repetition positivity in an oddball paradigm

SS21 Methodological working in neuroscience Erika Tsumaya

The oddball paradigm

- Presentations of sequences of repetitive stimuli are infrequently interrupted by a deviant stimulus.
- Associated components: P300, MMN



The repetition positivity



Haenschel et al 2005

Aim of the study

- How does the repetition positivity behave when interrupted by a deviant?
 - It is reset
 - It only decreases

data

- EEG data from an auditory oddball task from openneuro
- 70% standard, 15% target, 15% non target (white noise)
- 13 participants, 3 blocks



Results - P300



Results – repetition positivity



7

Conclusion & discussion

- Typical P300 could be observed
- No repetition positivity
 - Other studies had much more repetitions
 - RP does not decrease at all

References

- Haenschel, C., Vernon, D. J., Dwivedi, P., Gruzelier, J. H., & Baldeweg, T. (2005). Eventrelated brain potential correlates of human auditory sensory memory-trace formation. Journal of Neuroscience, 25(45), 10494-10501.
- Picton TW (1992). "The P300 wave of the human event-related potential". J Clin Neurophysiol. 9: 456–79.
- Baldeweg, T. (2007). ERP repetition effects and mismatch negativity generation: a predictive coding perspective. Journal of Psychophysiology, 21(3-4), 204-213.
- Arnaud Delorme (2020). EEG data from an auditory oddball task. OpenNeuro. Dataset doi: 10.18112/openneuro.ds003061.v1.0.1
- Cooper, R. J., Atkinson, R. J., Clark, R. A., & Michie, P. T. (2013). Event-related potentials reveal modelling of auditory repetition in the brain. International Journal of Psychophysiology, 88(1), 74-81.