STAT 500

ANOVA Effects Model

Cell Means Model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

- ullet Each observation Y_{ij} can be described by two components:
 - Fixed mean value μ_i
 - Random error term ϵ_{ij}

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

- ullet Each observation Y_{ij} can be described by two components:
 - Fixed mean value $\mu_i = \mu + lpha_i$
 - * Overall mean value: μ
 - * Treatment effects compared with overall mean: $lpha_i$
 - Random error term ϵ_{ij}

Effects Model in Matrix Notation

We can write this system of $oldsymbol{N}$ equations in matrix notation

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} \\ Y_{12} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{1n_1} \\ Y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{2n_2} \\ Y_{31} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{rn_r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu + \alpha_1 + \epsilon_{11} \\ \mu + \alpha_1 + \epsilon_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \mu + \alpha_1 + \epsilon_{1n_1} \\ \mu + \alpha_2 + \epsilon_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \mu + \alpha_3 + \epsilon_{31} \\ \vdots \\ \mu + \alpha_r + \epsilon_{rn_r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_r \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{1n_1} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{2n_2} \\ \epsilon_{31} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{rn_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ullet Model has too many parameters estimates r means with r+1 parameters
- ullet Design matrix $oldsymbol{X}$ is not full column rank.
- ullet Usual inverse for (X^TX) does not exist.
- There are an infinite number of least squares estimators.
- Solution constrain the parameters in the model
 - Set $\alpha_r = 0$ (baseline)
 - Set $\Sigma_{i=1}^r \, lpha_i = 0$ (sum to zero)

Using the constraint $lpha_r=0$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} \\ Y_{12} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{1n_1} \\ Y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{r-1,1} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{rn_r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu + \alpha_1 + \epsilon_{11} \\ \mu + \alpha_1 + \epsilon_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \mu + \alpha_1 + \epsilon_{1n_1} \\ \mu + \alpha_2 + \epsilon_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \mu + \alpha_{r-1} + \epsilon_{r-1,1} \\ \vdots \\ \mu + \epsilon_{rn_r} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{r-1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{11} \\ \epsilon_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{1n_1} \\ \epsilon_{21} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{r-1,1} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{rn_r} \end{pmatrix}$$

The population or treatment means in model with $\alpha_r=0$ are:

$$\mu_1 = \mu + \alpha_1$$
 $\mu_2 = \mu + \alpha_2$
 $\vdots = \vdots$
 $\mu_{r-1} = \mu + \alpha_{r-1}$
 $\mu_r = \mu$

The least squares estimator of eta when $lpha_{r}=0$ is:

$$\hat{eta}=(X^TX)^{-1}X^TY=\left(egin{array}{c}ar{Y}_r.\ ar{Y}_1.-ar{Y}_r.\ ar{Y}_2.-ar{Y}_r.\ dots\ ar{Y}_{(r-1)}.-ar{Y}_r.=
ight)=\left(egin{array}{c}\hat{lpha}_1\ \hat{lpha}_2\ \hat{lpha}_3\ dots\ \hat{lpha}_{r-1}\end{array}
ight)$$

Using the constraint $\Sigma_{i=1}^r \, \alpha_i = 0$, we have $\alpha_r = - \Sigma_{i=1}^{r-1} \, \alpha_i$.

$$\left(egin{array}{c} Y_{11} \ Y_{12} \ dots \ Y_{1n_1} \ Y_{21} \ dots \ Y_{2n_2} \ dots \ Y_{r-1,1} \ dots \ Y_{rn_r} \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \ dots \ \ dots \ \ dots \ \ dots \ dots \ \ dots \ \ dots \ \ \ dots \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$$

The population or treatment means in model with $\Sigma_{i=1}^r \alpha_i = 0$ are:

$$\mu_1 = \mu + \alpha_1$$
 $\mu_2 = \mu + \alpha_2$
 $\vdots = \vdots$
 $\mu_{r-1} = \mu + \alpha_{r-1}$
 $\mu_r = \mu + \alpha_r = \mu - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \alpha_i$

The least squares estimator of eta when $\Sigma_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i = 0$ is:

$$\hat{eta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = \left(egin{array}{c} rac{\Sigma_{i=1}^r ar{Y}_{i.}}{r} \ ar{Y}_{1.} - rac{\Sigma_{i=1}^r ar{Y}_{i.}}{r} \ ar{Y}_{2.} - rac{\Sigma_{i=1}^r ar{Y}_{i.}}{r} \ ar{Y}_{(r-1).} - rac{\Sigma_{i=1}^r ar{Y}_{i.}}{r} \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} \hat{lpha}_1 \ \hat{lpha}_2 \ \hat{lpha}_3 \ ar{arphi}_{r-1} \end{array}
ight)$$

- The above two types of constraints for effects model are not the only ways to model the means.
- The choice of constraint will affect your least squares estimator $\hat{\beta}$.
- You must determine which constraint was applied before interpreting parameter estimates.
- ullet The interpretation of the parameters (elements of eta) depends on the parametrization.

What about ANOVA Table?

- The things that we are interested in (population means, contrasts, etc.) are the same no matter which set of parameters you use.
- Estimable function: a quantity that does not depend on the arbitrary choice of constraint.
- ullet The population means are estimable, i.e., P_X is invariant to the choice of constraints (generalized inverse matrix $(X^TX)^-$).
- Use of effects model with different constraints does not affect the ANOVA Table.

ANOVA: Fixed or Random Effects?

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

Fixed effects

- ullet The r treatments or populations examined in the study are the only treatments under consideration
- Research questions are about treatment means or difference in means
 - e.g., two drugs, four pesticides

ANOVA: Fixed or Random Effects?

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

Random effects

- ullet The r treatments (or groups) are a random sample from some larger population of treatments (or groups) that could have been included in the study
- Research questions are about variability in sets of treatments (or groups) the could be selected for different studies
- Additional assumptions that

$$\alpha_i \sim N(0, \sigma_{\alpha}^2)$$

and any $lpha_i$ is independent of any ϵ_{ij}

ANOVA: Random Effects

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where $\alpha_i \sim N(0,\sigma_{lpha}^2)$ and $\epsilon_{ij} \sim N(0,\sigma_{error}^2)$ and

any $lpha_i$ is independent of any ϵ_{ij}

- ullet Parameter of interest: μ , σ_{lpha}^2 , and σ_{error}^2
- intraclass correlation

$$rac{\sigma_{lpha}^2}{\sigma_{lpha}^2 + \sigma_{error}^2}$$

is the correlation between any pair of observations in same group

ANOVA: Random Effects

Objective: Examine variability in student performance in AP (high school) statistics classes.

- Random sample of 8 AP (high school) statistics classes
- Random sample of 10 students from each class (students are nested in classes)
- Give ISU Stat 101 final exam to each student and record the scores
- Not interested in just the 8 classes selected for the study

ANOVA: Random Effects

- ullet Model: $Y_{ij} = \mu + lpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$
- ullet μ measures overall performance on a college exam
- ullet σ_{lpha}^2 measures variability among AP classes
- ullet σ^2_{error} measures variability among students within classes
- intraclass correlation measures correlation between any pair of students in same class
- Focus on fixed effects in 500.