

Problem set 2 - Due: Wednesday, October 15

1.7.10. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ -2 \\ 24 \\ -14 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Calculate the appropriate (four) determinants to show that A can be transformed to (nonsingular) upper-triangular form by operations of type 1 only. (By the way, this is strictly an academic exercise. In practice one never calculates these determinants in advance.)
- (b) Carry out the row operations of type 1 to transform the system $Ax = b$ to an equivalent system $Ux = y$, where U is upper triangular. Save the multipliers for use in Exercise 1.7.18.
- (c) Carry out the back substitution on the system $Ux = y$ to obtain the solution of $Ax = b$. Don't forget to check your work.

Remark. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. A admits an LU factorization $A = LU$ where L is unit lower triangular and U is upper triangular if and only if all leading principal submatrices are nonsingular. ■

a.) So, we check that

$$\det([2]), \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}\right), \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

are all nonzero. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \det([2]) &= 2 \neq 0, \\ \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= 2(0) - (1)(-2) = 2 \neq 0, \\ \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= -1 \cdot -2(1(-2) - (-1)(1)) = -1 \cdot 2(-2 + 1) = -2 \neq 0, \\ \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= -1 \cdot -2 \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= 2(1(-2(-3) - 6(2)) - (-1)(1(-3) - 6(-1)) + 3(1(2) - (-2)(-1))) \\ &= 2(-3) = -6 \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, all leading principal submatrices are nonsingular and A can be transformed to nonsingular upper-triangular form by operations of type 1 only.

b.) We use Gaussian Elimination on the augmented system $[A|b] \rightarrow [U|y]$. We have

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 13 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 & 24 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 & -14 \end{array} \right].$$

The operations to get $a_{21} = a_{31} = a_{41} = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} -(-1)r_1 + r_2 &\rightarrow r'_2, \\ -2r_1 + r_3 &\rightarrow r'_3, \\ -(-3)r_1 + r_4 &\rightarrow r'_4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $m_{21} = -1$, $m_{31} = 2$, $m_{41} = -3$ and the system becomes

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 13 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 11 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 6 & 25 \end{array} \right].$$

Next, we set a_{22} as the pivot element, r_2 as the pivot row, and perform the following operations to get $a_{23} = a_{24} = 0$. The operations are

$$\begin{aligned} r'_3 &\leftarrow r_3 - (-1)r_2 \implies m_{32} = -1, \\ r'_4 &\leftarrow r_4 - 2r_2 \implies m_{42} = 2. \end{aligned}$$

After these operations, the system becomes

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 13 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 \end{array} \right].$$

Next, we set a_{33} as the pivot element, and r_3 as the pivot row, and perform the operation

$$r'_4 \leftarrow r_4 - (-1)r_3 \implies m_{43} = -1.$$

After this operation, the system becomes

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 13 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 12 \end{array} \right].$$

Thus, the system $Ux = y$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 11 \\ 9 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) Using back substitution, we can solve the above system.

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_4 &= 12 \implies x_4 = 4, \\ -x_3 + 3x_4 &= 9 \implies x_3 = -1(9 - 3(4)) = 3, \\ x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 &= 11 \implies x_2 = 11 - 3(4) + 3 = 2, \\ 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 &= 13 \implies x_1 = \frac{13 - 3(4) + 3 - 2}{2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, the solution to $Ax = b$ is

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can verify this solution by computing Ax , and observing that it equals the given b . We see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2+2-3+12 \\ -2+0+0+0 \\ 4+2-6+24 \\ -6-2+6-12 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 \\ -2 \\ 24 \\ -14 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the solution is verified.

Note: We can assemble our multipliers to form L , we have

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

1.7.18. Solve the linear system $Ax = \hat{b}$, where A is as in Exercise 1.7.10 and

$$\hat{b} = [12 \quad -8 \quad 21 \quad -26]^T.$$

Use the L and U that you calculated in Exercise 1.7.10.

From the previous exercise, we have that

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, the system $Ax = \hat{b}$ is solved using our LU decomposition for A . We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ -8 \\ 21 \\ -26 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $Ux = y$, and $Ly = b$. First, we solve $Ly = b$ for y using forward substitution.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ -8 \\ 21 \\ -26 \end{pmatrix}$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= 12, \\ -y_1 + y_2 &= -8 \implies y_2 = -8 + 12 = 4, \\ 2y_1 - y_2 + y_3 &= 21 \implies y_3 = 21 + 4 - 2(12) = 1, \\ -3y_1 + 2y_2 - y_3 + y_4 &= -26 \implies y_4 = -26 + 1 - 2(4) + 3(12) = 3. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we solve $Ux = y$ with backward substitution. We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Which, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 3x_4 &= 3 \implies x_4 = 1, \\ -x_3 + 3x_4 &= 1 \implies x_3 = -1(1 - 3(1)) = 2, \\ x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 &= 4 \implies x_2 = 4 - 3(1) + 2 = 3, \\ 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 &= 12 \implies x_1 = \frac{12 - 3(1) + 2 - 3}{2} = 4. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We verify the result by computing Ax , and comparing it against \hat{b} . We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(4) + 1(3) - 1(2) + 3(1) \\ -2(4) + 0 + 0 + 0 \\ 4(4) + 1(3) - 2(2) + 6(1) \\ -6(4) - 1(3) + 2(2) - 3(1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ -8 \\ 21 \\ -26 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The result is verified.

1.7.26. Use the inner-product formulation to calculate the LU decomposition of the matrix A in Exercise 1.7.10

Remark. The inner-product formulas to compute the LU decomposition are

$$u_{ij} = a_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \ell_{ik} u_{kj} \quad j = i, i+1, \dots, n, \quad (1)$$

$$\ell_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \ell_{ik} u_{kj}}{u_{jj}} \quad i = j+1, j+2, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

To use these formulas to find each u_{ij} we first need to plug $i = 1$ into (1), then after we get the first row of U , we can plug in $j = 1$ into (2) to get the first column of L , and so on. ■

Recall that the matrix A is given as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, we first find the first row of U (set $i = 1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}u_{11} &= a_{11} = 2, \\u_{12} &= a_{12} = 1, \\u_{13} &= a_{13} = -1, \\u_{14} &= a_{14} = 3.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we find the first column of L (set $j = 1$),

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_{11} &= 1, \\\ell_{21} &= \frac{a_{21}}{u_{11}} = \frac{-2}{2} = -1, \\\ell_{31} &= \frac{a_{31}}{u_{11}} = \frac{4}{2} = 2, \\\ell_{41} &= \frac{a_{41}}{u_{11}} = -\frac{6}{2} = -3.\end{aligned}$$

For the second row of U ($i = 2$),

$$\begin{aligned}u_{22} &= a_{22} - \sum_{k=1}^1 \ell_{2k} u_{k2} = 0 - (-1)(1) = 1, \\u_{23} &= a_{23} - \sum_{k=1}^1 \ell_{2k} u_{k3} = 0 - (-1)(-1) = -1, \\u_{24} &= a_{24} - \sum_{k=1}^1 \ell_{2k} u_{k4} = 0 - (-1)(3) = 3.\end{aligned}$$

For the second column of L ($j = 2$),

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_{22} &= 1, \\\ell_{32} &= \frac{a_{32} - \sum_{k=1}^1 \ell_{3k} u_{k2}}{u_{22}} = \frac{1 - 2(1)}{1} = -1, \\\ell_{42} &= \frac{a_{42} - \sum_{k=1}^1 \ell_{4k} u_{k2}}{u_{22}} = \frac{-1 - (-3)(1)}{1} = 2.\end{aligned}$$

For the third row of U ($i = 3$),

$$\begin{aligned}u_{33} &= a_{33} - \sum_{k=1}^2 \ell_{3k} u_{k3} = a_{33} - (\ell_{31} u_{13} + \ell_{32} u_{23}) = -2 - (2(-1) + (-1)(-1)) = -1, \\u_{34} &= a_{34} - \sum_{k=1}^2 \ell_{3k} u_{k4} = a_{34} - (\ell_{31} u_{14} + \ell_{32} u_{24}) = 6 - (2(3) + (-1)(3)) = 3.\end{aligned}$$

For the third column of L ($j = 3$),

$$\begin{aligned}\ell_{33} &= 1, \\\ell_{43} &= \frac{a_{43} - \sum_{k=1}^2 \ell_{4k} u_{k3}}{u_{33}} = \frac{a_{43} - (\ell_{41} u_{13} + \ell_{42} u_{23})}{u_{33}} = \frac{2 - ((-3)(-1) + (2)(-1))}{-1} = -1.\end{aligned}$$

For the fourth row of U ($i = 4$),

$$u_{44} = a_{44} - \sum_{k=1}^3 \ell_{4k} u_{k4} = a_{44} - (\ell_{41} u_{14} + \ell_{42} u_{24} + \ell_{43} u_{34}) = -3 - ((-3)(3) + 2(3) + (-1)(3)) = -3.$$

For the fourth column of L ($j = 4$),

$$\ell_{44} = 1.$$

So, the LU decomposition according to the inner-product formulas is

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Which is exactly the same decomposition that we got with Gaussian Elimination.

1.7.34. In this exercise you will show that performing an elementary row operation of type 1 is equivalent to left multiplication by a matrix of a special type. Suppose \tilde{A} is obtained from A by adding m times the j th row to the i th row.

- (a) Show that $\tilde{A} = MA$, where M is the triangular matrix obtained from the identity matrix by replacing the zero by an m in the (i, j) position. For example, when $i > j$, M has the form

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & m & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that this is the matrix obtained by applying the type 1 row operation directly to the identity matrix. We call M an *elementary matrix of type 1*.

- (b) Show that $\det(M) = 1$ and $\det(\tilde{A}) = \det(A)$. Thus we see (again) that \tilde{A} is nonsingular if and only if A is.
- (c) Show that M^{-1} differs from M only in that it has $-m$ instead of m in the (i, j) position. M^{-1} is also an elementary matrix of type 1. To which elementary operation does it correspond?

Remark. The book defines the elementary operations in the following order

1. Add a multiple of one equation to another equation.
2. Interchange two equations.
3. Multiply an equation by a nonzero constant

Remark. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, let e_i be the i^{th} standard basis vector in \mathbb{R}^n . That is, a vector of size n with a one in the i^{th} position, and zeros everywhere else. Then,

$$\text{col}_j(A) = Ae_j.$$

If we take the transpose of both sides,

$$(\text{col}_j(A))^T = e_j^T A^T,$$

which implies that

$$(\text{col}_j(A^T))^T = e_j^T A.$$

But, we know that $\text{row}_j(A) = (\text{col}_j(A^T))^T$, and $\text{col}_j(A) = (\text{row}_j(A^T))^T$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{col}_j(A^T))^T &= e_j^T A \\ \implies \text{row}_j(A) &= e_j^T A. \end{aligned}$$

a.) In \tilde{A} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } k \neq i, \text{row}_k(\tilde{A}) &= \text{row}_k(A), \\ \text{If } k = i, \text{row}_k(\tilde{A}) &= \text{row}_k(A) + m \cdot \text{row}_j(A). \end{aligned}$$

Let E_{ij} be the zero matrix except for a one at e_{ij} . Thus,

$$M = I + mE_{ij}.$$

Observe that $E_{ij} = e_i e_j^T$, so

$$M = I + m e_i e_j^T.$$

From this fact, we have

$$MA = (I + m e_i e_j^T)A = A + m e_i (e_j^T A).$$

Recall that $e_j^T A$ is the j^{th} row of A , so

$$MA = A + m e_i \cdot \text{row}_j(A).$$

Further observe that $e_i \cdot \text{row}_j(A)$ is a matrix of size $n \times n$, where the i^{th} row is $\text{row}_j(A)$, and all other rows are zero.

So, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } k \neq i, \text{row}_k(E_{ij}A) &= 0, \text{ so } \text{row}_k(MA) = \text{row}_k(A), \\ \text{If } k = i, \text{row}_k(E_{ij}A) &= \text{row}_j(A), \text{ so } \text{row}_k(MA) = \text{row}_i(A) + m \cdot \text{row}_j(A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\tilde{A} = MA$

b.) Since M is triangular, the determinant is

$$\det(M) = \prod_{i=1}^n m_{ii}.$$

But, $m_{ii} = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus, $\det(M) = 1$. The determinant of \tilde{A} is

$$\det(\tilde{A}) = \det(MA) = \det(M) \det(A) = 1 \det(A) = \det(A).$$

c.) We propose

$$M^{-1} = I - mE_{ij}.$$

We can verify this by showing $MM^{-1} = (I + mE_{ij})(I - mE_{ij}) = I$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (I + mE_{ij})(I - mE_{ij}) &= II - mE_{ij} + mE_{ij} - m^2 E_{ij}^2 \\ &= I - m^2 E_{ij}^2. \end{aligned}$$

But, since E_{ij} is all zeros except for a one at position i, j , for $i \neq j$, we have

$$E_{ij}^2 = (e_i e_j^T)(e_i e_j^T) = e_i (e_j^T e_i) e_j^T$$

But, $e_j^T e_i \in \mathbb{R}$, so we can commute

$$e_i (e_j^T e_i) e_j^T = (e_j^T e_i) e_i e_j^T = e_j^T e_i E_{ij}.$$

But, since $i \neq j$, $e_j^T e_i = 0$, so $E_{ij}^2 = 0$. Thus,

$$I - m^2 E_{ij}^2 = I.$$

Thus, $M^{-1} = I - m E_{ij}$, which means that M^{-1} is the same as M , but with $-m$ in position (i, j) instead of $+m$. M^{-1} corresponds to the operation

$$r_i \leftarrow r_i - m r_j.$$

1.7.36. Suppose \tilde{A} is obtained from A by multiplying the i th row by the nonzero constant c .

- (a) Find the form of the matrix M (an *elementary matrix of type 3*) such that $\tilde{A} = MA$.
- (b) Find M^{-1} and state its function as an elementary matrix.
- (c) Find $\det(M)$ and determine the relationship between $\det(\tilde{A})$ and $\det(A)$. Deduce that \tilde{A} is nonsingular if and only if A is.

a.) Suppose we have $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \varphi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, suppose we want to scale the second row by c , where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ c\gamma & c\varphi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \varphi \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ (c-1)\gamma & (c-1)\varphi \end{bmatrix} \\ &= A + (c-1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} A \\ &= A + (c-1) E_{22} A. \end{aligned}$$

So, suppose we wish to scale the i^{th} row of A by a constant c , then

$$\tilde{A} = A + (c-1) E_{ii} A = (I + (c-1) E_{ii}) A = MA.$$

Thus, $M = I + (c-1) E_{ii}$.

It seems that the inverse operation is multiplying row i by $\frac{1}{c}$. Thus, we propose that the inverse of M is

$$M^{-1} = I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 \right) E_{ii}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} MM^{-1} &= (I + (c-1) E_{ii}) \left(I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 \right) E_{ii} \right) \\ &= I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 \right) E_{ii} + (c-1) E_{ii} + (c-1) \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 \right) E_{ii}^2. \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ii}^2 &= (e_i e_i^T)(e_i e_i^T) = e_i (e_i^T e_i) e_i^T \\ &= (e_i^T e_i) e_i e_i^T = (e_i^T e_i) E_{ii} \\ &= \|e_i\|^2 E_{ii} = E_{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $E_{ii}^2 = E_{ii}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} MM^{-1} &= II + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii} + (c-1)E_{ii} + (c-1) \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii}^2 \\ &= I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 + c - 1\right) E_{ii} + (c-1) \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii} \\ &= I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 + c - 1 + (c-1) \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right)\right) E_{ii} \\ &= I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 + c - 1 + 1 - c - \frac{1}{c} + 1\right) E_{ii} \\ &= I + 0E_{ii} = I. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $M^{-1} = I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii}$

Observe that the determinant of M is

$$\det(M) = \det(I + (c-1)E_{ii}) = \prod_{k=1}^n m_{kk}.$$

But, notice that $m_{kk} = 1$, except at $k = i$, where we have $m_{ii} = 1 + (c-1) = c$. Thus, $\det(M) = c$, and

$$\det(\tilde{A}) = \det(MA) = \det(M) \det(A) = c \det(A).$$

Since $c \neq 0$, $\det(\tilde{A}) = 0 \iff \det(A) = 0$. Hence, \tilde{A} is nonsingular if and only if A is. ■

1.8.4. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ -2 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Use Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting (by hand) to find matrices L and U such that U is upper triangular, L is unit lower triangular with $|l_{ij}| \leq 1$ for all $i > j$, and $LU = \tilde{A}$, where \tilde{A} can be obtained from A by making row interchanges. Use your LU decomposition to solve the system $Ax = b$.

We begin by initializing our permutation matrix P as the identity matrix I . That is, $P = I$. We set row one as the pivot row, and a_{11} as the pivot element. We look to the first column of A and see that a_{11} has the maximum absolute value, so no partial pivoting at this stage.

Let r_i denote the i^{th} row of A . We perform the operations

$$\begin{aligned} r_2 &\leftarrow r_2 - m_{21}r_1, & m_{21} &= \frac{1}{2}, \\ r_3 &\leftarrow r_3 - m_{31}r_1, & m_{31} &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & -5 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 7 & -7 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & 2 & 8 & -10 \end{array} \right].$$

Note that the boxed numbers are entries of L . Next, row two is the pivot row, and a_{22} is the pivot element. Using partial pivoting, we swap rows two and three. We make the same swap in P . So,

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 7 & -7 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & 2 & 8 & -10 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & 2 & 8 & -10 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 7 & -7 \end{array} \right], \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we perform the operation

$$r_3 \leftarrow r_3 - 0r_2.$$

So,

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & 2 & 8 & -10 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 7 & -7 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & 2 & 8 & -10 \\ \boxed{\frac{1}{2}} & \boxed{0} & 7 & -7 \end{array} \right].$$

Thus,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -10 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$Pb = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -5 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We solve the system $Ax = b$, by splitting into two triangular systems

$$\begin{aligned} Ax = b &\implies PAx = Pb \implies \tilde{A}x = Pb \implies LUx = Pb \\ &\implies \begin{cases} Ly = Pb \\ Ux = y \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we solve $Ux = y$ with backward substitution

$$Ux = y \implies \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -10 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Which, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 7x_3 = -7 &\implies x_3 = -1, \\ 2x_2 + 8x_3 = -10 &\implies x_2 = \frac{-10 - 2(-1)}{2} = -1, \\ 2x_1 + 2x_2 - 4x_3 = 10 &\implies x_1 = \frac{10 + 4(-1) - 2(-1)}{2} = 4. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can verify this solution by checking that $Ax = b$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 - 2 + 4 \\ 4 - 1 - 5 \\ 4 - 3 - 6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -2 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the solution is verified.

1.8.9. Let A be the matrix in Exercise 1.8.4. Determine matrices P , L , and U with the properties stated in Theorem 1.8.8, such that $A = P^T LU$

The matrices P, L, U are precisely the matrices obtained in the previous exercise, since A is unchanged. The matrices are

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ \frac{1}{2}(2) & \frac{1}{2}(2) + 2 & \frac{1}{2}(-4) + 8 \\ \frac{1}{2}(2) & \frac{1}{2}(2) & \frac{1}{2}(-4) + 7 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = A. \end{aligned}$$

1.8.12. Write an algorithm that implements Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting. Store L and U over A , and save a record of the row interchanges.

```

0  proc row_swap(A, i, k)
1      if (i = k) return
2      for l = 1,...,n
3          tmp = ail
4          ail = akl
5          akl = tmp
6      end
7  endproc
8
9  proc partial_pivot(A, P, K)
10     max = |akk|, max_i = k
11     for i = k + 1,...,n
12         if (|aik| > max)
13             max = |aik|, max_i = i
14         end
15     end
16
17     if (max = 0) set error flag, exit
18
19     row_swap(A, k, max_i)
20     row_swap(P, k, max_i)
21 endproc
22
23 proc gaussian(A)
24     P = I
25     for k = 1,...,n
26         partial_pivot(A, P, k)
27         for i = k + 1,...,n
28             m = aik/akk
29             for j = k,...,n
30                 aij = aij - m · akj
31             end
32             aik = m
33         end
34     end
35
36     return P
37 endproc

```

2.1.10. Prove that the 1-norm is a norm.

Remark. $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm if and only if the following properties are satisfied

1. $\|x\| \geq 0$ and $\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0$
2. $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$
3. $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ (triangle inequality)

The 1-norm for a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$.

1.) Suppose $\|x\|_1 = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = 0 &\implies |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| = 0 \\ &\implies x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $x = 0$, then $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n 0 = 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 = 0.$$

2.)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha x\|_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha x_i| = |\alpha x_1| + |\alpha x_2| + \dots + |\alpha x_n| \\ &= |\alpha| |x_1| + |\alpha| |x_2| + \dots + |\alpha| |x_n| \\ &= |\alpha| (|x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|) = |\alpha| \|x\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

3.) We can use the triangular inequality for absolute value,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i| \\ &= |x_1 + y_1| + |x_2 + y_2| + \dots + |x_n + y_n| \\ &\leq |x_1| + |y_1| + |x_2| + |y_2| + \dots + |x_n| + |y_n| \\ &= |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| + |y_1| + |y_2| + \dots + |y_n| \\ &= \|x\|_1 + \|y\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

■

2.1.13. Prove that the ∞ -norm is a norm.

The ∞ -norm for a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^n |x_i|$

1.) Suppose $\|x\|_\infty = 0$, then

$$\max_{i=1}^n |x_i| = 0$$

implies that $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0$, since $|x_i| \geq 0$ for all i . Next, suppose that $x = 0$, then

$$\max_{i=1}^n 0 = \max\{0, 0, \dots, 0\} = 0.$$

Thus $\|x\|_\infty = 0 \iff x = 0$ holds for the ∞ -norm.

2.) If $\alpha = 0$, then $\|0 \cdot x\|_\infty = \|0\|_\infty = 0$, and $|0| \|x\|_\infty = 0 \|x\|_\infty = 0$, so $\|0x\|_\infty = |0| \|x\|_\infty$. So, assume that $\alpha \neq 0$. We have

$$\|\alpha x\|_\infty = \max\{|\alpha x_1|, |\alpha x_2|, \dots, |\alpha x_n|\} = |\alpha| \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_n|\}.$$

This follows from the fact that if $|\alpha x_\ell| \geq |\alpha x_i|$, for all $i \neq \ell$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha| |x_\ell| &\geq |\alpha| |x_i| \\ \implies |x_\ell| &\geq |x_i|. \end{aligned}$$

3.) By the triangle inequality for absolute value, for each i ,

$$|x_i + y_i| \leq |x_i| + |y_i|.$$

If we take the max of both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|_\infty &= \max_{i=1}^n |x_i + y_i| \leq \max_{i=1}^n (|x_i| + |y_i|) \\ &\leq \max_{i=1}^n |x_i| + \max_{i=1}^n |y_i| \\ &= \|x\|_\infty + \|y\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

■

2.1.17.

(a) Let A be a positive definite matrix, and let R be its Cholesky factor, so that $A = R^T R$. Verify that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|x\|_A = \|Rx\|_2.$$

(b) Using the fact that the 2-norm is indeed a norm on \mathbb{R}^n , prove that the A -norm is a norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark. Given a positive definite matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, define the A -norm on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\|x\|_A = (x^T A x)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

a.)

$$(x^T A x)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (x^T R^T R x)^{\frac{1}{2}} = ((Rx)^T (Rx))^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\|Rx\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|Rx\|_2.$$

b.) Suppose that $\|x\|_A = 0$, then

$$\|Rx\|_2 = 0 \implies Rx = 0 \implies x = 0$$

since R is non-singular. Next, suppose that $x = 0$, then

$$\|0\|_A = \|R0\|_2 = \|0\|_2 = 0.$$

So, $\|x\|_A = 0 \implies x = 0$, and $x = 0 \implies \|x\|_A = 0$. Hence, $\|x\|_A = 0 \iff x = 0$.

Next, consider $\|\alpha x\|_A$, we have

$$\|\alpha x\|_A = \|R(\alpha x)\|_2 = \|\alpha Rx\|_2 = |\alpha| \|Rx\|_2 = |\alpha| \|x\|_A.$$

Last, consider $\|x + y\|_A$,

$$\|x + y\|_A = \|R(x + y)\|_2 = \|Rx + Ry\|_2 \leq \|Rx\|_2 + \|Ry\|_2 = \|x\|_A + \|y\|_A.$$

So, $\|x\|_A$ is indeed a norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

2.2.6.

- (a) Show that $\kappa(A) = \kappa(A^{-1})$
- (b) Show that for any nonzero scalar c , $\kappa(cA) = \kappa(A)$

a.)

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(A) &= \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|, \\ \kappa(A^{-1}) &= \|A^{-1}\| \|(A^{-1})^{-1}\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \kappa(A).\end{aligned}$$

b.)

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa(\alpha A) &= \|\alpha A\| \|(\alpha A)^{-1}\| \\ &= \|\alpha A\| \left\| \frac{1}{\alpha} A^{-1} \right\| \\ &= |\alpha| \|A\| \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \right| \|A^{-1}\| \\ &= \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \kappa(A).\end{aligned}$$

■

2.2.15.

- (a) Let α be a positive number, and define

$$A_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that for any induced matrix norm we have $\|A_\alpha\| = \alpha$, $\|A_\alpha^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, and $\kappa(A_\alpha) = 1$. Thus, A_α is well conditioned. On the other hand, $\det(A_\alpha) = \alpha^2$, so we can make it as large or small as we please by choosing α appropriately.

- (b) More generally, given a nonsingular matrix A , discuss the condition number and determinant of A_α , where α is any positive real number.

a.) Observe that $A_\alpha = \alpha I$. For any induced matrix norm, we have the properties $\|I\| = 1$, and $\|sA\| = |s| \|A\|$. Thus,

$$\|A_\alpha\| = \|\alpha I\| = |\alpha| \|I\| = |\alpha| \cdot 1 = \alpha,$$

since $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $|\alpha| = \alpha$. Furthermore, $A_\alpha^{-1} = (\alpha I)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} I^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha} I$. Thus,

$$\|A_\alpha^{-1}\| = \left\| \frac{1}{\alpha} I \right\| = \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \right| \|I\| = \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \right| \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

Again, since $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \right| = \frac{1}{\alpha}$. With these two facts, we have

$$\kappa(A_\alpha) = \|A_\alpha\| \|A_\alpha^{-1}\| = \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} = 1.$$

b.) For any nonsingular matrix A , we have $A_\alpha = \alpha A$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. By exercise 2.2.6, we saw

$$\kappa(A_\alpha) = \kappa(\alpha A) = \kappa(A).$$

So, the condition number stays the same regardless of how big or small α is. On the other hand,

$$\det(\alpha A) = \alpha^n \det(A).$$

So, the determinant grows as α grows, and shrinks as α shrinks. Thus, the determinant can be arbitrarily large or small depending on α while $\kappa(A_\alpha)$ remains fixed.

Repeat the proof of Theorem 2.3.3.

Remark. Theorem 2.3.3. Let A be nonsingular, let $b \neq 0$, and let x and $\hat{x} = x + \delta x$ be solutions of $Ax = b$ and $(A + \delta A)\hat{x} = b$, respectively. Then,

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|\hat{x}\|} \leq \kappa(A) \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}. \quad (2.3.4)$$

Proof. We have the two systems,

$$Ax = b, \quad A\hat{x} = b.$$

Thus, we have

$$Ax = b, \quad (1)$$

$$A(x + \delta x) = b + \delta b. \quad (2)$$

Looking at (1), we see

$$Ax = b \implies \|b\| = \|Ax\|.$$

But, by the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, $\|b\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$. So,

$$\|b\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|. \quad (1)$$

Looking at (2), we see

$$\begin{aligned} A(x + \delta x) &= b + \delta b \\ \implies Ax + A\delta x &= b + \delta b \\ \implies A\delta x &= \delta b \\ \implies \delta x &= A^{-1}\delta b \\ \implies \|\delta x\| &= \|A^{-1}\delta b\| \\ \implies \|\delta x\| &\leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta b\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Notice that we can setup (1) so that dividing (2) by (1) gives the relative error in x on the left, and relative error of b on the right. So,

$$\frac{1}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\|A\|}{\|b\|}.$$

Now, we divide (2) by (1), we have

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|} = \kappa(A) \frac{\|\delta b\|}{\|b\|}.$$

■