

## Homework 4

In the following proof we show that program specified in assignment 3 meets the specification from assignment 2. Namely, that a matrix with 1 color and 1 label per element will eventually have each color-region with a matching label unique to that region. We do this by introducing 2 invariants, then showing that init leads to post using a well founded metric. Then we show that once we have reached post, the program does not change.

Invariant 1:  $\langle \exists x : \pi(x) :: L(x) \neq \lambda(x) \rangle$

Let  $x = (i,j)$  and  $y = (p,q)$

Initially,  $L(i, j) = (i, j)$ . There is a label which is smaller than every other labels in the same region.

Furthermore, assume “if SameRegion((i,j),(p,q))” is true,

the statement  $L(i,j) := \min(L(i,j), L(p,q))$  ensures  $L(y) = \lambda(y) = \lambda(x)$

Thus, there always exists a cell  $x$  whose label is  $\lambda(x)$ .

Invariant 2:  $\langle \forall x, y : \pi(x) \wedge \pi(y) \wedge \neg(x \Gamma y) :: L(x) \neq L(y) \rangle$

Let  $x = (i,j)$  and  $y = (a,b)$

Initially,  $L(x) = (i, j) \wedge L(y) = (a, b) \wedge (i, j) \neq (a, b) \implies L(x) \neq L(y)$

which means cell  $x$  and  $y$  have different initial labels.

Furthermore, there exists the statement  $S_{ijpq}$  ensures  $L(x) = \lambda(x)$  and

a statement  $S_{abcd}$  ensures  $L(y) = \lambda(y)$ .

if  $\neg(x \Gamma y)$  is true, then  $\lambda(x) \neq \lambda(y)$ ,  $\implies L(x) \neq L(y)$

when  $L(x) \neq L(y)$ , none of the assignment alter the state.

1. init  $\rightsquigarrow$  post

let's define  $\mu$ :

$\mu = \langle \sum \forall x : \pi(x) :: L(x) \neq \lambda(x) \rangle$

This is well founded because initially only 1 element per region is correctly labeled.

With this  $\mu$  in mind, we would like to show 2 things:

1.  $\mu$  only decreases and 2. when  $\mu = 0$ , then we have reached post.

Part 1:

$$\mu = k \rightsquigarrow \mu < k$$

$\mu$  increases or stays the same. If  $k$  is 0, then it never decreases, just remains 0. If  $k$  is greater than 0, then there exists a statement  $S_{ijpq}$  where

$(i, j)$  is an element that does not have its final label and  $(p, q)$  does have its final label. Then

$$S_{ijpq} \text{ ensures } \mu < k$$

By invariant 1 we know that such an element  $pq$  always exists.

Part2:

$$\mu = 0 \implies post$$

First, if the number of elements that don't have their final label is equal to zero, then all elements must have their final label

$$\checkmark \mu = 0 \implies \langle \forall x : \pi(x) :: L(x) = \lambda(x) \rangle$$

Second, if we have 2 elements that are not in the same region but have the same label, that implies that one of those elements does not have its final label:

$$L(x) = L(y) \wedge \neg(x \Gamma y) \implies L(x) \neq \lambda(x) \vee L(y) \neq \lambda(y)$$

which implies that  $\mu(x) > 0$

therefore

$$\checkmark \mu(x) = 0 \implies \langle \forall x, y : \pi(x) \wedge \pi(y) \wedge \neg(x \Gamma y) :: L(x) \neq L(y) \rangle$$

## 2. **stable** post

$$\begin{aligned} post &\equiv \langle \forall x : \pi(x) :: L(x) = \lambda(x) \rangle \\ &\wedge \langle \forall x, y : \pi(x) \wedge \pi(y) \wedge \neg(x \Gamma y) :: L(x) \neq L(y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$part1 : post \equiv \langle \forall x : \pi(x) :: L(x) = \lambda(x) \rangle$$

*Let  $x = (i, j)$  and  $y = (p, q)$*

*There exists the statement  $S_{ijpq}$  ensures  $L(x) = \lambda(x)$  and*

*a statement  $S_{abcd}$  ensures  $L(y) = \lambda(y)$ .*

*Assume “if  $SameRegion((i, j), (p, q))$ ” is true, which means  $x \rho y$ .*

*then  $\lambda(x) = \lambda(y) \implies L(x) = L(y) = \lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$*

*which means all cells in the same region share the same final label.*

*And once  $L(x) = L(y) = \lambda(x) = \lambda(y)$ , none of the assignment alter the state.*

$$part2 : \langle \forall x, y : \pi(x) \wedge \neg(x \Gamma y) :: L(x) \neq L(y) \rangle$$

*It is the invariant2 proved on page 1.*