MATH 620 HOMEWORK DUE 9/5

HIDENORI SHINOHARA

Exercise 0.1. Prove that $\delta: V \times \cdots \times V \to \mathbb{F}$ is independent of choice of basis $\{e_i\} \subset V$ up to non-zero scalar.

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}$, $\{f_i\}$ be two bases of V. Let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ be given. We must show if $\delta(v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0$ with both of the bases, or nonzero with both of the bases. Suppose that $\delta(v_1, \dots, v_n) \neq 0$ with one of the bases, and it is 0 with the other basis. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\{e_i\}$ gives a nonzero value. Let $n \times n$ matrices $(v_j^i), (w_j^i)$ be given such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^1 & \cdots & v_1^n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^n & \cdots & v_n^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} w_1^1 & \cdots & w_1^n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_1^n & \cdots & w_n^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\delta(v_1, \dots, v_n) \neq 0$ with $\{e_i\}$, $\det(v_i^j) \neq 0$. Therefore, the matrix (v_i^j) is invertible.

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^1 & \cdots & v_1^n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_1^n & \cdots & v_n^n \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} w_1^1 & \cdots & w_1^n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_1^n & \cdots & w_n^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let A denote the product of the two matrices. Then $\det(A) = \det((v_i^j)^{-1}(w_i^j)) = \det(v_i^j)^{-1} \det(w_i^j) = 0$. This implies that the row space of A has a dimension less than n. Therefore, $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ cannot span V whose dimension is n.

This is a contradiction, so δ is independent of choice of basis up to nonzero scaling.

Exercise 0.2. Show that $\{e^{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_k \leq n\}$ is a basis of $T^k(V^*)$. Find dim $T^k(V^*)$.

Proof.

• Linearly independent? Suppose $\sum c_{i_1,\dots,i_k}e^{i_1}\otimes\dots\otimes e^{i_k}=0$. Let $1 \leq j_1, \cdots, j_k \leq n$ be given.

$$(\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k} c_{i_1,\dots,i_k} e^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{i_k})(e_{j_1},\dots,e_{j_k}) = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k} c_{i_1,\dots,i_k} (e^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e^{i_k})(e_{j_1},\dots,e_{j_k}) = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k} c_{i_1,\dots,i_k} e^{i_1}(e_{j_1}) \dots e^{i_k}(e_{j_k}) = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow c_{j_1,\dots,j_k} e^{j_1}(e_{j_1}) \dots e^{j_k}(e_{j_k}) = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow c_{j_1,\dots,j_k} = 0.$$

Therefore, each $c_{i_1,\dots,i_k}=0$. • Span? Let $f\in T^k(V^*)$. We claim that $f=\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_k}f(e_{i_1},\dots,e_{i_k})e^{i_1}\otimes \cdots$ $\cdots \otimes e^{i_k}$. Let $v_1, \cdots, v_k \in V$ be given. Since $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ is a

basis of V, so each v_i can be represented as $v_i = \sum_j c_i^j e_j$.

$$\begin{split} &(\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k}}f(e_{i_{1}},\cdots,e_{i_{k}})e^{i_{1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes e^{i_{k}})(v_{1},\cdots,v_{k})\\ &=(\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k}}f(e_{i_{1}},\cdots,e_{i_{k}})e^{i_{1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes e^{i_{k}})(c_{1}^{j}e_{j},\cdots,c_{k}^{j}e_{j})\\ &=\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k}}f(e_{i_{1}},\cdots,e_{i_{k}})[(e^{i_{1}}\otimes\cdots\otimes e^{i_{k}})(c_{1}^{j}e_{j},\cdots,c_{k}^{j}e_{j})]\\ &=\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k}}f(e_{i_{1}},\cdots,e_{i_{k}})[(c_{1}^{j}e^{i_{1}}(e_{j}))\cdots(c_{k}^{j}e^{i_{k}}(e_{j}))]\\ &=\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k}}f(e_{i_{1}},\cdots,e_{i_{k}})[(c_{1}^{i_{1}}e^{i_{1}}(e_{i_{1}}))\cdots(c_{k}^{i_{k}}e^{i_{k}}(e_{i_{k}}))]\\ &=\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k}}f(e^{i_{1}},\cdots,e^{i_{k}})c^{i_{1}}\cdots c^{i_{k}}\\ &=\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k-1}}f(c^{i_{1}}e_{i_{1}},\cdots,c^{i_{k}}e_{i_{k}})\\ &=\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k-1}}f(c^{i_{1}}e_{i_{1}},\cdots,c^{i_{k-1}}e_{i_{k-1}},\sum_{i_{k}}c^{i_{k}}e_{i_{k}}))\\ &=\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{k-1}}f(c^{i_{1}}e_{i_{1}},\cdots,c^{i_{k-1}}e_{i_{k-1}},v_{k})\\ &\vdots\\ &=f(v_{1},\cdots,v_{k}). \end{split}$$

The dimension is n^k because each i_j can be any integer between 1 and n.

Exercise 0.3. Let $w \in \wedge^2 V^*$.

- Show that there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of V with a dual basis $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ of V^* such that $w = e^1 \wedge e^2 + \dots + e^{2m-1} \wedge e^{2m}$ for some $m \leq n/2$.
- $w^l = w \wedge \cdots \wedge w \neq 0$ if and only if $l \leq m$.

Proof. Let $V_1 = V$. We will pick vectors inductively.

Suppose that we have V_i for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\forall v, v' \in V_i, w(v, v') = 0$, then we are done. Suppose otherwise. Then there must exist $v, v' \in V_i$ such that w(v, v') = 1. Let $e_{2i-1} = v, e_{2i} = v'$. Let $V_{i+1} = \{v \in V \mid w(v, e_{2i-1}) = w(v, e_{2i}) = 0\}$. We will repeat this process with the V_{i+1} .

For each i, we claim that $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2i}\}$ is linearly independent. (To-Do)

Since V is an n-dimensional vector space, this process will terminate. If not, it would imply the existence of a linearly independent set with more than n vectors. Since the set of all the vectors we found is linearly independent, it can be extended to form a basis of V.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis that we obtain by extending the linearly independent set of vectors we found. Let m be chosen such that 2m is the number of vectors we found. Let $\{e^1, \dots e^n\}$ denote the dual basis of $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. By Proposition 4.1., we know the existence of such a basis and that the dimension of such a basis is n. We claim that $w = e^1 \wedge e^2 + \dots + e^{2m-1} \wedge e^{2m}$.

Because w and $e^1 \wedge e^2 + \cdots + e^{2m-1} \wedge e^{2m}$ are bilinear, it suffices to identify what (e_i, e_j) gets mapped to for each i, j. Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ be given.

• Case 1: The pair (i, j) equals (2l-1, 2l) for some $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then $w(e_{2l-1}, e_{2l}) = 1$ because that is how we found e_{2l-1}, e_{2l} . On the other hand,

$$(e^{1} \wedge e^{2} + \dots + e^{2m-1} \wedge e^{2m})(e_{2l-1}, e_{2l})$$

$$= (e^{1} \wedge e^{2})(e_{2l-1}, e_{2l}) + \dots + (e^{2m-1} \wedge e^{2m})(e_{2l-1}, e_{2l})$$

$$= 1.$$

- Case 2: The pair (i, j) equals (2l, 2l-1) for some $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Since w and $e^1 \wedge e^2 + \dots + e^{2m-1} \wedge e^{2m}$ are both alternating, $w(e_i, e_j) = -w(e_j, e_i)$ and $(e^1 \wedge e^2 + \dots + e^{2m-1} \wedge e^{2m})(e_i, e_j) = -(e^1 \wedge e^2 + \dots + e^{2m-1} \wedge e^{2m})(e_j, e_i)$. Then, by Case 1, they both result in -1.
- Case 3: Any other cases.

Therefore,
$$w = e^1 \wedge e^2 + \dots + e^{2m-1} \wedge e^{2m}$$
.

Exercise 0.4.
$$\omega \wedge \tau = (-1)^{kl} \tau \wedge \omega$$

Proof.

$$\omega \wedge \tau = (e^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{i_k}) \wedge (e^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{j_k})$$

$$= e^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{i_k} \wedge e^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{j_k}$$

$$= (-1)e^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{i_{k-1}} \wedge e^{j_1} \wedge e^{i_k} \wedge e^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{j_k}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= (-1)^k e^{j_1} \wedge e^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{i_k} \wedge e^{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{j_k}$$

$$= (-1)^{2k} e^{j_1} \wedge e^{j_2} \wedge e^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{i_k} \wedge e^{j_3} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{j_k}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= (-1)^{kl} e^{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{j_k} \wedge e^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{j_k}$$

$$= (-1)^{kl} \tau \wedge \wedge.$$

Exercise 0.5. Prove that $\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\}$ is a basis of $T_p \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof.

- Linearly independent? Let $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Suppose $c_1\partial_1 + \dots + c_n\partial_n = 0$. Then $\forall i, 0 = (c_1\partial_1 + \dots + c_n\partial_n)(x^i) = c_i\partial_i(x^i) = c_i$. Therefore, $c_i = 0$ for each i.
- Span? Let $\lambda \in T_p \mathbb{R}^n$ be given. We claim that $\lambda = \sum \lambda(x^i) \partial_i$. Let $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}$. Then $f(x) = f(p) + \sum_i \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(p)(x^i - p^i) + g^i(x)(x^i - p^i) \right]$

 p^{i}]) for some smooth functions g^{i} by Taylor's formula with remainder. For each i, $g_{i}(p) = 0$.

$$\begin{split} \lambda(f) &= \lambda(f(p)) + \lambda(\sum_{i} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}(p)(x^{i} - p^{i}) + g^{i}(x)(x^{i} - p^{i})\right]) \\ &= \lambda(\sum_{i} \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}(p)(x^{i} - p^{i}) + g^{i}(x)(x^{i} - p^{i})\right]) \\ &= \sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}(p)\lambda(x^{i} - p^{i}) + \sum_{i} \lambda(g^{i}(x)(x^{i} - p^{i})) \\ &= \sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}(p)\lambda(x^{i} - p^{i}) + \sum_{i} [\lambda(g^{i}(x))(p^{i} - p^{i}) + \lambda(x^{i} - p^{i})g^{i}(p)] \\ &= \sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}(p)\lambda(x^{i} - p^{i}) + \sum_{i} \lambda(x^{i} - p^{i})g^{i}(p) \\ &= \sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}(p)\lambda(x^{i} - p^{i}) \\ &= \sum_{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}(p)\lambda(x^{i}) \\ &= \sum_{i} \partial_{i}(f)\lambda(x^{i}) \\ &= \sum_{i} \lambda(x^{i})\partial_{i}(f) \\ &= (\sum_{i} \lambda(x^{i})\partial_{i})(f) \end{split}$$

Exercise 0.6. Show that $\{dx^1, \dots, dx^n\}$ is a basis of $T_p^* \mathbb{R}^n$ that is dual to $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\}_{j=1}^n \subset T_p \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof.

• Dual? Let $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. $dx^i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}x^i$. The partial derivative of x^i with respect to x^j is 1 if i = j and 0 otherwise. Thus $dx^i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) = \delta^i_j$.

• Linearly independent? Let $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Suppose that $c_1 dx^1 + \dots + c_n dx^n = 0$. For any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$(c_1 dx^1 + \dots + c_n dx^n)(\partial_i) = 0 \implies c_1 (dx^1(\partial_i)) + \dots + c_n (dx^n(\partial_i)) = 0$$
$$\implies c_1(\partial_i (x^1)) + \dots + c_n(\partial_i (x^n)) = 0$$
$$\implies c_i \partial_i (x^i) = 0$$
$$\implies c_i = 0.$$

Therefore, $c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 0$. Therefore, $\{dx^1, \cdots, dx^n\}$ is indeed linearly independent.

• Span? Let $f \in T_p^* \mathbb{R}^n$ be given. We claim that $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\partial_i) dx^i$. Let $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \partial_i \in T_p \mathbb{R}^n$ be given where c_i 's are in \mathbb{R} . (It makes sense to assume that every element in $T_p \mathbb{R}^n$ is in this form because we showed earlier that $\{\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n\}$ is a basis of $T_p \mathbb{R}^n$.)

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\partial_{i}) dx^{i})(\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \partial_{j}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[f(\partial_{i}) dx^{i} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \partial_{j}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\partial_{i}) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} dx^{i} (\partial_{j}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\partial_{i}) \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} \partial_{j} (x^{i}) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\partial_{i}) c_{i}$$

$$= f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \partial_{i}).$$