**Exam 1**

**Nathan Warner**



Computer Science
Northern Illinois University
United States

# Contents

# Part 1 (Study of points on lines, and distance)

## 1.1   Axioms

**Axiom of distance**: For all points $P, Q$

1. $PQ \geqslant 0$

2. $PQ = 0 \iff P = Q$

3. $PQ = QP$

**Axioms of incidence**

1. There are at least two different lines

2. Each line contains at least two different points

3. Each pair of points are together in at least one line

4. Each pair of points $P, Q$, with $PQ < \omega$ are together in at most one line

**Betweenness of points axiom (Ax. BP)**: If $A, B, C$ are distinct, collinear points, and if $AB + BC \leqslant \omega$, then there exists a betweenness relation among $A, B, C$

What this is really saying is that if **any** of $AB + BC$, $BA + AC$, $AC + CB$ is $\leqslant \omega$, then there is a betweenness relation.

**Note:** If Ax.BP is true for a plane $\mathbb{P}$, and if $AB + BC \leqslant \omega$ for distinct collinear $A, B, C$, then there is a betweenness relation, but not necessarily $A$-$B$-$C$

When $\omega = \infty$, then for any distinct collinear $A, B, C$, $AB + BC < \infty = \omega$, so there will be a betweenness relation

**Quadrichotomy Axiom for Points (Ax.QP)**: If $A, B, C, X$ are distinct, collinear points, and if $A$-$B$-$C$. Then, at least one of the following must hold

$$X\text{-}A\text{-}B, \quad A\text{-}X\text{-}B, \quad B\text{-}X\text{-}C, \quad \text{or} \quad B\text{-}C\text{-}X$$

Thus, Ax.QP says that whenever $A$-$B$-$C$ (say on line $\ell$), then any other point $X$ on line $\ell$ is in either $\overrightarrow{BA}$ or $\overrightarrow{BC}$. That is,

$$\ell = \overrightarrow{BA} \cup \overrightarrow{BC}$$

**Nontriviality Axiom (Ax.N)**: For any point $A$ on a line $\ell$ there exists a point $B$ on $\ell$ with $0 < AB < \omega$

This axiom is true for the planes in which $\omega = \infty$ ($\mathbb{E}$, $\mathbb{M}$, $\mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{G}$, $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$, ws)

This axiom is also true for $\mathbb{S}$ and Fano, where $\omega < \infty$

**Real ray Axiom (Ax.RR)**: For any ray $\overrightarrow{AB}$, and for any real number $s$ with $0 \leqslant s \leqslant \omega$, there is a point $X$ in $\overrightarrow{AB}$ with $AX = s$

**Separation Axiom Ax.S**: for each line $m$, there exists a pair of opposite halfplanes with edge $m$.

## 1.2 Definitions

- **Definition (Endpoints)**. Point $A$ is called an endpoint of ray $\overrightarrow{AB}$

- **Definition (Interior points and length for a segment):** Given a segment $\overline{AB}$, $A$ and $B$ are called its endpoints. All other points of $\overline{AB}$ are called **Interior points** of $\overline{AB}$

  Distance $AB$ is called the **length** of $\overline{AB}$

  The interior of $\overline{AB}$, denoted $\text{Int}\,\overline{AB}$ or $\overline{AB}^0$, means the set of all interior points of $\overline{AB}$. That is, $\text{Int}\,\overline{AB} = \overline{AB}^0 = \{X : A\text{-}X\text{-}B\}$

- **Definition**. Assume $\omega < \infty$. Let $A$ be a point on a line $m$. The unique point $A_m^*$ on $m$ such that $AA_m^* = \omega$ is called the **antipode** of $A$ on $m$. Thus,

$$\begin{cases} A, A_m^* \text{ are on m, } AA_m^* = \omega \\ \text{and } A\text{-}X\text{-}A_m^* \text{ for all other points } X \text{ on } m \end{cases}$$

- **Definition (interior points of a ray):** Let $h = \overrightarrow{AB}$ be a ray. All points of $h$ that are not endpoints of $h$ are called *interior points* of $h$.

  The *interior* of $h$ is the set of all interior points of $h$, and is denoted by $h^\circ$, $\overrightarrow{AB}^\circ$, or $\text{Int } \overrightarrow{AB}$.

- **Definition (Opposite rays):** Two rays with the same endpoint whose union is a line are called **opposite rays**

- **Notation:** Denote the ray opposite to ray $h$ by $h'$. So, $\overrightarrow{AB}'$ means the ray opposite $\overrightarrow{AB}$

- **Definition**: Let $H, K$ be opposite halfplanes with edge $m$. Two points in the same halfplane are said to be on the **same side** of $m$.

- **Definition**: $A^*$ is called the **antipode** of $A$

## 1.3 Theorems

- **Theorem 6.1 (Symmetry of betweenness)**. For a general plane $\mathbb{P}$ with points, lines, distance, and satisfy the seven axioms, $A - B - C \iff C - B - A$

- **Theorem 6.2 (UMT)**: If $A - B - C$ then $B - A - C$ and $A - C - B$ are false.

- **Theorem 7.6**: For any point $A$ on a line $\ell$ there exists a point $C$ not on $\ell$ with $0 < AC < \omega$

- **Triangle inequality for the line**: If $A, B, C$ are any three distinct, collinear points, then

$$AB + BC \geqslant AC$$

- **Rule of insertion**:

    - If $A$-$B$-$C$ and $A$-$X$-$B$, then $A$-$X$-$B$-$C$
    - If $A$-$B$-$C$ and $B$-$X$-$C$, then $A$-$B$-$X$-$C$

- **Theorem 8.1**: If $\omega = \infty$, then $\mathbb{D} = [0, \infty)$; if $\omega < \infty$, then $\mathbb{D} = [0, \omega]$

- **Theorem 8.2** Each segment, ray, and line has infinitely many points.

- **Theorem 8.3**. If $X \neq Y$ are points different from $A$ on ray $\overrightarrow{AB}$, then one of $A$-$X$-$Y$ or $A$-$Y$-$X$ is true.

- **Theorem 8.4**. If $C$ is any point on ray $\overrightarrow{AB}$ with $0 < AC < \omega$, then $\overrightarrow{AC} = \overrightarrow{AB}$

- **Theorem 8.6 (UDR)** For any ray $\overrightarrow{AB}$ and any real number $s$ with $0 \leqslant s \leqslant \omega$, there is a **unique** point $X$ on $\overrightarrow{AB}$ with $AX = s$. $X$ is in $\overline{AB}$ if and only if $s \leqslant AB$

- **Theorem 9.1 (Antipode on line theorem)**: Let $A$ be a point on a line $m$ (in a plane with the 11 axioms). Assume that $\omega < \infty$. Then, there exists a unique point $A_m^*$ on $m$ such that $AA_m^* = \omega$. Further, if $X$ is any other point on $m$, then $A$-$X$-$A_m^*$

- **Theorem 9.2 (Almost-uniqueness for Quadrichotomy)**: Suppose that $A, B, C, X$ are distinct points on a line $m$, and that $A - B - C$. Then **exactly one** of the following holds:
$$X - A - B, \quad A - X - B, \quad B - X - C, \quad B - C - X$$
with the **only exception** that both $X - A - B$ and $B - C - X$ are true when $\omega < \infty$ and $X = B_m^*$.

    (Note that $B_m^* - A - B$ and $B - C - B_m^*$ **are both true** by Thm. 9.1)

- **Theorem 9.4**. If $h$ is a ray with two endpoints $A$ and $P$, then $\omega < \infty$ and $P = A_m^*$, where $m$ is the carrier of $h$ ($h \subseteq m$).

- **Theorem 9.6 (Opposite ray theorem)**: If $B$-$A$-$C$, then $\overrightarrow{AB}$ and $\overrightarrow{AC}$ are opposite rays

    Also, for $m = \overleftrightarrow{AB}$

$$\overrightarrow{AB} \cap \overrightarrow{AC} = \begin{cases} \{A\} & \text{if } \omega = \infty \\ \{A, A_m^*\} & \text{if } \omega < \infty \end{cases}$$

- **Corollary 9.7**: Each ray has a unique opposite ray.

- **Corollary 9.8**: Let $A, B$ be points on line $m$ with $0 < AB < \omega < \infty$. Then $\overrightarrow{AB'} = \overrightarrow{AB_m^*}$

- **Corollary 9.9**: Let $A, B$ be points on line $m$ with $0 < AB < \omega < \infty$. Then, $m = \overline{AB} \cup \overline{BA_m^*} \cup \overline{A_m^* B_m^*} \cup \overline{B_m^* A}$, with the interiors of these segments being disjoint.

- **Theorem 9.10**: Let $A, B$ be points on line $m$ with $0 < AB < \omega < \infty$ . Let $C \neq A, B, A_m^*, B_m^*$ be another point on $m$. Then there is no betweenness relation for $A, B, C$ if and only if $C \in \overline{A_m^* B_m^*}^0$

- **Definition**. A subset $S$ of $\mathbb{P}$ is **convex** if for each pair of points $X \neq Y$ in $S$ with $XY < \omega$, $\overline{XY} \subseteq S$ holds.

- **Theorem 10.1**: If $S_1$ and $S_2$ are convex sets in $\mathbb{P}$, then so is $S_1 \cap S_2$

- **Theorem 10.2**: Segments, rays, and lines are convex.

- **Definition**: A pair of sets $H, K$ in $\mathbb{P}$ is called **opposed around a line** $m$ if

    - $H, K \neq \varnothing$
    - $H, K$ are convex
    - $H \cap K = \varnothing$
    - $H \cup K = \mathbb{P} - m$

- **Theorem 10.3** Let $H, K$ be sets opposed around a line $m$ in $\mathbb{P}$. Suppose that $A, C$ are points so that $C \in m$, $A \in H$, $AC < \omega$. Then, $\text{Int} \overrightarrow{CA} \subseteq H$, and $\text{Int} \overrightarrow{CA'} \subseteq K$

- **Corollary 10.4**: let $H, K$ be sets opposed around a line $m$, let $A, B$ be points not on $m$, with $A\text{-}X\text{-}B$ for some point $X \in m$. Then, $A, B$ lie one in each of $H$ and $K$, in some order.

- **Definition**: Let $m$ be a line. Sets $H, K$ are called **opposite halfplanes with edge** $m$ if:

    $H, K$ are opposed around $m$, and whenever $X \in H, Y \in K$ and $XY < \omega$,

    then, $\overline{XY} \cap m \neq \varnothing$

- **Theorem 10.5**: Suppose that $m$ is a line so that there exists a pair $H, K$ of opposite half planes with edge $m$. Suppose also that $\omega < \infty$ and $A$ is a point on $m$. If $B$ is any point in $\mathbb{P}$ with $AB = \omega$, then $B \in m$ (so $B = A_m^*$, and there is only one point $B$ in all of $\mathbb{P}$ with $AB = \omega$)

    In other words, let $H, K$ be opposite halfplanes with edge a line $m$, let $A \in m$, $\omega < \infty$. If $B \in \mathbb{P}$, $AB = \omega$, then $B \in m$, and $B$ unique in $\mathbb{P}$

- **Theorem 10.6**: Suppose that there is a pair $H, K$ of opposite halfplanes with edge $m$. Let $A \neq B$ be points not on $m$. Then,

    $A, B$ lie one in each of $H, K \iff$ there is a point $X$ on $m$ such that $A\text{-}X\text{-}B$

- **Corollary 10.7 (Needs proof)**: Suppose that there is a pair $H, K$ of opposite halfplanes with edge a line $m$. Then, $H, K$ is the only pair of sets opposed around $m$.

- **Theorem 10.8**: Suppose that $\omega < \infty$. For each point $A$, there is exactly one point $A^*$ in $\mathbb{P}$ with $AA^* = \omega$. Also, every line through $A$ goes through $A^*$ as well.

- **Corollary 10.9**: Suppose that $\omega < \infty$. For any line $m$ and point $P$, there are just two possibilities:

$$\begin{cases} P, P^* & \text{both on } m \\ P, P^* & \text{on opposite sides of } m \end{cases}$$

- **Theorem 10.10 (Pasch's Axioms) (needs proof)**: Let $A, B, C$ be three non-collinear points. Let $X$ be a point with $B$-$X$-$C$, and $m$ a line through $X$ but not through $A, B$, or $C$. Then, exactly one of

  1. $m$ contains a point $Y$ with $A$-$Y$-$C$

  2. $m$ contains a point $Z$ with $A$-$Z$-$B$

- **Theorem 10.11**: Assume that $\omega < \infty$. Then, any two distinct lines must have a point (in fact, a pair of antipodes) in common.

## 1.4  Propositions

- **Proposition 6.3**

  (a) $\overline{AB}$ lies in one line, the line $\overleftrightarrow{AB}$

  (b) $\overline{AB} = \overline{BA}$

  (c) If $x \in \overline{AB}$, with $X \neq B$, then $AX < AB$

- **Proposition 6.4**: Let $A, B, C, D$ be collinear points with $0 < AB < \omega$, $0 < CD < \omega$, and $\overline{AB} = \overline{CD}$, then

  (a) Either $\{A, B\} = \{C, D\}$ or $\{A, B\} \cap \{C, D\} = \varnothing$

  (b) $AB = CD$

- **Proposition 7.1**: If $A$-$B$-$C$ and $A$-$C$-$D$, then $A, B, C, D$ are distinct and collinear

- **Proposition 7.2** If $A$-$B$-$C$-$D$, then $A, B, C, D$ are distinct and collinear, and $D$-$C$-$B$-$A$

- **Proposition 7.5**: If $X \neq Y$ are points distinct from $A$ or ray $\overrightarrow{AB}$, then at least one of $A$-$X$-$Y$ or $A$-$Y$-$X$ or $X, Y$ in $\overline{AB}$ is true.

- **Important fact**: Suppose $X$ is a point on a ray $\overrightarrow{AB}$ in a general plane.

  1. If $A$-$X$-$B$ then $AX < AB$

  2. If $A$-$B$-$X$ then $AX > AB$

  3. IF $X = B$ then $AX = AB$

- **Proposition 8.11** Let $A, B$ be any two points on line $m$, with $0 < AB < \omega$. Then, there exists a point $C$ on $m$ with $C$-$A$-$B$ and $CB < \omega$.

- **Proposition 8.5**: A ray has at most two endpoints

- **Proposition 8.7**: Let $\overline{AB}$ be a segment and $X, Y \in \overline{AB}$. Then, $XY \leqslant AB$, and if $XY = AB$, then $\{X, Y\} = \{A, B\}$

- **Proposition 8.8** If $\overline{AB} = \overline{CD}$, then $\{A, B\} = \{C, D\}$

- **Proposition 8.9**: In each segment $\overline{AB}$ there is a unique point $M$, called the **midpoint** of $\overline{AB}$, with the property that $AM = \frac{1}{2}AB$. Further, $AM = MB$

- **Proposition 9.3**: Assume $\omega < \infty$. Let $A, B$ be points on line $m$ with $0 < AB < \omega$. Then

  (a) $\overrightarrow{AB} = \overline{AB} \cup \overline{BA_m^*}$ and $\overline{AB}^{\circ} \cap \overline{BA_m^*}^{\circ} = \varnothing$.

  (b) $\overrightarrow{AB} = \overrightarrow{A_m^* B}$, so that if $A$ is an endpoint of a ray with carrier $m$, then so is $A_m^*$.

- **Proposition between** Let $\overrightarrow{AB}$ and $\overrightarrow{AC}$ be opposite rays, and points $X \in \text{Int}\overrightarrow{AB}$, $Y \in \text{Int}\overrightarrow{AC}$ with $AX + AY \leqslant \omega$, then $X$-$A$-$Y$

- **Proposition Noncollinear**: If $A, B, C$ are three noncollinear points (not all on the same line), then $AB, AC, BC$ all less than $\omega$.

# Part 2 (Study of rays in pencils, angles, angle measures, triangles)

## 2.1  Axioms

- **Measure axioms**:

  M1 : For all coterminal rays $p, q$, $0 \leqslant pq \leqslant 180$

  M2 : $pq = 0 \iff p = q$

  M3 : $pq = qp$

  M4 : $pq = 180 \iff q = p'$

- **Betweenness of rays axiom (Ax.BR)**: If $a, b, c$ are distinct, coterminal rays, and if $ab + bc \leqslant 180$, then there exists a betweenness relation among $a, b, c$

  Thus, if no betweenness relation exists, then

  $$ab + bc > 180$$
  $$ac + cb > 180$$
  $$ba + ac > 180$$

- **Quadrichotomy of Rays Axiom (Ax.QR)**: If $a, b, c, x$ are distinct, coterminal rays, and if $a$-$b$-$c$, then at least one of the following must hold

  $$x\text{-}a\text{-}b \quad a\text{-}x\text{-}b \quad b\text{-}x\text{-}c \quad b\text{-}c\text{-}x$$

  So, Ax.QR says that whenever $a$-$b$-$c$ (say in pencil $P$), then any other ray in $P$ is in either fan $\overrightarrow{ba}$ or fan $\overrightarrow{bc}$ (so $P = \overrightarrow{ba} \cup \overrightarrow{bc}$)

- **Real fan axiom (Ax.RF)**: For any fan $\overrightarrow{ab}$ and for any real number $t$ with $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 180$, there is a ray $r$ in $\overrightarrow{ab}$ with $ar = t$

  Ax.RF says every real number from 0 to 180 produces at least one ray in the fan

  **Note:** Ax.RF is one version of what is sometimes called the **Protractor Axiom**

- **Compatibility Axiom (Ax.C)**: Let $A, B, C$ be points on line $m$, and $X$ a point not on $m$. If $A$-$B$-$C$, then $\overrightarrow{XA}$-$\overrightarrow{XB}$-$\overrightarrow{XC}$

- **Side-angle-side axiom (Ax.SAS)**: If under the correspondence $ABC \leftrightarrow XYZ$ between the vertices of $\triangle ABC$ and those of $\triangle XYZ$, two sides of $\triangle ABC$ are congruent to the corresponding two sides of $\triangle XYZ$, and the angle included between these two sides of $\triangle ABC$ is congruent to the corresponding angle of $\triangle XYZ$, then $\triangle ABC \cong \triangle XYZ$

## 2.2 Definitions

- **Definition:** *Coterminal rays*: Rays with the same endpoint

- **Definition:** *Angle*: $\underline{ab} = a \cup b$, where $a, b$ are coterminal rays

- **Definition:** *Pencil of rays at point* $A$: The set of all rays with endpoint $A$: denote by $P_A$ or just $P$

  When $\omega < \infty$, each ray $h = \overrightarrow{AB} = \overrightarrow{A^*B}$, so $P_A = P_{A^*}$. $h'$ is the opposite ray to $h$, as before

- **Undefined Term** *Angle distance function, or angle measure*: A function $\mu$ from all pairs $(p, q)$ of coterminal rays to $\mathbb{R}$

  We abbreviate the angular distance between rays $p, q$, or the angle measure of the angle $pq$, $\mu(p, q)$ as $pq$

- **Angular distance in $\mathbb{E}$, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$, $\mathbb{M}$**: The usual measure in degrees (0 to 180)

$$pq = \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{1 + mn}{\sqrt{1 + m^2}\sqrt{1 + n^2}} \right)$$

- **Angular distance in $\mathbb{H}$**:

$$\mu_{\mathbb{H}}(p, q) = \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{1 + mn - bc}{\sqrt{1 + m^2 - b^2}\sqrt{1 + n^2 - c^2}} \right)$$

- **Definition** *(betweenness for rays)*: Ray $b$ lies **between** rays $a$ and $c$ (*a-b-c*) provided that

  (a) $a, b, c$ are different, coterminal
  (b) $ab + bc = ac$

- **Definition** *(Wedge, fan)*: Let $p, q$ be coterminal rays with $0 < pq < 180$.

    - **Wedge** $\overline{pq} = \{p, q\} \cup \{r : p\text{-}r\text{-}q\}$
    - **Fan** $\overrightarrow{pq} = \{p, q\} \cup \{r : p\text{-}r\text{-}q\} \cup \{r : p\text{-}q\text{-}r\}$

- **Definition** *(quad betweenness)*: *a-b-c-d* means that all four of

$$a\text{-}b\text{-}c \quad a\text{-}b\text{-}d \quad a\text{-}c\text{-}d \quad b\text{-}c\text{-}d$$

  are true

- **Notation and terminology**: Recall that $\underline{pq}$ means $p \cup q$, then union of the rays. Measure of $\underline{pq}$ means the angular distance $pq$

  Suppose $p = \overrightarrow{BA}$, $q = \overrightarrow{BC}$. Then, write

$$\underline{pq} = \underline{\angle ABC} = \underline{\angle CBA}$$

  Or just $\underline{\angle B}$ when clear, and

$$pq = \angle ABC = \angle CBA$$

  or just $\angle B$.

- **Definition**:

  - **Zero angle:** $\underline{pq}$ is a **zero angle** if $pq = 0$ ( $\iff p = q$)
  - **Straight angle**: If $pq = 180$( $\iff p = q'$)
  - **Proper angle:** if $0 < pq < 180$
  - **acute angle**: if $0 < pq < 90$
  - **right angle**: if $pq = 90$
  - **obtuse angle**: if $90 < pq < 180$

- **Definition**: The ray $b$ from the midpoint proposition is called the **bisector** of angle $\underline{pq}$

- **Definition: Congruence**: Two segments $\overline{AB}$ and $\overline{XY}$ are **congruent** ($\cong$) if they have the same length: $\overline{AB} \cong \overline{XY}$ means $AB = XY$

  Two angles $\angle CAB$ and $\angle ZXY$ are congruent if they have the same angle measure

  Two triangles $\triangle ABC$ and $\triangle XYZ$ are congruent under the correspondence $A \leftrightarrow X$, $B \leftrightarrow Y, C \leftrightarrow Z$ (Write as $ABC \leftrightarrow XYZ$) if

  $$\overline{AB} \cong \overline{XY}, \quad \overline{BC} \cong \overline{YZ}, \quad \overline{AC} \cong \overline{XZ}.$$

  and

  $$\angle ABC \cong \angle XYZ, \quad \angle CAB \cong \angle ZXY, \quad \angle BCA \cong \angle YZX.$$

  denote this by $\triangle ABC \cong \triangle XYZ$

- **Definition: Absolute plane**: An **absolute plane** $\mathbb{P}$ is a set of points $\mathbb{P}$ with lines, distance, and angular distance (all undefined terms), such that all 21 axioms are true. The three planes above are absolute planes

- **Definition: types of triangles**

  - A triangle is **isosceles** if two sides have the same length
  - **Equilateral** if all three sides have the same length
  - **Equiangular** if all three angles have the same measure

  **Note:** A triangle can be called **scalene** if all all three sides have different lengths and all three angles have different measures

## 2.3 Theorems

- **Theorem 11.1** *(symmetry of betweenness)*: $a$-$b$-$c \iff c$-$b$-$a$

- **Theorem 11.3** **UMT**: If $a$-$b$-$c$, then $b$-$a$-$c$ and $a$-$c$-$b$ are false.

- **Theorem 11.2 (non-triviality)**: For any ray $p$ there is a coterminal ray $q$ so that $0 < pq < 180$

- **Theorem** *(Triangle inequality for rays)*: If $a, b, c$ are three distinct, coterminal rays, then $ab + bc \geqslant ac$

- **Theorem 11.5** *(Rule of insertion for rays)*:

  (a) If $a$-$b$-$c$ and $a$-$r$-$b$, then $a$-$r$-$b$-$c$

  (b) If $a$-$b$-$c$ and $b$-$r$-$c$, then $a$-$b$-$r$-$c$

- **Theorem 11.6 (Unique angular distance for fans)**: For any fan $\overrightarrow{pq}$ and any real number $t$ with $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 180$, there is a unique ray $r$ in $\overrightarrow{pq}$ with $pr = t$. $r$ is in $\overline{pq}$ if and only if $t \leqslant pq$

- **Theorem 11.8**: If ray $a$ lies in pencil $P$, then $a$-$r$-$a'$ for every other ray $r$ in $P$

- **Theorem 11.9 (Almost uniqueness of quadrichotomy for rays)**: Suppose that $a, b, c, r$ are distinct rays in a pencil $P$, and that $a$-$b$-$c$. Then, **exactly** one of

  $$r\text{-}a\text{-}b \quad a\text{-}r\text{-}b \quad b\text{-}r\text{-}c \quad b\text{-}c\text{-}r$$

  With the exception that both $r$-$a$-$b$ and $b$-$c$-$r$ are true when $r = b'$

- **Theorem 11.10 (Opposite fan theorem)**: Let $p, q, r$ be rays in pencil $P$ such that $q$-$p$-$r$. Then, $\overrightarrow{pq} \cup \overrightarrow{pr} = P$, and $\overrightarrow{pq} \cap \overrightarrow{pr} = \{p, p'\}$

- **Corollary 11.11**: If $p, q$ are rays in pencil $P$ with $0 < pq < 180$, then $P = \overrightarrow{pq} \cup \overrightarrow{pq'}$ and $\overrightarrow{pq} \cap \overrightarrow{pq'} = \{p, p'\}$

- **Theorem 12.2 (Fan: halfplane)**: Let $H, K$ be opposite halfplanes with edge line $\ell$, point $B \in H$. Let $X, A$ be points on $\ell$ with $0 < AX < \omega$. Let $h = \overrightarrow{XA}$, $k = \overrightarrow{XB}$. Then, $H$ consists of all points on all rays of the fan $\overrightarrow{hk}$, except for the points of $\ell$

  That is, $P \in H \iff P \in j^0$, where $j^0$ is the interior of some ray $j \in \overrightarrow{hk}$, $j \neq h$ or $h'$

- **Corollary 12.3**: Let $z$ by any number with $0 < z < 180$. For any ray $\overrightarrow{AB}$ there are exactly two rays $h, k$ in $P_A$ such that $\overrightarrow{AB}h = z = \overrightarrow{AB}k$. Furthermore, $h^0$ and $k^0$ lie in opposite halfplanes with edge $\overleftrightarrow{AB}$

- **Theorem 12.4 (The Crossbar Theorem)**: If $\underline{hk}$ is a proper angle with vertex (common endpoint) $X$, if $A \in h^0$ (so $h = \overrightarrow{XA}$), $C \in k^0$ (so $k = \overrightarrow{XC}$), and $h$-$j$-$k$, then there is an interior point $B$ of $j$ with $A$-$B$-$C$

- **Theorem 13.1 (ASA)**: If under the correspondence $ABC \leftrightarrow XYZ$, two angles and the included side of $\triangle ABC$ are congruent, respectively, to the corresponding two angles and included side of $\triangle XYZ$, then $\triangle ABC \cong \triangle XYZ$

- **Theorem 13.2 (pons asinorum ("Bride of asses"))** In any $\triangle ABC$,

  $$AB = AC \iff \angle ACB = \angle ABC$$

- **Corollary 13.3**: A triangle is equilateral if and only if it is equiangular

- **Theorem 13.4 (SSS)**: If in $\triangle ABC$ and $\triangle XYZ$, $\overline{AB} \cong \overline{XY}$, $\overline{BC} \cong \overline{YZ}$ and $\overline{CA} \cong \overline{ZX}$, then

$$\triangle ABC \cong \triangle XYZ.$$

## 2.4   Propositions

- **Proposition 11.14**

    (a) If $\omega < \infty$, then $\angle ABC = \angle AB^*C$

    (b) If $P \in \overrightarrow{BA}^0$ and $Q \in \overrightarrow{BC}^0$, then $\angle ABC = \angle PBQ$


- **Proposition 11.15 (Midpoint)**: If $\underline{pq}$ is a proper angle, then there is exactly one ray $b$ in the wedge $\overline{pq}$ so that $pb = \frac{1}{2}pq$

## 2.5 Duals of results from chapters 8 and 9

### 2.5.1 Theorems (14)

- **Theorem 8.1D**: The set of angle measures $\mathbb{D} = [0, 180]$

- **Theorem 8.2D**: All wedges, fans, pencils have infinitely many rays

- **Theorem 8.3D**: Let $x \neq y$ be distinct from $a$ on fan $\overrightarrow{ab}$. Then, exactly one of

$$a\text{-}x\text{-}y \quad \text{or} \quad a\text{-}y\text{-}x.$$

- **Theorem 8.4D**: Let $\overrightarrow{ab}$ be a fan. If $c \in \overrightarrow{ab}$, $0 < c < 180$, then $\overrightarrow{ab} = \overrightarrow{ac}$

- **Theorem 8.6D**: Stated in theorem 11.6

- **Theorem 9.1D**: Let ray $a$ be in pencil $P$, there exists a unique fan $a' \in P$ such that $aa' = 180$. For all other rays $x \in P$, $a\text{-}x\text{-}a'$

- **Theorem 9.2D**: Stated in theorem 11.8

- **Theorem 9.4D**: If $ap = 180$ in some fan $h$, then $p = a'$.

- **Theorem 9.6D**: Stated in theorem 11.9

- **Theorem 9.7D**: Each fan has a unique opposite fan.

- **Theorem 9.8D**: Let rays $a, b \in P$, if $0 < ab < 180$, then fan $\overrightarrow{ab'} = \overrightarrow{ab'}$

- **Theorem 9.9D**: Let rays $a, b \in P$, if $0 < ab < 180$, then $P = \overline{ab} \cup \overline{ab'} \cup \overline{ba'} \cup \overline{b'a'}$, where the interiors of these wedges are disjoint.

- **Theorem 9.10D**: Let rays $a, b \in P$, if $0 < ab < 180$, and $c$ is some other ray in $P$, then there exists no betweenness relation among $a, b, c$ if and only if $c \in \overline{a'b'}$

### 2.5.2 Propositions

- **Proposition 8.11D**: Let $a, b \in P$, $0 < ab < 180$, there exists $c \in P$ such that $c\text{-}a\text{-}b$, $cb < 180$

- **Proposition 8.5D**: A fan has at most two terminal rays

- **Proposition 8.7D**: Let $\overline{ab}$ be a wedge, for all $x, y \in \overline{ab}$, $xy \leqslant ab$, if $xy = ab$, then $\{x, y\} = \{a, b\}$

- **Proposition 8.8D**: If $\overline{ab} = \overline{cd}$, then $\{a, b\} = \{c, d\}$

- **Proposition 8.9D**: Stated in proposition 11.15

- **Proposition 9.3D**: Let $a, b \in P$ such that $0 < ab < 180$. Then,

  - Fan $\overrightarrow{ab} = \overline{ab} \cup \overline{ba'}$, with $\overline{ab} \cap \overline{ba'} = \varnothing$
  - Fan $\overrightarrow{ab} = \overrightarrow{a'b}$

# Part 3: Study of perpendiculars

## 3.1 Definitions

- **Definition: Supplementary angles**: Two angles are **supplementary** if their measures sum to 180.

- **Definition**: Angles $\underline{hk}, \underline{rs}$ are **vertical** if $\{r, s\} = \{h', k'\}$

- **Definition: Perpendicular**: Two intersecting lines $m, n$ are **perpendicular** (at point of intersection $B$) if the four angles they determine at $B$ are right angles, we write $m \perp n$ (at $B$)

- **Definition: The perpendicular bisector**: The **perpendicular bisector** of a segment $\overline{AB}$ is the line perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{AB}$ at the midpoint $M$ of $\overline{AB}$

- **Definition: Pole**: Point $A$ is a **Pole** of line $m$ if there exists a point $X$ on $m$ such that

$$\overleftrightarrow{AX} \perp m \text{ and } AX = \frac{\omega}{2}.$$

- **Definition: *Right triangle***: A **right triangle** is a triangle with exactly **one** right angle.

- **Definition: *Hypotenuse***: In a right triangle, the **hypotenuse** is the side opposite the right angle. The **legs** are the other two sides

- **Definition: *Birectangular triangle***: A triangle with exactly **two** right angles is a **birectangular** (e.g $\triangle ABC$ on $\mathbb{S}$ with $B, C$ on equator, $A = $ north pole).

- **Definition: *Trirectangular triangle***: A triangle with three right angles is **trirectangular**

- **Definition: *small triangle***: A triangle is **small** if all sides have length $< \frac{\omega}{2}$. (So when $\omega = \infty$, every triangle is small).

  If $\triangle ABC$ has more than one right angle (say $\angle B = \angle C = 90$), then $\overleftrightarrow{AB}, \overleftrightarrow{AC}$ both perpendicular to $\overleftrightarrow{BC}$, so thm 14.5 implies $A$ is a pole for $\overleftrightarrow{BC}$. Then, Thm 14.6 implies $AB = AC = \frac{\omega}{2}$, which implies $\triangle ABC$ is **not** small.

- **Definition: *Cevian*:** A **Cevian** is a segment from a vertex of a triangle to a point on the opposite side.

- **Definition: *exterior and remote interior angles*:** Given $\triangle ABC$, and $D$ a point with $B$-$C$-$D$, then $\underline{\angle ACD}$ is called an **exterior angle** of $\triangle ABC$, and $\underline{\angle A}, \underline{\angle B}$ are called the **remote interior angles** (relative to $\underline{\angle ACD}$)

## 3.2 Theorems

- **Theorem 14.1 (Supplementary angles theorem)**: If $h, j$ are coterminal rays, then $\underline{hj}$ and $\underline{jh'}$ are supplementary

- **Theorem 14.2 (Vertical angles theorem)**: Vertical angles are congruent

- **Theorem 14.3**: Through any point $A$ on a line $m$, there is exactly one line $n$ perpendicular to $m$

- **Theorem 14.9 (needs proof)**: Every point of the perpendicular bisector of a segment is equidistant from the endpoints of the segment: $AX = BX$ for all $X$ on the perpendicular bisector

- **Theorem 14.10 (converse of 14.9)**: Let $m = \overleftrightarrow{AB}$, suppose that line $n \neq m$ meets $m$ at the midpoint $M$ of $\overline{AB}$. Suppose that there is some point $X$ on $n$, not on $m$, so that $AX = BX$. Then, $n \perp n$ at $M$

- **Theorem 14.4**: Through a point $A$ not on a given line $m$ there is at least one line $n$ perpendicular to $m$

- **Theorem 14.5**: If there are two different lines through a point $A$ and perpendicular to a line $m$, then $A$ is a pole of $m$.

- **Theorem 14.6**: If $A$ is a pole of line $m$, then every line through $A$ is perpendicular to $m$, and meets $m$ at a point distance $\frac{\omega}{2}$ from $A$. Also, every line perpendicular to $m$ goes through $A$

- **Corollary 14.7**: Suppose $\omega < \infty$, each line $m$ has exactly two poles, $A$ and $A^*$

- **Theorem 15.1 (Cevian theorem)**: Suppose $\omega < \infty$, if $AB < \frac{\omega}{2}$, and $AC < \frac{\omega}{2}$ in $\triangle ABC$, and if $B$-$D$-$C$ (so $\overline{AD}$ is a cevian of $\triangle ABC$), then $AD < \frac{\omega}{2}$

- **Theorem 15.3 (EAI)**: An exterior angle of a small triangle has larger measure than either remote interior angle

- **Corollary 15.4 (needs proof)**: The nonright angles of a small right triangle are accute

- **Corollary 15.5 (needs proof)**: The base angles of an isosceles triangle whose congruent sides are $< \frac{\omega}{2}$ are acute.

- **Theorem 15.7 (The triangle inequality)**: In any $\triangle ABC$,

$$AB + BC > AC.$$

- **Corollary 15.8**: For any points $A, B, C$, $AB + BC \geqslant AC$.

## 3.3 Propositions

- **Proposition 15.6**: If $AB < \frac{\omega}{2}$, and $BC \leqslant \frac{\omega}{2}$ in $\triangle ABC$, then $AB + BC > AC$

# Exam 2 questions

1. Prove that for any four distinct points on a line, there must be a betweenness relation among some three of them

**Proof.** Consider the distance $AB$, if $AB = \omega$, then by Thm 9.1, $A$-$C$-$B$ and $A$-$D$-$B$, since $B = A^*$.

If $AB < \omega$, then $\overrightarrow{AB}$ defined. By Coroll. 11.11, $\overrightarrow{AB} \cup \overrightarrow{AB}' = \ell$, where $\ell$ is the line that contains $A, B, C, D$. If $C$ or $D$ or both are in $\overrightarrow{AB}$, then there is a betweenness relation among $A, B, C, D$ by definition of the ray $\overrightarrow{AB}$. If $C, D \in \overrightarrow{AB}'$, then by Thm 8.3, either $A$-$C$-$D$ or $A$-$D$-$C$. Hence, a betweenness relation exists.

2. Given distinct points $P, Q, R, S$ on a line $m$, with $P$-$R$-$S$ and $PS < \omega < \infty$, answer true or false and explain why in each case

   (a) $R^* \in \overrightarrow{PR}$

   (b) $\overrightarrow{PR} = \overrightarrow{PS}$

   (c) There is exactly one point $X$ on $m$ with $PX = \frac{\omega}{2}$

   (d) $\overrightarrow{RP}$ and $\overrightarrow{RS}$ have only on point in common.

   (e) $PQ = PQ^*$

   (f) $\overrightarrow{PS} \cap \overrightarrow{RS} = \{S\}$

a.) False, if $R^* \in \overrightarrow{PR}$, then one of $P$-$R$-$R^*$ or $P$-$R^*$-$R$. Suppose $P$-$R$-$R^*$, then $PR + RR^* = PR^*$, implies $RR^* = \omega < PR^*$. But, since $P \neq R$, $PR^* < \omega$, a contradiction. Next, suppose $P$-$R^*$-$R$, then $PR^* + R^*R = PR$, which implies $R^*R = \omega < PR$, but since $\overrightarrow{PR}$ defined, $PR < \omega$, so $\omega < \omega$, another contradiction. Thus, $R^* \notin \overrightarrow{PR}$

b.) True, since $S \in \overrightarrow{PR}$ (by definition of $P$-$R$-$S$), and $PS < \omega$, $\overrightarrow{PR} = \overrightarrow{PS}$ but theorem 8.4

c.) False, there are exactly two points $X, Y \in m$ such that $PX = PY = \frac{\omega}{2}$, one in $\overrightarrow{PR}$, and one in $\overrightarrow{PR'}$, by theorem 8.4 and the fact that $\overrightarrow{PR} \cup \overrightarrow{PR'} = m$

d.), False, by theorem 9.6 since $\omega < \infty$, the intersection is instead $\{R, R^*\}$

e.) False, assume for the sake of contradiction that $PQ = PQ^*$, first consider the case when $PQ = \omega$. Thus, either $P = Q^*$ or $Q = P^*$, if they both happen simultaneously, then

$$PQ = PQ^* \implies PP^* = Q^*Q^* \implies \omega = 0.$$

a contradiction, thus not both at once. If $P = Q^*, Q \neq P^*$, then

$$PQ = PQ^* \implies Q^*Q = Q^*Q^* \implies \omega = 0.$$

another contradiction. If $Q = P^*, P \neq Q^*$, then

$$PQ = PQ^* \implies PP^* = PQ^* \implies \omega = PQ^*.$$

but, $P \neq Q$. So, another contradiction. Thus, for $PQ = PQ^*$, $PQ = QP < \omega$. So, $\overrightarrow{QP}$ is defined. By prop 9.3, $\overrightarrow{QP} = \overrightarrow{Q^*P}$, and $Q \in \overrightarrow{Q^*P}$ implies one of $Q^*$-$Q$-$P$ or $Q^*$-$P$-$Q$. Assume $Q^*$-$Q$-$P$. Then, $Q^*Q + QP = Q^*P$, which implies $Q^*Q = \omega < Q^*P$, and since $P \neq Q$, a contradiction.

assume $Q^*$-$P$-$Q$, then $Q^*P + PQ = Q^*Q = \omega$, and thus $PQ = \omega - Q^*P$. A contradiction, since we assumed $PQ = PQ^*$, Therefore, $PQ \neq PQ^*$

f.) False, $P$-$R$-$S$ implies $R \in \overrightarrow{PS}$, and we know that $R \in \overrightarrow{RS}$.

3. Let $m, n$ be lines with $m \cap n = \varnothing$, let $H, K$ be opposite halfplanes with edge $m$. Prove

(a) $\omega = \infty$

(b) Either $n \subseteq H$ or $n \subseteq K$

a.) Assume for the sake of contradiction that $\omega < \infty$. Then, by theorem 10.11, all lines have a point in common. Thus, $m \cap n \neq \varnothing$, a contradiction. So, $\omega = \infty$

b.) Let $A \in n$, choose $B \in n$ such that $0 < AB < \omega$, such points exist by Ax.RR. We note that by theorem 10.2, all segments, rays, lines are convex. Thus, for $X, Y \in n$, $\overline{XY} \subseteq n$. Thus, $\overline{AB} \subseteq n$. Suppose that $A \in H$, $B \in K$. Then, by theorem 10.6, there exists a point $X \in m$ such that $A$-$X$-$B$. But, this implies that $X \in \overline{AB}$. Thus, $X \in \overline{AB} \implies X \in n$, and $X \in m$, which means $n \cap m \neq \varnothing$, a contradiction.

So, $n$ must consist entirely of points in $H$ or in $K$ but cannot have points in both, so $n \subseteq H$ or $n \subseteq K$.

4. Let $A, B, C$ be three noncollinear points. Let $D, E$ be points with $A$-$D$-$C$ and $A$-$E$-$B$. Prove

  (a) $\angle BCE + \angle ECA = \angle BCA$

  (b) $\overrightarrow{CE}$ meets $\overline{BD}$

  (c) $\angle BCA + \angle BCA^* = 180$

  (d) $\overrightarrow{BD}$-$\overrightarrow{BC}$-$\overrightarrow{BA^*}$

a.) By Ax.C, $A$-$E$-$B$ and pt $C$ yields $\overrightarrow{CA}$-$CE$-$CB = \overrightarrow{CB}$-$\overrightarrow{CE}$-$\overrightarrow{CA}$, which implies

$$\overrightarrow{CB}\overrightarrow{CE} + \overrightarrow{CE}\overrightarrow{CA} = \overrightarrow{CB}\overrightarrow{CA}.$$

Since $\overrightarrow{CB}\overrightarrow{CE} = \angle BCE$, $\overrightarrow{CE}\overrightarrow{CA} = \angle BCA$, and $\overrightarrow{CB}\overrightarrow{CA} = \angle BCA$, $\angle BCE + \angle ECA = \angle BCA$

b.) Since $D \in \overrightarrow{CA}^0$, $B \in \overrightarrow{CB}^0$, and $\overrightarrow{CA}$-$\overrightarrow{CE}$-$\overrightarrow{CB}$, by the Crossbar theorem, there exits a point $F \in \overrightarrow{CE}^0$ such that $B$-$F$-$D$. Thus, $\overrightarrow{CE}$ meets $\overline{BD}$ at $F$

c.) $A$-$D$-$C$ implies $A, D, C$ collinear. Thus, $A, A^*, C$ collinear since $A^*$ on the same line as $A$ (thm 10.5). Thus, by theorem 9.1, $A$-$C$-$A^*$, so $\overrightarrow{CA}$ and $\overrightarrow{CA^*}$ are opposite rays, and $\overrightarrow{CA}\overrightarrow{CA^*} = 180$ (Ax.M4). Further, we have by theorem 10.8 that $\overrightarrow{CA}$-$\overrightarrow{CB}$-$\overrightarrow{CA^*}$. Thus,

$$\overrightarrow{CA}\overrightarrow{CB} + \overrightarrow{CB}\overrightarrow{CA^*} = \overrightarrow{CA}\overrightarrow{CA^*} = 180$$

.

Note that $\overrightarrow{CA}\overrightarrow{CB} = \overrightarrow{CB}\overrightarrow{CA}$ (Ax.M3). Thus, we have that

$$\angle BCA + \angle BCA^* = \angle ACA^* = 180.$$

d.) First, we show that $D$-$C$-$A^* = A^*$-$C$-$D$. Observe that since $A$-$D$-$C$, $D \in \overrightarrow{AC}$, more specifically, $D \in \overline{AC}$. By prop 9.3, $\overrightarrow{AC} = \overrightarrow{A^*C}$. So, $D \in \overrightarrow{A^*C}$. Thus, one of

$$A^*\text{-}D\text{-}C, \quad \text{or} \quad A^*\text{-}C\text{-}D.$$

Assume $A^*$-$D$-$C$. Then, $D \in \overline{A^*C}$, which by prop 6.3 $\overline{A^*C} = \overline{CA^*}$, and by prop 9.3 $\overrightarrow{AC} = \overline{AC} \cup \overline{CA^*}$, with $\overline{AC}^0 \cap \overline{CA^*}^0 = \varnothing$. Thus, $D \in \overline{CA^*}$ and $D \in \overline{AC}$ is a contradiction, and we infact have that $A^*$-$C$-$D = D$-$C$-$A^*$.

From here, since $A, B, C$ noncollinear, $B$ not on the same line with $A, C$. Thus, Ax.C implies

$$\overrightarrow{BD}\text{-}\overrightarrow{BC}\text{-}\overrightarrow{BA^*}.$$

■

5. Given distinct rays $p, q, r, s$ in a pencil $P$ with $p$-$r$-$s$, $ps < 180$, $pq = 85$, $qr = 70$, answer T/F and explain why in each case

(a) There is a betweenness relation among $p, q, r$

(b) $r'$ is in $\overrightarrow{pr}$

(c) $pq' = 85$

(d) $\overrightarrow{pr} = \overrightarrow{ps}$

(e) There is exactly one ray $x$ in $P$ with $px = 100$

(f) If $p$-$q$-$r$ then $q$-$r$-$s$

a.) True, Since

$$pq + qr = 70 + 85 = 155 < 180, .$$

Ax.BR says there exists a betweenness relation among $p, q, r$

b.) False, if $r' \in \overrightarrow{pr}$, then one of

$$p\text{-}r'\text{-}r, \quad p\text{-}r\text{-}r'.$$

Assume $p$-$r'$-$r$, then $pr' + r'r = pr$, which implies $rr' = 180 < pr$. By axiom $Ax.M1$, $0 \leqslant pr \leqslant 180$. Thus, a contradiction.

Assume $p$-$r$-$r'$, then $pr + rr' = pr'$, which implies $rr = 180 < pr'$, another contradiction.

Thus, $r' \notin \overrightarrow{pr}$

c.) False, by theorem 11.8, $q$-$p$-$q'$, thus $qp + pq' = qq' = 180$, which implies $pq' = 180 - 85 = 95$

d.) True, by $p$-$r$-$s$, $s \in \overrightarrow{pr}$, by the dual of theorem 8.4, since $ps < 180, \overrightarrow{pr} = \overrightarrow{ps}$

e.) False, by theorem 12.3, there are exactly two rays $x, y$ in $P$ with $px = py = 100$.

f.) False, contradicts theorem 11.3 (UMT for rays)

6. Let $B \neq C$ be points on the same side of line $\overleftrightarrow{AX}$. Prove that exactly one of the following is true

$$A\text{-}B\text{-}C, \quad A\text{-}C\text{-}B, \quad \overrightarrow{AX}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AB}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AC}, \quad \overrightarrow{AX}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AC}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AB}.$$

**Proof.** We first note that since $B, C \neq \overleftrightarrow{AX}$, $A, B, C, X$ noncollinear, so all pairs of distances less than $\omega$ by theorem 10.8 or proposition non-collinear. Thus, $\overrightarrow{AX}, \overrightarrow{AB}$ defined. Call $\overrightarrow{AX} = h$, $\overrightarrow{AB} = k$. By theorem 12.2,

$$P \in H \iff P \in j^0, \text{ for } j \in \overrightarrow{hk}, \ j \neq h, h'.$$

Suppose that $C \in \overrightarrow{AB}^0 = k^0$. Then, either $A\text{-}B\text{-}C$ or $A\text{-}C\text{-}B$ by definition of a ray. Note that if one occurs, the other cannot by the Unique Middle Theorem.

Further, note that by Thm. 8.4, $\overrightarrow{AB} = \overrightarrow{AC}$, since we stated above that $AC < \omega$ and $C \in \overrightarrow{AB}^0$. Thus, both $\overrightarrow{AX}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AB}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AC}$ and $\overrightarrow{AX}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AC}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AB}$ cannot occur since $\overrightarrow{AB}, \overrightarrow{AC}$ are not distinct rays.

Suppose that $C \notin k^0$, then $A, B, C$ noncollinear, and thus $A\text{-}B\text{-}C$ and $A\text{-}C\text{-}B$ cannot occur. Call the ray that $C$ is in $\overrightarrow{AC}$. Since $C \notin \overleftrightarrow{AX}$, $\overrightarrow{AC} \neq \overrightarrow{AX}$, and $\overrightarrow{AC} \neq \overrightarrow{AB}$ since $C \notin \overrightarrow{AB}$. Thus, $\overrightarrow{AB}, \overrightarrow{AC}, \overrightarrow{AX}$ are distinct, coterminal rays, and by definition of $\overrightarrow{hk} = \overrightarrow{AX}\overrightarrow{AB}$, where $\overrightarrow{AC} \in \overrightarrow{hk}$, exactly one of

$$\overrightarrow{AX}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AB}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AC}, \quad \overrightarrow{AX}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AC}\text{-}\overrightarrow{AB}.$$

∎