

Hilbert Spaces I

A *scalar product* (or *inner product*) on a linear space X is a mapping from $X \times X$ to \mathbb{K} , denoted (\cdot, \cdot) , which satisfies the following axioms

- (a₁) $(x, y) = \overline{(y, x)} \quad \forall x, y \in X,$
- (a₂) $(x + y, z) = (x, z) + (y, z) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X,$
- (a₃) $(\alpha x, y) = \alpha(x, y) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}, \forall x, y \in X,$
- (a₄) $(x, x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in X, \text{ and } (x, x) = 0 \iff x = 0.$

Definition of Inner Product

We have denoted by $\overline{(y, x)}$ the complex conjugate of (y, x)

A space X together with such a product is called an *inner product space*.

We have $(x, \alpha y) = \overline{\alpha}(x, y)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and all $x, y \in X$.

Two vectors $x, y \in X$ are called *orthogonal* if their scalar product is equal to zero: $(x, y) = 0$.

We define the *length* of a vector $x \in X$ as $\|x\| = \sqrt{(x, x)}$.
mapping $x \rightarrow \|x\|$ satisfies

- (i) $\|x\| = 0 \iff x = 0;$
- (ii) $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x\| \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}, \forall x \in X;$
- (iii) $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in X.$

The mapping $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a *norm* on X , and X is a *normed space*.

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$|(x, y)| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad (1)$$

In fact, we have

$$0 \leq \|x + \alpha y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re} \bar{\alpha}(x, y) + |\alpha|^2 \|y\|^2,$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$ and all $x, y \in X$. Taking

$$\alpha = -(x, y) / \|y\|^2$$

We get (1).

The usual scalar product of $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \quad \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T, \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \in X,$$

and the corresponding norm is

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{(x, x)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}.$$

Parallelogram law

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2 \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Lemma If V is an inner product space, then

$x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y$ implies that

$$(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y).$$

In particular, if $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j$, then

$$(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_j, y)$$

Proof. We have

$$|(x_n, y_n) - (x, y)| = |(x_n - x, y_n) + (x, y_n - y)|$$

$$\leq \|x_n - x\| \|y_n\| + \|y_n - y\| \|x\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We define on \mathbb{C}^n an inner product by

$$(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \overline{y_i} \quad \forall x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T, y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and the corresponding (Euclidean) norm is

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{(x, x)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2}.$$

The function

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x - y, x - y)}$$

is called the distance function induced by the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) .

If $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm induced by an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) , then if X is real,

$$4(x, y) = \|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2;$$

if X is complex,

$$4(x, y) = \|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2$$

Let X be a linear space over \mathbb{K} equipped with a scalar (inner) product (\cdot, \cdot) .

Define the norm

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{(x, x)}, \quad x \in X.$$

If $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space (i.e., (X, d) is a complete metric space, where $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$, $x, y \in X$), then X is said to be a **Hilbert space**. In other words, a Hilbert space is a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ whose norm is given by a scalar product.

$\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{C}^k, L^2(\Omega)$ are Hilbert spaces equipped with the usual inner products:

$$(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k,$$
$$(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \overline{y_i}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k,$$

$$(u, v)_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx, \quad u, v \in L^2(\Omega)$$

The corresponding induced norms are

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k,$$

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i|^2, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k,$$

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx, \quad u \in L^2(\Omega).$$

Every Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R}^n is convergent since the corresponding coordinate sequences are Cauchy in \mathbb{R} , hence convergent in that space. So \mathbb{R}^n equipped with the above scalar product and norm is a Hilbert space over \mathbb{R} .

An inner product space is also called a Pre-Hilbert space.

Theorem (Jordan–von Neumann). *Let $(H, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space. Then the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is given by a scalar product (i.e., there exists a scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot) : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $\|x\| = \sqrt{(x, x)}$, $x \in H$) if and only if $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the parallelogram law. (Hence, a Banach space $(H, \|\cdot\|)$ is Hilbert \iff its norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the parallelogram law).*

Proof. Assuming that $\|\cdot\|$ is generated by a scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) ,

we have for all $x, y \in H$

$$\begin{aligned}\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 &= (x + y, x + y) + (x - y, x - y) \\ &= 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2).\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

i.e., the norm satisfies the parallelogram law.

Assume that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of H satisfies (1), we consider the

Case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Define $f : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2), \quad x, y \in H,$$

$$f(x, x) = \frac{1}{4}\|2x\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \quad \forall x \in H,$$

$$f(x, y) = f(y, x) \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

$$f(x, 0) = 0 \quad \forall x \in H.$$

$$f(x_1 + x_2, y) = \frac{1}{4}(\|x_1 + x_2 + y\|^2 - \|x_1 + x_2 - y\|^2)$$

$$f(x_1 - x_2, y) = \frac{1}{4}(\|x_1 - x_2 + y\|^2 - \|x_1 - x_2 - y\|^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x_1 + x_2, y) + f(x_1 - x_2, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|x_1 + y\|^2 - \|x_1 - y\|^2) \\ &= 2f(x_1, y). \end{aligned}$$

In the special case $x_1 = x_2 = x$ we have

$$f(2x, y) = 2f(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

Taking $x_1 + x_2 = x$ and $x_1 - x_2 = x'$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{we get } f(x, y) + f(x', y) &= 2f\left(\frac{x + x'}{2}, y\right) \\ &= f(x + x', y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$f(nx, y) = nf(x, y) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and so

$$f(nx, y) = nf(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in H, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Now for a rational number

$r = m/n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \neq 0$, we have

$$f\left(\frac{m}{n}x, y\right) = mf\left(\frac{1}{n}x, y\right) = \frac{m}{n}f(x, y),$$

so

$$f(rx, y) = rf(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in H, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Since f is continuous on $H \times H$, this extends to $r \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,

$$f(rx, y) = rf(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in H, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Summarizing, we see that H satisfies the conditions for an inner product.

Sufficiency in the complex case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ can be treated similarly, with $f : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(\|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 + i\|x + iy\|^2 - i\|x - iy\|^2)$$

the scalar product generating a norm is unique. Indeed, if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and (\cdot, \cdot) are two scalar products $(x, x) = \langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2, x \in H$, we have from

$$(x + y, x + y) = \langle x + y, x + y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in H,$$

that

$$\operatorname{Re}(x, y) = \operatorname{Re}\langle x, y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in H, \quad (2)$$

and this completes the proof in the real case. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then by replacing y by iy in (2), we also get

$$\operatorname{Im}(x, y) = \operatorname{Im}\langle x, y \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

Example The norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $C([a,b])$ does not come from an inner product.

In fact, taking $f(x) = 1$, $g(x) = \frac{x-a}{b-a}$, we have

$$\|f\|_\infty = \|g\|_\infty = 1, \quad \|f+g\|_\infty = 2, \quad \|f-g\|_\infty = 1.$$

The Parallelogram law does not hold.

Example When $p \geq 1, p \neq 2$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{l^p}$ on $l^p(\mathbb{K})$ does not come from an inner product.

In fact, for $x = (1, 1, 0, \dots), y = (1, -1, 0, \dots) \in l^p$,

We have if $p \neq \infty$

$$\|x\| = \|y\| = 2^{1/p}, \|x+y\| = \|x-y\| = 2, \quad \|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 8 \neq 4 \times 2^{2/p} \\ = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2).$$

On the other hand, if $u = (1, 1, 0, \dots), v = (1, 0, \dots)$, then

$$\|u\|_\infty = \|v\|_\infty = 1, \quad \|u+v\|_\infty = 2, \quad \|u-v\|_\infty = 1.$$

The Parallelogram law does not hold.

Definition. A normed space is said to be *uniformly convex* if

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$[x, y \in E, \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1 \text{ and } \|x - y\| > \varepsilon] \Rightarrow \left[\left\| \frac{x + y}{2} \right\| < 1 - \delta \right].$$

Lemma Every inner product space is uniformly convex.

Proof

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, taking $\delta = 1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}}$, we have from

$$\left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) - \left\| \frac{x-y}{2} \right\|^2 \quad \text{that}$$

$$\|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x - y\| > \varepsilon \rightarrow \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\|^2 < 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}, \text{ that is, } \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| < 1 - \delta.$$

Schauder Bases in Normed Spaces

Definition A countable set $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a Schauder basis for a normed space X if every $x \in X$ can be written uniquely as

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i \quad \text{for some } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$$

Example The collection $\{e^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is a Schauder basis for l^p for every $1 \leq p < \infty$, but is not a Schauder basis for l^{∞} .

In fact, we have showed that $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N > 0$ s.t. for all $n \geq N$, we have

$$\left\| x - \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e^{(j)} \right\|_{l^p} < \varepsilon$$

So we can write $x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j e^{(j)}$ as an equality in l^p , in the sense that the sum converges in l^p .

Consider $x \in l^{\infty}$ with $x_j = 1$ for every j . The equality $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e^{(j)} = x$

would mean that the partial sums converge to x in l^{∞} ; but for any finite n we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e^{(j)} - x \right\|_{l^{\infty}} = \|(\alpha_1 - 1, \dots, \alpha_n - 1, 1, \dots, 1)\|_{l^{\infty}} \geq 1, \quad \text{and so the partial sums cannot converge whatever our choice of coefficients } \{\alpha_j\}.$$

Definition Two elements x and y of an inner-product space V are said to be orthogonal if $(x, y) = 0$

A set E in an inner-product space is orthonormal if $\|e\| = 1$ for every $e \in E$ and $(e_1, e_2) = 0$ for any $e_1, e_2 \in E$ with $e_1 \neq e_2$.

Lemma If $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal set in an inner product space V , then for any $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^n \in \mathbb{K}$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2$$

Proposition (Gram-Schmidt) Suppose that V is an inner-product space and $E = (e_j)_{j \in J} \in V$, with $J = \{1, \dots, n\}$ or $J = \mathbb{N}$, is a linearly independent sequence. Then there exists an orthonormal sequence $\tilde{E} = (\tilde{e}_j)_{j \in J}$ such that $\text{Span}(e_1, \dots, e_k) = \text{Span}(\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_k)$ for every $k \in J$ and so $\text{clin}(\tilde{E}) = \text{clin}(E)$.

In a finite-dimensional inner-product space this process guarantees the existence of an orthonormal basis, i.e. a basis of orthonormal elements: starting with any basis we use the Gram-Schmidt process to find an orthonormal basis. A similar result holds in any Hilbert space.

Proposition (Gram–Schmidt orthonormalisation) *Suppose that V is an inner-product space and $E = (e_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \in H$, with $\mathcal{J} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ or $\mathcal{J} = \mathbb{N}$, is a linearly independent sequence. Then there exists an orthonormal sequence $\tilde{E} = (\tilde{e}_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ such that*

$$\text{Span}(e_1, \dots, e_k) = \text{Span}(\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_k) \quad (\text{A})$$

for every $k \in \mathcal{J}$, and so $\text{clin}(\tilde{E}) = \text{clin}(E)$.

Proof We proceed by induction, starting with $\tilde{e}_1 = e_1 / \|e_1\|$.

Suppose that we already have an orthonormal set $(\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n)$ whose linear span is the same as (e_1, \dots, e_n) . Then we can define \tilde{e}_{n+1} by setting

$$e'_{n+1} = e_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n (e_{n+1}, \tilde{e}_i) \tilde{e}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{e}_{n+1} = \frac{e'_{n+1}}{\|e'_{n+1}\|}.$$

The span of $(\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_{n+1})$ is the same as the span of $(\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n, e_{n+1})$, which is the same as the span of $(e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{n+1})$ using the induction hypothesis. Clearly $\|\tilde{e}_{n+1}\| = 1$ and for $m \leq n$ we have

$$(\tilde{e}_{n+1}, \tilde{e}_m) = \frac{1}{\|e'_{n+1}\|} \left((e_{n+1}, \tilde{e}_m) - \sum_{i=1}^n (e_{n+1}, \tilde{e}_i) (\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_m) \right) = 0$$

since $(\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_n)$ are orthonormal.

That the closed linear spans of \tilde{E} and E coincide is a consequence of (A) any element in $\text{clin}(E)$ can be approximated arbitrarily closed by finite linear combinations of the $\{e_j\}$, and hence by finite linear combinations of the $\{\tilde{e}_j\}$, so is an element of $\text{clin}(\tilde{E})$. The same argument in reverse yields the equality of the closed linear spans. \square

Convergence of Orthogonal Series

Suppose that $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal set in an inner-product space V . If the series

$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j$ converges to some $x \in V$, then, taking the inner product with some e_k , we obtain

$$(x, e_k) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j, e_k \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j (e_j, e_k) = \alpha_k,$$

Which shows that the coefficients α_j are completely determined, with $\alpha_j = (x, e_j)$.

(Bessel's inequality) Let V be an inner-product space and $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ an orthonormal set in V . Then

for any $x \in V$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_j)|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$.

Proof. Let $x_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (x, e_j) e_j$; then $\|x_k\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k |(x, e_j)|^2$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x_k\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 - (x, x_k) - (x_k, x) + \|x_k\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^k (x, e_j)(e_j, x) - \sum_{j=1}^k \overline{(x, e_j)}(x, e_j) + \\ &\|x_k\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^k |(x, e_j)|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \|x - x_k\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2. \text{ Taking } k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ we obtain the inequality.}$$

Lemma Let H be a Hilbert space and $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ an orthonormal set in H .

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n$ converges $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 < \infty$ and then

$$\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n e_n\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 \quad (*)$$

Proof If $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2 \rightarrow \|x\|^2$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 < \infty$.

Conversely, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n|^2 < \infty$, then $\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2 \right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Setting

$$x_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j, \text{ we have, taking } m > n$$

$$\|x_m - x_n\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{j=n+1}^m \alpha_j e_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=n+1}^m |\alpha_j|^2. \quad \text{Hence } (x_n) \text{ is Cauchy and so converges}$$

to some $x \in H$. The equality $(*)$ follows as before.

Corollary Let H be a Hilbert space and $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ an orthonormal set in H . Then

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x, e_n) e_n$ converges for every $x \in H$.

Proposition *Let $E = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space H . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *E is a basis for H ;*

(b) *for any x we have*

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, e_j) e_j \quad \text{for all } x \in H;$$

(c) *Parseval's identity holds:*

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_j)|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in H;$$

(d) *$(x, e_j) = 0$ for all j implies that $x = 0$; and*

(e) *$\text{clin}(E) = H$.*

Example The sequence $(e^{(j)})_{j=1}^{\infty}$ defined by $e^{(j)} = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$, is an orthonormal basis for ℓ^2 , since it is clear that if $(x, e^{(j)}) = x_j = 0$ for all j then $x = 0$.

Part (e) means that the linear span of E is dense in H , i.e. for any $x \in H$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$\left\| x - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j \right\| < \epsilon.$$

See Exercise 9.8 for an example showing that if E is linearly independent but not orthonormal, then $\text{clin}(E) = H$ does not necessarily imply that E is a basis for H .

Proof First we show (a) \Leftrightarrow (b). If E is an orthonormal basis for H , then we can write

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j, \text{ i.e. } x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j.$$

Clearly if $k \leq n$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j, e_k \right) = \alpha_k;$$

taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that $\alpha_k = (x, e_k)$ and hence (a) holds. The same argument shows that if we assume (b), then this expansion is unique, and so E is a basis.

We show that (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d) \Rightarrow (b), and then that (b) \Rightarrow (e) and (e) \Rightarrow (d). (b) \Rightarrow (c) is immediate from (*). (c) \Rightarrow (d) is immediate since $\|x\| = 0$ implies that $x = 0$. (d) \Rightarrow (b) Take $x \in H$ and let $y = x - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, e_j) e_j$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$(y, e_m) = (x, e_m) - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (x, e_j) e_j, e_m \right) = 0$$

since eventually $n \geq m$. It follows from (d) that $y = 0$, i.e. that

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, e_j) e_j$$

as required. (b) \Rightarrow (e) is clear, since given any x and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an n such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j - x \right\| < \epsilon.$$

(e) \Rightarrow (d) Suppose that $x \in H$ with $(x, e_j) = 0$ for every j . Choose x_n contained in the linear span of E such that $x_n \rightarrow x$. Then $\|x\|^2 = (x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n, x) = 0$, since x_n is a (finite) linear combination of the e_j . So $x = 0$.

Proposition *An infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is separable if and only if it has a countable orthonormal basis.*

Proof If a Hilbert space has a countable basis, then we can construct a countable dense set by taking finite linear combinations of the basis elements with rational coefficients, and so it is separable.

If H is separable, let $E' = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable dense subset. In particular, the closed linear span of E' is the whole of H . Remove from E' any element x_n that can be written as a linear combination of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$, to give a new set E whose linear span is still dense but that is linearly independent. Now use the Gram–Schmidt process to obtain a countable orthonormal set whose closed linear span is all of H . The above Proposition guarantees that E is therefore a countable orthonormal basis.

Theorem *Any infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H over \mathbb{K} is isometrically isomorphic to $l^2(\mathbb{K})$, i.e. $H \equiv l^2(\mathbb{K})$.*

Proof H has a countable orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ since it is separable. Define a linear map $\phi : H \rightarrow l^2$ by setting

$$\phi(u) := ((u, e_1), (u, e_2), \dots, (u, e_n), \dots);$$

clearly the inverse map, given by $\phi^{-1}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j$ is also linear, where

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \dots),$$

Also, ϕ is bijective and we have $\|u\|_H = \|\phi(u)\|_2$, so ϕ is an isometry.

Projections in Hilbert Spaces

Theorem *Let H be a Hilbert space with scalar product (\cdot, \cdot) and induced norm $\|\cdot\|$, and let C be a nonempty, convex, closed subset of H . Then for all $x \in H$ there exists a unique $y \in C$ such that*

$$\|x - y\| = d(x, C) := \inf_{v \in C} \|x - v\|.$$

Moreover, for any $\tilde{y} \in C$,

$$\operatorname{Re} (\tilde{y} - y, x - y) \leq 0.$$

Proof.

Assume $x \in H \setminus C$. Denote $\rho = d(x, C)$. By the definition of \inf , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $y_n \in C$ such that

$$\rho \leq \|x - y_n\| < \rho + \frac{1}{n},$$

which gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x - y_n\| = \rho.$$

Apply the parallelogram law to $x - y_n$ and $x - y_m$ to get

$$\|2x - (y_n + y_m)\|^2 + \|y_n - y_m\|^2 = 2(\|x - y_n\|^2 + \|x - y_m\|^2), \quad \text{for all } m, n.$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_m)$ is in C , we have

$$\|2x - (y_n + y_m)\|^2 = 4 \left\| x - \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_m) \right\|^2 \geq 4\rho^2.$$

Thus

$$\|y_n - y_m\|^2 \leq 2(\|x - y_m\|^2 + \|x - y_n\|^2) - 4\rho^2$$

and so (y_n) is a Cauchy sequence. Let $y_n \rightarrow y$. We have $y \in C$ because C is closed.

It follows that

$$\|x - y\| = \rho.$$

We now prove uniqueness. Suppose $\|x - y\| = \rho = \|x - y'\|$ for some $y, y' \in C$. We use the parallelogram law for $x - y, x - y'$ to obtain

$$\|2x - (y + y')\|^2 + \|y - y'\|^2 = 2(\|x - y\|^2 + \|x - y'\|^2)$$

which implies

$$4\|x - (1/2)(y + y')\|^2 + \|y - y'\|^2 = 4\rho^2.$$

$(1/2)(y + y') \in C$ since it is a convex combination, therefore

$$4\|x - (1/2)(y + y')\|^2 \geq 4\rho^2$$

yielding

$$\|y - y'\|^2 \leq 4\rho^2 - 4\rho^2 = 0,$$

and thus $y = y'$.

If $\tilde{y} \in C$, then since C is convex, we have for any $t \in (0,1)$, that $(1 - t)y + \tilde{y} \in C$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\|^2 &\leq \|x - ((1 - t)y + t\tilde{y})\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 - 2t \operatorname{Re}(x - y, \tilde{y} - y) + t^2 \|\tilde{y} - y\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{Re}(x - y, \tilde{y} - y) \leq 0.$$

Linear Subspaces and Orthogonal Complements

If X is a subset of a Hilbert space H , then the *orthogonal complement of X in H* is

$$X^\perp = \{u \in H : (u, x) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in X\}.$$

Clearly, if $Y \subseteq X$, then $X^\perp \subseteq Y^\perp$. Note also that $X \cap X^\perp \subseteq \{0\}$.

If X is a subset of H , then X^\perp is a closed linear subspace of H .

Observe that $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a basis for H if and only if $\left(\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty\right)^\perp = \{0\}$.

We now show that given any closed linear subspace U of H , any $x \in H$ has a unique decomposition in the form $x = u + v$, where $u \in U$ and $v \in U^\perp$: we say that H is the direct sum of U and U^\perp and write $H = U \oplus U^\perp$.

Proposition *If U is a closed linear subspace of a Hilbert space H , then any $x \in H$ can be written uniquely as*

$$x = u + v \quad \text{with} \quad u \in U, \quad v \in U^\perp,$$

i.e. $H = U \oplus U^\perp$. The map $P_U : H \rightarrow U$ defined by

$$P_U x := u$$

is called the orthogonal projection of x onto U , and satisfies

$$P_U^2 x = P_U x \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_U x\| \leq \|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in H.$$

Proof If U is a closed linear subspace, then U is closed and convex. For a given $x \in H$ there is a unique closest point $u \in U$. It is now simple to show that $x - u \in U^\perp$ and then such a decomposition is unique. In fact, given any $v \in U$, we have $u \pm v \in U$, so

$$\operatorname{Re}(x - u, \pm v) \leq 0,$$

which shows that $\operatorname{Re}(x - u, \pm v) = 0$. Choosing instead $u \pm iv \in U$ we obtain

$$\operatorname{Im}(x - u, v) = 0, \text{ and so } (x - u, v) = 0 \text{ for every } v \in U, \text{ i.e. } x - u \in U^\perp.$$

Finally, the uniqueness follows easily: if $x = u_1 + v_1 = u_2 + v_2$, then

$$u_1 - u_2 = v_2 - v_1, \text{ and so}$$

$$\|u_1 - u_2\|^2 = (u_1 - u_2, v_2 - v_1) = 0,$$

since $u_1 - u_2 \in U$ and $v_2 - v_1 \in U^\perp$.

If $P_U x$ denotes the closest point to x in U , then clearly $P_U^2 = P_U$, and it follows from the fact that $(u, x - u) = 0$ that

$$\|x\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|x - u\|^2,$$

and so

$$\|P_U x\| \leq \|x\|,$$

i.e. the projection can only decrease the norm.

Lemma If $X \subseteq H$, then $X \subseteq (X^\perp)^\perp$ with equality if and only if X is a closed linear subspace of H .

Proof Any $x \in X$ satisfies

$$(x, z) = 0 \text{ for every } z \in X^\perp;$$

so $X \subseteq (X^\perp)^\perp$.

Now suppose that $z \in (X^\perp)^\perp$, so that $(z, y) = 0$ for every $y \in X^\perp$. If X is a closed linear subspace, then we can write $z = x + y$,

where $x \in X$ and $y \in X^\perp$. But then (since $z \in (X^\perp)^\perp$) we have

$$0 = (z, y) = (x + y, y) = \|y\|^2,$$

so in fact $y = 0$ and therefore $z \in X$.

Finally, if then $X = (X^\perp)^\perp$, then X must be a closed linear subspace.

Theorem Let $E = \{e_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ be an orthonormal set, where $\mathcal{J} = \mathbb{N}$ or $(1, 2, \dots, n)$. Then for any $x \in H$, the orthogonal projection of x onto $\text{clin}(E)$, which is the closest point to x in $\text{clin}(E)$, is given by

$$P_E x := \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (x, e_j) e_j.$$

Proof Consider $x - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha_j e_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha_j e_j \right\|^2 &= \|x\|^2 - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (x, \alpha_j e_j) - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} (\alpha_j e_j, x) + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} |\alpha_j|^2 \\ &= \|x\|^2 - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \overline{\alpha_j} (x, e_j) - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha_j \overline{(x, e_j)} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} |\alpha_j|^2 \\ &= \|x\|^2 - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} |(x, e_j)|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \left[|(x, e_j)|^2 - \overline{\alpha_j} (x, e_j) - \alpha_j \overline{(x, e_j)} + |\alpha_j|^2 \right] \\ &= \|x\|^2 - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} |(x, e_j)|^2 + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} |(x, e_j) - \alpha_j|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so the minimum occurs when $\alpha_j = (x, e_j)$ for all $j \in \mathcal{J}$. □

Definition. A system of mutually orthogonal vectors of unit length in a inner product space X is called *orthonormal*.

Such a system $\{e_\alpha\}$ is called an *orthonormal basis* in X if, for every $x \in X$, there exists an at most countable subsystem $\{e_{\alpha_n}\} \subset \{e_\alpha\}$ and a finite or countable collection of scalars $\{c_n\}$ for which $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n e_{\alpha_n}$, where the series converges in X .

A system of vectors is called *complete* if its linear span is dense. An orthonormal basis is a complete system.

Theorem. Every nonzero Hilbert space possesses an orthonormal basis.

PROOF. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all orthonormal systems in a Hilbert space X partially ordered by inclusion. Every chain $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$ has an upper bound: we can take the union \mathcal{V} of all vectors belonging to the families in \mathcal{B}_0 . Any two different vectors x and y in \mathcal{V} are orthogonal, since $x \in \mathcal{V}_1 \in \mathcal{B}_0$, $y \in \mathcal{V}_2 \in \mathcal{B}_0$, and either $\mathcal{V}_1 \subset \mathcal{V}_2$ or $\mathcal{V}_2 \subset \mathcal{V}_1$ by the linear ordering of \mathcal{B}_0 . By Zorn's lemma there is a maximal element in \mathcal{B} , i.e., an orthonormal family $\{e_\alpha\}$ that is not a part of a larger orthonormal system. This means that there is no nonzero vector orthogonal to all e_α . From $X = \text{clin}(\{e_\alpha\}) \oplus \text{clin}(\{e_\alpha\})^\perp = \text{clin}(\{e_\alpha\})$, we conclude that the linear span of $\{e_\alpha\}$ is dense in X . Hence every vector x is the limit of a sequence of linear combinations of some countable subfamily $\{e_{\alpha_n}\}$. We have

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (x, e_{\alpha_n}) e_{\alpha_n}.$$

Let P be a set with a (partial) order relation \leq . We say that a subset $Q \subset P$ is *totally ordered* if for any pair (a, b) in Q either $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$ (or both!). Let $Q \subset P$ be a subset of P ; we say that $c \in P$ is an *upper bound* for Q if $a \leq c$ for every $a \in Q$. We say that $m \in P$ is a *maximal* element of P if there is no element $x \in P$ such that $m \leq x$, except for $x = m$. Note that a maximal element of P need not be an upper bound for P . A totally ordered subset is called a *chain*.

We say that P is *inductive* if every totally ordered subset Q in P has an upper bound.

Lemma (Zorn). Every nonempty ordered set that is inductive has a maximal element.