# Proofs of Theorems about Trace of Matrix

### Shuai Li

# April 23, 2014

# Contents

1	Definitions	2
2	Theorems Summary	2
3	Theorems and its Proof	2

#### 1 Definitions

**Definition** The uppercase letters below all denote matrices and the trace of X is denoted trX.

**Definition** Let f(A), where A is a matrix, be a function  $\in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ , then derivatives of matrix A denoted and defined as:

$$\nabla_A f(A) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{1n}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{m1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial a_{mn}} \end{pmatrix}$$

### 2 Theorems Summary

The prerequisite of the following theorems is that matrices multiplication makes sense. For example, AB and BA should both be square matrices. ABC, CAB, BCA should all be square matrices. But they may not be of the same dimension.

1. Commutative Law:

$$trAB = trBA$$
  
 $trABC = trCAB = trBCA$ 

- 2. Suppose f(A) = trAB, then  $\nabla_A trAB = B^T$ .
- 3. If  $a \in R$ , tra = a.
- 4.  $\nabla_A tr A B A^T C = C A B + C^T A B^T$

#### 3 Theorems and its Proof

**Theorem 3.1** trAB = trBA, where we suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ .

Corollary 3.2 trABC = trCAB = trBCA

**Proof** First write the expressions of two sides of the equations:

$$trAB = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{ji}$$
 (1)

$$trBA = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij} a_{ji}$$
 (2)

Switch the role of i and j of eq. (2), we get:

$$trBA = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ji} a_{ij}$$

Change the summation sequence and exchange the position of a and b, we have:

$$trBA = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{ji}$$

This is exactly the same with eq. (1).

Proof is done.

**Theorem 3.3** Suppose f(A) = trAB, then  $\nabla_A trAB = B^T$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ .

**Proof** From eq. (1), we have:

$$\nabla_{A} tr AB = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{ji}}{\partial a_{11}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{ji}}{\partial a_{1n}} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{ji}}{\partial a_{m1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{ji}}{\partial a_{mn}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

For  $(\nabla_A tr AB)_{pq} = \frac{\partial \sum\limits_{i=1}^m \sum\limits_{j=1}^n a_{ij}b_{ji}}{\partial a_{pq}}$ , there is only one term contains  $a_{pq}$ , thus  $(\nabla_A tr AB)_{pq} = b_{qp}$ .

Now, it is easy to see  $\nabla_A tr AB = B^T$ 

**Theorem 3.4** If  $a \in R$ , tra = a

**Proof** This one is obvious.

**Theorem 3.5**  $\nabla_A tr ABA^TC = CAB + C^TAB^T$ , where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ .

**Proof** At first, this one seems to be an application of theorem 3.3. However, since  $A^T$  is contained in  $BA^TC$ , this is not true.

But the good news is, we can do similar steps to prove this theorem.

We denote  $d_{ij}$  as the element at i row and j column of  $BA^TC$ .

Then, for  $tr \mathring{A}BA^TC$ , we have

$$trABA^TC = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}d_{ji}$$

Then, for  $\nabla_A tr ABA^T C$ , we have

$$\nabla_A tr A B A^T C = \nabla_A \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} d_{ji}$$

$$(\nabla_A tr A B A^T C)_{pq} = d_{qp} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} (\nabla_A d_{ji})_{pq}$$

The first term is actually  $(BA^TC)_{qp} = (C^TAB^T)_{pq}$ The second term is harder to see. Let's first figure out what  $d_{ji}$  is.

$$d_{ji} = (BA^TC)_{ji}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^n b_{jk} (\sum_{l=1}^n a_{lk}c_{li})$$

Since only terms contain  $a_{pq}$  matter, the second term become this:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} (\nabla_{A} d_{ji})_{pq} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} b_{jq} c_{pi}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{pi} a_{ij} b_{jq}$$

$$= (CAB)_{pq}$$

Combine first and second term, we have:

$$\nabla_A tr A B A^T C = C A B + C^T A B^T$$