Math 151A – Applied Numerical Methods I

University of California, Los Angeles

Duc Vu

Fall 2021

This is math 151A – Applied Numerical Methods taught by Professor Jiang. We meet weekly on MWF from 1:00 pm to 1:50 pm for lecture. The recommended textbook for the class is *Numerical Analysis* 10^{th} by *Burden*, *Faires* and *Burden*. Other course notes can be found at my blog site. Please let me know through my email if you spot any typos in the note.

Contents

1	1.1 Calculus Review	3
2	Lec 2: Sep 27, 2021 2.1 Errors and Convergence Rate	5
3	Lec 3: Sep 29, 2021 3.1 Lec 2 (Cont'd)	7 7 7
4	Lec 4: Oct 1, 2021 4.1 Bisection Method (Cont'd)	9
5	Lec 5: Oct 4, 2021 5.1 Fixed Point Iteration (Cont'd)	11 11
6	Lec 6: Oct 6, 2021 6.1 Newton's Method 6.2 Secant Method	
	Lec 7: Oct 8, 2021 7.1 Secant Method (Cont'd)	

List of Theorems

1.2	Taylor
4.3	Convergence Order of B.M
4.9	Existence of a Fixed Point
5.3	FPI Convergence with Lipschitz Continuity
	FPI Convergence with Bounded Derivative
7.2	Newton Convergence
List	of Definitions
2.1	Error
2.6	Order of Convergence
2.8	Big O Notation
4.5	Fixed Point
6.1	Newton's Method
6.5	Secant Method

$\S1$ Lec 1: Sep 24, 2021

§1.1 Calculus Review

• Intermediate Value Theorem (IVT): For continuous function C([a,b]), let $f \in C([a,b])$. Let $k \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. k is strictly between f(a) and f(b). Then, \exists some $c \in (a,b)$ s.t. f(c) = k.

Question 1.1. Why is IVT useful?

It guarantees the existence of solution to some nonlinear equations.

Example 1.1

Let $f(x) = 4x^2 - e^x$. IVT tells us $\exists x^*$ s.t. $f(x^*) = 0$.


$$f(0) = 0 - e^{0} = -1 < 0$$
$$f(1) = 4 - e > 0$$

With k = 0, by IVT, $\exists c \in (0, 1)$ s.t. f(c) = 0.

• Mean Value Theorem (MVT): If $f \in C([a,b])$ and f is differentiable in (a,b), then $\exists c \in (a,b)$ s.t.

$$f'(c) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}$$

in which f'(c) is essentially the slope of the tangent line at (c, f(c)).



• Taylor's Theorem: Apply for a differentiable function, $f \in C^m([a,b]) - f$ is m times continuously differentiable.

Theorem 1.2 (Taylor)

Let $f \in C^n([a,b])$. Let $x_0 \in [a,b]$. Assume $f^{(n+1)}$ exists on [a,b]. Then $\forall x \in [a,b]$, $\exists \xi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $x_0 < \xi < x$ or $x < \xi < x_0$. Then, we can express f as

$$f(x) = P_n(x) + R_n(x)$$

where

$$P_n(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + f''(x_0)\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{f^{(n)}(x_0)}{n!}(x - x_0)^n$$

and

$$R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0)^{n+1}$$

Example 1.3

$$f(x) = \cos(x), x_0 = 0$$

$$f(x) = \cos(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x + \frac{f''(0)}{2!}x^2 + \frac{f'''(\xi(x))}{3!}x^3$$
$$= 1 + 0 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3\sin(\xi(x))$$

Note: Saying $f \in C^1$ is different from saying f'(x) exists.

Example 1.4

Consider

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), & x \neq 0\\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Have

$$f'(0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} h \sin\left(\frac{1}{h}\right)$$
$$= 0$$

But f'(x) is not continuous. Specifically,

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} 2x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - \cos\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), & x \neq 0\\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Take sequence $\frac{1}{2k\pi}$, $f' \to -1$ and $\frac{1}{(2k+1)\pi}$, $f' \to 1$. Thus, the function is not continuous as it converges to two different values.

$\S2$ Lec 2: Sep 27, 2021

§2.1 Errors and Convergence Rate

Fact 2.1. 1. Computers have finite memory

- 2. Only a subset of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} can be exactly represented/stored.
- 3. Working with floating numbers instead of reals produces round-off error

Definition 2.1 (Error) — Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$, \tilde{p} approximate to p. We define absolute error as

$$e_{\text{abs}} \coloneqq |p - \tilde{p}|$$

We define $\underline{\text{relative error}}$ as

$$e_{\mathrm{rel}} \coloneqq \left| \frac{p - \tilde{p}}{p} \right|$$

Example 2.2 • p = 1, $\tilde{p} = 0.9$. In this case,

$$e_{\rm abs} = 0.1$$

$$e_{\rm rel} = 0.1$$

• $p = 1000, \, \tilde{p} = 900$

$$e_{\rm abs} = 100$$

$$e_{\rm rel} = 0.1$$

Finite Digit Arithmetic

Example 2.3 • π is rounded/chopped by computers

• $x = \frac{5}{7} = 0.\overline{714285}, y = \frac{1}{3} = 0.\overline{3}$

Let fl(x) is the floating point approx. to x. For example, we assume 5 digit rounding.

$$fl(x) = 0.71428, \qquad fl(y) = 0.33333$$

Say if we want to add x + y on computer

$$fl(fl(x) + fl(y)) = fl(1.04761) = 1.0476$$

Example 2.4

 $f(x) = x^3 - 6.1x^2 + 3.2x + 1.5$ where x = 4.71. The exact value of f(x) at x = 4.71 is -14.263899. Let's assume 3 digit rounding

$$fl(x^2) = fl(4.71 \cdot 4.71) = fl(22.1841) = 22.2$$
$$fl(x^3) = 105$$
$$fl(3.2x) = 15.1$$
$$fl(f(4.71)) = -13.4$$

The relative error here is approximately 6% which is huge. Our example has 7 floating point operations (FLOPs). In order to reduce the floating point error, we want to nest the function

$$f(x) = ((x - 6.1)x + 3.2)x + 1.5 - 5$$
 FLOPs

So fl(f(4.71)) = -14.3 and the $e_{rel} = 0.25\%$.

Remark 2.5. Every operation introduces error.

Order of convergence for Sequences:

Definition 2.6 (Order of Convergence) — For a convergent sequence $(p_n) = (p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots)$. Let $p_n \to p$ as $n \to \infty$. Assume $p_n \neq p$. Then, if $\exists \lambda, \alpha$ with $0 < \lambda < \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$ s.t.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1} - p|}{|p_n - p|^{\alpha}} = \lambda$$

Then we say p_n converges to p with order α .

Example 2.7

 $p_1 = 1, p_2 = \frac{1}{5}, \dots, p_n = \frac{1}{5}p_{n-1}$ or $p_n = \frac{1}{5^{n-1}}$ where $p_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

$$\frac{|p_{n+1} - 0|}{|p_n - 0|^1} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^n}{\left(\frac{1}{5}\right)^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{5}$$

So p_n converges with $\alpha = 1$

Problem 2.1. Test with $\alpha = 2$.

Definition 2.8 (Big O Notation) — We have
$$a(t) = \mathcal{O}(b(t))$$
 where a is on the order of $b \iff \exists C > 0 \quad \ni \quad |a(t)| \leq Cb(t) \quad \text{for } t \to 0 \text{ or } t \to \infty$

In practice, the definition is equivalent to

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \frac{|a(t)|}{b(t)}$$
 is bounded by a positive number

$\S{3}$ Lec 3: Sep 29, 2021

§3.1 Lec 2 (Cont'd)

Example 3.1

The Taylor's theorem for cos(h) about 0 is

$$\cos(h) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}h^2 + \frac{1}{24}h^4\cos(\xi(h))$$
 with some $0 < \xi(h) < h$

Denote $f(h) = \cos(h) + \frac{1}{2}h^2 - 1 = \frac{1}{24}h^4\cos(\xi(h))$

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{|f(h)|}{h^4} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{24} \left| \cos \left(\xi(h) \right) \right| \le \frac{1}{24}$$

Thus y definition of big \mathcal{O} notation,

$$f(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^4)$$

§3.2 Root Finding with Bisection

The goal is to find a root, or a zero, of a function f, i.e., find p s.t. f(p) = 0. First, let's assume

- 1. $f \in C([a,b])$
- 2. f(a)f(b) < 0

Then, $\exists p \text{ s.t. } f(p) = 0 \text{ (by IVT)}.$

Example 3.2

Consider:

$$f(x) = \sqrt{x} - \cos x,$$
 $[a, b] = [0, 1]$

Then,

$$f(0) = -1,$$
 $f(1) = 1 - \cos 1 > 0$

Therefore, by IVT, $\exists p \in (0,1) \text{ s.t. } \sqrt{p} - \cos p = 0.$

Bisection Method (B.M): is an algorithm to approximate p s.t. f(p) = 0 on an interval [a, b]. Algorithm 1: Bisection method (given $f(x) \in C([a, b])$, with f(a)f(b) < 0)

- 1. Set $a_1 = a$, $b_1 = b$
- 2. Set $p_1 = \frac{a_1 + b_1}{2}$
- 3. if $f(p_1) == 0$ then we are done!
- 4. else if $f(p_1)$ has same sign as $f(a_1)$ then $p \in (p_1, b_1)$
 - Set $a_2 = p_1, b_2 = b_1$
- 5. else if $f(p_1)$ has same sign as $f(b_1)$ then $p \in (a_1, p_1)$
 - Set $a_2 = a_1, b_2 = p_1$
- 6. end

- 7. Set $p_2 = \frac{a_2 + b_2}{2}$
- 8. Reset the entire if/else process.

Remark 3.3. B.M. is similar to binary search in computer algorithms. If there exists multiple roots, e.g., $\{p,q,r\} \in [a,b]$, then the B.M. is guaranteed to find exactly one root, not all of them (but no guarantee exists for which one the method will find).

Stopping Criteria: We need a sequence $(p_1, p_2, ...)$ and need specified tolerance ε . Choices for when to stop an algorithm:

- $|p_n p_{n-1}| < \varepsilon$ absolute difference between successive elements of the sequence
- $\frac{|p_n-p_{n-1}|}{|p_n|}<\varepsilon$ (assume $p_n\neq 0$) relative difference
- $|f(p_n)| < \varepsilon$ sometimes called a residual (how close are we to the answer).

$\S4$ Lec 4: Oct 1, 2021

§4.1 Bisection Method (Cont'd)

Remark 4.1. B.M. is a global method, $f \in C([a,b])$ as long as the assumptions are satisfied, f(a)f(b) < 0, the B.M. will converge. In particular, it will converge to some point p s.t. f(p) = 0. Here "global" means the algorithm doesn't need a good initial guess p_0 unlike some "local" methods that we will cover later.

Example 4.2

The B.M. won't work for functions like $f(x) = x^2$ even though it has a root at p = 0 because we can't find any a, b that satisfies f(a)f(b) < 0.

Theorem 4.3 (Convergence Order of B.M.)

The sequence provided by B.M. satisfies

$$|p_n - p| \le \frac{b - a}{2^n}$$

which approaches to 0 as $n \to \infty$.

This further tells us that the error bound of B.M. converges linearly. Recall from previous lectures that linear convergence for a convergent sequence (p_n) means that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1} - p|}{|p_n - p|^1} = \lambda \quad \text{for some finite positive } \lambda$$

and

$$p_n = \frac{b-a}{2^n}, \quad p = 0$$

We can easily show that $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.

Remark 4.4. The B.M. converges slowly compared to other methods. We will soon see that Newton's method has quadratic order of convergence.

§4.2 Fixed Points

Definition 4.5 (Fixed Point) — Let function g be $g:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in [a,b]$ s.t. g(p) = p. Then p is a fixed point of g.

Theorem 4.6

Let p be a fixed point of g, then p is also a root of G(x) := g(x) - x.

Proof. Obvious by definition.

Given a root-finding problem f(p) = 0, we can define functions g with a fixed point at p in a number of ways. For example, as g(x) = x - f(x) or as g(x) = x + 3f(x).

A fixed point for g just corresponds to the intersection between y = g(x) and y = x.

Fixed Point Iteration (F.P.I): the F.P.I method is quite simple. For $g \in C([a, b])$ and $p_0 \in [a, b]$ we set $p_{n+1} = g(p_n)$. We also need $g(x) \in [a, b]$, otherwise at some point of the algorithm we won't be able to proceed to evaluate g. Also note that the initial guess p_0 is arbitrary.

$$p_1 = g(p_0), \quad p_2 = g(p_1), \dots, p_{n+1} = g(p_n)$$

We use the same stopping criteria as in B.M.

Example 4.7 (F.P.I Failure Case)

To solve $x^2-7=0$, it is equivalent to $x=\frac{7}{x}$. We want to use F.P.I to find $p=\sqrt{7}\approx 2.64575\ldots$, so we can set

$$g_1(x) = \frac{7}{x}$$

then the goal is to find p s.t. $p = g_1(p)$. Another option is to use

$$g_2(x) \coloneqq \frac{x + \frac{7}{x}}{2} = x$$

Let $p_0 = 3$ we can show that

- $g_1(x)$: $p_0 = 3$, $p_1 = \frac{7}{3}$, $p_2 = 3$,..., oscillates between 2 numbers
- $g_2(x)$: $p_0 = 3$, $p_1 = 2.666...$, $p_2 = 2.645833...$

Example 4.8

 $x^3 + 4x^2 - 10 = 0$ has a unique root in [1, 2], i.e. p = 1.365230013.

a)
$$x = g_1(x) = x - x^3 - 4x^2 + 10$$
 - does not converge

b)
$$x = g_2(x) = \left(\frac{10}{x} - 4x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 – does not converge

c)
$$x = g_3(x) = \frac{1}{2}(10 - x^3)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 - converge

d)
$$x = g_4(x) = \left(\frac{10}{x+4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 – converge

e)
$$x = g_5(x) = x - \frac{x^3 + 4x^2 - 10}{3x^2 + 8x}$$
 - converge

We can see that the choice of g(x) is critical to determine whether the algorithm converges. Before delving into that problem, let's first establish a theorem about the existence of a fixed point.

Theorem 4.9 (Existence of a Fixed Point)

Let $g \in C([a,b])$ with $a \leq g(x) \leq b$. Then, $\forall x \in [a,b], \exists$ at least one fixed point p s.t. g(p) = p.

$\S 5$ Lec 5: Oct 4, 2021

§5.1 Fixed Point Iteration (Cont'd)

Recall

Theorem 5.1

Let $g \in C[a,b]$ with $a \leq g(x) \leq b \, \forall x \in [a,b]$, then \exists at least one fixed point p s.t. g(p) = p.

Let's prove it.

Proof. First, we need to check if an end point is a fixed point, i.e., if

$$g(a) = a$$
 or $g(b) = b$

then we're done. Otherwise, let's define G(x) := g(x) - x. Our goal is to use IVT to prove that G has a root. Then since $g \in [a, b]$, we know

$$G(a) = g(a) - a > 0, \quad G(b) = g(b) - b < 0$$

$$\implies G(a)G(b) < 0$$

Also, $G \in C([a, b])$. Therefore, by IVT, $\exists p \text{ s.t. } G(p) = 0, \text{ i.e., } \exists p \in [a, b] \text{ s.t. } g(p) = p.$

Remark 5.2. The theorem is just a sufficient condition for existence.

Theorem 5.3 (FPI Convergence with Lipschitz Continuity)

Assume $g \in C([a, b]), g \in [a, b]$ (*) and $\exists k \in (0, 1)$ s.t.

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \le k |x - y|, \quad \forall x, y \in [a, b] \tag{**}$$

Then,

- 1. \exists unique p s.t. g(p) = p.
- 2. The F.P.I $(p_{n+1} = g(p_n))$ will converge to p.
- 3. Error estimate: $|p_n p| < k^n \max \{b p_0, p_0 a\}$.

Proof. 1. Let's prove by contradiction. Assume \exists two different fixed points p and q, then

$$|g(p) - g(q)| = |p - q|$$

But by (**) we know

$$|g(p) - g(q)| \le k |p - q|$$

This implies that

$$|p-q| \le k |p-q|$$

which cannot be true since $p \neq q$ and $k \in (0,1)$ – contradiction!

2. + 3. By (**) we know that differences of g values are bounded. So let's try to convert this to something with g values. We know F.P.I $g(p_n) = p_{n+1}$ and also let p be the solution g(p) = p. So

$$|p_n - p| = |g(p_{n-1}) - g(p)|$$

By (**), we know

$$|p_n - p| = |g(p_{n-1}) - g(p)| \le k |p_{n-1} - p|$$

Similarly,

$$k |p_{n-1} - p| = k |g(p_{n-2}) - g(p)| \le k^2 |p_{n-2} - p|$$

Recursively apply this until n = 0. Then,

$$|p_n - p| \le k^n |p_0 - p|$$

Notice that

$$|p - p_0| \le \max\{b - p_0, p_0 - a\}$$

Thus,

$$|p_n - p| \le k^n \max\{b - p_0, p_0 - a\}$$

Since $k \in (0,1)$, this goes to 0.

Remark 5.4. Speed of convergence depends on k. The closer to 0 k is, the faster it converges.

In practice, to use the theorem, it is sometimes more useful to look at the derivatives instead of Lipschitz condition.

Theorem 5.5 (FPI Convergence with Bounded Derivative)

Assume (*) and $g \in C^1[a, b]$ and that $\forall x \in [a, b]$, $\exists k \in (0, 1)$ s.t. $|g'(x)| \leq k$. Then, following the above theorem, F.P.I converges to the unique solution.

Proof. Here we need to prove that bounded derivative gives Lipschitz. Let's use MVT, $\exists c \in (a, b)$ s.t. $\forall x, y \in [a, b]$

$$g'(c) = \frac{g(x) - g(y)}{x - y}$$

Thus,

$$|g(x) - g(y)| = |g'(c)| |x - y| \le k |x - y|$$

$\S 6$ Lec 6: Oct 6, 2021

§6.1 Newton's Method

Newton's Method (N.M.) is a classic technique used in science and engineering, research and industry all the time. There are many ways to derive it, and we will go over 3 today.

Analytic derivation with Taylor's polynomial:

Let $f \in C^2([a,b])$, p is a root (f(p)=0). Suppose p_n is "close to" p, i.e., $|p_n-p|$ is "small".

$$0 = f(p) = f(p_n) + f'(p_n)(p - p_n) + f''(\xi) \frac{(p - p_n)^2}{2}$$

If $|p-p_n|$ is "small", then $|p-p_n|^2$ is "really small". Up to an error of size $\approx (p-p_n)^2$,

$$0 = f(p) \approx f(p_n) + f'(p_n)(p - p_n)$$

So

$$p = p_n - \frac{f(p_n)}{f'(p_n)}$$


This can be used to "invent" Newton's method.

Definition 6.1 (Newton's Method) — Start with p_0 close to p, then do

$$p_{n+1} = p_n - \frac{f(p_n)}{f'(p_n)}$$

Remark 6.2. The initial guess p_0 must be close to p, otherwise the analytic derivation breaks down.

Graphical Derivation:



Tangent line: y = ax + b and the intersection with the x-axis is p_{n+1} . We know

$$f(p_n) = ap_n + b$$
$$0 = ap_{n+1} + b$$
$$a = f'(p_n)$$

The unknowns are a, b, p_{n+1} . Solving them we obtain

$$p_{n+1} = p_n - \frac{f(p_n)}{f'(p_n)}$$

Fixed Point Derivation Method:

Theorem 6.3

Let $g(x) := x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$ for some $f \in C^1([a,b])$ where $f'(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in [a,b]$. Then g(p) = p if and only if f(p) = 0.

Proof. Basic algebra :D

Define a fixed point iteration from g

$$p_{n+1} = g(p_n) = p_n - \frac{f(p_n)}{f'(p_n)}$$

Remark 6.4. We must have $f'(p_n) \neq 0 \ \forall n$, otherwise, N.M will fail.

Pros of N.M:

• It will converge faster than the B.M. to the root p of function f(x) (when it does converge).

Cons of N.M.:

- Unlike the B.M., N.M. is a local method, not global. That means p_0 must be sufficiently close to p for success.
- N.M. requires knowledge of f'(x) and evaluation of f'(x) (especially when f is $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$).

In higher dimension, if f is $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, then N.M. is

$$\mathbf{x}_{n+1} = \mathbf{x}_n - (\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}_n))^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_n)$$

where $\mathbf{J}(x)$ is the Jacobian matrix and $J_{ii} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x})$.

§6.2 Secant Method

N.M. requires the knowledge of f'(x) and evaluation of f'(x), so we can approximate it as follows

$$f'(p_n) \approx \frac{f(p_n) - f(p_{n-1})}{p_n - p_{n-1}}$$

This defines the **Secant Method**.

Definition 6.5 (Secant Method) — Given some p_0 and p_1 , define

$$p_{n+1} = p_n - f(p_n) \frac{p_n - p_{n-1}}{f(p_n) - f(p_{n-1})}$$

Secant method is useful when you don't have access to f'(x), e.g., when we don't have access to f.

§7 Lec 7: Oct 8, 2021

§7.1 Secant Method (Cont'd)

Recall Newton's Method (N.M.) is defined as follows

Given
$$p_0$$
, $p_{n+1} = p_n + \frac{f(p_n)}{f'(p_n)}$

This requires evaluation of f'. In general, this could be expensive or unknown, e.g., in higher dimension or f(x) comes from experimental data. The definition of derivative is

$$f'(x) := \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x) - f(x - h)}{h}$$

So when h is small, the derivative can be approximated by "finite difference",

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x) - f(x - h)}{h}$$

So if we let $x = p_n$, and $x - h = p_{n-1}$, then this becomes

$$f'(p_n) \approx \frac{f(p_n) - f(p_{n-1})}{p_n - p_{n-1}}$$

which holds true when $p_n - p_{n-1}$ is small. This leads us to the definition of secant method.

Definition 7.1 — Given p_0 , p_1 , secant method is defined as

$$p_{n+1} = p_n - f(p_n) \frac{p_n - p_{n-1}}{f(p_n) - f(p_{n-1})}$$

where the fraction is approximating $(f'(p_n))^{-1}$.

Question 7.1. How to get p_1 ?

e.g., running one iteration of bisection method.

§7.2 Local Convergence of Newton's Method

Theorem 7.2 (Newton Convergence)

Let $f \in C^2([a,b])$ and $p \in (a,b)$ s.t.

- i) f(p) = 0
- ii) $f'(p) \neq 0$

Then $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t. N.M. will converge for $\forall p_0 \in [p - \delta, p + \delta]$.

There is no guideline to find the exact δ – which means we don't know what close-enough means in practice unfortunately.

Proof. The idea here is to apply the F.P.I. theorem from previous lectures to some to-be-defined function g. What is g?

Key conditions to satisfy:

- 1. $\left[\hat{a}, \hat{b}\right] \rightarrow \left[\hat{a}, \hat{b}\right]$
- 2. g is C^1
- 3. g has bounded derivative with bound in (0,1).

Define $g(x) \coloneqq x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$. N.M. on f(x) is the same as F.P.I. on g(x)

$$p_{n+1} = p_n - \frac{f(p_n)}{f'(p_n)} \iff g(p_n) = p_{n+1}$$

Thus, we just need to show the three postulates about g.

2. $f \in C^2([a,b])$ so $f \in C([a,b])$ and $f' \in C([a,b])$ and $f'' \in C([a,b])$. Let's compute g'(x)

$$g'(x) = 1 - \left(\frac{f'(x)f'(x) - f(x)f''(x)}{(f'(x))^2}\right) = \frac{f(x)f''(x)}{(f'(x))^2}$$

There exists a region $[p - \delta_1, p + \delta_1]$ in [a, b] s.t. $f'(x) \neq 0$, so g' is continuous in $[p - \delta_1, p + \delta_1]$. This proves 2.

3. WTS: bounded derivative

$$g'(x) = \frac{f(x)f''(x)}{(f'(x))^2}$$
$$g'(p) = 0$$

Due to continuity of g' in $[p - \delta_1, p + \delta_1]$, there exists a region (with $0 < \delta < \delta_1$) s.t. $|g'(x)| \le k$ in $[p - \delta, p + \delta]$ for any $k \in (0, 1)$. This proves 3.

Lastly, let's show 1.

1. Need to prove g maps $[p - \delta, p + \delta]$ to $[p - \delta, p + \delta]$

$$|q(x) - p| = |q(x) - q(p)| = |q'(\xi)| |x - p| < k |x - p| < |x - p|$$

By M.V.T, $\exists \xi \in (x, p)$. N.M. on f(x) is the same as F.P.I on g(x).

Now, we proved that F.P.I converges to p for any $p_0 \in [p - \delta, p + \delta]$. Equivalently, N.M. converges for f at p.

Remark 7.3. δ cannot be a priori. In practice, we can

- begin with some $p_0 \in [a, b]$
- run several iterations of B.M. (a global method)
- switch to N.M.