HOMEWORK 8 STAT 588. (1) For $\Lambda = \lambda$, we have $f(x \mid \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{x} e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$ for x = 0, 1, 2, ... $h(\Lambda) = \frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\lambda/\beta} for \lambda 70$ elsewhere Here, $f(\lambda, x) = \frac{\lambda^{\kappa} e^{-\lambda}}{x!} \frac{1}{\beta^{\kappa} \Gamma(\alpha)}$ $= \frac{1}{x!} \frac{\lambda^{\kappa+\kappa} - 1}{\beta^{\kappa} \Gamma(\alpha)} e^{-\lambda(1+\frac{1}{\beta})}$ $= \frac{1}{x!} \frac{\lambda^{\kappa} \Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^{\kappa} \Gamma(\alpha)}$ for x = 0, 1, 2, ... and $\lambda \neq 0$, and $f(\lambda, x) = 0$ elsewhere $g(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x!} \frac{\lambda^{\kappa} \Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^{\kappa} \Gamma(\alpha)}$ Hera, $= \frac{1}{\chi! \, \beta^{\alpha} \, \Gamma(\alpha)} \, \Gamma(\chi + \alpha) \, \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{-(\chi + \alpha)}$ $\int \frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{8})^{-(\chi+\alpha)}} \int (\chi+\alpha) \int (\chi+\alpha)$ $= \Gamma(\chi + \chi) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{-(\chi + \infty)}$ 21. BX [(x) for x = 0, 1, 2, ...Thus, $\varphi(\lambda|x) = \varphi(\lambda,x)$ $= \frac{1}{(1+1)^{-(x+\alpha)}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(x+\alpha)} \frac{1}{\Gamma$ As can be seen by inspection, this is a gamma distribution with the parameters x+x and 1+

() The mean of the posterior distribution of
$$\Omega$$
 is $(x+d)(1+\frac{1}{\beta})$.

Hence, IF[
$$\Delta[x] = (x+\alpha)(1+\frac{1}{\beta})$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(x=2 \mid M=2)$$

$$= \frac{\binom{2}{2}\binom{5}{0}}{\binom{7}{2}} = 0.0476$$

$$= P(x=0 | M=4) + P(x-1 | M=4)$$

$$= \frac{\binom{7}{0}\binom{3}{2}}{\binom{7}{1}} + \frac{\binom{1}{1}\binom{3}{1}}{\binom{7}{1}} = 0.7143$$

- (3) a) Committing type I error = Rejecting the null that 60 percent of its passengers object to using a cell phone inside the plane during flights while the ride is true
 - 6) Committing type II error = Fail to reject the null while the null is false, i.e., not 60% of passengers object to using cell phones

(4) Let pralue be p. We reject the null hypothesis at a significance level of 0.05, in which case p < 0.05. a) As p < 0.05, it does not necessarily mean p < 0.01, so the rull will not always be rejected at a significance level of 0.01 b) When p < 0.05, p < 0.1, so the null is rejected at a significance level of o. 1 a) At a significance level of 0.01, we fail to reject to b) At a significance level of 0.05, we reject the c) At a significance level of 0.1, we piped the (6) n - 12, $\bar{\chi} = 33.6$, s = 2.3Ho: $\mu = 35$ Ha: $\mu \neq 35$ a) t distribution with n-1-11 dfsb) $\overline{1}_1 = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{2.5/\sqrt{12}} = \frac{33.6 - 35}{2.3/\sqrt{12}} = -2.109$ p-value = 2 x IP(t \le T1) = 2 x 0.017 = 0.034 0.017 = pralue Pralue = 0.017

(F) n = 24, $\rho = 238$ Ho: 6 = 250 Ha: 6 < 250. a) Chi square distribution with n-1 = 23 dfs B) Post statistic = 20.845 p value = 0.409 h = 18, $\overline{\chi} = 63.84$, s = 2.75a) Ho: $\mu = 65$ Ha: $\mu \neq 65$. B) $T = \frac{\pi}{\pi} - \mu = \frac{63.84 - 65}{2.75/\sqrt{18}} = -1.7896$ a) A 99% confidence interval for the average cale is (61.96, 65.72), which does contain our mil hypothesie value of 65. Therefore, at a significance level of 0.01, we fail to reject the null d) We conclude that the population average sales is not significantly different from 65.