

# CheatSheet: SE(3) operations

## Rigid transformation

$$m : p \in \mathcal{E}(3) \rightarrow m(p) \in E(3)$$

Transformation from B to A:

$${}^A m_B : {}^B p \in \mathcal{R}^3 \cong \mathcal{E}(3) \rightarrow {}^A p = {}^A m_B({}^B p) = {}^A M_B {}^B p$$

$${}^A p = {}^A R_B {}^B p + {}^A AB$$

$${}^A M_B = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B & {}^A AB \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Transformation from A to B:

$${}^B p = {}^A R_B^T {}^A p + {}^B BA, \quad \text{with } {}^B BA = - {}^A R_B^T {}^A AB$$

$${}^B M_A = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B^T & - {}^A R_B^T {}^A AB \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For Featherstone,  $E = {}^B R_A = {}^A R_B^T$  and  $r = {}^A AB$ . Then:

$${}^B M_A = \begin{bmatrix} {}^B R_A & - {}^B R_A {}^A AB \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E & -Er \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^A M_B = \begin{bmatrix} {}^B R_A^T & {}^A AB \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E^T & r \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Composition

$${}^A M_B {}^B M_C = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B {}^B R_C & {}^A AB + {}^A R_B {}^B BC \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^A M_B^{-1} {}^A M_C = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B^T {}^A R_C & {}^A R_B^T ({}^A AC - {}^A AB) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Motion Application

$${}^A \nu = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A v \\ {}^A \omega \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^B \nu = {}^B X_A {}^A \nu$$

$${}^A X_B = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B & {}^A AB_{\times} {}^A R_B \\ 0 & {}^A R_B \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^A X_B^{-1} = {}^B X_A = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B^T & - {}^A R_B^T {}^A AB_{\times} \\ 0 & {}^A R_B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

For Featherstone,  $E = {}^B R_A = {}^A R_B^T$  and  $r = {}^A AB$ . Then:

$${}^B X_A = \begin{bmatrix} {}^B R_A & - {}^B R_A {}^A AB_{\times} \\ 0 & {}^B R_A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E & -Er_{\times} \\ 0 & E \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^A X_B = \begin{bmatrix} {}^B R_A^T & {}^A AB_{\times} {}^B R_A^T \\ 0 & {}^B R_A^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E^T & r_{\times} E^T \\ 0 & E^T \end{bmatrix}$$

# Force Application

$${}^A\phi = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A f \\ {}^A \tau \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^B\phi = {}^B X_A^* {}^A\phi$$

For any  $\phi, \nu, \phi\dot{\nu} = {}^A\phi^T {}^A\nu = {}^B\phi^T {}^B\nu$  and then:

$${}^A X_B^* = {}^A X_B^{-T} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B & 0 \\ {}^A A B_{\times} {}^A R_B & {}^A R_B \end{bmatrix}$$

(because  ${}^A A B_{\times}^T = -{}^A A B_{\times}$ ).

$${}^A X_B^{-*} = {}^B X_A^* = \begin{bmatrix} {}^A R_B^T & 0 \\ -{}^A R_B^T {}^A A B_{\times} & {}^A R_B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

For Featherstone,  $E = {}^B R_A = {}^A R_B^T$  and  $r = {}^A A B$ . Then:

$${}^B X_A^* = \begin{bmatrix} {}^B R_A & 0 \\ -{}^B R_A {}^A A B_{\times} & {}^B R_A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ -E r_{\times} & E \end{bmatrix}$$

$${}^A X_B^* = \begin{bmatrix} {}^B R_A^T & 0 \\ {}^A A B_{\times} {}^B R_A^T & {}^B R_A^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E^T & 0 \\ r_{\times} E^T & E^T \end{bmatrix}$$

## Inertia

### Inertia application

$${}^A Y : {}^A\nu \rightarrow {}^A\phi = {}^A Y {}^A\nu$$

Coordinate transform:

$${}^B Y = {}^B X_A^* {}^A Y {}^B X_A^{-1}$$

since:

$${}^B\phi = {}^B X_A^* {}^B\phi = {}^B X_A^* {}^A I {}^A X_B {}^B\nu$$

Canonical form. The inertia about the center of mass  $c$  is:

$${}^c Y = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & {}^c I \end{bmatrix}$$

Expressed in any non-centered coordinate system  $A$ :

$${}^A Y = {}^A X_C^* {}^c I {}^A X_C^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} m & m {}^A A C_{\times}^T \\ m {}^A A C_{\times} & {}^A I + m {}^A A C_{\times} {}^A A C_{\times}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

Changing the coordinates system from  $B$  to  $A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} {}^A Y &= {}^A X_B^* {}^B X_C^* {}^c I {}^B X_C^{-1} {}^A X_B^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m & m[{}^A A B + {}^A R_B {}^B B C]_{\times}^T \\ m[{}^A A B + {}^A R_B {}^B B C]_{\times} & {}^A R_B {}^B I {}^A R_B^T - m[{}^A A B + {}^A R_B {}^B B C]_{\times}^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Representing the spatial inertia in  $B$  by the triplet  $(m, {}^B B C, {}^B I)$ , the expression in  $A$  is:

$${}^A m_B : {}^B Y = (m, {}^B B C, {}^B I) \rightarrow {}^A Y = (m, {}^A A B + {}^A R_B {}^B B C, {}^A R_B {}^B I {}^A R_B^T)$$

Similarly, the inverse action is:

$${}^A m_B^{-1} : {}^A Y \rightarrow {}^B Y = (m, {}^A R_B^T ({}^A A C - {}^A A B), {}^A R_B^T {}^A I {}^A R_B)$$

Motion-to-force map:

$$Y\nu = \begin{bmatrix} m & m c_{\times}^T \\ m c_{\times} & I + m c_{\times} c_{\times}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m v - m c \times \omega \\ m c \times v + I \omega - m c \times (c \times \omega) \end{bmatrix}$$

Nota: the square of the cross product is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}_{\times}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -z & y \\ z & 0 & -x \\ -y & x & 0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} -y^2 - z^2 & xy & xz \\ xy & -x^2 - z^2 & yz \\ xz & yz & -x^2 - y^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

There is no computational interest in using it.

## Inertia addition

$$Y_p = \begin{bmatrix} m_p & m_p p_{\times}^T \\ m_p p_{\times} & I_p + m_p p_{\times} p_{\times}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Y_q = \begin{bmatrix} m_q & m_q q_{\times}^T \\ m_q q_{\times} & I_q + m_q q_{\times} q_{\times}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

## Cross products

Motion-motion product:

$$\nu_1 \times \nu_2 = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \omega_1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} v_2 \\ \omega_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \times \omega_2 + \omega_1 \times v_2 \\ \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Motion-force product:

$$\nu \times \phi = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} f \\ \tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \times f \\ \omega \times \tau + v \times f \end{bmatrix}$$

A special form of the motion-force product is often used:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \times (Y\nu) &= \nu \times \begin{bmatrix} mv - mc \times \omega \\ mc \times v + I\omega - mc \times (c \times \omega) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m\omega \times v - \omega \times (mc \times \omega) \\ \omega \times (mc \times v) + \omega \times (I\omega) - \omega \times (c \times (mc \times \omega)) - v \times (mc \times \omega) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\beta = mc \times \omega$ , this product can be written:

$$\nu \times (Y\nu) = \begin{bmatrix} \omega \times (mv - \beta) \\ \omega \times (c \times (mv - \beta) + I\omega) - v \times \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

This last form cost five  $\times$ , four  $+$  and one  $3 \times 3$  matrix-vector multiplication.

## Joint

We denote by 1 the coordinate system attached to the parent (predecessor) body at the joint input, and by 2 the coordinate system attached to the (child) successor body at the joint output. We neglect the possible time variation of the joint model (ie the bias velocity  $\sigma = \nu(q, 0)$  is null).

The joint geometry is expressed by the rigid transformation from the input to the output, parametrized by the joint coordinate system  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ :

$${}^2m_1 \cong {}^2M_1(q)$$

The joint velocity (i.e. the velocity of the child wrt. the parent in the child coordinate system) is:

$${}^2\nu_{12} = \nu_J(q, v_q) = {}^2S(q)v_q$$

where  ${}^2S$  is the joint Jacobian (or constraint matrix) that define the motion subspace allowed by the joint, and  $v_q$  is the joint coordinate velocity (i.e. an element of the Lie algebra associated with the joint coordinate manifold), which

would be  $v_q = \dot{q}$  when  $\dot{q}$  exists.

The joint acceleration is:

$${}^2\alpha_{12} = S\dot{v}_q + c_J + {}^2\nu_1 \times {}^2\nu_{12}$$

where  $c_J = \sum_{i=1}^{n_q} \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i$  (null in the usual cases) and  ${}^2\nu_1$  is the velocity of the parent body with respect to an absolute (Galilean) coordinate system

NB: The absolute velocity  $\nu_1$  is also the relative velocity wrt. the Galilean coordinate system  $\Omega$ . The exhaustive notation should be  $\nu_{\Omega 1}$  but  $\nu_1$  is preferred for simplicity.

The joint calculations take as input the joint position  $q$  and velocity  $v_q$  and should output  ${}^2M_1$ ,  ${}^2\nu_{12}$  and  ${}^2c$  (this last vector being often a trivial  $0_6$  vector). In addition, the joint model should store the position of the joint input in the central coordinate system of the previous joint  ${}^0m_1$  which is a constant value.

The joint integrator computes the exponential map associated with the joint manifold. The function inputs are the initial position  $q_0$ , the velocity  $v_q$  and the length of the integration interval  $t$ . It computes  $q_t$  as:

$$q_t = q_0 + \int_0^t v_q dt$$

For the simple vectorial case where  $v_q = \dot{q}$ , we have  $q_t = q_0 + tv_q$ . Written in the more general case of a Lie group, we have  $q_t = q_0 \exp(tv_q)$  where  $\exp$  denotes the exponential map (i.e. integration of a constant vector field from the Lie algebra into the Lie group). This integration only considers first order explicit Euler. More general integrators (e.g. Runge-Kutta in Lie groups) remains to be written. Adequate references are welcome.

## RNEA

### Initialization

$${}^0\nu_0 = 0; {}^0\alpha_0 = -g$$

In the following, the coordinate system  $i$  is attached to the output of the joint (child body), while  $\lambda(i)$  is the central coordinate system attached to the parent joint. The coordinated system associated with the joint input is denoted by  $i_0$ . The constant rigid transformation from  $\lambda(i)$  to the joint input is then  ${}^{\lambda(i)}M_{i_0}$ .

### Forward loop

For each joint  $i$ , update the joint calculation  ${}^Bfj_i.\text{calc}(q, v_q)$ . This compute  ${}^Bfj.M = {}^{\lambda(i)}M_{i_0}(q)$ ,  ${}^Bfj.\nu = {}^i\nu_{\lambda(i)i}(q, v_q)$ ,  ${}^Bfj.S = {}^iS(q)$  and  ${}^Bfj.c = \sum_{k=1}^{n_q} \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_k} \dot{q}_k$ . Attached to the joint is also its placement in body  $\lambda(i)$  denoted by  ${}^Bfj.M_0 = {}^{\lambda(i)}M_{i_0}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\lambda(i)}M_i &= {}^Bfj.M_0 {}^Bfj.M \\ {}^0M_i &= {}^0M_{\lambda(i)} {}^{\lambda(i)}M_i \\ {}^i\nu_i &= {}^{\lambda(i)}X_i^{-1} {}^{\lambda(i)}\nu_{\lambda(i)} + {}^Bfj.\nu \\ {}^i\alpha_i &= {}^{\lambda(i)}X_i^{-1} {}^{\lambda(i)}\alpha_{\lambda(i)} + {}^Bfj.S\dot{v}_q + {}^Bfj.c + {}^i\nu_i \times {}^Bfj.\nu \\ {}^i\phi_i &= {}^iY_i {}^i\alpha_i + {}^i\nu_i \times {}^iY_i {}^i\nu_i - {}^0X_i^{*-} {}^0\phi_i^{ext} \end{aligned}$$

### Backward loop

For each joint  $i$  from leaf to root, do:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i &= {}^Bfj.S^T {}^i\phi_i \\ {}^{\lambda(i)}\phi_{\lambda(i)} &+= {}^{\lambda(i)}X_i^{*} {}^i\phi_i \end{aligned}$$

## Nota

It is more efficient to apply  $X^{-1}$  than  $X$ . Similarly, it is more efficient to apply  $X^{-*}$  than  $X^*$ . Therefore, it is better to store the transformations  ${}^{\lambda(i)}m_i$  and  ${}^0m_i$  than  ${}^im_{\lambda(i)}$  and  ${}^im_0$ .