## Homework 7

## MAS4301

## Carson Mulvey

**10.14** Under  $\varphi \colon \mathbb{Z}_{12} \to \mathbb{Z}_{10}$  mapping  $x \mapsto 3x \pmod{10}$ , we have

$$\varphi(4) + \varphi(8) = 2 + 4 = 6,$$

but

$$\varphi(4+8) = \varphi(0) = 0.$$

Since  $\varphi(4+8) \neq \varphi(4) + \varphi(8)$ ,  $\varphi$  does not preserve group operations, and therefore cannot be a homomorphism.

- **10.16** Suppose such a homomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  onto  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$  were to exist. Then since  $|\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2| = |\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4| = 16$ ,  $\varphi$  has a domain and codomain of equal cardinality, so  $\varphi$  must also be injective. Hence such a  $\varphi$  would be an isomorphism. However,  $\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$  are clearly not isomorphic, since  $\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  has an element with order 8, (1,0), whereas  $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$  has a maximal order of 4 for any element. Thus, we have a contradiction, so  $\varphi$  cannot exist.
- **10.20** 0 maps onto; 4 maps to
- **10.24** (a)  $\varphi(x) = 3x$ 
  - (b)  $im(\varphi) = \{0, 3, 6, 9, 12\}$
  - (c)  $\ker(\varphi) = \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45\}$
  - (d)  $\varphi^{-1}(3) = \{1, 6, 11, 16, 21, 26, 31, 36, 41, 46\}$