<b>Evaluation Item</b>	Unacceptable (0)	Acceptable (1)  The submission includes (a) a set of tests for verifying the parser and (b) a script to build your parser and to parse those tests. That script can be used on a lab machine to verify the parser outputs.		Comments	
Verifiability Note: if this item is "unacceptable", the rest of the assignment will not be marked.	The submission lacks a script for validating the parser, or the script provided could not be used to verify the parser.			The script and commands work as described.  1/1	
<b>Evaluation Item</b>	Unacceptable (0)	Marginal (1-2)	Proficient (3)	Comments	
Correctness	The extension has broken the compiler's ability to generate code in many cases.	The extension has caused the compiler to fail in edge cases. Invalid code may be generated if the input is erroneous.	The compiler continues to generate legal code with the extension enabled. When it encounters an error in the input, an error is reported.	<ul> <li>There should also be test cases to check for illegitimate input (to see if the typechecker works properly).</li> <li>I tried entering erroneous input into your website (https://flatliner.herokuapp.com/) and I did get errors in some cases (e.g. "True = a"). Therefore, I am deducting 0.5 instead of 1 despite the lack of failing test cases. I appreciate the effort that went into having the website running because it made the user experience of checking your compiler much better.</li> </ul>	
				2.5 <b>/3</b>	

Robustness	The extension only works on a very narrow case.	The extension fails to account for some edge cases or rules out some common cases.	The extension is capable of handling unexpected or incorrect input gracefully.	Because examples containing incorrect input have not been provided, it is not clear whether the extension is capable of handling such cases.
Evaluation Item	Unaccentable (0)	Marginal (1.2)	Droficiant (2)	2.5/3
Documentation	Unacceptable (0)  The extension is not clearly identified or the document does not explain its purpose.	Marginal (1-2)  The extension is identified clearly, but the documentation fails to clearly explain how it is implemented or why it is interesting.	Proficient (3)  The extension is identified clearly. The document explains what it does, how it is implemented, and why it is interesting.	The extensions are identified clearly, and an explanation of how these extensions were supported and why it was challenging or interesting is there.
				3 <b>/3</b>

## **Additional Notes:**

- IR for the type extensions have been generated.
- The compiler still outputs 32 shift/reduce conflicts, indicating grammar ambiguity which should have been resolved by now.

```
Therefore, I am deducting 0.5 marks for this.
WARNING: Token 'WS' derined, but not used
WARNING: There are 8 unused tokens
  Generating LALR tables
  WARNING: 32 shift/reduce conflicts
  test_assignments ---
                                                          Passed
  test_loops -
                                                           Passed
```

## **Group Members:**

1004042537 BHARDWA	AJ RITVIK	ritvik.bhardwaj@mail.utoronto.ca bhard118
--------------------	-----------	---

1005290855 HU HAOCHENG hc.hu@mail.utoronto.ca huhaoche

1004432321 SIBIA NAAZ naaz.sibia@utoronto.ca sibianaa

**Score:** 8.5 **/10**