Evaluation Item	Unacceptable (0)	Acceptable (1) The submission includes (a) a set of tests for verifying the parser and (b) a script to build your parser and to parse those tests. That script can be used on a lab machine to verify the parser outputs.		Comments	
Verifiability Note: If this item is "unacceptable", the rest of the assignment will not be marked.	The submission lacks a script for validating the parser, or the script provided could not be used to verify the parser.			•	Everything required is present.
Evaluation Item	Unacceptable (0)	Marginal (1-2)	Proficient (3)	Cor	nments
Correctness	The backend does not generate legal code in the desired output language.	The backend generates legal code in the desired output language (with small exceptions). Invalid code may be generated if the input is erroneous.	The backend generates legal code in the desired output language. When it encounters an error in the input, an error is reported.	•	Everything described from the language spec seems to be incorporated into the compiler. There should also be test cases to check for illegitimate input (to see if the typechecker works properly).
					3/3
Completeness	The backend fails to generate code for significant portions of the input language.	The backend fails to generate code for one or two legal structures.	The backend generates legal code for all structures identified in the language spec.		Everything described from the previous language spec seems to be incorporated into the compiler.

				3/3
Evaluation Item Documentation	Unacceptable (0) The submission document is missing one of the required elements.	Marginal (1-2) The submission document contains all three required elements but does not clearly describe the IR or process for verifying the parser.	Proficient (3) The submission contains a document that (a) describes the IR clearly and explains how it is transformed, (b) lists language structures not parsed, and (c) explains how to use the provided script and tests.	The documentation is clearly laid out and well organized. Everything required is present apart from a clear description of language features that were supported or not supported in the sprint.
				2.5 /3

Additional Notes:

• Cool website!

Group Members:

1004042537BHARDWAJRITVIKritvik.bhardwaj@mail.utoronto.cabhard1181005290855HUHAOCHENGhc.hu@mail.utoronto.cahuhaoche1004432321SIBIANAAZnaaz.sibia@utoronto.casibianaa

Score: 9.5**/10**