logic pset2

A.

Use Conditional Proof (and possibly the previous rules) to prove the following sequents. Be sure to include dependency numbers in the leftmost column of your proof.

- 1. $P \to Q \vdash P \to (Q \lor R)$
 - 1 (1) P→Q
 - 2 (2) P
 - 1,2 (3) Q 1,2 MP
 - 1,2 (4) QvR 3 vI
 - 1 (5) P→(QvR) 2,4 CP
- 2. $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R) \vdash Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$
 - $(1) P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R)$
 - 2 (2) Q Α
 - 3 (3) P
 - 1,3 (4) Q→R 1,3 MP
 - 4,2 MP 1,2,3 (5) R

 - 1,2 (6) P→R 3,5 CP
 - (7) $Q \rightarrow (P \rightarrow R)$ 2,6 CP
- 3. $\neg P \vdash \neg (P \land Q)$
 - 1 (1) ¬P Α
 - 2 (2) P_AQ Α
 - 2 (3) P 2 **\rangle** E
 - (4) (PΛQ)→P 2,3 CP
 - 1 (5) $\neg (P_{\Lambda}Q)$ 4,1 MT
- 4. $\neg (P \lor Q) \vdash \neg P$
 - 1 (1) $\neg (PvQ)$ Α
 - 2 (2) P Α
 - (3) PvQ 2 2 vI

5.
$$P \vdash (P \rightarrow \neg P) \rightarrow \neg P$$

1 (4)
$$(P \rightarrow \neg P) \rightarrow \neg P$$
 2,3 CP

6.
$$P \vdash \neg (P \rightarrow \neg P)$$

1 (6)
$$\neg (P \rightarrow \neg P)$$
 4,5 MT

B.

Use \vee -elimination (and possibly the previous rules) to prove the following sequents. Do *not* use reductio ad absurdum for any of these proofs.

1.
$$P \lor (Q \land R) \vdash P \lor Q$$

1 (1)
$$Pv(Q_{\Lambda}R)$$
 A

2.
$$P \wedge (Q \vee R) \vdash (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$$

1,4 (6)
$$(P_{\Lambda}Q)_{V}(P_{\Lambda}R)$$
 5 VI

- 3. $P \lor Q, \neg P \vdash Q$
 - 1 (1) PvQ A
 - 2 (2) ¬P A
 - 3 (3) ¬Q A
 - 2 (4) ¬Q→¬P 3,2 CP
 - 5 (5) P A
 - 5 (6) ¬¬P 5 DN
 - 2,5 (7) ¬¬Q 4,6 MT
 - 2,5 (8) Q 7 DN
 - 9 (9) Q
 - 1,2 (10) Q 1,5,8,9,9 vE
- 4. $(P \to R) \land (Q \to R) \vdash (P \lor Q) \to R$

Α

- 1 (1) $(P\rightarrow R) \wedge (Q\rightarrow R)$ A
- 1 (2) P→R 1 ∧E
- 1 (3) Q→R 1 ∧E
- 4 (4) PvQ A
- 5 (5) P A
- 1,5 (6) R 2,5 MP
- 7 (7) Q A
- 1,7 (8) R 3,7 MP
- 1,4 (9) R 4,5,6,7,8 vE
 - (10) (PvQ)→R 4,9 CP