

C15 Applications of Fodor: Δ -System & Silver's TH

in set theory, from ordinals s.t. fodor can gain many properties by Fodor's lemma.

for the Δ -system lemma, it's the key combinatorial principle behind Cohen's proof of the consistency of $\neg \text{CH}$

证明 " $\neg \text{CH}$ 具有-性质"

def15.1 X is a collection of sets, r is a set, for \forall distinct $A, B \in X$, $A \cap B = r$ (r 不在 X 中)

then X is a Δ -system with root r .

eg. if X is a disjoint collection of sets, then the Δ -system is with root $r = \emptyset$

lem15.2 X is an uncountable set of finite sets, then \exists uncountable subset $X' \subseteq X$, \exists finite set r s.t.

X' is a Δ -system with root r . (actually X' is stationary $\text{CoSn}(r, k)$)

pf: $\cdot |X|$ uncountable, $\therefore |X| \geq w_1$; (将整个 X 换成 w_1 上讨论问题, $X \subseteq w_1$)

$\therefore \exists$ subset $Y \subseteq X$ s.t. $|Y| = w_1$, it suffices to show that lem15.2 holds on $|Y|$

let $X := Y$, $X = \{X_\alpha : \alpha < w_1\}$

X_α finite, $\therefore |U X| \leq \sum |X_\alpha| = w_1 \cdot \sup |X_\alpha| = w_1$

\therefore we can inject $U X$ into w_1 , $X'_\alpha = g(X_\alpha) \in w_1$ for injective g . let $X_\alpha := X'_\alpha$

\cdot def $f: w_1 \rightarrow w_1$, $f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \sup(X_\alpha \cap \alpha) & X_\alpha \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ (注意到 $\forall \alpha$ 为基数, w_1 regular, stationary \Rightarrow Fodor's)

$X_\alpha \cap \alpha$ finite \therefore if $X_\alpha \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$, $\sup(X_\alpha \cap \alpha) < \alpha$

$\therefore f(\alpha) < \alpha$ for $\forall \alpha \neq 0 \Rightarrow f$ is regression function on w_1 } $\Rightarrow \exists r \in w_1$, \exists stationary $T \subseteq S$, $f(T) = r$.

Fodor's lem: $w_1 \rightarrow w_1$ is regular, $\{w_1 - \{0\}\}$ is stationary

$\therefore \sup(X_\alpha \cap \alpha) = r$ for $\forall \alpha \in T$, $\alpha \neq 0$

(把 T 下降到 $T_{\bar{r}}$, 把 $\sup = r$ 限制到 $X_\alpha \cap \alpha = \bar{r} \subseteq r$)

$\cdot X_\alpha \cap \alpha$ is finite in r , thus the possible value of $X_\alpha \cap \alpha$ is finite

let $T_{\bar{r}} = \{\alpha \in T : X_\alpha \cap \alpha = \bar{r}\}$, $T_{\bar{r}} = \bigcup_{\bar{r} \in r} T_{\bar{r}}$ is a finite disjoint union, $r \in w_1$

Exe14.12 S is stationary in regular k , $S = \bigcup_{\alpha < k} S_\alpha$ some $\alpha < k$ is disjoint union $\Rightarrow \exists S_\alpha$ is stationary }
 $\Rightarrow \exists \bar{r} \in r$, s.t. $T_{\bar{r}}$ is stationary

$\therefore X_\alpha \cap \alpha = \bar{r}$ for $\forall \alpha \in T_{\bar{r}}$, $T_{\bar{r}}$ is stationary, $\bar{r} \in r$

- def $C = \{\alpha \in \omega_1 : (\forall \beta < \alpha) \rightarrow X_\beta \subseteq \alpha\}$ (closed- λ club set)

by Exelik9: $\forall \beta \in \omega_1$ collect all elements in X_β for all $\beta \leq \beta$: let $Y = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \beta} X_\beta$, let $\delta = \sup Y$

$\beta \in \omega_1$, thus at most countable, X_β finite $\Rightarrow Y$ countable

$$|Y| < \mathfrak{c}(\omega_1) = \omega_1, \therefore \delta = \sup Y < \omega_1$$

$$\text{let } \alpha = \max\{\delta, \delta + 1\}, \alpha > \delta, \alpha > \delta$$

$$\forall \beta \leq \beta \quad X_\beta \subseteq Y \subseteq \alpha, \therefore X_\beta \subseteq \alpha$$

$$\text{but for } \beta < \beta < \alpha : \text{def } \{\alpha_n : n \in \omega\} \text{ as } \alpha_0 = \beta + 1$$

$$z_n = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha_n} X_\beta, \text{ is countable, } \delta_n = \sup z_n; \alpha_{n+1} = \max\{\alpha_n + 1, \delta_n + 1\}$$

$$\therefore \alpha_{n+1} > \alpha_n$$

$$\forall \beta < \alpha_n, X_\beta \subseteq z_n \subseteq \delta_n \subseteq \alpha_{n+1}, \therefore X_\beta \subseteq \alpha_{n+1}$$

$$\text{let } \alpha = \sup\{\alpha_n\}, \omega < \mathfrak{c}(\omega_1) = \omega_1 \therefore \alpha < \omega_1; \alpha > \delta \text{ bblv } \}$$

$$\forall \beta < \alpha, \exists \alpha_n > \beta, \therefore X_\beta \subseteq \alpha_{n+1} \subseteq \alpha, \text{ thus } X_\beta \subseteq \alpha \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \beta \in \omega_1, \exists \alpha \in \omega_1 \text{ st. } (\forall \beta < \alpha \rightarrow X_\beta \subseteq \alpha), \alpha > \delta; \text{ i.e. } \exists \alpha \in \omega_1 \text{ s.t. } \alpha > \delta$$

$\therefore C$ is unbounded in ω_1

- ② if $\{\alpha_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq C$ is strictly increasing, $\alpha = \sup \alpha_n$

$$\text{if } \alpha = \alpha_n \text{ some } n, \alpha \in C$$

$$\text{if } \alpha \neq \alpha_n \forall n \in \omega, \alpha \text{ is limit ordinal, } \forall \beta < \alpha, \exists \alpha_n > \beta, \therefore X_\beta \subseteq \alpha_n < \alpha, \text{ thus } X_\beta \subseteq \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \in C$$

$$\therefore C \text{ is closed in } \omega_1$$

①+② $\Rightarrow C$ is club in ω_1 ... proved in Exelik9

- let $S := C \cap \bar{T} \cap (\gamma, \omega_1)$ is stationary by Exelik.1, S is uncountable; WTS: $X' = \{X_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$ is Δ -system with \bar{T} for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. let $\beta < \alpha$; $X_\beta \subseteq \alpha$ since $\alpha \in C$

$$\therefore X_\beta \cap X_\alpha = (X_\beta \cap \alpha) \cap X_\alpha = X_\beta \cap (\alpha \cap X_\alpha) = X_\beta \cap \bar{T} \text{ since } \alpha \in \bar{T}$$

$$X_\beta \cap \bar{T} = \bar{T} \text{ since } \beta \in \bar{T} \therefore X_\beta \supseteq \bar{T}$$

$$X_\beta \supseteq \bar{T}, X_\beta \cap X_\alpha = X_\beta \cap \bar{T} \supseteq \bar{T}$$

$$X_\beta \subseteq \bar{T}, X_\beta \leq \bar{T} \therefore X_\beta \cap X_\alpha \leq \bar{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow X_\beta \cap X_\alpha = \bar{T} \text{ for } \forall \alpha \neq \beta, \alpha \in S$$

Q1: need to intersect (γ, ω_1) since $\sup(X_\alpha \cap \alpha) = \gamma$ for T , and we obtain $X_\alpha \cap \alpha = \bar{T}$ for $T \subseteq T, \bar{T} \subseteq \bar{T}$,

\therefore I think we should use (\bar{T}, ω_1) instead of (γ, ω_1) (of course (γ, ω_1) is ok too, since it's strictly than \bar{T})

- Q2: $X_\beta \cap X_\alpha = X_\beta \cap \bar{T}$ $\} \Rightarrow X_\beta \cap \bar{T} = X_\beta$ since $\bar{T} \subseteq X_\beta$ Why this conclusion is wrong

$$X_\beta \cap \bar{T} = \bar{T}$$

Thm 7 κ is singular cardinal of uncountable cofinality; $2^\lambda = \lambda^+$ for $\lambda < \kappa \Rightarrow 2^\kappa = \kappa^+$

(Silver's)

usage?

recall: λ^+ 为 极限基数, CH: $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$, GCH: $\lambda^+ = 2^\lambda \forall \lambda$
 BP: $\kappa > \text{cf}(\kappa) > \omega_1$, GCH 为 强而有力的假设

- Let $\{u_\alpha : \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)\}$ be strictly increasing sequence cofinal in κ ,

$\begin{cases} \text{if } \alpha \text{ is limit ordinal } u_\alpha = \sup_{\beta < \alpha} u_\beta \\ \sup_{\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)} u_\alpha = \kappa \text{ by cofinality} \end{cases}$

$\forall \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa), u_\alpha < \kappa, \therefore 2^{u_\alpha} = u_\alpha^+$ 条件 $2^\lambda = \lambda^+$ 用在这里

$\therefore \exists$ bijection $g_\alpha : P(u_\alpha) \mapsto u_\alpha^+$ 先处理 $2^\lambda = \lambda^+$, 对于 κ 下的增序列, 构造 bijection g_α 用于编码 $P(\lambda) \rightarrow \lambda^+$

- for $A \subseteq \kappa$, def $f_A(\alpha) = g_\alpha(A \cap u_\alpha), \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$

$u_\alpha^+ < \kappa$ since κ is limit ordinal, $\therefore \text{range}(g_\alpha) \subseteq \kappa, f_A(\alpha) < \kappa \quad \forall \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)$

- let $F = \{f_A : A \subseteq \kappa\} \subseteq \kappa^{cf(\kappa)}$, WTS: $F \leftrightarrow P(\kappa)$

def $\Phi : P(\kappa) \rightarrow F, \Phi(A) = f_A$, F is surjective for $\forall A \in P(\kappa)$ by def ...

① $\forall A, B \subseteq \kappa, A \neq B \quad \text{let } \varphi = A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A) = A \cup B - A \cap B \neq \emptyset$

$\sup_{\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa)} u_\alpha = \kappa, \therefore \exists \alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa) \text{ s.t. } u_\alpha > \varphi$

$\varphi \in B \setminus A, \varphi \in B \cap u_\alpha, \varphi \in A \cap u_\alpha \therefore B \cap u_\alpha \neq A \cap u_\alpha$; similarly for $\varphi \in A \setminus B$

$\therefore f_A \neq f_B$ since $f_A(\alpha) = g_\alpha(A \cap u_\alpha) \neq g_\alpha(B \cap u_\alpha) = f_B$

$\therefore \Phi$ is injective

② Φ bijective, $|P(\kappa)| = 2^\kappa = |F| = |\{f_A : A \subseteq \kappa\}| \geq \kappa^+$... (*)

- lem \exists \prec_L \prec_L is linear order on set L , for $\forall a \in L, |\{b \in L : b \prec_L a\}| \leq \kappa \Rightarrow |L| \leq \kappa^+$ 前驱在 \prec_L 下前驱 $\leq \kappa$

in set $F, f_1, f_2 \in F \quad \{ \text{def } \Delta(f_1, f_2) = \min\{\alpha < \text{cf}(\kappa) : f_1(\alpha) \neq f_2(\alpha)\} \}$

$\{ \text{def } f_1 \prec_L f_2 \Leftrightarrow f_1(\Delta(f_1, f_2)) < f_2(\Delta(f_1, f_2)) \}$

if $f_1 \prec_L f_2$ in F , let $\alpha = \Delta(f_1, f_2)$ then: $\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1(\alpha) \prec_L f_2(\alpha) \\ f_1(\beta) = f_2(\beta) \quad \forall \beta < \alpha \end{array} \}$

$\forall f \in F, f(\alpha) = g_\alpha(A \cap u_\alpha)$ some $A \subseteq \kappa, \therefore f(\alpha) \in u_\alpha^+ < \kappa$ since $u_\alpha \uparrow, f(\alpha) \uparrow$

$|\{f_1 : \Delta(f_1, f_2) = \alpha\}| \leq \text{cf}(\kappa) \times \kappa = \kappa$ since $|\text{dom}(f)| = |\text{dom}(g_\alpha)| < \text{cf}(\kappa)$

Predecessor $\text{f.p.} = |\{f_1 : f_1 \prec_L f_2\}| \leq \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} |\{f_1 : \Delta(f_1, f_2) = \alpha\}| \leq \kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa$

\Rightarrow with $\prec_L, \forall f \in L, |\{f_1 \in L : f_1 \prec_L f_2\}| \leq \kappa$,

$\therefore |F| \leq \kappa^+ \quad \text{... (*)'}$

• (*) + (*)' $2^\kappa = |F| \geq \kappa^+$ since $2^\kappa \geq \kappa^+$; $|F| \leq \kappa^+ \Rightarrow 2^\kappa = \kappa^+$

Remark: TH15.7. Silver's proof of CH does not use Fodor's lemma. \uparrow Silver's TH15.9 \Rightarrow TH15.8 + TH15.7

Fodor's lemma

TH15.7 (claim for TH15.7) for $\forall \lambda \in \kappa$, $|\{B \subseteq \kappa : \{\alpha : f_B(\alpha) < f_A(\alpha)\} \text{ is stationary}\}| \leq \kappa$; f_A defined by pf TH15.7
这个用来证 TH15.7 中的 "predecessor $\subseteq \kappa$ "

$f_B(\alpha) < f_A(\alpha)$ on stationary set S , then by Fodor's (final def regression function)

\bar{f}_B stabilizes on a stationary set $T \subseteq S$, $\bar{f}_B(T) = r$ some $r \in \kappa$.

B thus has at most κ possibilities

$h_\alpha : \{\beta < f_A(\alpha)\} \rightarrow u_\alpha$ injective

$f_B(\alpha) < f_A(\alpha)$, let $y_\alpha = h_\alpha(f_B(\alpha)) < u_\alpha$

def $f'_B(\alpha) = \min\{B \subseteq \alpha : y_\alpha < y_B\}$, $f'_B(\alpha) < \alpha$

$h_\alpha(f_B(\alpha)) < u_\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in T$

$f_B(\alpha) \subseteq h_\alpha^{-1}(u_\alpha) \quad \forall \alpha \in T$; $\therefore f_B : T \rightarrow u_\alpha \quad \} \Rightarrow |\{f_B : \cdot\}| \leq \kappa$

$h_\alpha^{-1}(u_\alpha) \subseteq u_\alpha \quad |T| \leq \kappa, |u_\alpha| \leq \kappa$

TH15.9 (Silver's) κ is singular cardinal of uncountable cofinality, $2^\lambda = \lambda^+$ for stationary set $\lambda < \kappa$, then $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{TH15.9: } 2^\lambda = \lambda^+ \quad \forall \lambda < \kappa, \lambda \text{ is stationary in } \kappa \\ \text{TH15.7: } 2^\lambda = \lambda^+ \quad \forall \lambda < \kappa \end{array} \right.$

以上不用记, 没有讲证明! 下面要的!

Baire

In topology: def: in a complete metric space, set $A \supseteq G_\delta$ dense set

\Rightarrow element of A is "generic".

$G_\delta = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\omega} G_n$ for open dense G_n . nth.

def: A is meager if $A = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\omega} A_n}$, A_n is nonempty dense

$(\bar{A}_n)^\circ = \emptyset$

$X - A$ is meager $\Rightarrow A$ is comeager $\Rightarrow A$ contains a G_δ set.

不是书上的, 请在课堂上讲) ! 在 Δ -system lemma 后, 我认为这可能靠方法和其它定理重合部分很多

TH: space $X = \{f: k \rightarrow \{0,1\}\}$ with order topology satisfies: any collection of pairwise disjoint non-empty open set in space X is countable. ($k \geq w_1$)

recall: base open set in function space $N_S = \{f \in X: f(x) = s(x) \text{ for } x \in \text{dom}(s)\}$ in order topology

s is a fixed finite function $s: \text{dom}(s) \rightarrow \{0,1\}$, $\text{dom}(s) \subseteq k$ is finite
即固定有限个坐标值 (range(s)) := range(f)

Pf: • for any open non-empty set sequence $\{U_\alpha: \alpha < k\}$, \exists basis $N_{s,\alpha} \subseteq U_\alpha$, (consider $\{N_{s,\alpha}: \alpha < k\}$ as well)

if $N_{s,\alpha} \cap N_{s,\beta} \neq \emptyset$, $N_{s,\alpha} \subseteq U_\alpha$, $N_{s,\beta} \subseteq U_\beta \Rightarrow U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$

WTS: any uncountable collection of non-empty open set, $\exists U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$; it suffices to show $N_{s,\alpha} \cap N_{s,\beta} \neq \emptyset$

• replace $\{U_\alpha: \alpha < k\}$ with $\{N_{s,\alpha}: \alpha < k\}$, any s

• $A_\alpha = \text{dom}(s_\alpha) \subseteq k$, consider $\{A_\alpha: \alpha < k\}$ uncountable. A_α finite

$\therefore \exists$ a stationary set $S \subseteq \{A_\alpha: \alpha < k\}$, finite set $\gamma \subseteq k$ s.t. S is a Δ -system with not γ ... by Δ -system lemma 5.2

$\therefore \forall \alpha \neq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in S, A_\alpha \cap A_\beta = \emptyset$

• consider $S_t = \{\alpha \in S: s_\alpha \upharpoonright \gamma = t\}$, t is a function $t: \gamma \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

$S = \bigcup S_t$ is disjoint union, $\therefore \exists S_t$ is stationary by Exell 4.12 将 S_α 限制在 γ 上, S_t : γ 上表现相同的函数

• $\forall \alpha, \beta \in S_t, \text{dom}(s_\alpha) \cap \text{dom}(s_\beta) = \gamma$

$s_\alpha \upharpoonright \gamma = s_\beta \upharpoonright \gamma$ since $\alpha, \beta \in S_t$

$\therefore f \in N_{s,\alpha} \cap N_{s,\beta}$ in order topology

$\therefore N_{s,\alpha} \cap N_{s,\beta} \neq \emptyset; U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$

$f \upharpoonright A_\alpha = s_\alpha, f \upharpoonright A_\beta = s_\beta$
 $f \in N_{s,\alpha} \quad f \in N_{s,\beta}$

\Rightarrow any $\{U_\alpha: \alpha < k\}$ of open, non-empty set, $k \geq w_1$; \exists stationary set $S \subseteq k$ s.t. $\forall \alpha \neq \beta$ in S , $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$

$\therefore \exists$ non-disjoint pair U_α, U_β

\Rightarrow "disjoint" implies "countable collection".

$\{s_\alpha: \alpha < k\} \quad \{A_\alpha: \alpha < k\} \quad A_\alpha = \text{dom}(s_\alpha)$ finite $\Rightarrow S \subseteq \{A_\alpha: \alpha < k\}$ is Δ -system with γ

在 γ 上表现相同的 S_t

S_t 的函数 s_α, s_β 在 γ 上相同 $f: k \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

$f \in N_{s,\alpha} \cap N_{s,\beta}$