

## MATH 601 HOMEWORK (DUE 9/11)

HIDENORI SHINOHARA

**Exercise.** (1) Show that  $2 \times 2$  matrices give a functor,  $M_2$ , from the category of rings to itself,  $R \mapsto M_2(R)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R, R'$  be rings and  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(R, R')$ . Let  $M_2(\phi) : M_2(R) \rightarrow M_2(R')$  be defined such that

$$(M_2(\phi)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \phi(a) & \phi(b) \\ \phi(c) & \phi(d) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We claim that  $M_2$  is indeed a functor.

- Claim 1: For any  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(R, R')$ ,  $M_2(\phi) \in \text{Hom}(M_2(R), M_2(R'))$ .  
In other words, we want to show that  $M_2(\phi)$  is a ring homomorphism for any  $\phi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (M_2(\phi)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} \right) &= (M_2(\phi)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a+e & b+f \\ c+g & d+h \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi(a+e) & \phi(b+f) \\ \phi(c+g) & \phi(d+h) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi(a) + \phi(e) & \phi(b) + \phi(f) \\ \phi(c) + \phi(g) & \phi(d) + \phi(h) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi(a) & \phi(b) \\ \phi(c) & \phi(d) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \phi(e) & \phi(f) \\ \phi(g) & \phi(h) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (M_2(\phi)) \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} + (M_2(\phi)) \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (M_2(\phi)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= (M_2(\phi)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} ae + bg & af + bh \\ ce + dg & cf + dh \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \phi(ae + bg) & \phi(af + bh) \\ \phi(ce + dg) & \phi(cf + dh) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \phi(a)\phi(e) + \phi(b)\phi(g) & \phi(a)\phi(f) + \phi(b)\phi(h) \\ \phi(c)\phi(e) + \phi(d)\phi(g) & \phi(c)\phi(f) + \phi(d)\phi(h) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \phi(a) & \phi(b) \\ \phi(c) & \phi(d) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi(e) & \phi(f) \\ \phi(g) & \phi(h) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (M_2(\phi)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) (M_2(\phi)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $M_2(\phi)$  is indeed a ring homomorphism.

- For any ring  $R$  and the identity function  $\text{Id}_R$ ,  $M_2(\text{Id}_R)$  is the identity map on  $M_2(R)$  because it maps each element in a given matrix to itself.
- Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ ,  $g \in \text{Hom}(B, C)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
(M_2(f \circ g)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \begin{bmatrix} (f \circ g)(a) & (f \circ g)(b) \\ (f \circ g)(c) & (f \circ g)(d) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} f(g(a)) & f(g(b)) \\ f(g(c)) & f(g(d)) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= M_2(f) \left( \begin{bmatrix} g(a) & g(b) \\ g(c) & g(d) \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= M_2(f) \left( M_2(g) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) \\
&= (M_2(f) \circ M_2(g)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $M_2$  is indeed a functor.  $\square$

**Exercise.** (Problem 8 from More exercises) Consider the subgroup,  $D_5 = \langle (12345), (14)(23) \rangle \subset S_5$ .

- (1) Set  $a = (12345)$  and compute  $a^{-1}$ .
- (2) Set  $b = (14)(23)$  and compute  $aba^{-1}$ .
- (3) Show that every element in  $D_5$  may be written in the form  $a^i b^j$  for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (4) Compute  $|D_5|$ .

*Proof.*

- (1)  $a$  sends 1 to 2, 2 to 3,  $\dots$ . We want  $a^{-1}$  to do the opposite. Thus  $a^{-1} = (15432)$ . Since  $(12345)(15432) = (15432)(12345) = (1)$ ,  $(15432)$  is indeed  $a^{-1}$ .
- (2)  $aba^{-1} = (a(1)a(4))(a(2)a(3)) = (25)(34)$ .
- (3)  $ba = (14)(23)(12345) = (13)(45)$ , and  $a^{-1}b = (15432)(14)(23) = (13)(45)$ . Therefore,  $ba = a^{-1}b$ . We claim that  $ba^n = a^{-n}b$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $ba^n = a^{-n}b$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $ba^{n+1} = (ba^n)a = (a^{-n}b)a = a^{-n}(ba) = a^{-n}a^{-1}b = a^{-(n+1)}b$ . By mathematical induction,  $ba^n = a^{-n}b$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $ba^n = a^{-n}b$ , so  $a^nba^n = b$ , and thus  $a^nb = ba^{-n}$ . Therefore, we have  $ba^k = a^{-k}b$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We claim that for any  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b^ja^i$  can be written in the desired form. Since  $b^2 = e$ , we consider two cases based on the parity of  $j$ . If  $j$  is even, then  $b^j = e$ , so  $b^ja^i = a^i$ . If  $j$  is odd, then  $b^j = b$ , so  $b^ja^i = ba^i = a^{-i}b$  as shown above.

We will prove the general case. By the argument above, it suffices to show that every element in  $D_5$  can be represented as a word of length  $\leq 2$ . Let  $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_k^{i_k} \in D_5$  be given where  $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and each  $x_i$  is either  $a$  or  $b$ . Since  $D_5$  is generated by  $a, b$ , every element can be represented in this form. We will show that every element in  $D_5$  can be represented as a word of length  $\leq 2$  by using strong induction. If  $k \leq 2$ , then we are done. Suppose that we can represent every element in  $D_5$  of length  $\leq k$  as a word of length  $\leq 2$  for some  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $x = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}} \in D_5$ . If  $x_1 = x_2$ , then  $x = x_2^{i_1+i_2} x_3^{i_3} \cdots x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}}$ , so by the inductive hypothesis, this can be represented as a word of length  $\leq 2$ . If  $x_2 = x_3$ , then  $x = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2+i_3} x_4^{i_4} \cdots x_{k+1}^{i_{k+1}}$ , so by the inductive hypothesis, this can be represented as a word of length  $\leq 2$ . Suppose  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $x_2 \neq x_3$ . Then there are two cases:

- Case 1:  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (a, b, a)$ . By the argument above,  $b^{i_2}a^{i_3}$  can be represented as  $a^ib^j$  for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $a^{i_1}(b^{i_2}a^{i_3}) = a^{i_1}(a^ib^j) = a^{i_1+i}b^j$ , so  $x$  can be represented as a word of length  $k$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $x$  can be represented as a word of length  $\leq 2$ .
- Case 2:  $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (b, a, b)$ . By the argument above,  $b^{i_1}a^{i_2}$  can be represented as  $a^ib^j$  for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $(b^{i_1}a^{i_2})b^{i_3} = (a^ib^j)b^{i_3} = a^ib^{j+i_3}$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $x$  can be represented as a word of length  $\leq 2$ .

(4) TODO

□