

Formal Verification of Software – Exercises

Harald Glanzer Bernd-Peter Ivanschitz Lukas Petermann

May 2012

Exercise 1 (1 point) Show that the given TPL program is syntactically correct:

```
1  $x := x + y$ ;  
2 if  $x < 0$  then  
3 abort  
4 else  
5 while  $x \neq y$  do  
6    $x := x + 1$ ;  
7    $y := y + 2$   
8 od  
9 fi
```

To prove the program for correct syntax, every line of the given code must be examined to show that every statement is part of our programming language. The TPL syntax was introduced in the first lecture, see the corresponding pdf, pages 12 and 13.

- Line 1: $x := x + y$;
Assignment: $\nu ::= \epsilon, \nu ::= \text{variable} | \text{integer} | \text{binary expression} | \text{unary expression}$
Left side of an assignment must be a variable, which must NOT be a keyword, which is not the case, x is not reserved, as well as y . Left side must be an expression ϵ , which can itself be another variable, an integer or one or two expressions, connected with an unary or binary operator - is syntactically correct
- Line 2, 4, 9: if $x < 0$ then ... else ... fi
If-Then-Else - Statement: must start with the keyword *IF*, followed by an expression ϵ . In this case, the expression consists of 2 variables, connected with a binary operator, as defined recursively in the lecture notes. After this expression another keyword, *THEN*, must occur, which is the case. A program - statement must follow afterwards (will be examined in the next steps), until another keyword, *ELSE*, occurs. Afterwards, another program statement follows, until the construct is closed by the final keyword *FI*.
- Line 3: abort
valid keyword, which terminates the actual program and signals an error condition

- Line 5,8: `while $x \neq y$ do ... od`
keyword *WHILE*, followed by a valid binary expression, followed by keyword *DO*, followed by a program statement, terminated by keyword *OD*
- Line 6: `$x := x + 1$;`
valid assignment, left side is a variable (no keyword allowed), right side consists of a binary expression, in this case a variable and an integer, which is a valid expression as defined in a recursive manner on page 12 in the lecture notes
- Line 7: `$y := y + 2$;`
same as Line 6

Exercise 2 (1 point) Let σ be a state satisfying $\sigma(x) = \sigma(y) = 1$, and let p be the program given in exercise 3. Compute $[p]\sigma$, using

(a) the structural operational semantics

(b) the natural semantics

of TPL.

Exercise 3 (1 point) Let p be the following program:

```

 $x := x + y$ ;
if  $x < 0$  then
  abort
else
  while  $x \neq y$  do
     $x := x + 1$ ;
     $y := y + 2$ 
  od
fi

```

Show that $\{x = 2y \wedge y > 2\}p\{x = y\}$ is totally correct by computing the weakest precondition of the program.

Exercise 4 (1 point) Let p be the program given in exercise 3. Use the Hoare calculus to show that

$$\{x = 2y \wedge y > 2\}p\{x = y\}$$

is totally correct.

Exercise 5 (1 point) Extend our toy language by statements of the form “**assert** e ”. When the condition e evaluates to true, the program continues, otherwise the program aborts.

Specify the syntax and semantics of the extended language. Determine the weakest precondition, the weakest liberal precondition, the strongest postcondition, and Hoare rules (partial and total correctness) for **assert**-statements. Show that they are correct.

Treat the `assert`-statement as a first-class citizen, i.e., do not refer to other program statements in the final result. However, you may use other statements as intermediate steps when deriving the rules.

Exercise 6 (1 point) Verify that the following program doubles the value of x . For which inputs does it terminate? Choose appropriate pre- and postconditions and show that the assertion is totally correct. Use $y = 2x_0 + x$ as a starting point for the invariant, where x_0 denotes the initial value of x .

```

y := 3x;
while 2x ≠ y do
  x := x + 1;
  y := y + 1;
od

```

Exercise 7 (1 point) Show that the following correctness assertion is totally correct. Describe the function computed by the program if we consider a as its input and c as its output.

```

{ 1: a ≥ 0 }
b := 1;
c := 0;
{ Inv: b = (c + 1)3 ∧ 0 ≤ c3 ≤ a }
while b ≤ a do
  d := 3 * c + 6;
  c := c + 1;
  b := b + c * d + 1
od
{ 2: c3 ≤ a < (c + 1)3 }

```

Exercise 8 (1 point) Prove that the rule

$$\frac{\{ Inv \wedge e \} p \{ Inv \}}{\{ Inv \} \text{while } e \text{ do } p \text{ od } \{ Inv \wedge \neg e \}} \quad (\text{wh})$$

is correct regarding partial correctness, i.e., show that $\{ Inv \} \text{while } e \text{ do } p \text{ od } \{ Inv \wedge \neg e \}$ is partially correct whenever $\{ Inv \wedge e \} p \{ Inv \}$ is partially correct.

Exercise 9 (2 points) Determine the weakest liberal precondition of while-loops, i.e., find a formula equivalent to $\text{wlp}(\text{while } e \text{ do } p \text{ od}, G)$ similar to the weakest precondition in the course.

Use your formula to compute the weakest liberal precondition of the program

```

z := 0; while y ≠ 0 do z := z + x; y := y - 1 od

```

with respect to the postcondition $z = x * y_0$. Compare the result to the weakest precondition computed in the course and explain the differences.