## MATH 611 (DUE 10/2)

## HIDENORI SHINOHARA

**Exercise.** (Problem 10, Chapter 1.3) Find all the connected 2-sheeted and 3-sheeted covering spaces of  $S^1 \vee S^1$ , up to isomorphisms of covering spaces without base points.

*Proof.* Let  $X = S^1 \vee S^1$ . By the discussion on P.70 of the textbook, we know that n-sheeted covering spaces of X are classified by equivalence classes of homomorphisms  $\pi_1(X, x_0) \to S_n$ . Let a, b denote paths in X as in Figure 1. We can identify each homomorphism  $\phi$  by checking what  $\phi$  maps a and b to. (Strictly speaking,  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  is generated by [a], [b], but we will abuse notations by writing a and b instead of [a], [b].)

The following are all the cases. Figure 2 shows the corresponding graphs.

- Case 1:  $\phi_1(a) = \phi_1(b) = (1)$ . The space that corresponds to this homomorphism is disconnected.
- Case 2:  $\phi_2(a) = (12), \phi_2(b) = (1)$ . This generates a connected covering space.
- Case 3:  $\phi_3(a) = (1), \phi_3(b) = (12)$ . This case is equivalent to Case 2 by symmetry.
- Case 4:  $\phi_4(a) = (12), \phi_4(b) = (12)$ . This generates a connected covering space.

 $\phi_2$  and  $\phi_4$  are not conjugates of each other because for any permutation  $\sigma$ ,  $b \mapsto \sigma \phi_2(b) \sigma^{-1} = \sigma(1) \sigma^{-1} = (1) \neq \phi_4(b)$ . Thus the graphs corresponding to Case 2 and Case 4 in Figure 2 are all the 2-sheeted covering spaces of X.

We will take the exact same approach for the case of 3. If a certain vertex is fixed in both  $\phi(a)$  and  $\phi(b)$ , then such a vertex is disjoint from the rest of the graph. We will use that property to reduce the possibilities.

• Case 1:  $\phi_1 : a \mapsto (1), b \mapsto (1)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_1 - a \mapsto (1), b \mapsto (1)$ 

This graph is not connected because every vertex is fixed.

- Case 2:  $\phi_2: a \mapsto (12), b \mapsto (1)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_2$ 
  - $-a \mapsto (23), b \mapsto (1)$
  - $-a \mapsto (13), b \mapsto (1)$
  - $-a \mapsto (12), b \mapsto (1)$

This graph is not connected because vertex 3 is fixed.

• Case 3:  $\phi_3: a \mapsto (1), b \mapsto (12)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_3 - a \mapsto (1), b \mapsto (12)$ 




FIGURE 1. Problem 10  $(X = S^1 \vee S^1)$ 




FIGURE 2. Problem 10 (2-sheeted covers)

$$-a \mapsto (1), b \mapsto (23)$$
$$-a \mapsto (1), b \mapsto (13)$$

This is the same as Case 2.

• Case 4:  $\phi_4: a \mapsto (12), b \mapsto (13)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_4$ 

$$-\ a \mapsto (13), b \mapsto (12)$$

$$-a \mapsto (12), b \mapsto (23)$$

$$- a \mapsto (12), b \mapsto (13)$$

$$-a \mapsto (13), b \mapsto (23)$$

$$- a \mapsto (23), b \mapsto (12)$$

$$-a \mapsto (23), b \mapsto (13)$$

See Figure 3.

• Case 5:  $\phi_5: a \mapsto (12), b \mapsto (123)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_5$ 

$$-a \mapsto (23), b \mapsto (123)$$

$$-a \mapsto (12), b \mapsto (123)$$

$$- a \mapsto (12), b \mapsto (132)$$

$$-a \mapsto (13), b \mapsto (132)$$

$$- a \mapsto (13), b \mapsto (123)$$

$$- a \mapsto (23), b \mapsto (132)$$

See Figure 3.

• Case 6:  $\phi_6: a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (12)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_6$ 

$$- a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (13)$$

$$-a \mapsto (132), b \mapsto (12)$$

$$- a \mapsto (132), b \mapsto (23)$$

$$-a \mapsto (132), b \mapsto (13)$$

$$- a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (12)$$

 $-a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (23)$ 

See Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. Problem 10 (3-sheeted)

• Case 7:  $\phi_7: a \mapsto (1), b \mapsto (123)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_7$   $-a \mapsto (1), b \mapsto (132)$   $-a \mapsto (1), b \mapsto (123)$ 

See Figure 3.

• Case 8:  $\phi_8: a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (1)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_8$   $-a \mapsto (132), b \mapsto (1)$   $-a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (1)$ 

See Figure 3.

• Case 9:  $\phi_9: a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (132)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_9$   $-a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (132)$   $-a \mapsto (132), b \mapsto (123)$ 

See Figure 3.

• Case 10:  $\phi_{10}: a \mapsto (23), b \mapsto (23)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_{10}$   $-a \mapsto (12), b \mapsto (12)$   $-a \mapsto (23), b \mapsto (23)$   $-a \mapsto (13), b \mapsto (13)$ 

Vertex 1 is disconnected from the rest of the graph since it is fixed.




FIGURE 4. Problem 11

• Case 11:  $\phi_{11}: a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (123)$  The following maps are conjugates of  $\phi_{11}$   $-a \mapsto (132), b \mapsto (132)$   $-a \mapsto (123), b \mapsto (123)$ See Figure 3.

Since there are 6 elements in  $S_3$ , there are 36 possible homomorphisms. The list above contains all of them. Therefore, Figure 3 lists all the possible 3-sheeted covers.

**Exercise.** (Problem 11, Chapter 1.3) Construct finite graphs  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  having a common finite-sheeted covering space  $\tilde{X}_1 = \tilde{X}_2$ , but such that there is no space having both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  as covering spaces.

*Proof.* Figure 4 shows  $X_1, X_2$  and  $\tilde{X}_1 = \tilde{X}_2$ .

We claim that there exists no space having both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  as covering spaces. On the contrary, suppose there exists such a space X with covering maps  $p_1: X_1 \to X, p_2: X_2 \to X$ . Then every point in X must have a neighborhood that homeomorphic to an open subset of  $X_1$ . Since  $X_1$  is a graph, that means X is locally a line and a vertex with edges. In other words, X must be a graph.

There must exist a neighborhood of  $p_1(p)$  and a neighborhood of p such that they are homeomorphic. Since p is a vertex of degree 3,  $p_1(p)$  must be a vertex of degree 3 as well. Similarly,  $p_1(q)$  must be a vertex of degree 3 as well.

Since p, q are the only vertices of  $X_1$ , X contains at most two vertices and their degrees must be 3. Since the sum of degrees of all vertices must be even from elementary graph theory, X must contain two vertices of degree 3.

If X only consists of loops, then the degree of each vertex will be even. Thus the two vertices must be joined by at least one edge. Then if one vertex has a loop, the other must have a loop as well in order to have degree 3. If there exists another edge joining the two vertices, there must be a third one in order for the two vertices to have degree 3. Therefore,  $X_1, X_2$  are the only graphs with two vertices of degree 3.

Suppose that  $X_1$  is a covering space of  $X_2$  with a covering map  $f: X_1 \to X_2$ . Without loss of generality, f(p) = r, f(q) = s. Consider the path a' in  $X_2$ . Lifting a' to  $X_1$  will result in a path from p to q. This implies that f maps points on the path b into points on a path a'.




FIGURE 5. Problem 14 Idea 2

Now consider the path b' in  $X_2$ . Lifting b' to  $X_1$  will again result in a path from p to q. This implies that f maps points on the path b into points on a path b'.

This implies that every point on the path b must be mapped to r or s. This is a contradiction because f is continuous and  $\{b(t) \mid t \in [0,1]\}$  is connected, but  $\{r,s\}$  is disconnected.

Thus  $X_1$  is not a covering space of  $X_2$ .

Similarly, suppose that  $X_2$  is a covering space of  $X_1$  with a covering map  $g: X_2 \to X_1$ . Without loss of generality, g(r) = p, g(s) = q. This implies  $g^{-1}(p) = \{r\}$ , so the number of sheets is 1. In other words, g is injective. Consider the path a in  $X_1$ . Lifting a to  $X_2$  results into a loop based at r. Since  $a: I \to X_1$  is injective,  $\tilde{a}: I \to X_2$  is injective since  $g \circ \tilde{a} = a$ . Then  $\tilde{a}(t) = s$  for some  $t \in [0, 1]$ , so  $a(t) = g(\tilde{a}(t)) = g(s) = q$ . However, q is not a point on a. This is a contradiction, so  $X_2$  is not a covering space of  $X_1$ .

Hence, there exists no space that has both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  as covering spaces.

**Exercise.** (Problem 14, Chapter 1.3) Find all the connected covering spaces of  $\mathbb{R}P^2 \vee \mathbb{R}P^2$ .

*Proof.* I think Figure 5 is the universal covering of  $\mathbb{P}_2 \wedge \mathbb{P}_2$ , but I'm not certain.