

CAM 1429

# Chebyshev polynomials of the second, third and fourth kinds in approximation, indefinite integration, and integral transforms \*

J.C. Mason

*Applied and Computational Mathematics Group, Royal Military College of Science, Shrivenham, Swindon, Wiltshire, United Kingdom*

Dedicated to Dr. D.F. Mayers on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Received 18 February 1992

Revised 31 March 1992

## *Abstract*

Mason, J.C., Chebyshev polynomials of the second, third and fourth kinds in approximation, indefinite integration, and integral transforms, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 49 (1993) 169–178.

Chebyshev polynomials of the third and fourth kinds, orthogonal with respect to  $(1+x)^{1/2}(1-x)^{-1/2}$  and  $(1-x)^{1/2}(1+x)^{-1/2}$ , respectively, on  $[-1, 1]$ , are less well known than traditional first- and second-kind polynomials. We therefore summarise basic properties of all four polynomials, and then show how some well-known properties of first-kind polynomials extend to cover second-, third- and fourth-kind polynomials. Specifically, we summarise a recent set of first-, second-, third- and fourth-kind results for near-minimax constrained approximation by series and interpolation criteria, then we give new uniform convergence results for the indefinite integration of functions weighted by  $(1+x)^{-1/2}$  or  $(1-x)^{-1/2}$  using third- or fourth-kind polynomial expansions, and finally we establish a set of logarithmically singular integral transforms for which weighted first-, second-, third- and fourth-kind polynomials are eigenfunctions.

**Keywords:** Chebyshev polynomials; Jacobi polynomials; orthogonality; minimax approximation; near-minimax; constrained; expansion; interpolation; indefinite integration; integral transforms; singular; hypersingular

## 1. Definitions and basic properties

The Chebyshev polynomials  $T_n(x)$ ,  $U_n(x)$ ,  $V_n(x)$  and  $W_n(x)$  of the first, second, third and fourth kinds are defined, respectively, on  $[-1, 1]$  according to the following trigonometric

*Correspondence to:* Prof. J.C. Mason, Applied and Computational Mathematics Group, Royal Military College of Science, Shrivenham, Swindon, Wiltshire, SN6 8LA, United Kingdom.

\* Supported by the Italian Research Council (CNR) and the Royal Military College of Science, Shrivenham.

formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(x) &= \cos n\theta, & U_n(x) &= \frac{\sin(n+1)\theta}{\sin \theta}, \\ V_n(x) &= \frac{\cos(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta}{\cos \frac{1}{2}\theta}, & W_n(x) &= \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta}{\sin \frac{1}{2}\theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $x = \cos \theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ .

The nomenclature of “third- and fourth-kind Chebyshev polynomials” appears to have been first used by Gautschi (e.g., [2]). Since  $\sin \theta = (1-x^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $\cos \frac{1}{2}\theta = [\frac{1}{2}(1+x)]^{1/2}$ ,  $\sin \frac{1}{2}\theta = [\frac{1}{2}(1-x)]^{1/2}$ , it follows that  $T_n(x)$ ,  $(1-x^2)^{1/2}U_n(x)$ ,  $(1+x)^{1/2}V_n(x)$ ,  $(1-x)^{1/2}W_n(x)$  are proportional to cosine or sine functions in  $\theta$ , namely  $\cos n\theta$ ,  $\sin(n+1)\theta$ ,  $\cos(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta$ ,  $\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta$ , each of which oscillates between precisely  $n+1$  extrema of equal magnitude. We may therefore deduce the following minimax property.

**Property 1.1** (minimax property). The polynomials  $2^{1-n}T_n(x)$ ,  $2^{-n}U_n(x)$ ,  $2^{-n}V_n(x)$  and  $2^{-n}W_n(x)$  have the smallest Chebyshev norm (i.e., maximum magnitude) on  $[-1, 1]$  amongst all monic polynomials weighted by 1,  $(1-x^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $(1+x)^{1/2}$  and  $(1-x)^{1/2}$ , respectively.

The 4 polynomials are in fact Jacobi polynomials, orthogonal with respect to  $(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta$  for  $\alpha, \beta = \pm \frac{1}{2}$  according to the following property.

**Property 1.2** (orthogonality property).  $\{T_n(x)\}$ ,  $\{U_n(x)\}$ ,  $\{V_n(x)\}$ ,  $\{W_n(x)\}$  are orthogonal on  $[-1, 1]$  with respect to  $(1-x^2)^{-1/2}$ ,  $(1-x^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $(1+x)^{1/2}(1-x)^{-1/2}$ ,  $(1-x)^{1/2}(1+x)^{-1/2}$ , respectively.

We only have space to give a few of the formulae that hold for these polynomials. In particular, all four polynomials share the same recurrence relation

$$p_n = 2xp_{n-1} - p_{n-2}, \quad p_0 = 1,$$

but with different starting polynomials  $p_1$ , namely  $p_1 = x, 2x, 2x-1, 2x+1$  for first, second, third and fourth kinds. It is also clear that the third- and fourth-kind polynomials are essentially the same polynomial, but viewed from different ends of the interval, and specifically it is readily seen that

$$W_n(x) = (-1)^n V_n(-x). \quad (2)$$

Hence, it is normally sufficient to establish properties for third-kind polynomials, and then deduce analogous properties for fourth kind (by replacing  $x$  by  $-x$ ).

A key pair of formulae, for the third and fourth polynomials, establishes a strong link with first and second kinds:

$$V_n(x) = u^{-1}T_{2n+1}(u), \quad W_n(x) = U_{2n}(u), \quad (3)$$

where  $u = [\frac{1}{2}(1+x)]^{1/2} = \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta$  for  $x = \cos \theta$ . A further pair of formulae may be added to (3), namely

$$T_n(x) = T_{2n}(u), \quad U_n(x) = \frac{1}{2}u^{-1}U_{2n+1}(u).$$

It is clear from these formulae and (3) that  $T_n$ ,  $U_n$ ,  $V_n$  and  $W_n$  together form all first- and second-kind polynomials in the new variable  $u$  (weighted by  $u^{-1}$  in two cases).

It is finally useful to give simple formulae for differentiation of suitably weighted polynomials, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T'_n(x) &= nU_{n-1}(x), & \left[ (1-x^2)^{1/2}U_{n-1}(x) \right]' &= -n(1-x^2)^{-1/2}T_n(x), \\ \left[ (1+x)^{1/2}V_n(x) \right]' &= \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)(1+x)^{-1/2}W_n(x), \\ \left[ (1-x)^{1/2}W_n(x) \right]' &= -\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)(1-x)^{-1/2}V_n(x). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

## 2. Near-minimax constrained approximation

The common minimax property (Property 1.1) suggests that a partial sum of a series expansion in weighted Chebyshev polynomials (of first, second, third or fourth kind) should be close to a minimax weighted polynomial approximation, and a similar property should hold for interpolation at Chebyshev polynomial zeros. Indeed, in [6], a set of such results is obtained, which extend existing results for first-kind Chebyshev polynomials. Any projection  $P_n$  of a function  $f$  in a space  $F$  onto a polynomial of degree  $n$  satisfies

$$\|f - P_n f\|_\infty \leq (1 + \|P_n\|_\infty) \|f - B_n f\|_\infty,$$

where  $B_n$  is the (nonlinear) best minimax approximation operator, and  $P_n f$  may therefore be described as near-minimax within a relative distance  $\|P_n\|_\infty$ . The latter constant is thus important in measuring a bound on the distance from  $B_n f$ .

Mason and Elliott [6] define series projections  $S^{(1)}$ ,  $S^{(2)}$ ,  $S^{(3)}$  and  $S^{(4)}$  from spaces  $C[-1, 1]$ ,  $C_{\pm 1}[-1, 1]$ ,  $C_{-1}[-1, 1]$  and  $C_1[-1, 1]$  to partial sums of degree  $n$  of expansions in  $\{T_n(x)\}$ ,  $\{(1-x^2)^{1/2}U_{n-1}(x)\}$ ,  $\{(1+x)^{1/2}V_n(x)\}$  and  $\{(1-x)^{1/2}W_n(x)\}$ , respectively. Here  $C_{a,b,\dots}[-1, 1]$  denotes continuous functions vanishing at  $a, b, \dots$ . They also define analogous projections  $L^{(1)}$ ,  $L^{(2)}$ ,  $L^{(3)}$  and  $L^{(4)}$  by interpolation at zeros of  $T_{n+1}(x)$ ,  $U_{n+1}(x)$ ,  $V_{n+1}(x)$  and  $W_{n+1}(x)$ , respectively. They then show that all eight projection norms are apparently asymptotically proportional to  $\log n$ ; in some cases the behaviour is only demonstrated numerically, but a formula for the projection norm is obtained in all cases. The numerical values of all projection norms are less than 5 for all  $n \leq 500$ , and so the corresponding approximations may justifiably be described as “near-minimax”.

## 3. Indefinite integration by third- and fourth-kind polynomials

Consider the determination of the indefinite integral

$$h(x) = \int_{-1}^x (1+x)^{-1/2} f(x) \, dx, \quad (5)$$

where  $f$  is a given function and the integrand is square integrable. Similar integrals were determined in [5] for weights 1 and  $(1-x^2)^{-1/2}$  (in place of  $(1+x)^{-1/2}$ ), using Chebyshev

polynomials of the first and second kind, and we adopt an analogous approach for (5) using third- and fourth-kind polynomials. Precisely the same approach can also be adopted for the weight  $(1-x)^{-1/2}$ , but with  $x$  replaced by  $-x$ , and with third- and fourth-kind polynomials interchanged.

Suppose that  $f_n$  is the polynomial of degree  $n$  obtained as a partial sum of the expansion of  $f$  in fourth-kind Chebyshev polynomials

$$f_n = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k W_k(x), \quad (6)$$

where

$$C_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^{1/2} (1+x)^{-1/2} f(x) W_k(x) dx,$$

and define

$$h_n(x) = \int_{-1}^x (1+x)^{-1/2} f_n(x) dx. \quad (7)$$

Then, from (4), (6),

$$h_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1} (1+x)^{1/2} V_k(x). \quad (8)$$

Thus, an approximation  $h_n$  to  $h$  has been determined explicitly and simply. From (1), (5) and (8), setting  $x = \cos \theta$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) - h_n(x) &= \int_{-1}^x \left[ (1+x)^{-1/2} f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n C_k (1+x)^{-1/2} W_k(x) \right] dx \\ &= \int_{\theta}^{\pi} \left[ 2^{1/2} \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta f(\cos \theta) - \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{1/2} C_k \sin \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \theta \right] d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since the integral from  $\theta$  to  $\pi$  of a positive function is bounded by the integral from 0 to  $\pi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|h - h_n\|_{\infty} &\leq \int_0^{\pi} \left| 2^{1/2} \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta f(\cos \theta) - \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{1/2} C_k \sin \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \theta \right| d\theta \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \left| g(\phi) - \sum_{k=0}^n 2^{1/2} C_k \sin(2k+1)\phi \right| d\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $g(\phi) = 2^{1/2} \sin \phi f(\cos 2\phi)$ . Now, if we form the natural extension of  $g(\phi)$  to  $[-\pi, \pi]$  of  $\phi$ , by defining it to be even about  $\phi = \frac{1}{2}\pi$  and odd about  $\phi = 0$ , then  $g(\phi)$  has a Fourier series expansion in  $\phi$  with terms only in  $\sin(2k+1)\phi$ .

Hence, the right-hand side of (9) is the  $L_1$  norm of the error in the Fourier partial sum of an  $L_2$  function, and this tends to zero with  $n$  (since such a series is  $L_2$  convergent and hence  $L_1$  convergent). Thus,  $\|h - h_n\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the approximation method is *uniformly convergent*. We have therefore proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The indefinite integral from  $-1$  to  $x$  of  $(1+x)^{-1/2}$  times the partial sum of the expansion of  $f(x)$  in Chebyshev series of the fourth kind converges uniformly to the indefinite integral of  $(1+x)^{-1/2}f(x)$ , provided the latter function is  $L_2$  integrable.*

We note that the coefficients  $C_k$  in (6), which are Fourier series coefficients of  $g(\phi)$ , may be determined by a fast Fourier transform technique. Alternatively, we can expect to obtain comparably accurate results by using, in place of  $f_n$ , the polynomial which interpolates  $f$  in the zeros of  $W_{n+1}(x)$ . This can be rapidly determined by a discrete Fourier transform technique.

In the special case in which  $f(x)$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $n+1$ ,  $h-h_n$  is a constant multiple of

$$(1+x)^{1/2}V_{n+1}(x).$$

From Property 1.1 this is a minimax approximation to zero, and hence the integration method is optimal in this case.

## 4. Integral transforms

### 4.1. Hilbert-type kernels

It is well known that the Chebyshev polynomials of first and second kinds are integral transforms of each other with respect to weighted Hilbert kernels, as follows:

$$\oint_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{-1/2} \frac{T_n(x)}{x-y} dx = \pi U_{n-1}(y), \quad (10)$$

$$\oint_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{1/2} \frac{U_{n-1}(x)}{x-y} dx = -\pi T_n(y). \quad (11)$$

Here the integral  $\oint$  is to be interpreted as a Cauchy principal value integral. These two formulae correspond, under the transformation  $x = \cos \theta$ ,  $y = \cos \phi$  to the trigonometric formulae

$$\oint_0^\pi \frac{\cos n\theta}{\cos \theta - \cos \phi} d\theta = \pi \frac{\sin n\phi}{\sin \phi}, \quad \oint_0^\pi \frac{\sin n\theta \sin \theta}{\cos \theta - \cos \phi} d\theta = -\pi \cos n\phi,$$

which may readily be proved by induction.

It is further known (see, e.g., [1]) that the third- and fourth-kind polynomials are similarly related:

$$\oint_{-1}^1 \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{1/2} \frac{V_n(x)}{x-y} dx = \pi W_n(y), \quad (12)$$

$$\oint_{-1}^1 \left( \frac{1-x}{1+x} \right)^{1/2} \frac{W_n(x)}{x-y} dx = -\pi V_n(y). \quad (13)$$

Note that (10)–(13) all adopt a Hilbert kernel with a weight function, and that the latter weight is precisely that with respect to which the left-hand side Chebyshev polynomial system is

orthogonal. The formulae (12) and (13) are easily obtained from (10) and (11) by using (3). For example, setting  $x = 2u^2 - 1$  and  $y = 2v^2 - 1$ , where  $u = \cos \frac{1}{2}\theta$  and  $v = \cos \frac{1}{2}\phi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{1/2} \frac{V_n(x)}{x-y} dx &= \int_0^1 \frac{u}{(1-u^2)^{1/2}} \frac{T_{2n+1}(u)}{u^2-v^2} 2 du \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 (1-u^2)^{-1/2} T_{2n+1}(u) \left( \frac{1}{u+v} + \frac{1}{u-v} \right) du \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 (1-u^2)^{-1/2} \frac{T_{2n+1}(u)}{u-v} du = \pi U_{2n}(v), \quad \text{by (10),} \\ &= \pi W_n(y). \end{aligned}$$

The four formulae (10)–(13) suggest obvious orthogonal expansion techniques for obtaining Hilbert-type transforms for “arbitrary” functions. Indeed, provided all relevant expansions are convergent, we may link  $f$  and  $g$  by Chebyshev series expansions, as follows.

(i) If

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k T_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) \sim \pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k U_{k-1}(y),$$

then

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{-1/2} \frac{f(x)}{x-y} dx = g(y). \quad (14)$$

(ii) If

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k U_{k-1}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) \sim \pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k T_k(y),$$

then

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{1/2} \frac{f(x)}{x-y} dx = -g(y). \quad (15)$$

(iii) If

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k V_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) \sim \pi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k W_k(y),$$

then

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left( \frac{1+x}{1-x} \right)^{1/2} \frac{f(x)}{x-y} dx = g(y). \quad (16)$$

(iv) If

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k W_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) \sim \pi \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k V_k(y),$$

then

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left( \frac{1-x}{1+x} \right)^{1/2} \frac{f(x)}{x-y} dx = -g(y). \quad (17)$$

These provide us with procedures for determining, in principle, either  $g(y)$  from  $f(x)$  or  $f(x)$  from  $g(y)$ .

For practical implementation the given function  $f(x)$  may be replaced by the partial sum of degree  $n$  of the relevant expansion, and  $g(y)$  may then be defined similarly. Alternatively, the polynomial of degree  $n$  interpolating at the zeros of the relevant Chebyshev polynomial of degree  $n+1$  may be adopted and expressed in the form of a sum of Chebyshev polynomials. Analogous procedures may be adopted if  $g(y)$  is the given function.

#### 4.2. Logarithmic kernels

If the formulae (10)–(13) are integrated with respect to  $y$ , then new results, also linking Chebyshev polynomials, are obtained.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The integral equation*

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{-1/2} \phi(x) K(x, y) dx = \lambda \phi(y) \quad (18)$$

has the following eigensolutions  $\phi$  and corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda$  for the following kernels  $K$ :

- (i)  $\phi(x) = T_n(x), \quad \lambda = \frac{-\pi}{n},$   
 $K = K_1(x, y) = \log |x - y|,$
- (ii)  $\phi(x) = (1-x^2)^{1/2} U_{n-1}(x), \quad \lambda = \frac{\pi}{n},$   
 $K = K_2(x, y) = \log |x - y| - \log |1 - xy - (1-x^2)^{1/2}(1-y^2)^{1/2}|,$
- (iii)  $\phi(x) = (1+x)^{1/2} V_n(x), \quad \lambda = \frac{\pi}{n + \frac{1}{2}},$   
 $K = K_3(x, y) = \log |x - y| - \log |2 + x + y - 2(1+x)^{1/2}(1+y)^{1/2}|,$
- (iv)  $\phi(x) = (1-x)^{1/2} W_n(x), \quad \lambda = \frac{\pi}{n + \frac{1}{2}},$   
 $K = K_4(x, y) = \log |x - y| - \log |2 - x - y - 2(1-x)^{1/2}(1-y)^{1/2}|.$

**Proof.** (i) Integrating (10) with respect to  $y$  from  $-1$  to  $y$  gives (18) for  $\phi = T_n(x)$ , once we have observed that the values at  $-1$  match each other exactly. This result is well known, see [3, p.337], for example. (The order of integration may be reversed, if the integrals are regarded in a Lebesgue sense.)

(ii) Multiplying (11) by  $(1 - y^2)^{-1/2}$ , and integrating from  $-1$  to  $y$ , using (4), we deduce (18) for  $\phi(x) = (1 - x^2)^{1/2}U_{n-1}(x)$  with  $\lambda = \pi/n$  and

$$K(x, y) = (1 - x^2)^{1/2} \int_{-1}^y (1 - y^2)^{-1/2} (x - y)^{-1} dy.$$

Writing  $x = \cos 2\phi$ ,  $y = \cos 2\psi$ , we may deduce after some algebra that

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y) &= \log \left| \frac{\sin(\phi + \Psi)}{\sin(\phi - \Psi)} \right| = \log \left| \frac{1 - xy + (1 - x^2)^{1/2}(1 - y^2)^{1/2}}{x - y} \right| \\ &= \log \left| \frac{x - y}{1 - xy - (1 - x^2)^{1/2}(1 - y^2)^{1/2}} \right| = K_2(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Multiplying (12) by  $(1 + y)^{-1/2}$ , and integrating, using (4), we deduce (18) for  $\phi(x) = (1 + x)^{1/2}V_n(x)$  with  $\lambda = \pi/(n + \frac{1}{2})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y) &= \int_{-1}^y \left( \frac{1 + x}{1 + y} \right)^{1/2} (x - y)^{-1} dy \quad (\text{set } x = 2u^2 - 1, y = 2v^2 - 1) \\ &= \int_0^v \frac{2u}{u^2 - v^2} dv = \log \left| \frac{u + v}{u - v} \right| = \log \left| \frac{u^2 + v^2 + 2uv}{u^2 - v^2} \right| \\ &= \log \left| \frac{2 + x + y + 2(1 + x)^{1/2}(1 + y)^{1/2}}{x - y} \right| \\ &= \log \left| \frac{x - y}{2 + x + y - 2(1 + x)^{1/2}(1 + y)^{1/2}} \right| = K_3(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) follows similarly.  $\square$

Note that  $K_2(x, y)$  has not only a (log) singularity on the line  $x = y$ , but also two additional point (log) singularities at  $x = y = \pm 1$ .

Note also that  $K_3(x, y)$  has not only a (log) singularity on the line  $x = y$ , but also an additional point (log) singularity at  $x = y = -1$ , while  $K_4$  is similar but has its additional point singularity at  $x = y = +1$ .

The results (i)–(iv) of Theorem 4.1 suggest an obvious orthogonal expansion technique for obtaining log-type transforms of “arbitrary” functions. Again, provided that all of the relevant expansions converge, we may link  $f$  and  $g$  by formal Chebyshev series expansions, as follows.

**Corollary 4.2.** (i) *If*

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k T_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) \sim -\pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k T_k(y)}{k},$$

*then*

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1 - x^2)^{-1/2} f(x) K_1(x, y) dx = g(y).$$



(ii) If

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k U_{k-1}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) \sim \pi(1-y^2)^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_k U_{k-1}(y)}{k},$$

then

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(x) K_2(x, y) \, dx = g(y).$$

(iii) If

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k V_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) \sim \pi(1+y)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_k V_k(y)}{k + \frac{1}{2}},$$

then

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1-x)^{-1/2} f(x) K_3(x, y) \, dx = g(y).$$

(iv) If

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k W_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) \sim \pi(1-y)^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{d_k W_k(y)}{k + \frac{1}{2}},$$

then

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1+x)^{-1/2} f(x) K_4(x, y) \, dx = g(y).$$

As in Section 4.1, for a practical implementation, each of the series (in Corollary 4.2) may be replaced by an appropriate series partial sum, or alternatively  $f$  (or  $g$ ) may be replaced by that Chebyshev sum (i.e., polynomial) of degree  $n$  which interpolates  $f$  (or  $g$ ) at the zeros of the corresponding Chebyshev polynomial. Precisely the latter approach has been adopted in [7].

### 4.3. Hypersingular equations

We may also obtain a set of results by differentiating (10)–(13), after premultiplying by  $(1-y^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $1$ ,  $(1-y)^{1/2}$ ,  $(1+y)^{1/2}$ , respectively. However, these do not give simple kernels, except in the second-kind case, where we obtain

$$\oint_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{1/2} \frac{U_{n-1}(x)}{(x-y)^2} \, dx = -\pi n U_{n-1}(y). \quad (19)$$

Moreover, if

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k U_{k-1}(x), \quad g(y) \sim -\pi \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k U_{k-1}(y),$$

then

$$\oint_{-1}^1 (1-x^2)^{1/2} \frac{f(x)}{(x-y)^2} \, dx = g(y). \quad (20)$$

The integrals in (19) and (20) are to be interpreted as Hadamard finite-part integrals.

For a practical implementation we may again replace each series by a partial series sum or by a Chebyshev sum which interpolates in Chebyshev zeros. Indeed, in [8], such an approach is successfully adopted.

## Appendix

After completion of the paper, we noticed that result (ii) of Theorem 4.1 appears in a modified form as [4, equation (4.7)] where also (19) is quoted. (However, results (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 4.1 remain original.)

## Acknowledgement

We thank Professor David Elliott of the University of Tasmania for his most helpful comments.

## References

- [1] J.A. Fromme and M.A. Goldberg, Equations arising in two-dimensional aerodynamics, in: M.A. Goldberg, Ed., *Solution Methods for Integral Equations — Theory and Applications* (Plenum, New York, 1979).
- [2] W. Gautschi, On mean convergence of extended Lagrange interpolation, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **43** (1–2) (1992) 19–35.
- [3] C.C. Grosjean, Some new integrals arising from mathematical physics IV, *Simon Stevin* **45** (1972) 321–383.
- [4] P.A. Martin, Exact solution of a simple hypersingular integral equation, *J. Integral Equations Appl.* **4** (2) (1992) 197–204.
- [5] J.C. Mason, The  $L_p$ -minimality and near-minimality of orthogonal polynomial approximation and integration methods, in: M. Alfaro, J.S. Dehesa, F.J. Marcellán, J.L. Rubio de Francia and J. Vinuesa, Eds., *Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1329** (Springer, Berlin, 1988) 291–299.
- [6] J.C. Mason and G.H. Elliott, Constrained near-minimax approximation by weighted expansion and interpolation using Chebyshev polynomials of the second, third and fourth kinds, in: D. Zwick and R. Zalik, Eds., *Algorithms for Constrained Approximation and Optimization*, Proc. Workshop Univ. Vermont, 1993, to appear.
- [7] I.H. Sloan and E.P. Stephan, Collocation with Chebyshev polynomials for Symm's integral equation on an interval, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **34** (1992) 199–211.
- [8] E.P. Stephan, private communication, 1991.