Introduction

1 Finite element method

For the simple, one dimensional case, the exact solution to the Helmholtz problem is known. Finding the exact solution for more complex and higher dimensional problems turns out to be hard and impractical. Often, an approximation to the exact solution suffices for engineering purposes. A widely used method to find such approximations is known as the *Galerkin finite element method*.

Using this method, an approximation to the exact solution is found by transforming the problem in a system linear equations. This results in a sparse linear system for which many solving techniques have been studied. Although the linear systems resulting from this method are sparse, for an accurate approximation of solution to higher dimensional or heavily oscillating problems a very large system can be needed.

1.1 Galerkin finite element method

As an example, we will consider a simple one dimensional wave problem. Suppose that for $u(x)$ on $[0, 1]$ the conditions in (**??**) - (**??**) hold. The exact solution is given by (**??**) which is periodic with wavelength $\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{k}$. Although the exact solution for this problem is known, for higher dimensional and more complex problems no exact solution is known. For these problems, we want to find an approximation to the exact solution using a numerical approach.

Since the original problem is infinite dimensional, we simplify the problem by restricting the approximation of the exact solution to a finite dimensional search space. Suppose we have a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 1, 2, 3$. We define the function space $L_2(\Omega)$ of square integrable function on Ω by saying that $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ if

$$\|f\| := \left(\int_\Omega |f(x)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\Omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \tag{1}$$

Furthermore, we say $f \in H^1_{(0}(\Omega)$ if $f(0) = 0$ and $f \in H^1(\Omega)$, which implies

$$\|\nabla f\|^2 + \|f\|^2 < \infty. \tag{2}$$

For solving the above system with a finite element method, we will first rewrite the problem in its weak form. We multiply both sides with a test function $v \in H^1_{(0}(\Omega)$ and integrate afterwards to obtain

$$-\int_0^1 u''(x)v(x) - k^2 u(x)v(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x) \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{3}$$

By integrating the first term by parts and substituting boundary condition (**??**) and taking the fact that $v(0) = 0$ into consideration, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 u'(x)v'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - k^2 \int_0^1 u(x)v(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - iku(1)v(1) = \int_0^1 f(x)v(x) \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{4}$$

Now we have the weak formulation of our problem; Find $u(x) \in H^1_{(0}(\Omega)$ such that (4) holds for all $v(x) \in H^1_{(0}(\Omega)$. To solve this problem, first we define a finite element mesh X_h on Ω, by

$$X_h := \{x_i \mid x_i = ih, \ i = 0, 1, \ldots, N\}, \tag{5}$$

where $h = 1/N$. We limit our search space to $S_h(0, 1) \subset H^1_{(0}(\Omega)$, the space of piecewise continuous linear functions with nodal values at the points in X_h, satisfying (**??**). This function space is spanned by a set of *hat functions* defined as

$$\chi_j(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{h}(x - x_{j-1}), & x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j], \\ \dfrac{1}{h}(x_{j+1} - x), & x \in [x_j, x_{j+1}], \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1$ and for $j = N$ by

$$\chi_N(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{h}(x - x_{j-1}), & x \in [x_{j-1}, 1], \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Since this set of function spans $S_h(0, 1)$, they are known as *basis functions*. The intervals $\tau_i = (x_{i-1}, x_i)$ are called *finite elements*. In our case, every τ_i has length h, hence X_h is called a *uniform* mesh. Now, if we require that both U and v are in $S_h(0, 1)$, then we can write

$$U(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j \chi_j(x), \tag{8}$$

and (4) transforms to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[\int_0^1 \chi_j'(x)\chi_m'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x - k^2 \int_0^1 \chi_j(x)\chi_m(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right] u_j - iku_N \chi_m(1) = \int_0^1 f(x)\chi_m(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \tag{9}$$

for $m = 1, 2, \ldots, N$.

1.2   Linear system

Since each χ_m is known, we can easily compute the integrals in (9). They are given by

$$\int_0^1 \chi_j'(x)\chi_m'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |j - m| > 1, \\ -1/h, & \text{if } |j - m| = 1, \\ 2/h, & \text{if } j = m \neq N, \\ 1/h, & \text{if } j = m = N, \end{cases}$$

$$\int_0^1 \chi_j(x)\chi_m(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |j - m| > 1, \\ h/6, & \text{if } |j - m| = 1, \\ 2h/3, & \text{if } j = m \neq N, \\ h/3, & \text{if } j = m = N. \end{cases}$$

Also note that

$$\chi_m(1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m = N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If we substitute these equations back into (9), the result is a system of linear equations with unknowns u_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$. This linear system is given by

$$(A - k^2 B - ikC)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}. \tag{10}$$

Here, the elements of matrices A and B are determined by

$$A_{ij} = \int_0^1 \chi_i'(x)\chi_j'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad B_{ij} = \int_0^1 \chi_i(x)\chi_j(x) \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{11}$$

and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & -\frac{1}{h} & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{2}{h} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -\frac{1}{h} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\frac{1}{h} & \frac{1}{h} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2h}{3} & \frac{h}{6} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \frac{h}{6} & \frac{2h}{3} & \frac{h}{6} & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{h}{6} & \frac{2h}{3} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{h}{6} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \frac{h}{6} & \frac{h}{3} \end{pmatrix},$$

2

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{f} = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 f(x)\chi_1(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \\ \int_0^1 f(x)\chi_2(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \\ \vdots \\ \int_0^1 f(x)\chi_N(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \end{pmatrix}.$$

We see that the system matrix $(A - k^2 B - ikC)$ is sparse, its entries are mostly zero. Furthermore, it is also *tridiagonal*, which means only its main, lower and upper diagonal are non-zero. For this type of systems, very efficient solvers are available (see Section **??**). After solving the above system, we plug the values u_i back into (8) and obtain our estimate $U(x)$ to $u(x)$.

1.3 Error estimates

For small h, the Galerkin finite element method has an error estimate of the form

$$\frac{\|u - U\|}{\|u\|} \leq Ch^2, \tag{12}$$

where the constant C is independent of h [**?**, p. 10]. Although we see that the error goes to zero as h decreases (i.e. N increases), it is unclear what h is required for a certain level of accuracy. Furthermore, th C in (12) can depend multiple factors. For instance, it could depend on the forcing term f, the exact solution u or the wavenumber k. The last turns out to be problematic in multiple ways.

A higher wavenumber means higher oscillatory behavior of the exact solution. Since the wavelength λ is defined as $\frac{2\pi}{k}$, the wavelength decreases as k increases. To keep the number of grid points per wavelength constant and the approximation of u accurate, the step size h has to decrease as k. A common idea is to keep the number of grid points per wavelength constant, but this leads to bigger systems that have to be solved and a great deal of additional computation costs.

Another problem that accompanies higher wave numbers is the introduction of pollution errors into the estimate U. Errors caused by the wavelength not being modelled accurately accumulate and reduce the accuracy of our estimation. It was proven [**?**] that the relative error satisfies

$$\frac{\|u - U\|}{\|u\|} \leq C_1 kh + C_2 k^3 h^2, \tag{13}$$

where constants C_1, C_2 are independent of both k and h. We see that, to keep this bound constant, we have to fix $k^3 h^2$. As a result, our linear system rapidly increases in size as k increases.