

**Discrete Structures**  
Introduction to Proofs

A Document By:  
**Nathan Warner**



**Northern Illinois  
University**

Computer Science  
Northern Illinois University  
August 16, 2023  
United States

## Contents

1	<b>Terminology</b> .....	3
2	<b>Direct Proof</b> .....	3
3	<b>Proofs by Contrapositive</b> .....	5

# Proofs

---

## 1 Terminology

- **Conjecture:** A mathematical statement that has not yet been rigorously proved but is being proposed as being true.
- **Theorem:** Is a statement that can be shown to be true, or has been shown to be true.
- **Axioms (or Postulates):** Is a statement that is taken to be true, to serve as a premise or starting point for further reasoning and arguments.
- **Lemma:** Is a less important theorem that is helpful in the proof of theorems.
- **Corollary:** Is a theorem that can be established directly from a theorem that has been proven.

## 2 Direct Proof

**Definition.** A **direct proof** is a way of showing the truth or falsehood of a given statement by a straightforward combination of established facts, usually axioms, existing lemmas and theorems, without making any further assumptions.

Let's say we have the statement: *If  $n$  is odd number then  $n^2$  is an odd number*

**Proof:** Let's assume that  $n$  is an odd number, which means that it can be expressed as  $n = 2k + 1$  for some integer  $k$ . This is because odd numbers are of the form  $2k + 1$  where  $k$  is an integer.

Now, let's square  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned}n^2 &= (2k + 1)^2 \\&= 4k^2 + 4k + 1 \\&= 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1\end{aligned}$$

As we can see from the expression  $2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$ , the squared value  $n^2$  is expressed as an even number (2 times an integer) plus 1. Since an odd number can always be represented as  $2k + 1$ , where  $k$  is an integer, the expression  $2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1$  follows the same pattern and is also an odd number.

Thus, we have shown that if  $n$  is an odd number, then  $n^2$  is indeed an odd number. ☺

Now let's say we have the statement: *If  $n$  is even then  $(-1)^n = 1$*

**Proof:** Let's assume that  $n$  is an even number, which means that it can be expressed as  $n = 2k$  for some integer  $k$ . This is because even numbers are of the form  $2k$  where  $k$  is an integer.

Now, let's consider  $(-1)^{2k}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^{2k} &= ((-1)^2)^k \\ &= 1^k \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

| |  
⌒

Since any non-negative integer exponent of 1 is always 1, the expression  $(-1)^{2k}$  simplifies to 1.

Therefore, we have shown that if  $n$  is an even number, then  $(-1)^n = 1$  holds true.

This completes the proof.

⊙

For the next example, let's consider the following statement: *if  $a|b$  and  $a|c$ , then  $a|(b+c)$* ,  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$

**Proof:** Assume that  $a|b$  and  $a|c$ . This means there exist integers  $r$  and  $t$  such that:

$$\begin{aligned}b &= a \cdot r, && \text{(by definition of divisibility)} \\ c &= a \cdot t. && \text{(by definition of divisibility)}\end{aligned}$$

We want to show that  $a|(b+c)$ . This means there exists an integer  $s$  such that:

$$b + c = a \cdot s. \quad \text{(by definition of divisibility)}$$

Adding the equations for  $b$  and  $c$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned}b + c &= a \cdot r + a \cdot t \\ &= a \cdot (r + t).\end{aligned}$$

Since  $r$  and  $t$  are integers,  $r + t$  is also an integer. Therefore, we have shown that  $b + c = a \cdot (r + t)$ , which implies  $a|(b+c)$ . Thus, we have proved the statement.

| |  
⌒

⊙

### 3 Proofs by Contrapositive

Recall contrapositive, if  $p \rightarrow q$ , then the contrapositive is  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ . Recall that these two statements are *logically equivalent*

**Definition.** In mathematics, proof by contrapositive, or proof by contraposition, is a rule of inference used in proofs, where one infers a conditional statement from its contrapositive. In other words, the conclusion "if  $A$ , then  $B$ " is inferred by constructing a proof of the claim "if not  $B$ , then not  $A$ " instead. More often than not, this approach is preferred if the contrapositive is easier to prove than the original conditional statement itself.

Consider the statement:  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $n^2$  is odd, then  $n$  is odd

First, let's try to prove this directly. To show that this approach is futile.

**Proof:** Suppose  $n^2$  is odd. Then, we can express it as  $n^2 = 2k + 1$ , where  $k$  is an integer.

$$n^2 = 2k + 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Our goal is to prove that  $n$  is also odd, implying that  $n$  can be written as  $n = 2k + 1$ , where  $k$  is an integer. Let's attempt to find a direct expression for  $n$ :

$$n = \sqrt{2k + 1}.$$

However, this doesn't provide any information about the parity of  $n$ . Therefore, a direct proof is not yielding the desired result. In such cases, we often resort to a proof by contrapositive, which can be more effective in establishing the statement. ☹

Before we begin our proof by contrapositive, let's clarify what the contrapositive is for our statement:

Statement: If  $n^2$  is odd, then  $n$  is odd.  
 Contrapositive: if  $n$  is even, then  $n^2$  is even

**Proof:** Suppose  $n$  is even. Then, we can express it as  $n = 2k$ , where  $k$  is an integer.

$$n = 2k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We want to show that  $n^2$  is also even, implying that  $n^2 = 2k + 1$ , where  $k$  is an integer. If we square both sides of our statement  $n = 2k + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} n^2(2k)^2 \\ n^2 = 4k^2 \\ n^2 = 2(2k^2). \end{aligned}$$

Since we know that if  $k$  is an integer, then  $k^2$  must also be an integer, we have shown that the parity of  $n^2$  is indeed even if  $n$  is even.

Therefore, by proving the contrapositive statement, we have established the original statement: If  $n^2$  is odd, then  $n$  is odd.



☺

Let's consider another example:  $\forall$  positive real numbers,  $n \cdot m > 100$ , then  $n > 10$  or  $m > 10$

So we have:

Statement:  $\forall$  positive real numbers, if  $n \cdot m > 100$ , then  $n > 10$  or  $m > 10$   
 Contrapositive:  $\forall$  positive real numbers, if  $n \leq 10$  and  $m \leq 10$  then  $n \cdot m \leq 100$

**Proof:** So suppose  $n \leq 10$  and  $m \leq 10$ , we want to show that  $nm \leq 100$ .

If:

$$\begin{aligned} n &\leq 10 \\ nm &\leq 10m \quad (\text{Multiplying both sides by } m). \end{aligned}$$

And:

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq 10 \\ 10m &\leq 100 \quad (\text{Multiplying both sides by } 10). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that:

$$nm \leq 100.$$

Therefore, we have shown that if  $n \leq 10$  and  $m \leq 10$ , then  $nm$  must be  $\leq 100$

