B27

Hector G. Flores Rodriguez

```
Stats 110 - HW 3
October 24, 2017
```

1. Create new data set called NewPrices by removing the row with the outlier

```
textprices = read.csv("../data/TextPrices.csv")
NewPrices = textprices[-4,]
```

1-(a). Use summary command in R to provide summaries of the two variables for the original data set and the new data set.

```
# With outlier
summary(textprices)
##
       Pages
                        Price
##
          : 51.0
                           : 4.25
   Min.
                    Min.
##
   1st Qu.: 212.0
                    1st Qu.: 17.59
## Median : 456.5
                    Median : 55.12
## Mean
          : 464.5
                    Mean
                           : 65.02
## 3rd Qu.: 672.0
                    3rd Qu.: 95.75
## Max.
          :1060.0
                           :169.75
                    Max.
# Without outlier
summary(NewPrices)
##
       Pages
                        Price
##
   Min.
          : 51.0
                    Min. : 4.25
##
   1st Qu.: 200.0
                    1st Qu.: 16.95
## Median : 488.0
                    Median : 51.50
## Mean
         : 466.8
                    Mean
                           : 62.83
## 3rd Qu.: 696.0
                    3rd Qu.: 95.00
## Max.
          :1060.0
                    Max.
                           :169.75
1-(b).
```

The mean number of pages for the data without outlier is: 466.8

The predicted price for the mean number of pages would be: \$62.83

2. Find the R^2 using the TextPrices data and again using the NewPrices data.

```
# R-squared value for textprices
tp_fit = lm("Price ~ Pages", data=textprices)
summary(tp_fit)

##
## Call:
## lm(formula = "Price ~ Pages", data = textprices)
##
## Residuals:
```

```
##
                10 Median
                                3Q
                                       Max
## -65.475 -12.324 -0.584 15.304 72.991
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -3.42231
                          10.46374 -0.327
                                              0.746
                                     7.653 2.45e-08 ***
## Pages
                0.14733
                           0.01925
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 29.76 on 28 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.6766, Adjusted R-squared: 0.665
## F-statistic: 58.57 on 1 and 28 DF, p-value: 2.452e-08
# R-squared value for NewPrices
np_fit = lm("Price ~ Pages", data=NewPrices)
summary(np_fit)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = "Price ~ Pages", data = NewPrices)
##
## Residuals:
##
     Min
              1Q Median
                            3Q
                                  Max
## -63.23 -12.29
                   2.16 13.25 53.46
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept) -6.8926
                            9.4775 -0.727
                 0.1494
                            0.0173
                                     8.634
                                              3e-09 ***
## Pages
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 26.72 on 27 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.7341, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7243
## F-statistic: 74.55 on 1 and 27 DF, p-value: 3.001e-09
2-(a).
R_{TextPrices}^2 = 0.6766
R_{NewPrices}^2 = 0.7341
73% of the variation in book prices (in NewPrice data set) is explained by the number of pages.
```

2-(b).

The NewPrice data set does a better job in prediction since it captures more of the variance than the original data set.

3. Exercise 2.15 with NewPrices data

3-(a).

```
mu_price = data.frame(Pages=450)
predict(np_fit, mu_price, interval="confidence")
##
          fit
                    lwr
                             upr
## 1 60.32434 50.12599 70.52269
A 95% C.I. is [50.126, 70.523]
3-(b).
predict(np_fit, mu_price, interval="predict")
##
          fit
                    lwr
## 1 60.32434 4.557604 116.0911
A 95% P.I. is [4.558, 116.091]
3-(c).
mean(c(4.558, 116.091))
## [1] 60.3245
mean(c(50.126, 70.523))
## [1] 60.3245
```

The midpoint of the two intervals are the same since they both should be the value of \hat{y} given by OLS.

3-(d).

The P.I. width is much larger than the C.I. This makes sense since the prediction interval takes into account uncertainty for individual observations rather than the mean value

3-(e).

The mean number of pages: 466.8

3-(f).

```
pages1500 = data.frame(Pages=1500)
predict(np_fit, pages1500, interval="predict")
```

```
## fit lwr upr
## 1 217.1638 150.4203 283.9074
```

A 95% P.I. for a 1500-page textbook is [150.420, 283.907]. We don't have a 95% C.I since we are predicting outside the range of the data we have available.

- 4. Exercise 2.10 pg 82
- 4-(a). Decrease the width of the prediction interval
- 4-(b). Increase the width of the P.I.

- 4-(c). Increase the width of the P.I.
- 4-(d). Increase the width of the P.I.
- 5. Exercise 2.17, (b) and (c)

```
5-(b).
sparrows = read.csv("../data/Sparrows.csv")
sparrow_fit = lm("Weight ~ WingLength", data=sparrows)
summary(sparrow_fit)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = "Weight ~ WingLength", data = sparrows)
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                               ЗQ
## -3.5440 -0.9935 0.0809 1.0559 3.4168
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.36549 0.95731
                                    1.426
                                             0.156
## WingLength
              0.46740
                          0.03472 13.463
                                            <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.4 on 114 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.6139, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6105
## F-statistic: 181.3 on 1 and 114 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
61.39% of the variation in weight is explained by WingLength
```

5-(c).

Provide the ANOVA table that partitions the total variablility in weight and interpret the F-test.

```
anova(sparrow_fit)
```

```
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Response: Weight
              Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value
                                         Pr(>F)
## WingLength
             1 355.05 355.05 181.25 < 2.2e-16 ***
## Residuals 114 223.31
                          1.96
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The F statistic is the same as the t^2 for $\beta_1 = 0$ vs $\beta_1 \neq 0$. Hence, there is significant evidence that there is a linear trend

6. Exercise 2.44

6-(a).

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = r \frac{s_x}{s_y} = (0.701)(\frac{104807}{657}) = 111.826$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x} = 247235 - (111.826)(2009) = 22576.57$$

$$\therefore \hat{y} = 22576.57 + 111.826x$$

6-(b).

$$r^2 = 0.491401$$

49.1% of the variation in gate counts is explained by enrollements.

6-(c).

$$\hat{y} = 22576.57 + 111.826(1445) = 184165.1$$

6-(d).

$$e = 130000 - (22576.57 + 111.826(2200)) = -138593.8$$

7. Skin Cancer example shown in class on Oc 16

7-(a).

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = -5.9776$$

$$SE\{\hat{\beta}_1\} = 0.5984$$

$$MSE = RSE^2 = 365.5744$$

$$SSX = \frac{MSE}{SE\{\hat{\beta_1}\}^2} = 610.9198$$

$$\hat{y} = 389.894 - (5.9776)(33.7) = 188.44888$$

7-(b).

$$(t_{0.025,47})\sqrt{MSE}\sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(33.7 - 39.52)^2}{SSX}} = (2.011741)(5.272538) = 10.60698$$

$$\implies \hat{\beta}_1 \pm 10.60698$$

$$\therefore [-16.58458, 4.62938]$$