## MATH 612(HOMEWORK 4)

## HIDENORI SHINOHARA

Exercise. (8) By using cellular cohomology, we obtain

$$H^{i}(X; \mathbb{Z}) = H^{i}(Y; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & (i = 0, 4), \\ \mathbb{Z}_{p} & (i = 3), \end{cases}$$
$$H^{i}(X; \mathbb{Z}_{p}) = H^{i}(Y; \mathbb{Z}_{p}) = \{ \mathbb{Z}_{p} & (i = 0, 2, 3, 4), \}$$

Therefore, we cannot distinguish X from Y by looking at the cohomology groups. When using the coefficient  $\mathbb{Z}$ , cup products are simply 0 because nontrivial cohomology groups are of order 3 and 4. Thus we cannot distinguish X from Y by looking at the cohomology rings of X and Y. Since  $H^i(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p) = H^i(S^4; \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus H^i(M(\mathbb{Z}_p, 2); \mathbb{Z}_p)$  and the cup product of elements from different "components" in a wedge sum is 0, cup products in  $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  are all 0. On the other hand, the cup product  $\alpha \smile \alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is a generator of  $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^2; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  is nontrivial because  $\alpha \smile \alpha$  is a generator of  $H^4(\mathbb{C}P^2; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

**Exercise.** (5) Consider the canonical map  $\mathbb{Z}_{2k} \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ . It induces homomorphisms  $\phi: H^i(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_2) \to H^i(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_{2k})$ . By cellular cohomology,  $H^0(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_{2k}) = \mathbb{Z}_{2k}$  and  $H^i(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_{2k}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $\alpha$  denote a generator of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_{2k})$ . Then  $\phi(\alpha)$  must be a generator of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  because  $\phi$  is induced by the map  $\overline{1} \mapsto \overline{1}$ . Moreover,  $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_{2k}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ , so we obtain the relation  $2\alpha$ .

Let  $\beta$  be a generator of  $H^2(\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}; \mathbb{Z}_{2k})$ . Since  $H^2(\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}; \mathbb{Z}_{2k}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ , we obtain the relation  $2\beta$ .  $H^2(\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}; \mathbb{Z}_{2k}) = \{\overline{0}, \overline{k}\}$ , and  $\beta$  corresponds to  $\overline{k}$ .

- If k is even,  $\phi$  sends  $\beta$  which represents the coset  $\overline{k}$  to 0 in  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , so  $\phi(\beta) = 0$ .

  Wait, isn't this kinda weird?  $\phi(\alpha^2) = \phi(\alpha)^2 = \gamma^2 \neq 0$ , so  $\phi$  cannot be the zero map.
- If k is odd,  $\phi$  sends  $\overline{k}$  to 1, so  $\phi$  is an isomorphism between  $H^2(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_{2k}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $H^2(\mathbb{R}P^\infty; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then  $\phi(\alpha^2) = \phi(\alpha)^2 = \gamma^2$  and  $\phi(\beta) = \gamma^2$ , so  $\alpha^2 = \beta$ . Since  $2\beta = 0$ ,  $\alpha^2 = \beta$  implies  $\alpha^2 k\beta = 0$ .

**Exercise.** (10) Let  $X = Y = \mathbb{Z}$  with the discrete topology. Then the only nontrivial cohomology groups are  $H^0(X;\mathbb{Z}) = H^0(Y;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore, it suffices to check the cross product map  $H^0(X;\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^0(Y;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^0(X \times Y;\mathbb{Z})$ . Every element in  $H^0(\mathbb{Z};\mathbb{Z})$  simply represents a map  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ . Then for each  $f \in H^0(X;\mathbb{Z}), g \in H^0(Y;\mathbb{Z}), f \times g : (a,b) \mapsto f(a)g(b)$ . We claim that this is not surjective.

Let  $\delta$  be the map such that  $\delta(i,j) = \delta_{i,j}$ . Then clearly,  $\delta \in H^0(X \times Y; \mathbb{Z})$ . Suppose that there exists  $\sum_{i=1}^n a^i \otimes b^i$  that gets mapped to  $\delta$ . Let  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  (with subscripts instead of superscripts) denote the vectors  $a_i = \langle a^1(i), \dots, a^n(i) \rangle$ ,  $b_i = \langle b^1(i), \dots, b^n(i) \rangle$ . Then for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the inner product  $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ . We claim that the set  $\{a_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is linearly

independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For simplicity, let  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{R}$  be given such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i a_i = 0$ . (In general, indices could be taken over any finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .) This implies  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i \delta_{i,j} = 0$  by taking the inner product with  $b_j$  for each j. Therefore, we obtain a linearly independent set of infinitely many vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is clearly impossible, so the cross product map cannot be surjective.