

MATH 601 (DUE 10/9)

HIDENORI SHINOHARA

CONTENTS

1. Rings of Fractions	1
2. Modules	2
3. The Quadratic Equation	3
4. Factorization in Integral Domains	4

1. RINGS OF FRACTIONS

Exercise. (Problem 3) Let $T \subset R$ be the subset consisting of all non zero divisors.

- Show that T is a multiplicative set.
- Let $s \in T$ and let $S = \{1, s, s^2, s^3, \dots\} \subset T$. Show that the following rings are isomorphic: $S^{-1}R$, the subring $R[1/s] \subset T^{-1}R$, and the quotient ring $R[x]/(sx - 1)$.

Proof.

- – Let $a, b \in T$. Let $c \in R$ be given. If $(ab)c = 0$, then $a(bc) = 0$. Since a is a non zero divisor, $bc = 0$. Since b is a non zero divisor, $c = 0$. Since R is a commutative ring throughout this handout, there is no need to check the case that $c(ab) = 0$. Thus ab is a non zero divisor, so T is closed under multiplication.
– $1 \in T$ since $\forall c \in R, c \cdot 1 = 0 \implies c = 0$.

Therefore, T is indeed a multiplicative set.

I have some idea, but I don't know how to solve this. There are a few mapping properties that we've covered:

- – The universal mapping property of the quotient. (Proposition 6 on Commutative Rings) Given $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I$ and $f : R \rightarrow S$ with some nice properties, there exists $\bar{f} : R/I \rightarrow S$ such that $\bar{f} \circ \pi = f$.
– The mapping property of polynomials. (Proposition 1 on Commutative Rings) Given $\phi_0 : R \rightarrow S$ and $s \in S$, there exists $\phi : R[x] \rightarrow S$.
– The universal property of rings of fractions. (Proposition (iv) of the Ring of Fractions.) Given $i : R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ and $h : R \rightarrow T$ with some nice properties, there exists $\lambda : S^{-1}R \rightarrow T$ such that $h = \lambda \circ i$.

Let π be the canonical map from $R[x]$ into $R[x]/(sx - 1)$. Let $f : R[x] \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ be the homomorphism associated to the inclusion map $R \rightarrow S^{-1}R$ and the element $1/s \in S^{-1}R$. By the mapping property of polynomials, the existence of f is guaranteed.

By the universal property of the quotient, universal mapping property of the ring of fractions, there exist homomorphisms $\bar{f}, \bar{\pi}$, respectively, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R[x] & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R[x]/(sx-1) \\
 & \searrow f & \uparrow \bar{\pi} \downarrow \bar{f} \\
 & & S^{-1}R
 \end{array}$$

Since π and f are both surjective, \bar{f} and $\bar{\pi}$ must be surjective in order for the diagram to commute. Then $\bar{f} \circ \bar{\pi} \circ f = \bar{f} \circ \pi = f$. Since f is surjective, this implies that $\bar{f} \circ \bar{\pi} = \text{Id}_{S^{-1}R}$. Similarly, $\bar{\pi} \circ \bar{f} = \text{Id}_{R[x]/(sx-1)}$. Therefore, $\bar{\pi}$ and \bar{f} are the inverse homomorphism of each other, so they are isomorphisms.

What about $R[1/s]$? Ask classmates. It seems trivial that $R[1/s] = S^{-1}R$ especially we see $R[1/s]$ as a subset of $T^{-1}R$.

□

2. MODULES

Exercise. (Problem 1) For each of the \mathbb{Z} -modules listed in the handout, answer the questions in the handout.

Proof.

- (a) $M = \mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}/86\mathbb{Z}$.
 - (i) M is finitely generated.
 - (ii) M is finitely presented.
 - (iii) 4.
 - (iv) Yes.
 - (v) Yes.
 - (vi) No.
- (b) $M = \prod_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.
 - (i) M is not finitely generated.
 - (ii) M is not finitely presented.
 - (iii) Infinite.
 - (iv) No.
 - (v) No.
 - (vi) Yes.
- (c) $M = \mathbb{Z}[1/p] \subset \mathbb{Q}$.
 - (i) M is not finitely generated.
 - (ii) M is not finitely presented.
 - (iii) 1.
 - (iv) Yes.
 - (v) No.
 - (vi) No.
- (d) $M = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.
 - (i) M is not finitely generated.
 - (ii) M is not finitely presented.
 - (iii) 1.
 - (iv) Yes.
 - (v) No.

(vi) Yes.

□

3. THE QUADRATIC EQUATION

Exercise. (Problem 20) Construct ring isomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2-2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ and $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]/(x^2-2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$.

Proof. Let $i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ be the inclusion and $s = \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. By the mapping property of polynomials, there exists a ring homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ such that $\phi(\sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i) = \sum_{i=0}^n i(r_i) s^i$. In other words, ϕ maps $f(x)$ into $f(\sqrt{2})$. For each $a + b\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, $\phi(a + bx) = a + b\sqrt{2}$, so ϕ is surjective. We claim that $\ker(\phi) = (x^2 - 2)$.

- Since $\sqrt{2}^2 - 2 = 2 - 2 = 0$, $x^2 - 2 \in \ker(\phi)$. Moreover, $(x^2 - 2) \subset \ker(\phi)$.
- Let $f(x) \in \ker(\phi)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a Euclidean domain, $f(x) = q(x)(x^2 - 2) + ax + b$ for some $q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $ax + b = f(x) - q(x)(x^2 - 2)$, $a\sqrt{2} + b = 0$. Since a, b are integers, $a = b = 0$. This implies $f(x) \in (x^2 - 2)$.

Therefore, $\ker(\phi) = (x^2 - 2)$. By the first isomorphism theorem (Theorem 16 on P.97, Dummit and Foote), $\tilde{\phi} : \mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2 - 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ induced by ϕ is an isomorphism.

We will solve the second part using the same approach. We will assume that p is a prime. Consider the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}/(p) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$ and the element $\sqrt{2} + (p) \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}/(p)[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$ be a ring homomorphism associated to the inclusion and element. We will examine how Φ behaves.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi\left(\sum_{i=0}^n (a_i + (p))x^i\right) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i + (p))(\sqrt{2} + (p))^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i + (p))(\sqrt{2}^i + (p)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (a_i \sqrt{2}^i + (p)) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \sqrt{2}^i\right) + (p). \end{aligned}$$

For any $a + b\sqrt{2} + (p) \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$, $\Phi((a + (p)) + (b + (p))x) = a + b\sqrt{2} + (p)$, so Φ is surjective. We claim that $\ker(\Phi) = (x^2 - 2)$. Here, by $x^2 - 2$, we mean $(1 + (p))x^2 - (2 + (p))$.

- Since $\sqrt{2}^2 - 2 = 0$, $(x^2 - 2) \in \ker(\Phi)$.
- Let $f(x) \in \ker(\Phi) \subset \mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]$. Since p is a prime, $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ is a field. Thus $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]$ is a Euclidean domain. Choose $q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]$ and $a + (p), b + (p) \in \mathbb{Z}/(p)$ such that $f(x) = (x^2 - 2)q(x) + ((a + (p))x + (b + (p)))$. Then $0 = \Phi(f(x)) = \Phi(x^2 - 2)\Phi(q(x)) + \Phi((a + (p))x + (b + (p))) = 0 + \Phi((a + (p))x + (b + (p)))$. Thus $\Phi((a + (p))x + (b + (p))) = (a + (p))(\sqrt{2} + (p)) + (b + (p)) = (a\sqrt{2} + b) + (p)$. Therefore, $a\sqrt{2} + b \in (p)$. Since $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, this is possible only if $a = 0$ and $b \in (p)$. In other words, this is possible only if $a + (p) = b + (p) = 0$. Therefore, $f(x) = (x^2 - 2)q(x) \in (x^2 - 2)$.

Therefore, $\ker(\Phi) = (x^2 - 2)$, so the homomorphism $\tilde{\Phi}$ induced by Φ is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]/(x^2 - 2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$ by the first isomorphism theorem. \square

Exercise. (Problem 21) Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be an odd prime. Show that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$ is an integral domain if and only if $(x^2 - 2)$ is an irreducible element of $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]$. Show that this occurs if and only if 2 is not a square in $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$.

Proof. By Problem 20, $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]/(x^2 - 2)$. Thus it suffices to show that $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]/(x^2 - 2)$ is an integral domain if and only if $x^2 - 2$ is an irreducible element of $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]$. By Corollary 4 on P.300 (Dummit and Foote), since $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ is a field, $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]$ is a UFD. By Proposition 12 on P.286, a nonzero element generates a prime ideal if and only if it is irreducible. By Proposition 13 on P.255, $(x^2 - 2)$ is a prime ideal if and only if $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]/(x^2 - 2)$ is an integral domain. Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}/(p)[x]/(x^2 - 2)$ is an integral domain if and only if $x^2 - 2$ is an irreducible element.

We will show that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$ is an integral domain if and only if 2 is not a square in $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$. For any $a + (p) \in \mathbb{Z}/(p)$, $(a + \sqrt{2} + (p))(a - \sqrt{2} + (p)) = (a^2 - 2) + (p)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$. If $(a + (p))^2 = 2 + (p)$ for some $a + (p) \in \mathbb{Z}/(p)$, then $(a + \sqrt{2} + (p))(a - \sqrt{2} + (p)) = (2 - 2) + (p) = 0$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$ is not an integral domain.

Show the other direction.

\square

Exercise. (Problem 22) Use your answers to 21 and 19 to determine for which of the following values of p , $x^2 - 2y^2 = p$ has a solution: $p = 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17$.

Proof. By Problem 19, $x^2 - 2y^2 = p$ has a solution if and only if p is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ is a UFD by Problem 14, by Proposition 12 on P.286, p generates a prime ideal if and only if p is irreducible. By Proposition 13 on P.255, (p) is a prime ideal if and only if $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$ is an integral domain. By Problem 21, 2 is not a square in $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$ if and only if $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]/(p)$ is an integral domain.

Therefore, $x^2 - 2y^2 = p$ has a solution if and only if 2 is not a square in $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$.

- (Modulo 3) $2^2 \equiv 1$.
- (Modulo 5) $2^2 \equiv 4, 3^2 \equiv 4, 4^2 \equiv 1$.
- (Modulo 7) $2^2 \equiv 4, 3^2 \equiv 2$.
- (Modulo 11) $2^2 \equiv 4, 3^2 \equiv 9, 4^2 \equiv 5, 5^2 \equiv 3, 6^2 \equiv 3, 7^2 \equiv 5, 8^2 \equiv 9, 9^2 \equiv 4, 10^2 \equiv 1$.
- (Modulo 13) $2^2 \equiv 4, 3^2 \equiv 9, 4^2 \equiv 3, 5^2 \equiv 12, 6^2 \equiv 10, 7^2 \equiv 10, 8^2 \equiv 12, 9^2 \equiv 3, 10^2 \equiv 9, 11^2 \equiv 4, 12^2 \equiv 1$.
- (Modulo 17) $2^2 \equiv 4, 3^2 \equiv 9, 4^2 \equiv 16, 5^2 \equiv 8, 6^2 \equiv 2$.

Therefore, $x^2 - 2y^2 = p$ has a solution if $p = 7, 17$ and it doesn't if $p = 3, 5, 11, 13$. \square

4. FACTORIZATION IN INTEGRAL DOMAINS

Exercise. (Problem 5)

- Let k be a field and let $a \in k$. Construct a k -algebra isomorphism, $k[x, y]/(x - a) \rightarrow k[y]$. Justify your answer.
- Let $f(x, y) \in k[x, y]$. What is the image of $f(x, y)$ under the above isomorphism?

Proof.

- Let ϕ be defined such that $\phi(f(x, y) + (x - a)) = f(a, y)$.
 - Well-defined? Let $f(x, y) + (x - a) = g(x, y) + (x - a)$. Then $g(x, y) = f(x, y) + h(x, y)(x - a)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(g(x, y) + (x - a)) &= \phi((f(x, y) + h(x, y)(x - a)) + (x - a)) \\
 &= f(a, y) + h(a, y)(a - a) \\
 &= f(a, y) \\
 &= \phi(f(x, y)).
 \end{aligned}$$

- k -algebra homomorphism? Let $c \in k, f, g \in k[x, y]$ be given.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(cf + (x - a)) &= \phi(cf + (x - a)) \\
 &= cf(a, y) \\
 &= c\phi(f + (x - a)). \\
 \phi((f + g) + (x - a)) &= (f + g)(a, y) \\
 &= f(a, y) + g(a, y) \\
 &= \phi(f + (x - a)) + \phi(g + (x - a)). \\
 \phi((fg) + (x - a)) &= (fg)(a, y) \\
 &= f(a, y)g(a, y) \\
 &= \phi(f + (x - a))\phi(g + (x - a)).
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\phi(f(x, y) + (x - a)) = f(a, y)$.

□

Exercise. (Problem 6)

- Give an example of a field k , an element $a \in k$ and a reducible polynomial $f(x, y) \in k[x, y]$ of degree n in y such that $f(a, y) \in k[y]$ is irreducible and has degree n .
- Suppose given a polynomial $f \in k[x, y]$ which when viewed as an element of $k(x)[y]$ has degree n (in y) and content 1. Suppose there is some $a \in k$ such that $f(a, y) \in k[y]$ is irreducible and has degree n . Show that $f(x, y) \in k[x, y]$ is irreducible.
- Give an example of a field k , an element, $a \in k$, and a reducible polynomial $f(x, y) \in k[x, y]$, which when viewed as an element of $k(x)[y]$ has degree n and content 1 such that $f(a, y) \in k[y]$ is irreducible.

Proof.

- Let $k = \mathbb{Q}, a = 1, f(x, y) = xy$. Then the degree of $f(x, y)$ in y is 1. $f(x, y) = xy \in k[x, y]$ is reducible since x and y are not units in $k[x, y]$. However, $f(a, y) = 1y = y$ is irreducible in $k[y]$.
- Choose $f_1, \dots, f_n \in k[x]$ such that $f(x, y) = f_n(x)y^n + \dots + f_1(x)y^1 + f_0(x)$. Then $f(a, y) = f_n(a)y^n + \dots + f_1(a)y^1 + f_0(a)$. Let $h_1(x, y), h_2(x, y) \in k[x]$ be given such that $f(x, y) = h_1(x, y)h_2(x, y)$. Then $f(a, y) = h_1(a, y)h_2(a, y)$. Then $h_1(a, y)$ or $h_2(a, y)$ is a unit in $k[y]$ since $f(a, y)$ is irreducible in $k[y]$. Without loss of generality, we will assume $h_1(a, y)$ is a unit in $k[y]$.

It is given that $\deg_y(f(a, y))$, the degree of $f(a, y)$ in y , is n . Thus $\deg_y(h_1(a, y)) + \deg_y(h_2(a, y)) = n$. Since $\deg_y(h_1(a, y)) = 0$, $\deg_y(h_2(a, y)) = n$. Therefore, $\deg_y(h_2(x, y)) \geq n$.

On the other hand, $\deg_y(f(x, y)) = \deg_y(h_1(x, y)) + \deg_y(h_2(x, y))$, so $\deg_y(h_2(x, y)) \leq n$. Thus $\deg_y(h_2(x, y)) = n$. Let $g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x) \in k[x]$ such that $h_2(x, y) = g_n(x)y^n + \dots + g_1(x)y^1 + g_0(x)$. Then $f(x, y) = h_1(x, y)h_2(x, y) = (h_1(x, y)g_n(x))y^n + \dots + (h_1(x, y)g_1(x))y^1 + h_1(x, y)g_0(x)$.

Since $\deg_y(h_2(x, y)) = n$, $\deg_y(h_1(x, y)) = 0$. Thus, $h_1(x, y) \in k[x]$, so $h_1(x, y)g_i(x) \in k[x]$ for each i . Therefore, $h_1(x, y)g_i(x) = f_i(x)$ for each i .

Let $p \in k[x]$ be an irreducible. If $p \mid h_1(x, y)$, then $p \mid f_i(x) = h_1(x, y)g_i(x)$ for each i , so $\text{ord}_p(f_i) \geq 1$ for each i . Therefore, $\text{ord}_p(f(x, y)) \geq 1$, and thus $p \mid \text{cont}(f(x, y))$. However, since $\text{cont}(f(x, y)) = 1$, $p \nmid h_1(x, y)$. Thus $h_1(x, y)$ is a unit in $k[x]$ since it cannot be divided by any irreducibles. Since $h_1(x, y)$ is a unit in $k[x]$ and $k[y]$, it must consist only of a constant term, which is a unit in k . Hence, $h_1(x, y)$ is a unit in $k[x, y]$.

We have shown that for any $h_1(x, y), h_2(x, y) \in k[x, y]$, $h_1h_2 = f$ implies one of h_1 or h_2 is a unit. Therefore, $f(x, y)$ is an irreducible in $k[x, y]$.

- Let $k = \mathbb{Q}, a = 1, f(x, y) = (x - 1)y^2 + y$. Then $f(x, y)$, which when viewed as an element of $k(x)[y]$ has degree 1.

- The coefficient of y is 1, and $\text{ord}_p(1) = 0$ for any p because $1 \in k[x]^*$.
- The coefficient of y^2 , when $f(x, y)$ is viewed as an element of $k(x)[y]$ is $x - 1$.

Thus for any irreducible element $p \in k[x]$, $\text{ord}_p(x - 1) \geq 0$.

Therefore, $\text{ord}_p(f(x, y)) = 0$ for any irreducible element $p \in k[x]$. Thus $\text{cont}(f(x, y)) = 1$.

$f(a, y) = y \in k[y]$. This is irreducible because if $f_1f_2 = y$ for some $f_1, f_2 \in k[y]$, then $\deg(f_1) + \deg(f_2) = 1$ implies that one of f_1 or f_2 is a unit in k .

□