Problem set 2 - Due: Wednesday, October 15

1.7.10. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ -2 \\ 24 \\ -14 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Calculate the appropriate (four) determinants to show that A can be transformed to (nonsingular) upper-triangular form by operations of type 1 only. (By the way, this is strictly an academic exercise. In practice one never calculates these determinants in advance.)
- (b) Carry out the row operations of type 1 to transform the system Ax = b to an equivalent system Ux = y, where U is upper triangular. Save the multipliers for use in Exercise 1.7.18.
- (c) Carry out the back substitution on the system Ux = y to obtain the solution of Ax = b. Don't forget to check your work.

Remark. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. A admits an LU factorization A = LU where L is unit lower triangular and U is upper triangular if and only if all leading principal submatrices are nonsingular.

a.) So, we check that

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix}2\end{bmatrix}\right), \, \det\left(\begin{bmatrix}2&1\\-2&0\end{bmatrix}\right), \, \det\left(\begin{bmatrix}2&1&-1\\-2&0&0\\4&1&-2\end{bmatrix}\right), \, \det\left(\begin{bmatrix}2&1&-1&3\\-2&0&0&0\\4&1&-2&6\\-6&-1&2&-3\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

are all nonzero. We see that

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix}2\end{bmatrix}\right) = 2 \neq 0,$$

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix}2&1\\-2&0\end{bmatrix}\right) = 2(0) - (1)(-2) = 2 \neq 0,$$

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix}2&1&-1\\-2&0&0\\4&1&-2\end{bmatrix}\right) = -1 \cdot -2(1(-2) - (-1)(1)) = -1 \cdot 2(-2+1) = -2 \neq 0,$$

$$\det\left(\begin{bmatrix}2&1&-1&3\\-2&0&0&0\\4&1&-2&6\\-6&-1&2&-3\end{bmatrix}\right) = -1 \cdot -2\det\left(\begin{bmatrix}1&-1&3\\1&-2&6\\-1&2&-3\end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$= 2\left(1(-2(-3) - 6(2)\right) - (-1)(1(-3) - 6(-1)) + 3(1(2) - (-2)(-1))\right)$$

$$= 2(-3) = -6 \neq 0.$$

Thus, all leading principal submatrices are nonsingular and A can be transformed to nonsingular upper-triangular form by operations of type 1 only.

b.) We use Gaussian Elimination on the augmented system $[A|b] \to [U|y]$. We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 13 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 & 24 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 & -14 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The operations to get $a_{21} = a_{31} = a_{41} = 0$ are

$$-(-1)r_1 + r_2 \to r'_2,$$

$$-2r_1 + r_3 \to r'_3,$$

$$-(-3)r_1 + r_4 \to r'_4.$$

Thus, $m_{21} = -1$, $m_{31} = 2$, $m_{41} = -3$ and the system becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix}
2 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 13 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 11 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & 6 & 25
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Next, we set a_{22} as the pivot element, r_2 as the pivot row, and perform the following operations to get $a_{23} = a_{24} = 0$. The operations are

$$r'_3 \leftarrow r_3 - (-1)r_2 \implies m_{32} = -1,$$

 $r'_4 \leftarrow r_4 - 2r_2 \implies m_{42} = 2.$

After these operations, the system becomes

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c}
2 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 13 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 11 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 9 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3
\end{array}\right].$$

Next, we set a_{33} as the pivot element, and r_3 as the pivot row, and perform the operation

$$r_4' \leftarrow r_4 - (-1)r_3 \implies m_{43} = -1.$$

After this operation, the system becomes

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|cccc} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 13 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 12 \end{array}\right].$$

Thus, the system Ux = y is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 11 \\ 9 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(c) Using back substitution, we can solve the above system.

$$3x_4 = 12 \implies x_4 = 4,$$

$$-x_3 + 3x_4 = 9 \implies x_3 = -1(9 - 3(4)) = 3,$$

$$x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 = 11 \implies x_2 = 11 - 3(4) + 3 = 2,$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 = 13 \implies x_1 = \frac{13 - 3(4) + 3 - 2}{2} = 1.$$

So, the solution to Ax = b is

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can verify this solution by computing Ax, and observing that it equals the given b. We see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2+2-3+12 \\ -2+0+0+0 \\ 4+2-6+24 \\ -6-2+6-12 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 13 \\ -2 \\ 24 \\ -14 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the solution is verified.

Note: We can assemble our multipliers to form L, we have

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

1.7.18. Solve the linear system $Ax = \hat{b}$, where A is as in Exercise 1.7.10 and

$$\hat{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -8 & 21 & -26 \end{bmatrix}^T.$$

Use the L and U that you calculated in Exercise 1.7.10.

From the previous exercise, we have that

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, the system $Ax = \hat{b}$ is solved using our LU decomposition for A. We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ -8 \\ 21 \\ -26 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let Ux = y, and Ly = b. First, we solve Ly = b for y using forward substitution.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ -8 \\ 21 \\ -26 \end{pmatrix}$$

implies

$$y_1 = 12,$$

$$-y_1 + y_2 = -8 \implies y_2 = -8 + 12 = 4,$$

$$2y_1 - y_2 + y_3 = 21 \implies y_3 = 21 + 4 - 2(12) = 1,$$

$$-3y_1 + 2y_2 - y_3 + y_4 = -26 \implies y_4 = -26 + 1 - 2(4) + 3(12) = 3.$$

So,

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 12\\4\\1\\3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we solve Ux = y with backward substitution. We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Which, implies that

$$3x_4 = 3 \implies x_4 = 1,$$

$$-x_3 + 3x_4 = 1 \implies x_3 = -1(1 - 3(1)) = 2,$$

$$x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 = 4 \implies x_2 = 4 - 3(1) + 2 = 3,$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + 3x_4 = 12 \implies x_1 = \frac{12 - 3(1) + 2 - 3}{2} = 4.$$

So,

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We verify the result by computing Ax, and comparing it against \hat{b} . We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2(4) + 1(3) - 1(2) + 3(1) \\ -2(4) + 0 + 0 + 0 \\ 4(4) + 1(3) - 2(2) + 6(1) \\ -6(4) - 1(3) + 2(2) - 3(1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ -8 \\ 21 \\ -26 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The result is verified.

1.7.26. Use the inner-product formulation to calculate the LU decomposition of the matrix A in Exercise $1.7.10\,$

Remark. The inner-product formulas to compute the LU decomposition are

$$u_{ij} = a_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \ell_{ik} u_{kj} \quad j = i, i+1, ..., n,$$
(1)

$$\ell_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \ell_{ik} u_{kj}}{u_{jj}} \quad i = j+1, j+2, ..., n.$$
 (2)

To use these formulas to find each u_{ij} we first need to plug i = 1 into (1), then after we get the first row of U, we can plug in j = 1 into (2) to get the first column of L, and so on.

Recall that the matrix A is given as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -2 & 6 \\ -6 & -1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So, we first find the first row of U (set i = 1), we have

$$u_{11} = a_{11} = 2,$$

 $u_{12} = a_{12} = 1,$
 $u_{13} = a_{13} = -1,$
 $u_{14} = a_{14} = 3.$

Next, we find the first column of L (set j = 1),

$$\begin{split} &\ell_{11}=1,\\ &\ell_{21}=\frac{a_{21}}{u_{11}}=\frac{-2}{2}=-1,\\ &\ell_{31}=\frac{a_{31}}{u_{11}}=\frac{4}{2}=2,\\ &\ell_{41}=\frac{a_{41}}{u_{11}}=-\frac{6}{2}=-3. \end{split}$$

For the second row of U (i = 2),

$$u_{22} = a_{22} - \sum_{k=1}^{1} \ell_{2k} u_{k2} = 0 - (-1)(1) = 1,$$

$$u_{23} = a_{23} - \sum_{k=1}^{1} \ell_{2k} u_{k3} = 0 - (-1)(-1) = -1,$$

$$u_{24} = a_{24} - \sum_{k=1}^{1} \ell_{2k} u_{k4} = 0 - (-1)(3) = 3.$$

For the second column of L (j = 2),

$$\ell_{22} = 1,$$

$$\ell_{32} = \frac{a_{32} - \sum_{k=1}^{1} \ell_{3k} u_{k2}}{u_{22}} = \frac{1 - 2(1)}{1} = -1,$$

$$\ell_{42} = \frac{a_{42} - \sum_{k=1}^{1} \ell_{4k} u_{k2}}{u_{22}} = \frac{-1 - (-3)(1)}{1} = 2.$$

For the third row of U (i = 3),

$$u_{33} = a_{33} - \sum_{k=1}^{2} \ell_{3k} u_{k3} = a_{33} - (\ell_{31} u_{13} + \ell_{32} u_{23}) = -2 - (2(-1) + (-1)(-1)) = -1,$$

$$u_{34} = a_{34} - \sum_{k=1}^{2} \ell_{3k} u_{k4} = a_{34} - (\ell_{31} u_{14} + \ell_{32} u_{24}) = 6 - (2(3) + (-1)(3)) = 3.$$

For the third column of L (j = 3),

$$\ell_{33} = 1,$$

$$\ell_{43} = \frac{a_{43} - \sum_{k=1}^{2} \ell_{4k} u_{k3}}{u_{33}} = \frac{a_{43} - (\ell_{41} u_{13} + \ell_{42} u_{23})}{u_{33}} = \frac{2 - ((-3)(-1) + (2)(-1))}{-1} = -1.$$

For the fourth row of U (i = 4),

$$u_{44} = a_{44} - \sum_{k=1}^{3} \ell_{4k} u_{k4} = a_{44} - (\ell_{41} u_{14} + \ell_{42} u_{24} + \ell_{43} u_{34}) = -3 - ((-3)(3) + 2(3) + (-1)(3)) = -3.$$

For the fourth column of L (j = 4),

$$\ell_{44} = 1.$$

So, the LU decomposition according to the inner-product formulas is

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 2 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Which is exactly the same decomposition that we got with Gaussian Elimination.

1.7.34. In this exercise you will show that performing an elementary row operation of type 1 is equivalent to left multiplication by a matrix of a special type. Suppose \tilde{A} is obtained from A by adding m times the ith row to the ith row.

(a) Show that $\tilde{A} = MA$, where M is the triangular matrix obtained from the identity matrix by replacing the zero by an m in the (i, j) position. For example, when i > j, M has the form

$$M = egin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & m & 1 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that this is the matrix obtained by applying the type 1 row operation directly to the identity matrix. We call M an elementary matrix of type 1.

- (b) Show that $\det(M) = 1$ and $\det(\tilde{A}) = \det(A)$. Thus we see (again) that \tilde{A} is nonsingular if and only if A is.
- (c) Show that M^{-1} differs from M only in that it has -m instead of m in the (i, j) position. M^{-1} is also an elementary matrix of type 1. To which elementary operation does it correspond?

Remark. The book defines the elementary operations in the following order

- 1. Add a multiple of one equation to another equation.
- 2. Interchange two equations.
- 3. Multiply an equation by a nonzero constant

Remark. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, let e_i be the i^{th} standard basis vector in \mathbb{R}^n . That is, a vector of size n with a one in the i^{th} position, and zeros everywhere else. Then,

$$\operatorname{col}_{j}(A) = Ae_{j}.$$

If we take the transpose of both sides,

$$\left(\operatorname{col}_{j}(A)\right)^{T} = e_{j}^{T} A^{T},$$

which implies that

$$\left(\operatorname{col}_{j}(A^{T})\right)^{T} = e_{j}^{T}A,.$$

But, we know that $\text{row}_j(A) = (\text{col}_j(A^T))^T$, and $\text{col}_j(A) = (\text{row}_j(A^T))^T$. Thus,

$$\left(\operatorname{col}_{j}(A^{T})\right)^{T} = e_{j}^{T} A$$

$$\Longrightarrow \operatorname{row}_{j}(A) = e_{j}^{T} A.$$

a.) In \tilde{A} , we have

If
$$k \neq i$$
, $\operatorname{row}_k(\tilde{A}) = \operatorname{row}_k(A)$,
If $k = i$, $\operatorname{row}_k(\tilde{A}) = \operatorname{row}_k(A) + m \cdot \operatorname{row}_i(A)$.

Let E_{ij} be the zero matrix except for a one at e_{ij} . Thus,

$$M = I + mE_{ij}$$
.

Observe that $E_{ij} = e_i e_j^T$, so

$$M = I + me_i e_i^T.$$

From this fact, we have

$$MA = (I + me_i e_j^T)A = A + me_i(e_j^T A).$$

Recall that $e_j^T A$ is the j^{th} row of A, so

$$MA = A + me_i \cdot row_j(A).$$

Further observe that $e_i \cdot \text{row}_j(A)$ is a matrix of size $n \times n$, where the i^{th} row is $\text{row}_j(A)$, and all other rows are zero.

So, we see that

If
$$k \neq i$$
, $\operatorname{row}_k(E_{ij}A) = 0$, so $\operatorname{row}_k(MA) = \operatorname{row}_k(A)$,
If $k = i$, $\operatorname{row}_k(E_{ij}A) = \operatorname{row}_j(A)$, so $\operatorname{row}_k(MA) = \operatorname{row}_i(A) + m \cdot \operatorname{row}_j(A)$.

Thus, $\tilde{A} = MA$

b.) Since M is triangular, the determinant is

$$\det(M) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{ii}.$$

But, $m_{ii} = 1$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n. Thus, det(M) = 1. The determinant of \tilde{A} is

$$\det(\tilde{A}) = \det(MA) = \det(M)\det(A) = 1\det(A) = \det(A).$$

c.) We propose

$$M^{-1} = I - mE_{ij}.$$

We can verify this by showing $MM^{-1} = (I + mE_{ij})(I - mE_{ij}) = I$. We have

$$(I + mE_{ij})(I - mE_{ij}) = II - mE_{ij} + mE_{ij} - m^2 E_{ij}^2$$

= $I - m^2 E_{ij}^2$.

But, since E_{ij} is all zeros except for a one at position i, j, for $i \neq j$, we have

$$E_{ij}^2 = (e_i e_j^T)(e_i e_j^T) = e_i(e_j^T e_i)e_j^T$$

But, $e_i^T e_i \in \mathbb{R}$, so we can commute

$$e_i(e_j^T e_i)e_j^T = (e_j^T e_i)e_i e_j^T = e_j^T e_i E_{ij}.$$

But, since $i \neq j$, $e_j^T e_i = 0$, so $E_{ij}^2 = 0$. Thus,

$$I - m^2 E_{ij}^2 = I.$$

Thus, $M^{-1} = I - mE_{ij}$, which means that M^{-1} is the same as M, but with -m in position (i, j) instead of +m. M^{-1} corresponds to the operation

$$r_i \leftarrow r_i - mr_i$$
.

1.7.36. Suppose \tilde{A} is obtained from A by multiplying the ith row by the nonzero constant c.

- (a) Find the form of the matrix M (an elementary matrix of type 3) such that $\tilde{A} = MA$.
- (b) Find M^{-1} and state its function as an elementary matrix.
- (c) Find $\det(M)$ and $\det(M)$ and $\det(A)$. Deduce that \tilde{A} is nonsingular if and only if A is.
- a.) Suppose we have $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \varphi \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, suppose we want to scale the second row by c, where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ c\gamma & c\varphi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \varphi \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ (c-1)\gamma & (c-1)\varphi \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= A + (c-1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} A$$
$$= A + (c-1)E_{22}A.$$

So, suppose we wish to scale the i^{th} row of A by a constant c, then

$$\tilde{A} = A + (c-1)E_{ii}A = (I + (c-1)E_{ii})A = MA.$$

Thus, $M = I + (c - 1)E_{ii}$.

It seems that the inverse operation is multiplying row i by $\frac{1}{c}$. Thus, we propose that the inverse of M is

$$M^{-1} = I + (\frac{1}{c} - 1)E_{ii}.$$

We have

$$MM^{-1} = (I + (c - 1)E_{ii}) \left(I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii} \right)$$
$$= I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii} + (c - 1)E_{ii} + (c - 1) \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii}^{2}.$$

But,

$$E_{ii}^{2} = (e_{i}e_{i}^{T})(e_{i}e_{i}^{T}) = e_{i}(e_{i}^{T}e_{i})e_{i}^{T}$$
$$= (e_{i}^{T}e_{i})e_{i}e_{i}^{T} = (e_{i}^{T}e_{i})E_{ii}$$
$$= ||e_{i}||^{2} E_{ii} = E_{ii}.$$

So, $E_{ii}^2 = E_{ii}$, and

$$MM^{-1} = II + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii} + (c - 1)E_{ii} + (c - 1)\left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii}^{2}$$

$$= I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 + c - 1\right) E_{ii} + (c - 1)\left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) E_{ii}$$

$$= I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 + c - 1 + (c - 1)\left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right)\right) E_{ii}$$

$$= I + \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1 + c - 1 + 1 - c - \frac{1}{c} + 1\right) E_{ii}$$

$$= I + 0E_{ii} = I.$$

Thus, $M^{-1} = I + (\frac{1}{c} - 1) E_{ii}$

c.)

$$\det(M) = \det(I + (c - 1)E_{ii}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} m_{kk}.$$

Notice that $m_{kk} = 1$, except at k = i, where we have $m_{ii} = 1 + (c - 1) = c$. Thus, det(M) = c, and

$$\det(\tilde{A}) = \det(MA) = \det(M)\det(A) = c\det(A).$$

Since $c \neq 0$, $\det(tildeA) = 0 \iff \det(A) = 0$. Hence, \tilde{A} is nonsingular if and only if A is.

1.8.4. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad b = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ -2 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Use Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting (by hand) to find matrices L and U such that U is upper triangular, L is unit lower triangular with $|l_{ij}| \leq 1$ for all i > j, and $LU = \tilde{A}$, where \tilde{A} can be obtained from A by making row interchanges. Use your LU decomposition to solve the system Ax = b.

We begin by initializing our permutation matrix P as the identity matrix I. That is, P = I. We set row one as the pivot row, and a_{11} as the pivot element. We look to the first column of A and see that a_{11} has the maximum absolute value, so no partial pivoting at this stage.

Let r_i denote the i^{th} row of A. We perform the operations

$$r_2 \leftarrow r_2 - m_{21}r_1, \quad m_{21} = \frac{1}{2},$$

 $r_3 \leftarrow r_3 - m_{31}r_1, \quad m_{31} = \frac{1}{2}.$

Thus,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 7 & -7 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 8 & -10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the boxed numbers are entries of L. Next, row two is the pivot row, and a_{22} is the pivot element. Using partial pivoting, we swap rows two and three. We make the same swap in P. So,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 7 & -7 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 8 & -10 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 8 & -10 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 7 & -7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we perform the operation

$$r_3 \leftarrow r_3 - 0r_2$$
.

So,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 8 & -10 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 7 & -7 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 & 10 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 8 & -10 \\ \hline \frac{1}{2} & \boxed{0} & 7 & -7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}, \ y = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -10 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}, \ P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$Pb = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -5 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We solve the system Ax = b, by splitting into two triangular systems

$$Ax = b \implies PAx = Pb \implies \tilde{A} = Pb \implies LUx = Pb$$

$$\implies \begin{cases} Ly = Pb \\ Ux = y \end{cases}.$$

So, we solve Ux = y with backward substitution

$$Ux = y \implies \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -10 \\ -7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Which, implies that

$$7x_3 = -7 \implies x_3 = -1,$$

$$2x_2 + 8x_3 = -10 \implies x_2 = \frac{-10 - 2(-1)}{2} = -1,$$

$$2x_1 + 2x_2 - 4x_3 = 10 \implies x_1 = \frac{10 + 4(-1) - 2(-1)}{2} = 4.$$

So,

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can verify this solution by checking that Ax = b, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 - 2 + 4 \\ 4 - 1 - 5 \\ 4 - 3 - 6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ -2 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the solution is verified.

1.8.9. Let A be the matrix in Exercise 1.8.4. Determine matrices P, L, and U with the properties stated in Theorem 1.8.8, such that $A = P^T L U$

The matrices P, L, U are precisely the matrices obtained in the previous exercise, since A is unchanged. The matrices are

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \ U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ \frac{1}{2}(2) & \frac{1}{2}(2) + 2 & \frac{1}{2}(-4) + 8 \\ \frac{1}{2}(2) & \frac{1}{2}(2) & \frac{1}{2}(-4) + 7 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} = A.$$

1.8.12. Write an algorithm that implements Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting. Store L and U over A, and save a record of the row interchanges.

```
proc row_swap(A, i, k)
          if (i = k) return
          for \ell = 1, ..., n
               tmp = a_{i\ell}
               a_{i\ell} = a_{k\ell}
               a_{k\ell} = tmp
5
          end
    endproc
    proc partial_pivot(A, P, K)
9
          \max = |a_{kk}|, \max_i = k
10
          for i = k + 1, ..., n
               if (|a_{ik}| > \max)
12
                    \max = |a_{ik}|, \max_i = i
13
               end
14
          end
15
16
          if (max = 0) set error flag, exit
17
18
          row_swap(A, k, max_i)
19
          row_swap(P, k, max_i)
20
    endproc
21
22
    proc gaussian(A)
23
          P = I
24
          for k = 1, ..., n
25
               partial_pivot(A, P, k)
26
               for i = k + 1, ..., n
                    m = a_{ik}/a_{kk}
28
                    for j = k, ..., n
29
                         a_{ij} = a_{ij} - m \cdot a_{kj}
30
                    end
31
                    a_{ik} = m
32
               end
33
          end
35
          return P
36
    endproc
37
```

2.1.10. Prove that the 1-norm is a norm.

Remark. $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm if and only if the following properties are satisfied

```
1. ||x|| \ge 0 and ||x|| = 0 \iff x = 0
```

- 2. $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$
- 3. $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ (triangle inequality)

The 1-norm for a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$.

1.) Suppose $||x||_1 = 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| = 0 \implies |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n| = 0$$

$$\implies x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = 0.$$

Suppose x = 0, then $x_1 = x_2 = ... = x_n = 0$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 0 = 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 = 0.$$

2.)

$$\|\alpha x\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha x_{i}| = |\alpha x_{1}| + |\alpha x_{2}| + \dots + |\alpha x_{n}|$$

$$= |\alpha| |x_{1}| + |\alpha| |x_{2}| + \dots + |\alpha| |x_{n}|$$

$$= |\alpha| (|x_{1}| + |x_{2}| + \dots + |x_{n}|) = |\alpha| |\|x\|_{1}.$$

3.) We can use the triangular inequality for absolute value,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x+y\|_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i+y_i| \\ &= |x_1+y_1| + |x_2+y_2| + \ldots + |x_n+y_n| \\ &\leqslant |x_1| + |y_1| + |x_2| + |y_2| + \ldots + |x_n| + |y_n| \\ &= |x_1| + |x_2| + \ldots + |x_n| + |y_1| + |y_2| + \ldots + |y_n| \\ &= \|x\|_1 + \|y\|_1 \,. \end{aligned}$$

2.1.13. Prove that the ∞ -norm is a norm.

The ∞ -norm for a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\|x\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1}^n |x_i|$

1.) Suppose $||x||_{\infty} = 0$, then

$$\max_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| = 0$$

implies that $x_1 = x_2 = ... = x_n = 0$, since $|x_i| \ge 0$ for all i. Next, suppose that x = 0, then

$$\max_{i=1}^{n} 0 = \max\{0, 0, ..., 0\} = 0.$$

Thus $||x||_{\infty} = 0 \iff x = 0$ holds for the ∞ -norm.

2.) If $\alpha = 0$, then $\|0 \cdot x\|_{\infty} = \|0\|_{\infty} = 0$, and $\|0\| \|x\|_{\infty} = 0 \|x\|_{\infty} = 0$, so $\|0x\|_{\infty} = \|0\| \|x\|_{\infty}$. So, assume that $\alpha \neq 0$. We have

$$\|\alpha x\|_{\infty} = \max\{|\alpha x_1|, |\alpha x_2|, ..., |\alpha x_n|\} = |\alpha|\max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, ..., |x_n|\}$$

This follows from the fact that if $|\alpha x_{\ell}| \ge |\alpha x_i|$, for all $i \ne \ell$, then

$$|\alpha| |x_{\ell}| \geqslant |\alpha| |x_{i}|$$

$$\implies |x_{\ell}| \geqslant |x_{i}|.$$

3.) By the triangle inequality for absolute value, for each i,

$$|x_i + y_i| \leqslant |x_i| + |y_i|.$$

If we take the max of both sides,

$$||x + y||_{\infty} = \max_{i=1}^{n} |x_i + y_i| \leq \max_{i=1}^{n} (|x_i| + |y_i|)$$
$$\leq \max_{i=1}^{n} |x_i| + \max_{i=1}^{n} |y_i|$$
$$= ||x||_{\infty} + ||y||_{\infty}.$$

2.1.17.

(a) Let A be a positive definite matrix, and let R be its Cholesky factor, so that $A = R^T R$. Verify that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$||x||_A = ||Rx||_2.$$

(b) Using the fact that the 2-norm is indeed a norm on \mathbb{R}^n , prove that the A-norm is a norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark. Given a positive definite matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, define the A-norm on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$||x||_A = (x^T A x)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

a.)

$$(x^T A x)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (x^T R^T R x)^{\frac{1}{2}} = ((R x)^T (R x))^{\frac{1}{2}} = (\|R x\|_2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|R x\|_2.$$

b.) Suppose that $||x||_A = 0$, then

$$||Rx||_2 = 0 \implies Rx = 0 \implies x = 0$$

since R is non-singular. Next, suppose that x = 0, then

$$||0||_A = ||R0||_2 = ||0||_2 = 0.$$

So,
$$\|x\|_A = 0 \implies x = 0$$
, and $x = 0 \implies \|x\|_A = 0$. Hence, $\|x\|_A = 0 \iff x = 0$.

Next, consider $\|\alpha x\|_A$, we have

$$\|\alpha x\|_A = \|R(\alpha x)\|_2 = \|\alpha Rx\|_2 = |\alpha| \|Rx\|_2 = |\alpha| \|x\|_A$$
.

Last, consider $||x+y||_A$,

$$\|x+y\|_A = \|R(x+y)\|_2 = \|Rx+Ry\|_2 \leqslant \|Rx\|_2 + \|Ry\|_2 = \|x\|_A + \|y\|_A \,.$$

So, $||x||_A$ is indeed a norm on \mathbb{R}^n .

2.2.6.

- (a) Show that $\kappa(A) = \kappa(A^{-1})$
- (b) Show that for any nonzero scalar c, $\kappa(cA) = \kappa(A)$

a.)

$$\kappa(A) = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\|,$$

$$\kappa(A^{-1}) = \|A^{-1}\| \|(A^{-1})^{-1}\| = \|A^{-1}\| \|A\| = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \kappa(A).$$

b.)

$$\kappa(\alpha A) = \|\alpha A\| \left\| (\alpha A)^{-1} \right\|$$

$$= \|\alpha A\| \left\| \frac{1}{\alpha} A^{-1} \right\|$$

$$= |\alpha| \|A\| \left| \frac{1}{\alpha} \right| \|A^{-1}\|$$

$$= \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| = \kappa(A).$$

2.2.15.

(a) Let α be a positive number, and define

$$A_{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that for any induced matrix norm we have $||A_{\alpha}|| = \alpha$, $||A_{\alpha}^{-1}|| = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, and $\kappa(A_{\alpha}) = 1$. Thus, A_{α} is well conditioned. On the other hand, $\det(A_{\alpha}) = \alpha^2$, so we can make it as large or small as we please by choosing α appropriately.

- (b) More generally, given an nonsingular matrix A, discuss the condition number and determinant of A_{α} , where α is any positive real number.
- a.) Observe that $A_{\alpha} = \alpha I$. For any induced matrix norm, we have the properties ||I|| = 1, and ||sA|| = |s| ||A||. Thus,

$$||A_{\alpha}|| = ||\alpha I|| = |\alpha| \, ||I|| = |\alpha| \cdot 1 = \alpha,$$

since $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $|\alpha| = \alpha$. Furthermore, $A_{\alpha}^{-1} = (\alpha I)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}I^{-1} = \frac{1}{\alpha}I$. Thus,

$$\left\|A_{\alpha}^{-1}\right\| = \left\|\frac{1}{\alpha}I\right\| = \left|\frac{1}{\alpha}\right| \|I\| = \left|\frac{1}{\alpha}\right| \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{\alpha}.$$

Again, since $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $\left|\frac{1}{\alpha}\right| = \frac{1}{\alpha}$. With these two facts, we have

$$\kappa(A_{\alpha}) = \|A_{\alpha}\| \|A_{\alpha}^{-1}\| = \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} = 1.$$

b.) For any nonsingular matrix A, we have $A_{\alpha} = \alpha A$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. By exercise 2.2.6, we saw

$$\kappa(A_{\alpha}) = \kappa(\alpha A) = \kappa(A).$$

So, the condition number stays the same regardless of how big or small α is. On the other hand,

$$\det(\alpha A) = \alpha^n \det(A).$$

So, the determinant grows as α grows, and shrinks as α shrinks. Thus, the determinant can be arbitrarily large or small depending on α while $\kappa(A_{\alpha})$ remains fixed.

Repeat the proof of Theorem 2.3.3.

Remark. Theorem 2.3.3. Let A be nonsingular, let $b \neq 0$, and let x and $\hat{x} = x + \delta x$ be solutions of Ax = b and $(A + \delta A)\hat{x} = b$, respectively. Then,

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|\hat{x}\|} \leqslant \kappa(A) \frac{\|\delta A\|}{\|A\|}. \tag{2.3.4}$$

Proof. We have the two systems,

$$Ax = b$$
, $A\hat{x} = \hat{b}$.

Thus, we have

$$Ax = b (1)$$

$$A(x + \delta x) = b + \delta b . (2)$$

Looking at (1), we see

$$Ax = b \implies ||b|| = ||Ax||$$
.

But, by the Cauchy Schwarz inequality, $||b|| \le ||A|| \, ||x||$. So,

$$||b|| \leqslant ||A|| \, ||x|| \,. \tag{1}$$

Looking at (2), we see

$$A(x + \delta x) = b + \delta b$$

$$\Rightarrow Ax + A\delta x = b + \delta b$$

$$\Rightarrow A\delta x = \delta b$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta x = A^{-1}\delta b$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\delta x\| = \|A^{-1}\delta b\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\delta x\| \leqslant \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta b\|.$$
(2)

Notice that we can setup (1) so that dividing (2) by (1) gives the relative error in x on the left, and relative error of b on the right. So,

$$\frac{1}{\|x\|} \leqslant \frac{\|A\|}{\|b\|}.$$

Now, we divide (2) by (1), we have

$$\frac{\left\Vert \delta x\right\Vert }{\left\Vert x\right\Vert }\leqslant \left\Vert A^{-1}\right\Vert \left\Vert A\right\Vert \frac{\left\Vert \delta b\right\Vert }{\left\Vert b\right\Vert }=\kappa (A)\frac{\left\Vert \delta b\right\Vert }{\left\Vert b\right\Vert }.$$