Homework 9

Huimin He, section 1

March 13, 2015

1. 9.1 HW (Knapsack decision problem NP-complete)

(a) Input: value parameter M > 0, list of values $[v_1, ..., v_n]$, list of weights $[w_1, ... w_n]$, weight limit W.

Question: does a subset $S \subseteq \{1,...,n\}$ exist s.t. $\sum_{k \in S} v_k \ge M$ and $\sum_{k \in S} w_k \le W$.

(b) witness: a subset $S \subseteq \{1,...,n\}$ Relevant facts: $\sum_{k \in S} v_k \ge M$ and $\sum_{k \in S} w_k \le W$.

c) to show that KNAP is NP - complete, we need to show that $KNAP \in NP$ and construct the following reduction

$$SUBS \preccurlyeq_{p} KNAP$$

To reduce an instance of SUBS to KNAP, create the following KNAP problem let

$$v_i = w_i = s_i$$

where s_i is the integer numbers in the subset sum problem.

Subset sum problem: Instance: Non-negative integer numbers $s_1, s_2, ..., s_n, andt$

Question: Does a subset of these numbers add up to t?

Denote b to be the weight limit, k to be the profit that we want to ask if the knapsack has value at least k.

contruction:

$$v_i = w_i = s_i$$

$$t = b = k$$

Then the knapsack problem is reduced to the subsetsum problem because

$$\sum_{i \in S} w_i \le b$$

$$\sum_{i \in S} v_i \ge k$$

$$\sum_{i \in S} s_i = t$$

Yes answer to the subset sum problems gives the left part of the relationship and satisfies the instance for knapsack problem.

- 2. 9.2(NP-hard problems)
 - (a) B implies A so (a2) is correct. Because cook reductions needs an oracle to solve membership in L_2 in order to solve membership in L_1 but there is no oracle in Karp reduction.
 - (b) Integer Knapsack problem

Input: list of values $[v_1, ..., v_n]$, list of weights $[w_1, ... w_n]$, weight limit W.

Optimization Objective: Find a subset $S \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ to maximize the $V_m ax = \sum_{k \in S} v_k \ge M$

under the constraint that $\sum_{k \in S} w_k \leq W$.

Output: V_max

(c)Prove that integer knapsack is np-hard by cook-reduction from KNAP.

Denote the integer knapsack optimization problem as KNAP-opt. We only need to show that KNAP $\leq_c ook$ KNAP-opt in order to show Knap-opt is np-hard because KNAP is np-complete from HW 9.1.

Algorithm to solve KNAP-opt calling KNAP: We can apply binary search to find the V_max . Refer the KNAP algorithm to HW9.1

First choose value M to be V_total , the sum of value list $[v_1, ..., v_n]$ run KNAP

If the answer is yes, increase M by (upbound-M)/2 and run KNAP again. Also update lowerbound=M

If the answer is no, decrease M by (M-lowerbound)/2 and update upperbound=M and run KNAP again

The oracle needs to be called at most log_2V_{total} times.

3. 9.3 Hamiltonian path To show that HAMILTON-PATH is NP-hard, we need to show that HAMILTONIAN \leq_{cook} HAMILTON-PATH.

Pseudocode: solve HAMILTONIAN USINGHAMILTON-PATH oracle.

Input: a graph G Output: yes/no answer

Initilization

- 0 add a new vertex u to G
- 1 pick a vertex $v \in G$
- 3 connect all vertices that are connected to v to u
- 4 **return** HAMILTON-PATH on the new graph G'

Correctness of this reduction: hamiltonian cycle can be converted to path in G' by starting at v until the cycle reaches a vetex s befroe returning to v. Finish at u instead of v.

Hamitonian path from v to u in G' can be converted to a Hamiltonian cycle in G by starting with v and when it reached s before u, return to v instead.

- 4. 9.4 Metrix traveling salesman
 - (a) given a graph G described in problem. Is there a hamilton cycle with cost less than k? witness: a hamilton cycle in G with cost less than k. This can be verified easily by checking weather it is a hamilton cycle and adding up the total cost to see if it is less than k.

(b) reference to the text book page 1097

Show that HAMTONIAN \leq_p MTS. Denote G=(V,E) as an instance of HAMTONIAN. We need to construct an instance of MTS. From the complete graph $G'=(V,E'), E'=(i,j): i,j\in Vandi\neq j$, define the cost function c by

$$c(i, j) = 0 \text{ if } (i, j) \in E$$

$$c(i,j) = 1$$
 if $(i,j) \notin E$

Graph G has a hamiltonian cycle if and only if graph G' has a tour of cost at most 0. Suppose that graph G has a hamiltonian cycle h. Each edge in h belongs to E and has cost 0. Suppose graph G' has a tour h' of cost at most 0. Because the cost s of the edge in E' are 0, 1. So h' has only edges in E. So h' is a hamiltonian cycle in G.

5. 9.5 (amortized analysis queue via stack)

(a)loop invariant: totle number of element in S and R does ont change. If we concatenate R stack and reverse S stack , the order of the elements does not change. The last statement makes sure that S eventually has elements from R in reverse order.

6. 9.6 (MAX 3 sat problem)

- (a1) sameple space: $\{0,1\}^n$ since we have n variables.
- (a2) random variable: X is the number of satisfied clauses. let I_i be the value of the ith clause. So

$$X = \sum_{i} I_{i}$$

So

$$E(X) = E\sum_{i} I_{i} = \sum_{i} E(I_{i})$$

$$E(I_i) = 1 \times P(I_i = 1) + 0 \times P(I_i = 0) = 1 \times 7/8 + 0 \times 1/8$$

Where
$$P(I_i = 1) = 1 - (1/2)^3 = 7/8$$

(b) prove that $m \geq 7s/8$ is tight

Consider the contriuction of the clauses as following

we have 8n clauses where n is positive integer. Pick first 7n randomly from all the variables. Then pick 1n clauses such that each clause is a negation of one of the first 7n clauses. By doing this, we make sure that the number of clauses that are correct is at most 7/8n because the 1n contradicts the other 7n clauses.

(d1) We can treat the process as geometric process with p(success) = 1/(s+1) when $X \ge 7s/8$. let N denote the expected number of trials needed until one success. $N \sim$ geometric distribution.

$$E(N) = 1/p(success) = (s+1)$$

(d2) The randomized algorithm runs in polynomial expected time. It needs s+1 expected trials to achieve $X \geq 7s/8$.