  and  $\epsilon_t$  are iid random variates with mean zero and variance 1. Describe a statistic discussed in class for testing the null hypothesis that  $\epsilon_t$  is normally distribution. What is the reference distribution of the test statistic?
- 4. Suppose that  $\sigma_t^2$  of Question 3 satisfies the model

$$\sigma_t^2 = 0.01 + 0.1a_{t-1}^2 + 0.8\sigma_{t-1}^2.$$

Compute  $E(a_t)$  and  $Var(a_t)$ .

- 5. Provide two reasons that may lead to serial correlations in the observed asset returns even when the underlying *true* returns are serially uncorrelated.
- 6. Provide two methods that can be used to specify the order of an autoregressive time series.
- 7. Describe two statistics that can be used to measure dependence between variables.
- 8. Provide two volatility models that can be used to model the leverage effect of asset returns.

- 9. Describe a nice feature and a drawback of using GARCH models to modeling asset volatility.
- 10. Give two potential impacts on the linear regression analysis if the serial dependence in the residuals is overlooked.
- 11. (Questions 11-12) Suppose that the log return  $r_t$  follows the model

$$r_t = 0.0061 + a_t,$$

$$a_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim t_7^*(0.9)$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = 0.08a_{t-1}^2 + 0.92\sigma_{t-1}^2$$

where  $t_v^*(\xi)$  denotes a skew standardized Student-t distribution with v degrees of freedom and skew parameter  $\xi$ . Suppose further that  $a_{1000} = -0.02$  and  $\sigma_{1000} = 0.15$ . Consider the forecast origin t = 1000. What is the 5-step ahead prediction of the log return? What is the 5-step ahead volatility prediction? That is,  $r_{1000}(5)$  and  $\sigma_{1000}(5)$ ?

- 12. Compute a 95% interval prediction for the cumulative returns  $r_{1001} + \cdots + r_{1005}$  at the forecast origin t = 1000.
- 13. (Questions 13-14) Consider the time series model  $(1-0.7B+0.8B^2)r_t = 0.3+(1-0.5B)a_t$ , where  $a_t \sim_{iid} N(0,1)$ . Is the model stationary? Why?
- 14. Does the model imply existence of business cycles? If yes, what is the average length of the business cycle?

15.	Write down the Airline model for a monthly time series.	Why is the
	model useful in modeling monthly time series?	

- 16. (Questions 16-18) Consider the monthly log returns of IBM stock from January 1961 to December 2016. The sample size is 672. The sample excess kurtosis is 1.83. Do the monthly IBM log returns have heavy tails? Perform a test to justify your answer.
- 17. Using a GARCH(1,1) model with standardized Student-t distribution as the baseline model, we like to explore whether the volatilities of the log returns are lower in the summer (June, July, August). To this end, a summer dummy variable is created for the volatility equation. Write down the fitted model. (See R output).

18. Based on the fitted model, were the volatilities lower in the summer? Why?

**Problem B.** (35 points) Consider the daily log returns of Google stock for a period with 2705 observations. Analysis of the return via R is attached. Use the output to answer the following questions.

- 1. (2 points) Are there serial correlations in the dialy log returns? Why?
- 2. (2 points) Is the expected log return different from zero? Why?
- 3. (3 points) Is the distribution of the log return skew? Perform a test to justify your answer.
- 4. (2 points) A Gaussian GARCH(1,1) model, called m1, is fitted. Write down the fitted model.
- 5. (3 points) A Student-t GARCH(1,1) model, called m2, is also fitted. Write down the fitted model.
- 6. (2 points) Let v denote the degrees of freedom of a standardized Studentt distribution. Based on the fitted model m2, test  $H_0: v = 5$  versus  $H_a: v \neq 5$  and draw your conclusion.
- 7. (3 points) A skew Student-t GARCH(1,1) model, called m3, is fitted. Based on the fit, is the distribution of the standardized residuals symmetric? Perform a test to justify your answer.

8. (4 points) A TGARCH(1,1) model with Student-t distributions is also considered, called m4. Write down the fitted model.

- 9. (2 points) Based on the fitted TGARCH model, is the leverage effect statistically significant? Why?
- 10. (3 points) Based on the prediction of the model m4, compute a 5-step ahead 95% interval forecast for the log return at the forecast origin t = 2705.
- 11. (2 points) Among the fitted models, m1, m2, m3, m4, which model is preferred? Why?
- 12. (2 points) For numerical stability, returns are multiplied by 100, i.e. in percentages. A GARCH-M model is fitted, called m5. Is the risk premium statistically significant? Why?
- 13. (2 points) Let  $a_t = (r_t \text{mean}(r_t)) * 100$  be the residuals of the mean equation for the returns. An IGARCH(1,1) model is fitted to  $a_t$ , called m6. Write down the fitted model.
- 14. (3 points) Based on the fitted IGARCH(1,1) model, compute the 1-step to 3-step ahead volatility forecasts of the log return at forecast origin t = 2705.

**Problem C.** (20 points) Consider the monthly Moody's seasoned Aaa and Baa corporate bond yields from July 1954 to March 2005 with 609 observations.

1. (2 points) A simple linear regression is used to find the relationship between Aaa and Baa bond yields. Write down the fitted model, including  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

2. (2 points) Is the fitted linear regression model adequate? Why?.

3. (3 points) Denote the first-differenced bond yields by dA and dB, respectively. A regression model with time series errors is employed for dB with dA as the regressor. Write down the fitted model, called n3.

4. (3 points) The model n3 contains several insignificant parameter estimates. A modified model, called n4, is used. Write down the modified model.

5. (2 points) Compare models n3 and n4. Provide a justification that the insignificant parameters of n3 can indeed be removed.

6.	(2 points) Model checking indicates several possible outliers. To study the impact of outliers, we tried to handle the largest outlier (in absolute value). The resulting model is called n5. Compare models n4 and n5. Describe a clear impact of the outlier on the fit.
7.	(2 points) Backtest is used to compare models n4 and n5. Based on the results shown, does the outlier have any impact on prediction? Why?
8.	(2 points) A pure time series model is also identified for the dB series (n6). Write down the fitted model.
9.	(2 points) Backtest is also applied to the pure time series model. Based on the result, is dA helpful in predicting dB?

**Problem D**. (9 points) Consider the daily log returns of Coke (KO) from January 4, 2004 to April 27, 2017 for 3351 observations.

1. (3 points) An EGARCH model in the form

$$\ln(\sigma_t^2) = \omega + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \gamma_1 (|\epsilon_{t-1}| - 0.798) + \ln(\sigma_{t-1}^2),$$

is entertained, where  $a_{t-1} = \sigma_{t-1}\epsilon_{t-1}$  and  $\epsilon_t \sim_{iid} N(0,1)$ . Write down the fitted model.

2. (2 points) Based on the fitted model, is the leverage effect significant? Why?

3. (4 points) Based on the fitted model, compute the ratio

$$\frac{\sigma_t^2(\epsilon_{t-1} = -3)}{\sigma_t^2(\epsilon_{t-1} = 3)} = ?$$

What is the implication of the ratio?

## R output: edited to shorten the output

```
##### Problem A #########
> da <- read.table("m-ibm6116.txt",header=T)</pre>
> require(rugarch)
> ibm <- log(da$RET+1)</pre>
> summer <- c(0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,0)
> Sum <- rep(summer,56)
> Sum <- as.matrix(Sum)</pre>
> spec7 <- ugarchspec(variance.model=list(model="sGARCH",garchOrder=c(1,1),
external.regressors=Sum), mean.model=list(armaOrder=c(0,0)), distribution.model="std")
> k3 <- ugarchfit(data=ibm,spec=spec7)</pre>
> k3
          GARCH Model Fit
*----*
Conditional Variance Dynamics
_____
GARCH Model : sGARCH(1,1)
Mean Model : ARFIMA(0,0,0)
Distribution : std
Optimal Parameters
      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
mu 0.008394 0.002338 3.59067 0.000330
omega 0.000302 0.000190 1.58972 0.111897
alpha10.1001710.0341042.937220.003312beta10.8272670.05859114.119420.000000
summer 0.000133 0.000353 0.37792 0.705492
shape 9.070224 2.832075 3.20268 0.001362
LogLikelihood: 887.9616
Information Criteria
-----
Akaike
          -2.6249
Bayes
          -2.5846
Shibata -2.6250
Hannan-Quinn -2.6093
### Problem B #######
> getSymbols("GOOG",from="XXXX'',to=''XXXX'')
> rtn <- diff(log(as.numeric(GOOG[,6])))</pre>
> Box.test(rtn,lag=10,type="Ljung")
       Box-Ljung test
data: rtn
X-squared = 9.3271, df = 10, p-value = 0.5014
```

```
> basicStats(rtn)
                    rtn
            2705.000000
nobs
NAs
               0.000000
               0.000516
Mean
. . .
SE Mean
               0.000354
LCL Mean
              -0.000177
UCL Mean
               0.001210
. . . .
Skewness
               0.612749
Kurtosis
              11.758266
> m1 <- garchFit(~garch(1,1),data=rtn,trace=F)</pre>
> summary(m1)
Title: GARCH Modelling
Call: garchFit(formula = ~garch(1, 1), data = rtn, trace = F)
Mean and Variance Equation:
 data ~ garch(1, 1) [data = rtn]
Conditional Distribution: norm
Error Analysis:
        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
       6.891e-04
                 2.963e-04
                                2.326
                                          0.02 *
mu
omega 1.085e-05
                   2.115e-06 5.128 2.94e-07 ***
alpha1 8.124e-02
                 1.508e-02 5.387 7.18e-08 ***
beta1 8.904e-01
                   1.728e-02 51.528 < 2e-16 ***
Standardised Residuals Tests:
                                Statistic p-Value
 Jarque-Bera Test
                    R
                         Chi^2 16500.32 0
 Ljung-Box Test
                         Q(10)
                                4.986361 0.8920873
                    R
 Ljung-Box Test
                         Q(20)
                                13.17736 0.8696453
                    R
 Ljung-Box Test
                    R^2 Q(10)
                                3.296533 0.9735597
 Ljung-Box Test
                    R^2 Q(20)
                                6.529325 0.9979671
Information Criterion Statistics:
                BIC
                          SIC
                                   HQIC
-5.338750 -5.330021 -5.338754 -5.335594
> m2 <- garchFit(~garch(1,1),data=rtn,trace=F,cond.dist="std")</pre>
> summary(m2)
Title: GARCH Modelling
Call: garchFit(formula=~garch(1,1), data=rtn, cond.dist="std",trace = F)
```

```
data ~ garch(1, 1) [data = rtn]
Conditional Distribution: std
Error Analysis:
       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
       7.419e-04
                               3.094 0.00198 **
                  2.398e-04
omega 1.976e-06
                  8.012e-07
                               2.466 0.01367 *
alpha1 3.182e-02
                  6.459e-03
                               4.927 8.35e-07 ***
beta1 9.618e-01
                  7.395e-03 130.069 < 2e-16 ***
shape 3.856e+00
                  2.911e-01
                              13.247 < 2e-16 ***
Standardised Residuals Tests:
                               Statistic p-Value
Ljung-Box Test
                        Q(10)
                               3.719213 0.9591261
Ljung-Box Test
                   R
                        Q(20)
                               11.02247 0.9456378
Ljung-Box Test
                   R^2 Q(10)
                               1.448737 0.9990863
                   R^2 Q(20)
Ljung-Box Test
                               3.600967 0.9999806
Information Criterion Statistics:
               BIC
                         SIC
      AIC
                                  HQIC
-5.565413 -5.554502 -5.565420 -5.561468
> m3 <- garchFit(~garch(1,1),data=rtn,trace=F,cond.dist="sstd")</pre>
> summary(m3)
Title: GARCH Modelling
Call: garchFit(formula=~garch(1,1), data=rtn, cond.dist="sstd", trace=F)
Mean and Variance Equation: data ~ garch(1, 1) [data = rtn]
Conditional Distribution: sstd
Error Analysis:
       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
      8.717e-04
                  2.774e-04
                               3.142 0.00168 **
mu
omega 2.021e-06
                  8.231e-07
                               2.455 0.01409 *
                               4.854 1.21e-06 ***
alpha1 3.252e-02
                  6.700e-03
beta1 9.612e-01
                  7.622e-03 126.111 < 2e-16 ***
      1.024e+00
                  2.631e-02
                              38.931 < 2e-16 ***
skew
shape 3.834e+00
                  2.895e-01
                              13.242 < 2e-16 ***
Standardised Residuals Tests:
                               Statistic p-Value
 Ljung-Box Test
                    R
                         Q(10) 3.746294 0.9580672
Ljung-Box Test
                   R
                        Q(15) 4.57212
                                         0.9951711
```

Mean and Variance Equation:

```
Ljung-Box Test
                   R^2 Q(10)
                                1.479565 0.9989976
Ljung-Box Test
                   R^2 Q(20)
                                3.658553 0.9999778
Information Criterion Statistics:
      ATC
               BIC
                          SIC
                                   HQIC
-5.564992 -5.551899 -5.565002 -5.560257
> m4 <- garchFit(~garch(1,1),data=rtn,trace=F,cond.dist="std",leverage=T)</pre>
> summary(m4)
Title: GARCH Modelling
Call: garchFit(formula = ~garch(1, 1), data = rtn, cond.dist = "std",
   leverage = T, trace = F)
Mean and Variance Equation: data ~ garch(1, 1)[data = rtn]
Conditional Distribution: std
Error Analysis:
       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
      6.774e-04
                 2.380e-04
                                2.846 0.00443 **
mu
omega 2.481e-06 9.704e-07
                                2.557 0.01057 *
                                4.541 5.60e-06 ***
alpha1 2.875e-02
                  6.331e-03
                                4.310 1.63e-05 ***
gamma1 4.412e-01
                  1.024e-01
beta1
      9.583e-01
                  8.438e-03 113.570 < 2e-16 ***
                               13.244 < 2e-16 ***
shape 3.892e+00
                   2.938e-01
Standardised Residuals Tests:
                                Statistic p-Value
Ljung-Box Test
                   R
                         Q(10)
                                4.404431 0.9272639
                                11.98351 0.9166422
Ljung-Box Test
                        Q(20)
                   R
Ljung-Box Test
                   R^2 Q(10)
                                2.453224 0.99154
Ljung-Box Test
                    R<sup>2</sup> Q(20) 4.124367 0.9999403
Information Criterion Statistics:
               BIC
                          SIC
                                   HQIC
-5.575489 -5.562396 -5.575499 -5.570755
> predict(m4,5)
 meanForecast meanError standardDeviation
1 0.0006773902 0.01019445
                                 0.01019445
2 0.0006773902 0.01025011
                                 0.01025011
3 0.0006773902 0.01030477
                                 0.01030477
4 0.0006773902 0.01035843
                                 0.01035843
5 0.0006773902 0.01041113
                                 0.01041113
> m5 <- garchM(rtn*100) #### Percentage return
```

Maximized log-likehood: -5232.231

```
Coefficient(s):
       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
mu
     0.04916860 0.05390606 0.91212
                                       0.36171
gamma 0.00798344 0.01821644 0.43825
                                        0.66120
omega 0.10894921 0.02149213 5.06926 3.9937e-07 ***
alpha 0.08174536  0.01529616  5.34417  9.0830e-08 ***
beta 0.88982594 0.01756281 50.66534 < 2.22e-16 ***
> at <- (rtn-mean(rtn))*100
> m6 <- Igarch(at,include.mean=F,volcnt=T)</pre>
Estimates: 0.07984549 0.8783669
Maximized log-likehood: 5241.394
Coefficient(s):
      Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
beta 0.8783669 0.0160542 54.71260 < 2.22e-16 ***
___
> at [2705]
[1] -0.1438779
> m6$volatility[2705]
[1] 0.9892536
##### Problem C ######
> da <- read.table("m-bnd.txt",header=T)</pre>
> Aaa <- da$Aaa; Baa <- da$Baa</pre>
> n1 <- lm(Baa~Aaa)</pre>
> summary(n1)
Call: lm(formula = Baa ~ Aaa)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                                 2.982 0.00298 **
(Intercept) 0.100177
                      0.033594
Aaa
           1.114989
                      0.004254 262.080 < 2e-16 ***
Residual standard error: 0.2828 on 607 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9912,
                               Adjusted R-squared: 0.9912
F-statistic: 6.869e+04 on 1 and 607 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
> Box.test(n1$residuals,lag=12,type="Ljung")
       Box-Ljung test
data: m1$residuals
X-squared = 2608.2, df = 12, p-value < 2.2e-16
> dA <- diff(Aaa); dB <- diff(Baa)</pre>
> n2 <- lm(dB^-1+dA)
> summary(n2)
```

```
Call: lm(formula = dB ~ -1 + dA)
Coefficients:
  Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
dA 0.81739
              0.01663
                         49.17
                                 <2e-16 ***
Residual standard error: 0.09082 on 607 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7993,
                               Adjusted R-squared: 0.799
> Box.test(n2$residuals,lag=12,type="Ljung")
        Box-Ljung test
data: m2$residuals
X-squared = 63.481, df = 12, p-value = 5.197e-09
> acf(n2$residuals)
> pacf(n2$residuals)
> n3 <- arima(dB,order=c(0,0,5),xreg=dA,include.mean=F)</pre>
> n3
Call: arima(x = dB, order = c(0, 0, 5), xreg = dA, include.mean = F)
Coefficients:
                                                   dA
         ma1
                 ma2
                          ma3
                                  ma4
                                          ma5
      0.2942 0.0278 -0.0008 0.0060 0.0869 0.7938
s.e. 0.0419 0.0442
                      0.0419 0.0436 0.0407 0.0188
sigma^2 estimated as 0.007513: log likelihood = 624.12, aic = -1234.23
> n4 <-arima(dB,order=c(0,0,1),seasonal=list(order=c(0,0,1),period=5),xreg=dA,</pre>
include.mean=F)
> n4
Call: arima(x=dB, order=c(0,0,1), seasonal=list(order = c(0,0,1), period=5),
   xreg = dA, include.mean = F)
Coefficients:
         ma1
                sma1
                          dA
      0.2889 0.0932 0.7980
s.e. 0.0383 0.0398 0.0178
sigma^2 estimated as 0.007509:
                               log likelihood = 624.29, aic = -1240.58
> tsdiag(n4,gof=24)
> which.max(abs(n4$residuals))
[1] 312
> length(dB)
[1] 608
> I312 < rep(0,608)
> I312[312] <- 1
> X <- cbind(dA,I312)
> n5 <- arima(dB,order=c(0,0,1),seasonal=list(order=c(0,0,1),period=5),
xreg=X,include.mean=F)
> n5
```

```
Call:arima(x=dB, order=c(0,0,1), seasonal=list(order=c(0,0,1), period=5),
    xreg = X, include.mean = F)
Coefficients:
                          dA
                                 I312
         ma1
                sma1
      0.3079 0.1410 0.8060 -0.4873
s.e. 0.0409 0.0407 0.0174 0.0807
sigma^2 estimated as 0.007091: log likelihood = 641.66, aic = -1273.32
> tsdiag(n5,gof=24)
> source("backtest.R")
> backtest(n4,dB,orig=550,xre=dA,include.mean=F)
[1] "RMSE of out-of-sample forecasts"
[1] 0.09120028
[1] "Mean absolute error of out-of-sample forecasts"
[1] 0.06315827
> backtest(n5,dB,orig=550,xre=X,include.mean=F)
[1] "RMSE of out-of-sample forecasts"
[1] 0.09120028
[1] "Mean absolute error of out-of-sample forecasts"
[1] 0.06315827
> require(forecast)
> auto.arima(dB)
Series: dB
ARIMA(1,0,1) with zero mean
> n6 <- arima(dB,order=c(1,0,1),include.mean=F)</pre>
> n6
Call:
arima(x = dB, order = c(1, 0, 1), include.mean = F)
Coefficients:
         ar1
                 ma1
      0.1939 0.3229
s.e. 0.0782 0.0744
sigma^2 estimated as 0.03212: log likelihood = 182.35, aic = -358.71
> backtest(n6,dB,orig=550,include.mean=F)
[1] "RMSE of out-of-sample forecasts"
[1] 0.208794
[1] "Mean absolute error of out-of-sample forecasts"
[1] 0.1574626
##### Problem D ######
> getSymbols("KO",from="2004-01-03")
> ko <- diff(log(as.numeric(KO[,6])))</pre>
```

```
> spec3 <- ugarchspec(variance.model=list(model="eGARCH"),
  mean.model=list(armaOrder=c(0,0))</pre>
```

> kk <- ugarchfit(data=ko,spec=spec3)</pre>

> kk

\*----\*

\* GARCH Model Fit \*

\*----\*

#### Conditional Variance Dynamics

\_\_\_\_\_

GARCH Model : eGARCH(1,1)
Mean Model : ARFIMA(0,0,0)

Distribution : norm

#### Optimal Parameters

-----

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
mu 0.000213 0.000154 1.3797 0.16769
omega -0.314077 0.025828 -12.1603 0.00000
alpha1 -0.084652 0.011678 -7.2491 0.00000
beta1 0.965124 0.002714 355.5522 0.00000
gamma1 0.178557 0.020001 8.9273 0.00000

LogLikelihood: 10827.23

#### Information Criteria

-----

Akaike -6.4591 Bayes -6.4500 Shibata -6.4591 Hannan-Quinn -6.4558