

**Problem set 5 - Due: Friday, Feb 14**

1. Show that for any three points  $A, B, C$  on any line in  $\mathbb{H}$ ,

$$A-B-C \text{ in } \mathbb{E} \iff A-B-C \text{ in } \mathbb{H}$$

We prove in two parts

(a)  $A-B-C \in \mathbb{E} \implies A-B-C \in \mathbb{H}$

(b)  $A-B-C \in \mathbb{H} \implies A-B-C \in \mathbb{E}$

**Proof** We begin by proving part (a). Assume  $A-B-C$  is true in  $\mathbb{E}$  for three distinct collinear points  $A, B, C$ . Thus,

$$AB + BC + AC$$

For  $A-B-C$  (B between A and C) in the hyperbolic plane (Poincare model), We require  $d_{\mathbb{H}}(AB) + d_{\mathbb{H}}(BC) = d_{\mathbb{H}}(AC)$ . That is,

$$\ln \left( \frac{e(AN)e(BM)}{e(AM)e(BN)} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{e(BN)e(CM)}{e(BM)e(CN)} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{e(AN)e(CM)}{e(AM)e(CN)} \right)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln \left( \frac{e(AN)e(BM)}{e(AM)e(BN)} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{e(BN)e(CM)}{e(BM)e(CN)} \right) \\ &= \ln(e(AN)) + \ln(e(BM)) - \ln(e(AM)) - \ln(e(BN)) \\ &+ \ln(e(BN)) + \ln(e(CM)) - \ln(e(BM)) - \ln(e(CN)) \\ &= \ln(e(AN)) - \ln(e(AM)) + \ln(e(CM)) - \ln(e(CN)) \\ &= \ln(e(AN)) + \ln(e(CM)) - \ln(e(AM)) - \ln(e(CN)) \\ &= \ln \left( \frac{e(AN)e(CM)}{e(AM)e(CN)} \right) = d_{\mathbb{H}}(AC) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $A-B-C$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  implies  $A-B-C$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Similarly,  $B-A-C$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  implies  $B-A-C$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ , and  $A-C-B$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  implies  $A-C-B$  in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

By the UMT, since  $A-B-C$  occurs in  $\mathbb{E}$ , both  $B-A-C$  and  $A-C-B$  will not occur. Exactly one of them will occur, and each relation in  $\mathbb{E}$  implies the same relation happens in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

(b) If  $A-B-C$  happens in  $\mathbb{H}$ , then by the UMT the other two do not. But since  $A, B, C$  are distinct and collinear, one of them must occur in  $\mathbb{E}$ , so only  $A-B-C$  will be true in  $\mathbb{E}$  by the UMT ■

2. Show that in example 6.1, the relations  $A-C-B$ ,  $A-D-B$ ,  $C-A-D$ , and  $C-B-D$  hold

We have distances

	$A$	$B$	$C$	$D$	$E$
$A$	0	3	1	2	4
$B$	3	0	2	1	4
$C$	1	2	0	3	4
$D$	2	1	3	0	4
$E$	4	4	4	4	0

We have

$$AC + CB = 1 + 2 = 3 = AB \implies A-C-B$$

$$AD + DB = 2 + 1 = 3 = AB \implies A-D-B$$

$$CA + AD = 1 + 2 = 3 = CD \implies C-A-D$$

$$CB + BD = 2 + 1 = 3 = CD \implies C-B-D$$

■

3. Assume the first seven axioms. Suppose that  $A, B, X, Y$  are distinct, collinear points such that the distance between any two of them is less than  $\omega$  and such that  $Y \in \overrightarrow{AB}$ ,  $X \in \overrightarrow{AB}$ ,  $X \notin \overrightarrow{AB}$ , and  $B \in \overline{XY}$ . Prove that  $Y \in \overline{AX}$

**Proof.** Assume  $A, B, X, Y$  are distinct, collinear points such that the distance between two of them is less than  $\omega$ . Further, assume that  $Y \in \overrightarrow{AB}$ ,  $X \in \overrightarrow{AB}$ ,  $X \notin \overrightarrow{AB}$ , and  $B \in \overline{XY}$ . We aim to show that  $Y \in \overline{AX}$ . More specifically, that  $A-Y-X$ , or  $AY + YX = AX$

Since the distance between any two of the given points is less than  $\omega$ , all rays and segments involving any pair of points are well defined. Using the given information, we have

$$Y \in \overrightarrow{AB} \implies A-Y-B \implies AY + YB = AB \tag{1}$$

$$X \in \overrightarrow{AB} \implies A-X-B \text{ or } A-B-X$$

$$X \notin \overrightarrow{AB} \implies \neg(A-X-B) \implies A-B-X \implies AB + BX = AX \tag{2}$$

$$B \in \overline{XY} \implies X-B-Y \implies XB + BY = XY \tag{3}$$

Observe that since  $AY + YB = AB$ , and  $AB + BX = AX$ , we have  $AY + YB + BX = AX$ . Next, notice that  $XB + BY = XY \implies BX + YB = YX$  by distance axiom 3. Since these distances are just real numbers, we can rearrange the expression as  $YB = YX - BX$ . We can then plug this expression into  $AY + YB + BX = AX$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} AY + YB + BX &= AX \\ \implies AY + YX - BX + BX &= AX \\ \implies AY + YX &= AX \end{aligned}$$

Which, by the definition of betweenness,  $A-Y-X$ . Which, by the definition of the segment  $\overline{AX} = \{P : A-P-X\}$ , means that  $y \in \overline{AX}$  ■

4. Construct an example of a plane  $\mathbb{P}$  that satisfies the first seven axioms, with a ray  $\overrightarrow{AB}$  and points  $X \neq Y$  in  $\overrightarrow{AB}$  such that  $AX = AY$

Let  $\mathbb{P} = \{A, B, X, Y\}$ ,  $\mathbb{L} = \{A, B, X, Y\}, \{X, Y\}$ , with distances

	$A$	$B$	$X$	$Y$
$A$	0	2	1	1
$B$	2	0	1	1
$X$	1	1	0	3
$Y$	1	1	3	0

Which satisfies distance axioms

1.  $PQ \geq 0$
2.  $PQ = 0 \iff P = Q$
3.  $PQ = QP$

And incidence axioms

- (a) At least two lines
- (b) Each line contains at least two different points
- (c) Each pair of points are together in at least one line
- (d) Each pair of points  $P, Q$  with  $PQ < \omega$  are together in at most one line

5. Which 3 collinear points in Fano have/don't have betweenness?

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P} &= \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\} \\ \mathbb{L} &= \{A, B, D\}, \{C, D, F\}, \{A, F, E\}, \{A, C, G\}, \{B, C, E\}, \{B, F, G\}, \{D, E, G\}\end{aligned}$$

With

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A(1, 0, 0) & B(1, 1, 0) & D(0, 1, 0) & E(0, 0, 1) \\ C(1, 1, 1) & F(1, 0, 1) & G(0, 1, 1) & \text{No point} : (0, 0, 0) \end{array}$$

We check each line for betweenness. If distance is defined as the number of different respective coordinates, then we observe

$$\begin{aligned}AB + BD &= AD \implies A-B-D \\ DC + CF &= DF \implies D-C-F \\ AF + FE &= AE \implies A-F-E \\ AC + CG &= AG \implies A-C-G \\ BC + CE &= BE \implies B-C-E \\ DG + GE &= DE \implies D-G-E\end{aligned}$$

For the line  $\{B, F, G\}$ , we have distances  $BF, BG$ , and  $FG$  with distances 2, 2, and 2 respectively. Since no two add up to the third, there is no betweenness relation amongst the three collinear points  $B, F, G$ . All other lines of three collinear points have a betweenness relation as seen above (7 lines, 6 relations).