Software Project Management Plan (SPMP) for Interpreting Soil Moisture Sensor Data to Provide Irrigation Recommendations to South Florida Users

Raulie Raulerson

Version 1.5

December 4, 2017

Table of Contents

1. Ir	ntroduction	3
1.1	Project Overview	3
1.2	Project Deliverables	3
1.3	Evolution of the SPMP	3
1.4	References	4
1.4	4.1 Project Definition Report	4
1.4	4.2 Software Requirements Specification (SRS)	
1.4	4.3 Software Design Description (SDD)	
1.4	4.4 Risk Management Document	
1.5	Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations	
	roject Organization	
2.1	Process Model	
2.2	Organizational Structure, Boundaries, and Interfaces	
2.3	Project Responsibilities	6
3. N	Nanagerial Process	6
3.1	Managerial Objectives and Priorities	
3.2	Assumptions, Dependencies, and Constraints	
3.3	Risk Management	
3.4	Monitoring and Controlling Mechanisms	
_		
4. T	echnical Process	
4.1	Methods, Tools, and Techniques	
4.1	1.1 Methods	
	1.2 Tools	
4.1	1.3 Techniques	9
4.2	Software Documentation	9
4.3	Project Support Functions	9
5. W	Vork Elements, Schedule, and Budget	9
5.1	Work Elements	
5.2	Schedule	
5.3	Budget	_
	· ·	
6. S	PMP Approval 1	0
Appen	dix A 1	2

1. Introduction

1.1 Project Overview

Having an adequate water supply is an important issue that all Floridians will have to address in the near future. One new technology that is an innovative tool for conserving water is the soil moisture sensor (SMS). This technology has the potential to significantly reduce a user's water usage, when irrigating, by allowing accurate measurement of the moisture content of the soil, how deep the water content has permeated, the soil's temperature, and even the soil's electrical conductivity (EC). One of the biggest challenges that threatens the use of this tool is the difficulty of interpreting the data produced by these sensors. This affects both agricultural producers and savvy urbanites.

This project's focus will be on the interpretation and analysis of the data provided by the soil moisture sensor(s) and other site-specific monitoring devices (i.e., weather stations). The project will utilize a recurrent neural network (RNN) to analyze input data from these sensors, and other devices, to provide a recommendation to the user about how best to operate their irrigation system. More specifically, this project will entail gathering and defining the requirements for this software system, completing the design for the system, and implementing the system.

1.2 Project Deliverables

The following deliverables will be given to the client before the completion of this project:

Deliverable 1 – Project Definition Report

Deliverable 2 – Progress Report 1 – Both Semesters

Deliverable 3 – Software Budget and Detailed Timeline Spreadsheet

Deliverable 4 – Software Project Management Plan

Deliverable 5 – Risk Management Document

Deliverable 6 – Risk Matrix

Deliverable 7 – Progress Report 2 – Both Semesters

Deliverable 8 – Software Requirements Specification

Deliverable 9 – Software Design Description

Deliverable 10 – PowerPoint Presentation – Both Semesters

Deliverable 11 – YouTube Presentation – Both Semesters

Deliverable 12 – User Interface Wireframe

Deliverable 13 – Project Code

Deliverable 14 – Software Testing Document

Deliverable 15 – User's Manual

1.3 Evolution of the SPMP

This document will continue to change throughout the course of this project. It will be updated biweekly to reflect changes to any referenced documents and any changes in the project management plan.

Version	Date	Author	Changes			
1.0	7/8/2017	Raulie Raulerson	Initial version			
1.1	7/21/2017	Raulie Raulerson	Added sections 2-5			
1.2	7/22/2017	Raulie Raulerson	Added Appendix A			

1.4	7/24/2017	Raulie Raulerson	Revised risk management section (3.3)
1.5	12/4/2017	Raulie Raulerson	Revised entire document

1.4 References

1.4.1 Project Definition Report

V	ersion	1.2
	Date	10/5/2017
Α	Author	Raulie Raulerson

1.4.2 Software Requirements Specification (SRS)

Version	1.7
Date	12/4/2017
Author	Raulie Raulerson

1.4.3 Software Design Description (SDD)

Version	1.3
Date	12/5/2017
Author	Raulie Raulerson

1.4.4 Risk Management Document

Version	1.5
Date	12/4/2017
Author	Raulie Raulerson

Code Conventions for the Java Programming Language. (1999, April 20). Retrieved July 22, 2017, from http://www.oracle.com/technetwork/java/codeconvtoc-136057.html

Pressman, R. S., & Maxim, B. R. (2015). *Software engineering: A practitioner's approach* (8th ed.). New York: Mcgraw-Hill.

Software Project Management Plan (SPMP) for Nirvana National Bank ATM Software Project. (n.d.). Retrieved July 05, 2017, from http://buckley-golder.com/papers/mbg_SPMP_ProjectManagement.pdf

Software Project Management Plan Template. (2003, October 02). Retrieved July 05, 2017, from http://www.utdallas.edu/~chung/SP/SoftwareProjectManagementPlanTemplate.htm

1.5 Definitions, Acronyms, Abbreviations

API – Application Programming Interface

EC – Electrical Conductivity

FDACS - Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services

RNN – Recurrent Neural Network

SBDTS - Software Budget and Detailed Timeline Spreadsheet

SDD – Software Design Description

SDLC – Software Development Life Cycle

SPMP – Software Project Management Plan

SMS – Soil Moisture Sensor

SRS – Software Requirements Specification

STD – Software Testing Document

UI – User Interface

2. Project Organization

2.1 Process Model

A prototyping, evolutionary process model will be employed in the development of this project. This process model will be combined with the Agile Software Development methodology. This model focuses on communicating often with the client and providing the client with prototypes produced for the project at a number of iterations throughout the project. This model also allows the client to provide frequent feedback. By obtaining feedback from the client frequently, this will help mitigate any major modifications to the project that would otherwise arise when the client requests a change to the requirements that were originally identified (Pressman & Maxim, 2015).

2.2 Organizational Structure, Boundaries, and Interfaces

The organizational structure will consist of one internal individual, Raulie Raulerson, that will assume all of the roles and responsibilities for the project laid out in section 2.3. Mr. Raulerson will be the project manager for this project. The project manager will interface with both the client and his mentor/manager to elicit frequent feedback on the direction and scope of the project. The client is Katie Hallas, Environmental Administrator for the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services' Office of Ag Water Policy. The mentor is Dr. Donna Lohr, University of West Florida professor in the Computer Science department. It is also important to note that Dr. Lohr will also act as the management staff in this structure. She will advise the project manager on the status and progress of the project and provide feedback, when appropriate.

The project manager will interface with the client and mentor/manager to convey any feedback to or from either of them. The project manager will present the all deliverables identified in section 1.3 to the client by 12/6/2017. The client will certify that they have received and approved these deliverables by signing a client acceptance letter. This letter will be passed on to the project manager's mentor/manager to indicate whether the client approve/rejects the deliverables that are provided.

2.3 Project Responsibilities

As was noted in section 2.2, the internal structure for this project will consists of only one individual, Raulie Raulerson. Mr. Raulerson will take on the following roles over the course of the project:

- Project Manager
- Requirements Analyst
- Software Architect/Designer
- Programmer
- Tester
- Technical Writer
- Configuration Manager
- Risk Manager

Mrs. Katie Hallas will be the client for the project. Dr. Donna Lohr will act as both the project manager's mentor and as the manager overseeing the project manager and all deliverables that are produced.

3. Managerial Process

3.1 Managerial Objectives and Priorities

The objective of the managerial staff is to ensure that the project finishes on time and under budget with a satisfied client. Another objective of the managerial staff is to provide adequate time and resources to the project manager so that they can succeed.

One managerial priority will be completing all the deliverables identified in section 1.3 by 12/6/2017. Management will assess the progress in meeting this priority by receiving and reviewing weekly status updates/progress reports on a weekly basis over the course of the project.

Another priority will be to ensure that the client is satisfied throughout the process and with the end product that is generated.

3.2 Assumptions, Dependencies, and Constraints

The following assumptions will be made for this project:

- Irrigation users know how to utilize computer-based programs
- The parameter set that irrigation users will input consists of a minimum of soil temperature (degrees Celsius), electrical conductivity, soil moisture content (mm), rainfall (mm), relative humidity (%), soil type, and crop being grown.
- The system will be designed to function on the Mac OSX operating system (Sierra).

The following dependencies exist for this project:

- The project code is dependent on the SRS, SDD, and User Interface being completed prior to starting coding.
- The STD cannot be completed until the project code is completed, the product is reviewed and feedback is provided by the client, and all client feedback is addressed.

- The user's manual cannot be completed until the STD is completed and all client feedback is addressed.
- The mentor/management will rely on the project manager to complete all deliverables on time to ensure successful completion of the project.

The following items will place constraints on this project:

- This project's budget shall not exceed \$20,350.00.
- This project will be completed within two semesters with a due date of 12/6/2017.
- There is no maintenance associated with this project.
- There will only be one individual working on this software project.

3.3 Risk Management

The risk management plan for this project is a separate document and is listed in section 1.4.4. This plan identifies, evaluates, categorizes, and prioritizes risks that have been assessed in the project. The categorization of these risks will aid the project manager in identifying what part of the SDLC to expect a specific risk to possibly occur.

The risk mitigation plan within the referenced document also lays out the countermeasures for dealing with each specific risk.

As this project progresses, any additional risks that are identified will be added to the risk management plan listed in section 1.4.4.

3.4 Monitoring and Controlling Mechanisms

One of the critical monitoring and controlling mechanisms in the managerial process is a risk management plan. This plan will consist of identified risks with a rank that indicates how severe they are thought to be in affecting the project's success. A critical piece of this plan is to identify how to mitigate or completely avoid these risks by developing a strategy to deal with each specific risk. The risks associated with this project and their mitigation strategies can be found in the Risk Management Document as well as in section 3.3.

Another monitoring and controlling mechanism that will be used throughout this project is the development of status updates and progress reports. A status update or progress report will be submitted to management at least weekly during the course of the project. The updates/reports will let management ascertain the progress of the project and provide feedback to the project manager on the project. These reports will allow management to decide where additional resources may need to be focused to successfully complete the project.

One additional mechanism that will be utilized is a Change Request form. This form will allow the project manager to document any substantial requirement changes that the client may request and solicit feedback from management about whether or not to approve/reject the change. This process will help ensure that the project stays on track.

4. Technical Process

4.1 Methods, Tools, and Techniques

4.1.1 Methods

This project will use the Agile Software Development methodology to successfully complete this project. This software development methodology is provided by the Agile Alliance. In addition, the evolutionary, prototyping process model will also be used to develop this project. This model was chosen because it ensures frequent communication between the client and the project manager as well as emphasizes feedback from the client. Prototypes are generated iteratively to provide to the client and gather feedback about the progress and direction of the product. This process model also handles changing requirements well, when compared to other process models, and helps mitigate what could otherwise cause lead to issues with the final product (Pressman & Maxim, 2015).

4.1.2 Tools

The tools below will be used to complete the categories of work listed below:

- Project Management
 - Microsoft Excel (Office 365)
 - Microsoft Word (Office 365)
 - Adobe Acrobat
- Requirements Analysis
 - Microsoft Word (Office 365)
 - Adobe Acrobat
 - StarUML (software modeling tool)
- Software Design
 - Microsoft Word (Office 365)
 - Adobe Acrobat
 - StarUML (software modeling tool)
- Programming
 - IntelliJ IDEA (Version 2016.3.6)
 - Java Runtime Environment: 1.8.0_112-release-408-b6 x86_64
 - Java Virtual Machine: OpenJDK 64-Bit Server VM by JetBrains s.r.o
 - Java Coding Conventions
 - DeepLearning4J API
 - Maven
- Testing
 - IntelliJ IDEA (Version 2016.3.6)
 - Java Runtime Environment: 1.8.0_112-release-408-b6 x86_64
 - Java Virtual Machine: OpenJDK 64-Bit Server VM by JetBrains s.r.o
 - Java Coding Conventions
 - DeepLearning4J API
 - Maven
- Technical Writing
 - Microsoft Excel (Office 365)
 - Microsoft Word (Office 365)
 - Adobe Acrobat
- Configuration Management
 - Change Request form
 - Microsoft Word (Office 365)
 - Adobe Acrobat
- Risk Management
 - Microsoft Excel (Office 365)
 - Microsoft Word (Office 365)

Adobe Acrobat

4.1.3 Techniques

This project will be developed using object-oriented programming and design concepts. In addition, the design model laid out by Roger Pressman and Bruce Maxim will be used. This model consists of focusing on the following elements: data design, architectural design, interface design, component-level design, and deployment level design (Pressman & Maxim, 2015). This will allow the software designer/architect to concentrate on higher levels of abstraction initially and move his focus to lower-level components of the system as the design progresses.

4.2 Software Documentation

Software documentation consists of two different categories. The first is the documentation that will be produced for the requirements analysis, detailed design, testing, and other stages of the project. The documents that fall in this category are the SPMP, Risk Management Document, SRS, SDD, STD, and the User's Manual. These works will first be approved by the project manager. The client and mentor/management will also need to approve of these documents before they will be finalized. Any requests for substantial changes to these documents made by the client and/or the mentor/management will be documented in a Change Request form. The project manager will solicit feedback from management on whether they support the change, while determining if there are adequate resources available to implement the change. Please note that the change history/evolution will be indicated in each document and any substantial revisions to these documents will be noted in the revision history for each document.

The second category of documentation is the comments made in the code produced for this project. These comments will follow the conventions <u>Java Coding Conventions</u> specified by Sun Microsystems, Inc. in 1997 (revised April 20, 1999). This documentation will be reviewed during the testing phase of the project by the tester. Any substantial changes that need to be made will be documented in a Change Request form. The tester/project manager will solicit feedback from management on whether they support the change, while determining if there are adequate resources available to implement the change.

4.3 Project Support Functions

The project manager will be responsible for providing all other project support functions. This may include completing formal reviews/audits of the documentation produced during the course of this project.

5. Work Elements, Schedule, and Budget

5.1 Work Elements

Section 2.3 documented the roles and responsibilities will be needed to successfully complete this project. The following text documents who will be responsible for each deliverable throughout the project.

The requirements analyst and technical writer will be responsible for completing the SRS. The software architect/designer and technical writer will be responsible for completing the SDD as well as the User Interface design and wireframe. The programmer will be responsible for completing, debugging,

and fixing all project code. The tester and technical writer will be responsible for testing the project code and completing the STD. The configuration manager and technical writer will be responsible for noting any substantial changes requested by the mentor/management and/or the client in a Change Request form. The risk manager and technical writer will be responsible for updating the Risk Management Plan throughout course of the project. The project manager will solicit feedback from management on whether to proceed with any formal change requests. If the change is approved, the configuration manager will document the revisions to the affected document in the appropriate change history and allow the technical writer, along with any other relevant staff (i.e., tester will aid in making changes to the STD), to make the approved change(s). The project manager will be responsible for all coordination with the client and the mentor/management. The project manager will also need to approve all documents before they are conveyed to the client and the mentor/management for approval. Please note that changes made to the design for implementation purposes will not require a Change Request form. These forms are only needed when the client, the mentor, or management requests a substantial change to the functionality of the system.

5.2 Schedule

See Appendix A for a detailed work breakdown schedule of the remaining work to be done to complete the project. Please note that this schedule is dynamic and will frequently change throughout the course of the project.

5.3 Budget

Deliverable	Projected Hours	Actual Hours	Projected Client Hours	Actual Client Hours	Projected Mentor Hours	Actual Mentor Hours	Projected Budget	Actual Cost
SPMP	27.00	22.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	\$ 1,350.00	\$ 1,150.00
Risk Management Plan	13.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	\$ 725.00	\$ 500.00
SRS	20.00	25.00	3.00	3.00	1.00	0.00	\$ 1,225.00	\$ 1,400.00
SDD	20.00	15.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	\$ 1,350.00	\$ 850.00
Presentations	13.00	14.00	4.00	3.00	8.00	0.00	\$ 1,450.00	\$ 850.00
User Interface Design	24.00	12.00	6.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 650.00
Project Code	138.00	102.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	\$ 7,075.00	\$ 5,150.00
STD + Testing	41.00	41.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.00	\$ 2,075.00	\$ 2,100.00
Client Acceptance	18.00	20.00	15.50	7.00	2.00	0.00	\$ 1,825.00	\$ 1,350.00
User's Manual	23.00	13.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	\$ 1,325.00	\$ 700.00
Revise Deliverables	6.00	7.00	1.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	\$ 450.00	\$ 400.00
Total	337.00	274.00	37.00	20.00	16.00	0.00	\$ 20,350.00	\$ 15,100.00

6. SPMP Approval

Project Manager Signature

Client Signature	Katie Hallas
Client Signature Mentor/Management Signature	
Wichtof/Wallagement Signature	



⊣	21	Task/Component Name	Estimated Effort (hrs)	Actual Effort (hrs)	Projected Client Hours	Actual Client Hours	Projected Mentor Hours	Actual Mentor Hours	Start Date	Completion Date			
Week	15 -			· · · · · ·	ile 1 - Course Introduction								
We	Лау	Start Brainstorming Ideas for Project	2.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	5/15/2017	5/22/2017			
<u> </u>		Start Brainstorning racus for 110 ject	2.00		odule 2 - Define Project	0.00	0.00	<u>. </u>	3/13/2017	3/22/2017			
Week 2	May 22	Project Definition Background	4.00	6.00	0.25	0.25	0.00	?	5/24/2017	5/28/2017			
	29 - N	Troject Definition Background	4.00		3 - Project Definition Section		0.00	<u> </u>	3/24/2017	3/28/2017			
Week 3	June	Project Definition Section 1	6.00	6.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	ີ	6/1/2017	6/4/2017			
	711 ∑ L	Project Definition Section 1	6.00				1.00	ŗ	6/1/2017	6/4/2017			
ek 4	5 - 1		2.22		t Definition Section 2, Project		1 0.50	2	6/0/0017	6/44/2047			
Week	nue i	Project Definition Section 2	8.00 2.00	11.00 2.00	0.00	0.00 0.25	0.50 0.50		6/3/2017	6/11/2017			
	4	Project Status 1	2.00				0.50		6/10/2017	6/11/2017			
	18	Module 5 - Project Definition Section 3, Project Status 2											
k 5	12 - 1	Project Definition Section 3	5.00	4.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	?	6/12/2017	6/18/2017			
Week	e e	Project Status 2	2.00	2.00	1.00	0.25	0.50	?	6/17/2017	6/18/2017			
>	ın	Create Risk Management Plan	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	?	6/14/2017	6/18/2017			
		Create Risk Matrix	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	?	6/14/2017	6/18/2017			
				•	Definition Section WBS, Proj								
9	. 25	Project Definition Section WBS	5.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	?	6/20/2017	6/25/2017			
Week	June 19 -	Project Status 3	2.00	2.00	1.00	0.25	0.50	?	6/24/2017	6/25/2017			
×	nne	Start Software Project Management Plan (SPMP)	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	6/19/2017	6/25/2017			
		Create software budget and detailed timeline spreadsheet (SBDTS)	3.00	5.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	?	6/19/2017	6/25/2017			
		Continue Working on Risk Management Plan	3.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	6/22/2017	6/25/2017			
	26 - July 2	Module 7 - Progress Report 1											
k 7		Progress Report 1	3.00	5.00	2.00	0.00	0.50	?	6/30/2017	7/2/2017			
Week		Continue Working on Risk Management Plan	3.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	6/30/2017	7/2/2017			
>	June	Continue Working on SPMP	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	7/2/2017	7/2/2017			
	٦	Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	7/2/2017	7/2/2017			
				Module	8 - Project Status Update 4								
8	6 -	Project Status Update 4	2.00	2.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	?	7/9/2017	7/9/2017			
Week	July 3	Continue Working on Risk Management Plan	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	7/3/2017	7/9/2017			
>	막	Continue Working on SPMP	3.00	5.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	?	7/5/2017	7/9/2017			
		Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	7/9/2017	7/9/2017			
				Module	9 - Project Status Update 5								
6	- 16	Project Status Update 5	2.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	?	7/16/2017	7/16/2017			
Week	10 -	Finish Risk Management Plan	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	7/12/2017	7/16/2017			
≥	Ąnr	Continue Working on SPMP	8.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	7/12/2017	7/16/2017			
		Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	?	7/16/2017	7/16/2017			
				Mod	ule 10 - Progress Report 2								
10	23	Progress Report 2	3.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	0.50	?	7/23/2017	7/23/2017			
	17 - 2	Start Working on PowerPoint Presentation	3.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	7/23/2017	7/23/2017			
Week	July 1.	Start Working on Software Requirements Specification (SRS)	10.00	8.00	2.00	1.00	0.50	?	7/22/2017	7/23/2017			
>	nr nr	Finish SPMP	5.00	9.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	?	7/17/2017	7/22/2017			
		Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	?	7/22/2017	7/23/2017			
				Module	11 - Project Status Update 6								
		Project Status Update 6	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	?	7/30/2017	7/30/2017			
11	- 30				1			•	7/30/2017				
eek	7 24 .	Finish SRS	10.00	17.00	1.00	2.00	0.50	?		7/30/2017			
Š	ln(Start Software Design Description (SDD)	10.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	?	7/28/2017	7/30/2017			

•								_	T _ / / T			
		Update SBDTS	1.00 2.00	1.00 2.00	0.50 0.00	0.00	0.50 0.00	?	7/30/2017	7/30/2017		
		Continue Working on PowerPoint Presentation						!	7/24/2017	7/30/2017		
	5				oint Presentations, Client A			1				
7	ust 2	Final Report	5.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	?	7/31/2017	8/2/2017		
k 12	August	YouTube Presentation	3.00	3.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	?	7/31/2017	8/2/2017		
Week	31 - /	Finish PowerPoint Presentation	1.00	2.00	1.00	0.50	2.00	?	7/31/2017	8/2/2017		
>	July 3	Client Acceptance Correspondence	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	0.50	?	8/2/2017	8/2/2017		
	<u> </u>	Finish Working on SDD	10.00	12.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	?	8/2/2017	8/2/2017		
		Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	8/2/2017	8/2/2017		
c 13	t 3-				Module 13							
Week	igust 6	Start Designing User Interface	8.00	5.00	2.00	1.00	0.00	?	8/6/2017	8/6/2017		
}	Au	Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	8/6/2017	8/6/2017		
14	17-				Module 14							
eek	gust 13	Continue Working on Designing User Interface	8.00	3.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	?	8/7/2017	8/13/2017		
Wee	Au	Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	ý	8/7/2017	8/13/2017		
15	14 -				Module 15							
eek	ust 20	Finish Working on User Interface	8.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	· ?	8/14/2017	8/20/2017		
š	Aug	Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	8/14/2017	8/20/2017		
16	21 -	Module 16										
eek	ust 27	Start Coding Project	10.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	8/21/2017	8/27/2017		
Μ̈́	Aug	Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	8/21/2017	8/27/2017		
17	e -	Module 17										
eek 1	August 28 - September 3	Continue Coding Duciest	10.00	Γ 00		0.00	0.00	T 2	0/20/2017	0/2/2017		
Wee		Continue Coding Project Update SBDTS	1.00	5.00 0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.50		8/28/2017 8/28/2017	9/3/2017 9/3/2017		
	4 0	Opuate 3BD13	1.00	0.30		0.00	0.30	; 	8/28/2017	9/3/2017		
18	er 4	Module 18										
eek	emb 10	Continue Coding Project	10.00	4.00 2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.50	?	9/4/2017	9/10/2017		
We	ept	Convert Project Definition Background to Report Format Update SBDTS	1.00 1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	?	9/4/2017 9/4/2017	9/10/2017 9/10/2017		
	1	Opuate 3BD13	1.00	0.50	<u>'</u>	0.00	0.50	ļ ŗ	9/4/2017	9/10/2017		
19	r 11				Module 19	1		1				
¥	mbe 17	Continue Coding Project	10.00	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	9/11/2017	9/17/2017		
× ×	pte	Convert Project Definition Section 1 to Report Format	2.00	2.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	?	9/11/2017	9/17/2017		
	Se	Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	9/11/2017	9/17/2017		
	- 24				Module 20							
: 20	- 18-	Continue Coding Project	10.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	9/18/2017	9/24/2017		
Week	nber	Project Status Update 1	2.00	2.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	?	9/18/2017	9/24/2017		
≥	oten	Convert Project Definition Section 2 to Report Format	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	?	9/18/2017	9/24/2017		
	Sept	Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	9/18/2017	9/24/2017		
	-5				Module 21							
: 21	er 25 er 1	Continue Coding Project	10.00	8.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	9/25/2017	10/1/2017		
eek	eptember October	Project Status Update 2	2.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	9/25/2017	10/1/2017		
We	epte Oc	Convert Project Definition Section 3 and WBS to Report Format	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	ŗ	9/25/2017	10/1/2017		
	Se	Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	9/25/2017	10/1/2017		
2	∞ •				Module 22							
ek 22	er 2 -	Continue Coding Project	10.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	10/2/2017	10/8/2017		
Vee	tobe	Project Status Update 3	2.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	,	10/2/2017	10/8/2017		
_ <	. 5	• '			-		+	-				

>	ŏ	Update SBDTS	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	10/2/2017	10/8/2017				
8	15				Module 23									
k 23	6	Continue Coding Project	10.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	10/9/2017	10/15/2017				
/eek	opei	Progress Report 1	3.00	2.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	10/9/2017	10/15/2017				
Š	Octobe	Update SBDTS	1.00	2.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	10/9/2017	10/15/2017				
t	. 22				Module 24									
ek 24	16-	Continue Coding Project	20.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	10/16/2017	10/22/2017				
Wee	ber	Project Status Update 4	2.00	2.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	10/16/2017	10/22/2017				
>	October	Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	10/16/2017	10/22/2017				
	. 29			<u> </u>	Module 25		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
k 25	. 23	Continue Coding Project 20.00 20.00 0.00 0.00 ? 10/23/2017 10/29/2017												
Week	ber	Project Status Update 5	2.00	2.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	?	10/23/2017	10/29/2017				
>	Octob	Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	10/23/2017	10/29/2017				
				<u> </u>	Module 26		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
9:	0 - r 5	Continue Coding Project	10.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	10/30/2017	11/5/2017				
Week 26	October 30 - November 5	Start Testing Project	4.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	10/30/2017	11/5/2017				
Vee	ctob	Start Software Testing Document (STD)	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	10/30/2017	11/5/2017				
_	O Z	Progress Report 2	3.00	2.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	10/30/2017	11/5/2017				
		Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	10/30/2017	11/5/2017				
		Module 27												
	lovember 6 - 12	Finish Coding Project	8.00	10.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	?	11/6/2017	11/12/2017				
(27		Continue Testing Project	10.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	11/6/2017	11/12/2017				
Week		Continue Working on STD	4.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	11/6/2017	11/12/2017				
≥		Start on User's Manual	6.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	11/6/2017	11/12/2017				
	Z	Project Status Update 6	2.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	11/6/2017	11/12/2017				
		Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	11/6/2017	11/12/2017				
		Module 28												
	19	Continue Working on User's Manual	6.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	11/13/2017	11/19/2017				
28	13 -	Provide Project to Client for Review	1.00	2.00	6.00	2.00	1.00	?	11/13/2017	11/19/2017				
Week	ber	Finish Testing Project	5.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	11/13/2017	11/19/2017				
×	/em	Continue Working on STD	4.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	?	11/13/2017	11/19/2017				
	Nov	Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	?	11/13/2017	11/19/2017				
		Project Status Update 7 Receive Feedback from Client on Project	2.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	0.50 6.00	0.50 2.00	0.50 1.00	?	11/13/2017 11/13/2017	11/19/2017 11/19/2017				
		Receive reedback from Chefit of Project	1.00	1.00		2.00	1.00	ļ ŗ	11/13/2017	11/19/2017				
	26	Address Foodbash forms Client	10.00	11.00	3.00	2.00	0.00	2	11/20/2017	11/26/2017				
29	20 -	Address Feedback from Client	10.00	11.00 11.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	?	11/20/2017	11/26/2017				
Week	nber	Finish STD	7.00					?	11/20/2017	11/26/2017				
≯	Noven	Continue Working on User's Manual	6.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		11/20/2017	11/26/2017				
	Z	Revise SDD, SRS, SPMP, Risk Mitigation Plan as needed	5.00	6.00 1.00	1.00 0.50	1.00	0.50 0.50	?	11/20/2017	11/26/2017				
		Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00		0.00	0.50	f	11/20/2017	11/26/2017				
	, m	Address Foodbash from Olisia	F 00	F 00	Module 30	1.00	0.00	1 2	11/27/2017	12/2/2017				
30	November 27 - December 3	Address Feedback from Client	5.00	5.00 5.00	0.50	1.00	0.00 1.00	, ,	11/27/2017 11/27/2017	12/3/2017 12/3/2017				
Week	emk	Finish User's Manual Test Fixes Based on Client Feedback	5.00 5.00	3.00	2.00 0.50	1.00 1.00	0.00	?	11/27/2017	12/3/2017				
*	Jove	Project Status Update 8	2.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	?	11/27/2017	12/3/2017				
		Update SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50	;	11/27/2017	12/3/2017				
		obagie 28012	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50		11/2//201/	12/3/201/				

	December 4 - 6	Module 31								
Week 31		Touch Up Project and Submit	5.00	5.00	0.50	0.00	5.00	?	12/4/2017	12/6/2017
		Final Report	5.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	?	12/4/2017	12/6/2017
		YouTube Presentation	3.00	5.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	?	12/4/2017	12/6/2017
		Finish PowerPoint Presentation	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	2.00	,	12/4/2017	12/6/2017
		Client Acceptance Correspondence	1.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	0.50	?	12/4/2017	12/6/2017
		Finalize SBDTS	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	,	12/4/2017	12/6/2017