

STAT 500

Multiple Linear Regression Models
More Examples

Uncorrelated Predictors

Example: Yield of a chemical process (Myers)

Y = Yield (%)

X_1 = Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{F}$)

X_2 = Time (hours)

Data:

Y	X_1	X_2
77	160	1
79	160	2
82	165	1
83	165	2
85	170	1
88	170	2
90	175	1
93	175	2

Chemical Process Study

Full Factorial Design

$$r_{x_1, x_2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{i1} - \bar{x}_1)(x_{i2} - \bar{x}_2)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_{i1} - \bar{x}_1)^2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{i2} - \bar{x}_2)^2}} = 0$$

Estimated Models

Model 1: $\hat{Y}_i = -64.45 + 0.890x_{i1}$

$$R^2 = 0.9435$$

Model 2: $\hat{Y}_i = 81.25 + 2.25x_{i2}$

$$R^2 = 0.0482$$

Model 12: $\hat{Y}_i = -67.825 + 0.890x_{i1} + 2.250x_{i2}$

$$R^2 = 0.9918$$

Chemical Process Study

Source of variation	d.f.	SS	MS	F	p-val
reg on x_1	1	198.025	198.025	574.0	.0001
reg on x_2 after x_1	1	10.125	10.125	29.3	.0029
error	5	1.725	0.345		
corrected total	7	209.875			

Source of variation	d.f.	SS	MS	F	p-val
reg on x_2	1	10.125	10.125	29.3	.0029
reg on x_1 after x_2	1	198.025	198.025	574.0	.0001
error	5	1.725	0.345		
corrected total	7	209.875			

Complete Confounding

Example: Correlation between X_1 and X_2 is one.

Y	X_1	X_2
1.95	1	5
6.25	2	10
9.85	3	15

Estimated Models

Model 1: $\hat{Y}_i = -1.8833 + 3.95x_{i1}$

$$R^2 = 0.9974$$

Model 2: $\hat{Y}_i = -1.8833 + 0.79x_{i2}$

$$R^2 = 0.9974$$

Model 12: Many choices for b_1 and b_2 in

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{Y}_i &= b_0 + b_1x_{i1} + b_2x_{i2} = b_0 + b_1x_{i1} + b_2(5x_{i1}) \\ &= b_0 + (b_1 + 5b_2)x_{i1}\end{aligned}$$

$$R^2 = 0.9974$$

Complete Confounding Example

Source of variation	d.f.	SS	MS	F	p-val
reg on x_1	1	31.205	31.205	382.1	.0325
reg on x_2 after x_1	0	0.000	0.000	NA	NA
error	1	0.08167	0.08167		
corrected total	2	31.28667			

Source of variation	d.f.	SS	MS	F	p-val
reg on x_2	1	31.205	31.205	382.1	.0325
reg on x_1 after x_2	0	0.000	0.000	NA	NA
error	1	0.08167	0.08167		
corrected total	2	31.28667			

Partial Confounding

Example: Correlation between X_1 and X_2 is 0.95237

Y	X_1	X_2
1.8	1.0	5
1.7	1.1	6
5.4	1.8	11
6.1	2.0	10
7.0	2.1	9
9.6	3.0	15

Estimated Models

Model 1: $\hat{Y}_i = -2.328 + 4.142x_{i1}$

$$R^2 = 0.978$$

Model 2: $\hat{Y}_i = -2.114 + 0.791x_{i2}$

$$R^2 = 0.865$$

Model 12: $\hat{Y}_i = -2.247 + 4.655x_{i1} - 0.109x_{i2}$

$$R^2 = 0.980$$

Partial Confounding Example

Source of variation	d.f.	SS	MS	F	p-val
reg on x_1	1	46.215	46.215	146.6	0.0012
reg on x_2 after x_1	1	0.073	0.073	0.23	0.6639
error	3	0.946	0.315		
corrected total	5	47.233			

Source of variation	d.f.	SS	MS	F	p-val
reg on x_2	1	40.859	40.859	129.6	0.0015
reg on x_1 after x_2	1	5.428	5.428	17.21	0.0254
error	3	0.946	0.315		
corrected total	5	47.233			

Multiple Regression

Interpreting Regression Coefficients

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{ik} + \epsilon_i$$

- β_j is the j th regression coefficient or the j th *partial* regression coefficient
- β_j is the change in the mean of Y for a unit change in X_j **with all other variables held constant**
- Sometimes this is not possible and the values of other explanatory variables change when X_j changes: (e.g., polynomial terms (X_j, X_j^2) or interaction terms $(X_i, X_j, X_i X_j)$ or other highly correlated predictors)

Multiple Regression

Interpreting Regression Coefficients

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{ik} + \epsilon_i$$

- An alternative interpretation: β_j is the linear effect of X_j on Y after adjusting for the linear effect of the other predictors on Y and the linear effects of the other predictors on X_j
- Let P_{-x_j} represent the projection matrix without variable X_j (delete column $j + 1$ from the model matrix X). Then, $\hat{\beta}_j$ is found from the regression of $(I - P_{-x_j})Y$ on $(I - P_{-x_j})X_j$

Multiple Regression

Interpreting Regression Coefficients

- Example: Brain size data (an observational study)
Question: Do species with longer gestation times have bigger brains?
- Plots, biology \Rightarrow linear in log variables
- Model 1: $\log(\text{brain})_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\text{gest}_i) + \epsilon_i$
 $\hat{\beta}_1 = 2.23 \Rightarrow$ Species differing by 1 unit log gestation time (e.g. $\log(\text{gest}) = 2$ and $\log(\text{gest})=1$) differ in $\log(\text{brain size})$ by 2.23 units, on average.
- Biology \Rightarrow body size associated with both

Multiple Regression

Interpreting Regression Coefficients

- Model 2:

$$\log(\text{brain}_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(\text{gest}_i) + \beta_2 \log(\text{body}_i) + \epsilon_i$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.668$$

Two species with the same body size but differing by 1 unit log gestation time differ in log brain size by 0.668 units, on average.

- So, when is β_j in multiple regression equal to β_j from simple linear regression?

Answer: When X_j is uncorrelated with the rest of the explanatory variables.

Multiple Regression

Interpreting Regression Coefficients

- Consider the regression of one set of residuals $(I - P_{-x_j})Y$ on another set of residuals $(I - P_{-x_j})X_j$
 - Regress $\log(\text{brain})$ on $\log(\text{body})$:
residual $= e_i = (I - P_{-x_j})Y$
 - Regress $\log(\text{gest})$ on $\log(\text{body})$:
residual $= g_i = (I - P_{-x_j})X_j$
 - β_2 is regression coefficient for regression of e_i on g_i :

$$e_i = \beta_2 g_i + \eta_i$$