Assignment 1 - Homework Exercises on Approximation Algorithms

Pattarawat Chormai - 0978675, Duy Pham - 0980384, Maciej Wikowski September 18, 2015

A.I-1

We will show that the approximation ratio of the *GreedySchedulingAlgorithm* is at least $2 - \frac{1}{m}$ by showing an example as follow.

Let's consider this setting:

- 3 machines: M1, M2, M3
- 7 jobs: 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2

GreedySchedulingAlgorithm will come up with this scheduling:

- M1: 1 2 2
- M2: 1 1
- M3: 1 1

Thus ALG = makespan = 1 + 2 + 2 = 5

We know that:

$$OPT \ge Average_{load}$$
 (1)

Where $Average_{load} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} j_i = \frac{9}{3} = 3$ Here we can find a solution with makespan = 3. That is:

- M1: 12
- M2: 1 2

• M3: 1 1 1

Therefore, OPT = 3

Thus, the approximation ratio is:

$$\rho = \frac{ALG}{OPT} = \frac{5}{3} \tag{2}$$

According to the theorem, the estimated ratio is:

$$\rho_{estimated} = 2 - \frac{1}{m} = 2 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{3} \tag{3}$$

From 2 and 3, we have $\rho_{estimated} = \rho$. Therefore, this bound is tight.

A.I-2

From the question, we know that

$$m = 10$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j \ge 1000$$

$$t_j \in [1, 20]; for all i \le j \le n$$

Let T'_{i^*} denote the load of M_i before t^*_j , last job, is assigned to the machine. Thus T^*_i , which represents makespan of the assignment, equals to

$$T_i^* = T_{i^*}' + t_j^*$$

Because T'_{i^*} is the minimum load among all machines, so that we can derive

$$T'_{i^*} \le \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m T'_i = \sum_{j=1}^{j^*} t_j \le \frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n t_j - t_j^* \right] \le LB$$

Then we can derive

$$\begin{split} T_i^* &= T_{i^*}' + t_j^* \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n t_j - t_j^* \right] + t_j^* \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^n t_j + (1 - \frac{1}{m}) t_j^* \\ &\leq 100 + (1 - \frac{1}{10}) 20 \\ &\leq 118 \end{split}$$

According Algorithm Greedy Scheduling and the question, we know

$$\max\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}t_{j}, \max_{1\leq j\leq n}(t_{j})\right) \leq LB \leq OPT$$

$$\max_{1\leq j\leq n}(t_{j}) = 20$$

Then we can derive

$$max\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}t_{j},20\right)\leq LB$$

Since $\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j \ge 1000$, thus

$$100 \le LB < OPT$$

Therefore, approximation-ratio(ρ) equals to

$$T_i^* \le \rho \, OPT$$

$$\frac{118}{100} \le \rho$$

$$1.18 \le \rho$$

For this particular setting, $Algorithm\,Greedy\,Scheduling$ is 1.18 approximation algorithm.

AI-3-i)

Assume we have the optimal solution, which has n squares

Lemma 1. Each unit square in the grid can overlap at most 4 cells. Let n_s be the number of square in the integer grid solution. Thus

$$n_s < 4n < 4OPT$$

A.I-3-ii

We propose the algorithm as follow.

```
Algorithm 1 Finding minimum row square cover

Require: Set of Points P

Ensure: Min Square Cover min

Operation:

set currentCoveringPosition = 0

QuickSortAscending(S)

for all Point p in P do

if p.x \le currentCoveringPosition then

create square s = (p.x, 1, p.x + 1, 0);

add s to S

set currentCoveringPosition = p.x + 1

end if

set min = sizeofS

return min

end for
```

This algorithm is correct because:

- Every point in p will be covered by a square
- There are no intersections between the squares because we traverse in one direction

This algorithm consists of 2 parts: QuickSort and Traversing the Point to create squares. Let t be the run time of this algorithm, $t_{quicksort}$ be the time for quick-sort, and t_{assign} be the time for creating the squares. We have:

$$t = t_{quicksort} + t_{assign} \le n \log n + n = O(n \log n) \tag{4}$$

Thus the runtime of this algorithm is $O(n \log n)$.

A.I.3.iii

The idea of our algorithm is that, we put all the points in to a coordinate system, then we divide the coordinate system into a set of unit rows (i.e. rows with height 1). For each row, we use algorithm 1 to find the minimum size square-cover. The global min-square-cover is the sum of all row-square-cover.

```
Algorithm 2 Finding global minimum square cover
```

```
Input: Set of points P
Output: Min Square Cover min
Operation:
    currentMin = 0;
    for all Row r in the space do
        currentMin += FindRowMinSquare()
    end for
    set min = currentMin
        return min
```

Theorem. FindingGlobalMinimumSC is 2 - approximation

Proof. We prove this Theorem by induction.

If the optimal solution consists of only 1 square, then $OPT_1 = 1$. After applying our algorithm, the square can be split into at most 2 squares.

This is true because if the algorithm returns more than 2 squares, then there is a row which consists of more than 1 square. It means the margin of our points is larger than 1, then the optimal must have more than 1 squares to fit them. It contradicts with our assumption that $OPT_1 = 1$.

```
So ALG_1 \le 2 = 2OPT_1
```

Suppose when n = k, the algorithm is true, that is $ALG_k \leq 2OPT_k$

Now we add some additional points which insert another square into the optimal solution. Now n = k + 1.

We have
$$OPT_{k+1} = OPT_k + 1$$

Applying our algorithm, the final result is the sum of the original input (n = k) and the new input (n = 1). We know that the Theorem holds for both of them. We have:

$$ALG_{k+1} = ALG_k + ALG_1 \le 2OPT_k + 2 = 2(OPT_k + 1) = 2OPT_{k+1}$$
 (5)

Thus the Theorem also holds for n = k + 1. Therefore, it holds for all values of n.

In conclusion, this algorithm is 2 - approximation.

AII.1

(i)

We prove this statement by contradiction.

- Suppose that $V \setminus C$ is not an independent set of G. Then there exists a pair of vertices (u, v) in $V \setminus C$ which are connected by an edge $e \in E$. Thus, both u and v are not in C. Therefore, C is not the vertex cover of G anymore.
- Suppose C is not the vertex cover of G, then there exists a pair of vertices (u, v) that are connected by an edge $e \in E$ but are not in C. Thus, $u \in (V \setminus C)$ and $u \in (V \setminus C)$. Therefore, $(V \setminus C)$ is not the vertex cover of G anymore.

From the reasoning above, we can state that: C is the vertex cover of G if and only if $V \setminus C$ is an independent set of G.

(ii)

We prove that ApproxMaxIndependentSet is not a 2-approximation algorithm by showing a counter example. That is, consider a complete graph, for example, a graph G = (V, E) where $V = x_1, x_2$ and $E = (x_1, x_2)$.

Applying the ApproxMinVertexCover(G), we get $C = x_1, x_2$ (picking both vertices from the edge).

Now we take the approx max independent set $ALG = V \setminus C = \emptyset$.

The optimal solution now is OPT = 1 (picking x_1 or x_2). The approximation ratio is $\rho = \frac{OPT}{ALG} = \infty \neq 2$.

So the approximation ratio is not 2.

AII.2

(i)

The best possible scenario in the presented case is similar to following:

$$(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_4 \lor x_5) \land (x_1 \lor x_6 \lor x_7)$$

It can be seen that in this case x_1 is present in all the clauses of the DNF therefore we can eliminate all the clauses of the equation in the first run. This gives us a following lower bound:

$$LB = 1$$

We deduce then:

$$OPT \ge 1$$

We define approximation ratio ρ as:

$$\rho = \frac{ALG}{OPT}$$

Since we don't know if duplication of elements in a clause is allowed or not we will examine two possible scenarios.

Duplication allowed:

Consider the case when:

$$(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_2) \land (x_1 \lor x_3 \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_4 \lor x_4) \dots \land (x_1 \lor x_n \lor x_n)$$

We choose in each iteration elements unique for the clause like $x_2, x_3, x_4...x_n$. So we end up having chosen n elements, excluding the one that was common in all the clauses. That gives us:

$$ALG = (n-1)$$

$$\rho = (n-1)$$

Duplication disallowed:

If duplication is not allowed as in the following DNF:

$$(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_4) \land (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_5) \dots \land (x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_n)$$

We can see that the algorithm chooses n-2 resulting in :

$$ALG = (n-2)$$

$$\rho = (n-2)$$

(ii)

For the algorithm to become a 3-approximation algorithm it should be modified so that in each iteration it chooses all three elements in a clause and eliminate all the clauses in DNF that contain any of these three elements.

Proof

Let D^* be a subset of D that only contains clauses that don't share any variables.

The optimal solution to the problem OPT contains at least one variable from each clause therefore:

$$OPT \ge |D^*| = LB$$

In our algorithm after the modification, we select three variables in each clause in D^* , because there are no clauses that share common variables with

them. The other clauses which have common variables as any clause in D^* are deleted. Thus:

$$ALG \le 3|D^*| = 3 * LB$$

So it is a 3-approx algorithm.

AII.3

(i)

Suppose a d-hypergraph G = (V, E) which every edge $e \in E$ incidenst to d vertices in V. To formulate 0/1 linear programming, we introduce $X = \{x_i,$ x_2, \ldots, x_n which x_i represents $v_i \in V$ in a linear programming. If $x_i = 1$, it means we pick v_i to the set of double vertex cover, $C \subset V$, and otherwise $x_i = 0$. For this solution, we want to find a minimum double vertex cover which requires at least 2 vertices from each edge are in C. Then, we can derive a constraint for 0/1 linear programming

$$\sum_{v_i \in e} x_i \ge 2 \text{ for all } e \in E ;$$

Thus, we then formulate the linear programming.

Minimize
$$\sum_{x=1}^{n} x_i$$

Subject to
$$\sum_{\substack{v_i \in e \\ x_i = \{0,1\}}} x_i \geq 2 \qquad \text{for all } e \in E \text{ ; at least 2 vertices are selected.}$$

(ii)

Because we can not solve 0/1 linear program in polynomial time, what we have to do next is to relax the program to be a normal linear program by replacing $\{0,1\}$ constraint with $0 \le x \le 1$

Thus, the linear program is

Minimize
$$\sum_{x=1}^n x_i$$
 Subject to
$$\sum_{\substack{v_i \in e \\ 0 \le x_i \le 1}} x_i \ge 2 \qquad \text{for all } e \in E \text{ ; at least 2 vertices are selected.}$$

for all $x_i \in X$

Let τ denote the rounding threshold such that

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i \ge \tau \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Algorithm 3 Finding double vertex cover

Input: V, E

Output: A minimum double vertex cover

Operation:

Solve the relaxed linear program corresponding to the given problem.

Minimize
$$\sum_{x=1}^{n} x_{i}$$
 Subject to
$$\sum_{v_{i} \in e} x_{i} \geq \text{for all } e \in E$$

$$0 \leq x_{i} \leq 1 \text{ for all } x_{i} \in X$$

$$C \leftarrow \{ v_{i} \in V : x_{i} \geq \tau \}$$
 return C

The next step is to derive τ such that all constraints are satisfied and the algorithm always return a valid solution. Let denote x^* to be an ideal value of any x_i such that it satisfies all constraints.

$$\sum_{v_i \in e} x_i \ge 2$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i \ge 2$$

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} x^i \ge 2$$

$$(d-1)x^i \ge 1$$

$$x_i \ge \frac{1}{d-1}$$

$$\therefore \tau = \frac{1}{d-1}$$

Let denote W denote the value of an optimal to the relaxed linear program and OPT denote the minium number of double vertex cover. Then $OPT \ge W$.

Now we can derive,

$$\begin{aligned} |C| &= \sum_{v_i \in C} 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{v_i \in C} (d-1) x_i \\ &\leq (d-1) \sum_{v_i \in C} x_i \\ &\leq (d-1) W \\ &\leq (d-1) OPT \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

Lets take an example of a complete 3-hypergraph, where the optimal double vertex cover is |V|-1 to make sure every edge has at least 2 vertices selected. So the result of the 0/1-LP is |V|-1.

The relaxed-LP formulation is as follow:

- Miminize $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$
- Subject to: $\sum_{x_j \in e} x_j \ge 2$ for all edge e AND $0 \le x_i \le 1$

We run the algorithm by performing that relaxed-LP on the complete 3-hypergraph, and then round the result following the condition $x \ge \frac{1}{2}$.

For the complete 3-hypergraph, the relaxed-LP will return $x_i = \frac{2}{3}$ for all i so that each sum of vertices in an edge is 2.

Then the algorithm will pick all of the vertices because they satisfy the condition. The result is:

$$ALG = \frac{2}{3}|V|$$

The integrality gap is:

$$integrality = \frac{|V| - 1}{\frac{2|V|l}{2}} = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2|V|}$$

AIII.2

(i)

Let d denote the distance between 2 arbitrary vertices corresponding to P and d^* denote the distance after rounding $p_{i,x}, p_{i,y}$ where $p_{i,x}$ and $p_{i,y}$ denote the x- and y-coordinate of $p_i \in P$, by Δ .

$$d = \sqrt{(p_{i,x} - p_{j,x})^2 + (p_{i,y} - p_{j,y})^2}$$
$$d^* = \sqrt{(p_{i,x^*} - p_{j,x^*})^2 + (p_{i,y^*} - p_{j,y^*})^2}$$

We know that the range of p_x^* is

$$\frac{px}{\Delta} \le p_x^* \le \frac{px}{\Delta} + 1$$

Then we derive the range of d^*

$$\sqrt{(\frac{p_{i,x}}{\Delta} - (\frac{p_{j,x}}{\Delta} + 1))^2 + (\frac{p_{i,y}}{\Delta} - (\frac{p_{j,y}}{\Delta} + 1)^2} \le d^* \le \sqrt{(\frac{p_{i,x}}{\Delta} + 1 - \frac{p_{j,x}}{\Delta})^2 + (\frac{p_{i,y}}{\Delta} + 1 - \frac{p_{j,y}}{\Delta})^2}$$

From the triangle inequality property, such that a, b and c are the lengh of the triangle edges

$$c \le a + b$$



We can simplify the range of d^* to

$$\sqrt{(\frac{p_{i,x}}{\Delta} - \frac{p_{j,x}}{\Delta})^2 + (\frac{p_{i,y}}{\Delta} - \frac{p_{j,y}}{\Delta})^2} - \sqrt{2} \le d^* \le \sqrt{(\frac{p_{i,x}}{\Delta} - \frac{p_{j,x}}{\Delta})^2 + (\frac{p_{i,y}}{\Delta} - \frac{p_{j,y}}{\Delta})^2} + \sqrt{2}}$$

$$\frac{d}{\Delta} - \sqrt{2} \le d^* \le \frac{d}{\Delta} + \sqrt{2}$$

Hence, the error of d^* is $2\sqrt{2}$ at most. Therefore,

$$2n\sqrt{2}\Delta = \varepsilon OPT$$

$$\Delta = \frac{\varepsilon OPT}{2n\sqrt{2}}$$

(ii)

Let P and P^* denote set of edges from the optimal solution and the PTAS algorithm respectively and we know that $length^*(T) \ge length^*(T^*)$, then we have

$$\sum_{p_i, p_j \in P^*} d_{ij}^* \le \sum_{p_i, p_j \in P} d_{ij}^*$$

Thus, we can derive

$$length(T^*) = \sum_{p_i, p_j \in P^*} d_{ij}$$

$$\leq \sum_{p_i, p_j \in P^*} \Delta(d_{ij}^* + \sqrt{2})$$

$$\leq \Delta \sum_{p_i, p_j \in P^*} (d_{ij}^* + \sqrt{2})$$

$$\leq \Delta \sum_{p_i, p_j \in P} (d_{ij}^* + \sqrt{2})$$

$$\leq \Delta \sum_{p_i, p_j \in P} (\frac{d_{ij}}{\Delta} + 2\sqrt{2})$$

$$\leq \sum_{p_i, p_j \in P} d_{ij} + \Delta \sum_{p_i, p_j \in P} 2\sqrt{2}$$

$$\leq length(T) + \Delta 2|P|\sqrt{2}$$

$$\leq OPT + \left(\frac{\varepsilon OPT}{2n\sqrt{2}}\right) 2n\sqrt{2}$$

$$\leq (1 + \epsilon)OPT$$

(iii)

Let m^* denote the new boundary of the coordinate after rounding p_x, p_y to p_x^*, p_y^* and we also know that

$$m = \max(p_x, p_y)$$
$$OPT \ge m$$

Thus

$$\frac{m}{\Delta} \le m^* \le \frac{m}{\Delta} + 1$$

Then, we can derive the running time

$$\begin{split} m* &\leq \frac{m}{\Delta} + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{m2n\sqrt{2}}{\epsilon OPT} + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{m2n\sqrt{2}}{\epsilon m} + 1 \\ &\leq \frac{2n\sqrt{2}}{\epsilon} + 1 \end{split}$$

Therefore, the running time is

$$O(nm^*) = O\left(n\frac{2n\sqrt{2}}{\epsilon} + 1\right)$$
$$= O\left(\frac{2n^2\sqrt{2}}{\epsilon}\right)$$