

MATH 602 (HOMEWORK 5)

HIDENORI SHINOHARA

Exercise. (1) This can be proved using induction. The base case $m = 1$ is trivial. Suppose that the proposition has been shown for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show the $(m + 1)$ case. By the definition of a determinant,

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{k+1} \det(M_{k,1})$$

where $M_{k,1}$ is the matrix obtained by deleting the k th row and 1st column. We can apply the inductive hypothesis to each $M_{k,1}$ because, for instance, when $k = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M_{1,1}) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_2^m \\ & \ddots & & \\ \alpha_{m+1} & \alpha_{m+1}^2 & \cdots & \alpha_{m+1}^m \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{m+1} \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 & \cdots & \alpha_2^{m-1} \\ & \ddots & & & \\ 1 & \alpha_{m+1} & \alpha_{m+1}^2 & \cdots & \alpha_{m+1}^{m-1} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_{m+1} \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq m} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument can be applied to other cases and we obtain

$$\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{k+1} (\alpha_1 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_k \cdots \alpha_m) \prod_{i < j, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i).$$

It can be observed that, for each $k = 1, \dots, m+1$, the k th term $(\alpha_1 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_k \cdots \alpha_m) \prod_{i < j, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$ does not contain any α_k . On the other hand, for any $l \neq k$, every term that we obtain when expanding the l th term contains α_k . Therefore, it suffices to show that, for each k , the sum of all the terms in $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$ that do not contain α_k is equal to the k th term in the above expression.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) &= \prod_{k+1 \leq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k) \prod_{j \leq k-1} (\alpha_k - \alpha_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} \prod_{j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) \\ &= (-1)^{k-1} (\alpha_1 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_k \cdots \alpha_{m+1}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) + \alpha_k F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1}) \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} (\alpha_1 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_k \cdots \alpha_{m+1}) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1, i \neq k, j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) + \alpha_k F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomial F .

$\Delta^2 \neq \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$ in general. Let $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 1$. Then $\det(A)^2 = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^2 = 1$. On the other hand, $\prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) = (0 - 1)(1 - 0) = -1$.

Exercise. (3) Let x_1, \dots, x_m be generators of C as an A -algebra, and let y_1, \dots, y_n be generators of C as a B -module. Since y_1, \dots, y_n generate C as a B -module, every element in C can be expressed as a linear combination of y_i 's over B . Specifically, $x_i = \sum b_{ij} y_j$ and $y_i y_j = \sum b_{ijk} y_k$ for some $b_{ij}, b_{ijk} \in B$. Let B_0 be the A -algebra generated by b_{ij} and b_{ijk} . Clearly, $A \subset B_0 \subset B$. Since A is Noetherian, B_0 is Noetherian.

Every element of C is a finite sum of monomials consisting of x_i 's with coefficients in A . Since each x_i can be written as a linear combination of y_i 's over B_0 , every element in C can be written as a finite sum of monomials of y_i 's with coefficients in B_0 . Since every $y_i y_j$ can be written as a linear combination of y_i 's over B_0 , every element in C can be written as a linear combination of y_i 's over B_0 . Therefore, C is finitely generated as a B_0 -module. B_0 is Noetherian and B is a submodule of C , B is finitely generated as a B_0 -module. Since B_0 is finitely generated as an A -algebra, it follows that B is finitely generated as an A -algebra.

Exercise. (4) Let K denote the field of fractions of A . Let $a/b \in K$ be an element integral over A . Since A is a UFD, we assume that there is no irreducible element q that divides both a and b . Since a/b is integral over A , $(a/b)^n + c_{n-1}(a/b)^{n-1} + \dots + c_0 = 0$ for some $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} \in A$. This implies $a^n + b(c_{n-1}a^{n-1} + c_{n-1}ba^{n-2} + \dots + c_0b^{n-1}) = 0$. Then every irreducible element that divides b divides a^n , so every irreducible element that divides b divides a . Since there exists no irreducible element that divides both a and b , b must be a unit element. In other words, $a/b \in A$.

Exercise. (5) Since R is Noetherian, \sqrt{I} is generated by finitely many elements. Let g_1, \dots, g_n denote a set of generators of \sqrt{I} .

For each i , there exists $m_i \geq 1$ such that $g_i^{m_i} \in I$. Let $N = \sum m_i$. Then $(\sqrt{I})^N = \sqrt{I} \cdots \sqrt{I}$ consists of elements of the form $(\sum_{i=1}^n x_{1,i} g_i) \cdots (\sum_{i=1}^n x_{N,i} g_i)$. Each term that we obtain by expanding it is of the form $x g_1^{k_1} \cdots g_n^{k_n}$ for some k_1, \dots, k_n with $k_1 + \dots + k_n = N$. This implies that for at least one i , $m_i \geq k_i$, so each term in the expansion belongs to I . Therefore, every element in $(\sqrt{I})^N$ is in I .

Exercise. (6) Let $ab \in \sqrt{q}$. Then $a^n b^n \in q$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a^n \in q$ or $(b^n)^m \in q$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If $a^n \in q$, then $a \in \sqrt{q}$. If $b^{nm} \in q$, then $b \in \sqrt{q}$. Therefore, \sqrt{q} is prime.

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be given and q be a primary ideal of B . Let $ab \in f^{-1}(q)$. Then $f(a)f(b) \in q$, so $f(a) \in q$ or $(f(b))^m \in q$ for some $m \geq 1$. If $f(a) \in q$, then $a \in f^{-1}(q)$. If $f(b^m) \in q$, then $b^m \in f^{-1}(q)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(q)$ is primary.

Exercise. (7) Since \sqrt{I} is maximal, $I \neq R$.

Let $x + I, y + I \in A/I$ be two nonzero elements such that $(x + I)(y + I) = 0$. In other words, $xy \in I$. Since $I \subset \sqrt{I}$, $(x + \sqrt{I})(y + \sqrt{I}) = 0$. Since \sqrt{I} is maximal, A/\sqrt{I} is a field. Therefore, $x + \sqrt{I} = 0$ or $y + \sqrt{I} = 0$. In other words, $x \in \sqrt{I}$ or $y \in \sqrt{I}$. If $x \in \sqrt{I}$, then $x + I$ is nilpotent in A/I . Suppose $x \notin \sqrt{I}$. Since \sqrt{I} is maximal, $(x) + \sqrt{I} = (1)$. Therefore, $ax + b = 1$ for some $a \in R$ and $b \in \sqrt{I}$. Since $b \in \sqrt{I}$, $b^n \in I$ for some $n \geq 1$. Therefore $1 = ((ax + b) + I)^n = (ax + b)^n + I = xc + I$ for some element c since $b^n + I = 0$.

However, this implies $0 = (x + I)(y + I)(c + I) = y + I$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $x + I$ must be nilpotent in $A + I$. By symmetry, $y + I$ must be nilpotent in $A + I$.

We have shown that every zero divisor in A/I is nilpotent, which is precisely the definition of a primary ideal.

Exercise. (8) Let $F = \{\text{ann}(x) \mid 0 \neq x \in A\}$. Since A is Noetherian, F has a maximal element. We claim that every maximal element $\text{ann}(x)$ in F is a prime ideal. Let $\text{ann}(x)$ be a maximal element in F . Suppose $ab \in \text{ann}(x)$ and $b \notin \text{ann}(x)$. Since $\text{ann}(x) \subset \text{ann}(bx)$ and $\text{ann}(x)$ is a maximal element, $\text{ann}(x) = \text{ann}(bx)$. Since $ab \in \text{ann}(x)$, $abx = 0$, so $a \in \text{ann}(bx)$. Therefore, $a \in \text{ann}(x)$.

Let a be a zero divisor of A . Then $ay = 0$ for some $y \neq 0$ in $A/(0) = A$. In other words, $a \in \text{ann}(y) \in F$. By the argument above, $a \in \text{ann}(x)$ for some associated prime of (0) containing $\text{ann}(y)$. The other direction is trivial from the definition of an associated prime.

Exercise. (9) Let $x \in (q : b)$. Then $xb \in q$. Since $b \notin q$, $x^n \in q$ for some $n \geq 1$. However, this implies $x \in p$. Since $(q : b) \subset p$, $\sqrt{(q : b)} \subset \sqrt{p} = p$. Clearly, $q \subset (q : b)$, so $p = \sqrt{q} \subset \sqrt{(q : b)}$. Therefore, $p = \sqrt{(q : b)}$.

We will now show that $\sqrt{(q : b)}$ is primary. Let x, y be chosen such that $xy \in (q : b)$. If $y^n \in (q : b)$ for some $n \geq 1$, we are done. In other words, if $y \in \sqrt{(q : b)} = p$, then we are done. Suppose otherwise. Then $xyb \in q$, so $(xb)y \in q$. This implies $xb \in q$ because $y \notin \sqrt{q}$. This implies $x \in (q : b)$, and we are done.

Exercise. (10) We will prove that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N = \{m \in M \mid x^n m \in N\} \cap (x^n M + N)$ since the given problem statement does not make much sense. One direction is obvious because for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \subset \{m \in M \mid x^n m \in N\} \cap (x^n M + N)$. We will show the opposite direction.