MATH 602 (HOMEWORK 5)

HIDENORI SHINOHARA

Exercise. (1) This can be proved using induction. The base case m = 1 is trivial. Suppose that the proposition has been shown for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show the (m + 1) case. By the definition of a determinant,

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{k+1} \det(M_{k,1})$$

where $M_{k,1}$ is the matrix obtained by deleting the kth row and 1st column. We can apply the inductive hypothesis to each $M_{k,1}$ because, for instance, when k = 1,

$$\det(M_{1,1}) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_2^m \\ & \ddots & & \\ \alpha_{m+1} & \alpha_{m+1}^2 & \cdots & \alpha_{m+1}^m \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{m+1} \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_2^{m-1} \\ & \ddots & & \\ 1 & \alpha_{m+1} & \alpha_{m+1}^2 & \cdots & \alpha_{m+1}^{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{m+1} \prod_{2 \le i < j \le m} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i).$$

A similar argument can be applied to other cases and we obtain

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{k+1} (\alpha_1 \cdots \hat{\alpha_k} \cdots \alpha_m) \prod_{i < j, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i).$$

It can be observed that, for each $k = 1, \dots, m+1$, the kth term $(\alpha_1 \dots \hat{\alpha_k} \dots \alpha_m) \prod_{i < j, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$ does not contain any α_k . On the other hand, for any $l \neq k$, every term that we obtain when expanding the lth term contains α_k . Therefore, it suffices to show that, for each k, the sum of all the terms in $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$ that do not contain α_k is equal to the kth term in the above expression.

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) = \prod_{k+1 \leq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k) \prod_{j \leq k-1} (\alpha_k - \alpha_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$$

$$= (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$$

$$= (-1)^{k-1} (\alpha_1 \cdots \hat{\alpha_k} \cdots \alpha_{m+1}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) + \alpha_k F(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{m+1})$$

$$= (-1)^{k+1} (\alpha_1 \cdots \hat{\alpha_k} \cdots \alpha_{m+1}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) + \alpha_k F(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{m+1})$$

for some polynomial F.

 $\Delta^2 \neq \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$ in general. Let $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 1$. Then $\det(A)^2 = \det\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 = 1$. On the other hand, $\prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) = (0 - 1)(1 - 0) = -1$.

Exercise. (2(b)) Since $L = K[\alpha]$, $1/\alpha = a_n \alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1 \alpha^0$ with $a_n \neq 0$. Thus $0 = a_n \alpha^n + \cdots + a_1 \alpha^1 - 1$. This implies $0 = a_n^n \alpha^n + \cdots + a_n^{n-1} a_1 \alpha^1 - a_n^{n-1}$, so $0 = (a_n \alpha)^n + a_{n-1}(a_n \alpha)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n^{n-2} \alpha_1(a_n \alpha)^1 - a_n^{n-1}$. Therefore, $a_n \alpha$ satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in A, so $a_n \alpha$ is integral over A. Moreover, $\alpha \in K[a_n \alpha]$, so $L = K[a_n \alpha]$.

Exercise. (2(c)) Any $b \in B$ satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in A. $\sigma(b)$ satisfies the same monic polynomial since σ fixes all the coefficients, so $\sigma(b) \in B$.

Exercise. (3) Let x_1, \dots, x_m be generators of C as an A-algebra, and let y_1, \dots, y_n be generators of C as a B-module. Since y_1, \dots, y_n generate C as a B-module, every element in C can be expressed as a linear combination of y_i 's over B. Specifically, $x_i = \sum b_{ij}y_j$ and $y_iy_j = \sum b_{ijk}y_k$ for some $b_{ij}, b_{ijk} \in B$. Let B_0 be the A-algebra generated by b_{ij} and b_{ijk} . Clearly, $A \subset B_0 \subset B$. Since A is Noetherian, B_0 is Noetherian.

Every element of C is a finite sum of monomials consisting of x_i 's with coefficients in A. Since each x_i can be written as a linear combination of y_i 's over B_0 , every element in C can be written as a finite sum of monomials of y_i 's with coefficients in B_0 . Since every y_iy_j can be written as a linear combination of y_i 's over B_0 , every element in C can be written as a linear combination of y_i 's over B_0 . Therefore, C is finitely generated as a B_0 -module. B_0 is Noetherian and B is a submodule of C, B is finitely generated as a B_0 -module. Since B_0 is finitely generated as an A-algebra, it follows that B is finitely generated as an A-algebra.

Exercise. (4) Let K denote the field of fractions of A. Let $a/b \in K$ be an element integral over A. Since A is a UFD, we assume that there is no irreducible element q that divides both a and b. Since a/b is integral over A, $(a/b)^n + c_{n-1}(a/b)^{n-1} + \cdots + c_0 = 0$ for some $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} \in A$. This implies $a^n + b(c_{n-1}a^{n-1} + c_{n-1}ba^{n-2} + \cdots + c_0b^{n-1}) = 0$. Then every irreducible element that divides b divides a^n , so every irreducible element that divides b divides a. Since there exists no irreducible element that divides both a and b, b must be a unit element. In other words, $a/b \in A$.

Exercise. (5) Since R is Noetherian, \sqrt{I} is generated by finitely many elements. Let g_1, \dots, g_n denote a set of generators of \sqrt{I} .

For each i, there exists $m_i \geq 1$ such that $g_i^{m_i} \in I$. Let $N = \sum m_i$. Then $(\sqrt{I})^N = \sqrt{I} \cdots \sqrt{I}$ consists of elements of the form $(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1,i}g_i) \cdots (\sum_{i=1}^n x_{N,i}g_i)$. Each term that we obtain by expanding it is of the from $xg_1^{k_1} \cdots g_n^{k_n}$ for some k_1, \dots, k_n with $k_1 + \dots + k_n = N$. This implies that for at least one $i, m_i \geq k_i$, so each term in the expansion belongs to I. Therefore, every element in $(\sqrt{I})^N$ is in I.

Exercise. (6) Let $ab \in \sqrt{q}$. Then $a^nb^n \in q$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a^n \in q$ or $(b^n)^m \in q$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $a^n \in q$, then $a \in \sqrt{q}$. If $b^{nm} \in q$, then $b \in \sqrt{q}$. Therefore, \sqrt{q} is prime.

Let $f: A \to B$ be given and q be a primary ideal of B. Let $ab \in f^{-1}(q)$. Then $f(a)f(b) \in q$, so $f(a) \in q$ or $(f(b))^m \in q$ for some $m \ge 1$. If $f(a) \in q$, then $a \in f^{-1}(q)$. If $f(b^m) \in q$, then $b^m \in f^{-1}(q)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(q)$ is primary.

Exercise. (7) Since \sqrt{I} is maximal, $I \neq R$.

Let $x+I,y+I\in A/I$ be two nonzero elements such that (x+I)(y+I)=0. In other words, $xy\in I$. Since $I\subset \sqrt{I},\ (x+\sqrt{I})(y+\sqrt{I})=0$. Since \sqrt{I} is maximal, A/\sqrt{I} is a field. Therefore, $x+\sqrt{I}=0$ or $y+\sqrt{I}=0$. In other words, $x\in \sqrt{I}$ or $y\in \sqrt{I}$. If $x\in \sqrt{I}$, then x+I is nilpotent in A+I. Suppose $x\notin \sqrt{I}$. Since \sqrt{I} is maximal, $(x)+\sqrt{I}=(1)$. Therefore, ax+b=1 for some $a\in R$ and $b\in \sqrt{I}$. Since $b\in \sqrt{I}$, $b^n\in I$ for some $n\geq 1$. Therefore $1=((ax+b)+I)^n=(ax+b)^n+I=xc+I$ for some element c since $b^n+I=0$. However, this implies 0=(x+I)(y+I)(c+I)=y+I, which is a contradiction. Therefore, x+I must be nilpotent in A+I. By symmetry, y+I must be nilpotent in A+I.

We have shown that every zero divisor in A/I is nilpotent, which is precisely the definition of a primary ideal.

Exercise. (8) Let $F = \{\operatorname{ann}(x) \mid 0 \neq x \in A\}$. Since A is Noetherian, F has a maximal element. We claim that every maximal element $\operatorname{ann}(x)$ in F is a prime ideal. Let $\operatorname{ann}(x)$ be a maximal element in F. Suppose $ab \in \operatorname{ann}(x)$ and $b \notin \operatorname{ann}(x)$. Since $\operatorname{ann}(x) \subset \operatorname{ann}(bx)$ and $\operatorname{ann}(x)$ is a maximal element, $\operatorname{ann}(x) = \operatorname{ann}(bx)$. Since $ab \in \operatorname{ann}(x)$, abx = 0, so $a \in \operatorname{ann}(bx)$. Therefore, $a \in \operatorname{ann}(x)$.

Let a be a zero divisor of A. Then ay = 0 for some $y \neq 0$ in A/(0) = A. In other words, $a \in \text{ann}(y) \in F$. By the argument above, $a \in \text{ann}(x)$ for some associated prime of (0) containing ann(y). The other direction is trivial from the definition of an associated prime.

Exercise. (9) Let $x \in (q:b)$. Then $xb \in q$. Since $b \notin q$, $x^n \in q$ for some $n \geq 1$. However, this implies $x \in p$. Since $(q:b) \subset p$, $\sqrt{(q:b)} \subset \sqrt{p} = p$. Clearly, $q \subset (q:b)$, so $p = \sqrt{q} \subset \sqrt{(q:b)}$. Therefore, $p = \sqrt{(q:b)}$.

We will now show that $\sqrt{(q:b)}$ is primary. Let x,y be chosen such that $xy \in (q:b)$. If $y^n \in (q:b)$ for some $n \geq 1$, we are done. In other words, if $y \in \sqrt{(q:b)} = p$, then we are done. Suppose otherwise. Then $xyb \in q$, so $(xb)y \in q$. This implies $xb \in q$ because $y \notin \sqrt{q}$. This implies $x \in (q:b)$, and we are done.

Exercise. (10) We will prove that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N = \{m \in M \mid x^n m \in N\} \cap (x^n M + N)$ since the given problem statement does not make much sense. One direction is obvious because for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \subset \{m \in M \mid x^n m \in N\} \cap (x^n M + N)$. We will show the opposite direction. Let $A_n = \{m \in M \mid x^n m \in N\}$ for each n. Then $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \cdots$ is an ascending chain of ideals. R is Noetherian, so there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ after which the chain stabilizes. Let $x^n a + b \in A_n \cap (x^n M + N)$ where $a \in M$ and $b \in N$. Then $x^n (x^n a + b) \in N$. Since $b \in N$, this implies $x^{2n} a \in N$. In other words, $a \in A_{2n}$. Since the chain stabilizes, $A_{2n} = A_n$. Thus $a \in A_n$, thus $x^n a \in N$. Hence, $x^n a + b \in N$.