Here's an alternate solution to 1.6.2.

Using the Leibniz rule and the fact that L_{ξ} commutes with index substitution, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_{\xi}(\eta^{a}\eta^{b}) &= \eta^{a}L_{\xi}(\eta^{b}) + \eta^{b}L_{\xi}(\eta^{a}) \\ &= \eta^{a}\delta^{b}{}_{a}L_{\xi}(\eta^{a}) + \eta^{b}L_{\xi}(\eta^{a}) \\ &= \eta^{b}L_{\xi}(\eta^{a}) + \eta^{b}L_{\xi}(\eta^{a}). \end{array}$$

Thus, if $L_{\xi}(\eta^a \eta^b) = 0$ then $\eta^b L_{\xi}(\eta^a) = 0$. If η is nonvanishing, then it can be cancelled, leaving $L_{\xi}(\eta^a) = 0$.

One thing I would prefer to confirm: how do we know that there is a smooth field λ_a that is inverse to η^a ?

Question: Is there an example of a smooth field η such that both $L_{\xi}(\eta^a \eta^b) = 0$ and $L_{\xi}(\eta^a) \neq 0$? Obviously it would have to vanish at some point.