## MATH 611 HOMEWORK (DUE 10/16)

## HIDENORI SHINOHARA

**Exercise.** (Problem 18) For a path-connected, locally path-connected, and semilocally simply-connected space X, call a path-connected covering space  $X \to X$  abelian if it is normal and has abelian deck transformation group. Show that X has an abelian covering space that is a covering space of every other abelian covering space of X, and that such a 'universal' abelian covering space is unique up to isomorphism. Describe this covering space explicitly for  $X = S^1 \vee S^1$  and  $X = S^1 \vee S^1 \vee S^1$ .

## Proof.

- What is the hypothesis?
  - -X is a path-connected, locally path-connected, semilocally simply-connected space.
- What is the conclusion?
  - There exists a normal covering space of  $X p : \tilde{X} \to X$  such that  $G(\tilde{X})$  is abelian.
  - -X has an abelian covering space that is a covering space of every other abelian covering space of X.
  - A universal abelian covering space is unique up to isomorphism.
  - Find the universal covering space of  $S^1 \vee S^1$  and  $S^1 \vee S^1 \vee S^1$ .
- Introduce suitable notations.
  - $-H = p_*(\pi_1(X, x_0)).$
- Separate the various parts of the hypothesis.
- Find the connection between the hypothesis and the conclusion.
  - "X is a path-connected, locally path-connected, semilocally simply-connected space." This condition sounds a lot like Theorem 1.38 on P.67. By using theorem 1.38, we can associate some group to each covering map.
  - " $\dot{X}$  is a normal covering space of X." By Proposition 1.39 on P.71,  $\dot{X}$  is normal if and only if H is a normal subgroup of  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ .
  - $-G(\tilde{X})$  is abelian. By Proposition 1.39 on P.71,  $G(\tilde{X})$  is isomorphic to the quotient  $\pi_1(X, x_0)/H$  because  $\tilde{X}$  is normal. Thus  $\pi_1(X, x_0)/H$  is abelian.
- Have you seen it before?
  - This might be similar to constructing the universal covering space.
- Look at the conclusion! And try to think of a familiar theorem having the same or a similar conclusion.
  - Showing uniqueness up to isomorphism sounds like the universal covering space theorem.
- Keep only a part of the hypothesis, drop the other part; is the conclusion still valid?
- Could you derive something useful from the hypothesis?
- Could you think of another hypothesis from which you could easily derive the conclusion?

• Could you change the hypothesis, or the conclusion, or both if necessary, so that the new hypothesis and the new conclusion are nearer to each other?

• Did you use the whole hypothesis?