# [Enter your subject] Notes

## Nong Minh $\mathrm{Hieu^1}$

 $^{1}$  School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University (NTU - Singapore)

## Contents

1	Overview of measure theory			
	1.1 Algebra and $\sigma$ -algebra	2		
	1.2 Lebesgue measure	2		
	1.3 Measurable spaces	5		
	1.4 Measurable functions	6		
2	Dynkin's $\pi$ - $\lambda$ Theorem	7		
	2.1 $\pi$ -system and $\lambda$ -system	7		
	2.2 Theorem and proof	9		
3	Caratheodory Extension Theorem	10		
	3.1 Semi-ring of sets	10		
	3.2 $\sigma$ -finiteness of measure	10		
	3.3 Theorem and proof	10		
4	Manual	14		
	4.1 Theorems, Propositions, Lemmas, Corollaries	14		
	4.2 Definitions			
	4.3 Proofs			
$\mathbf{A}$	List of Definitions			
В	3 Important Theorems			
$\mathbf{C}$	Important Corollaries			
D	Important Propositions			
$\mathbf{E}$	References			

### 1 Overview of measure theory

#### 1.1 Algebra and $\sigma$ -algebra

**Definition 1.1** (Algebra).

Let X be a set and A be a collection of subsets of X. Then, we say that A is an algebra if it satisfies:

- Closure under complement : If  $E \in \mathcal{A} \implies E^c \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- Closure under finite union: For all finite collection  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset \mathcal{A} \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 1.2** ( $\sigma$ -algebra).

Let X be a set and A be a collection of subsets of X. Then, we say that A is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if it is:

- Closure under complement : If  $E \in A \implies E^c \in A$ .
- Closure under countable union: For all countable collection  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A} \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Borel- $\sigma$ -algebra).

Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all the  $\sigma$ -algebras generated by open intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, the Borel- $\sigma$ -algebra is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the open intervals:

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{A} \in \Sigma} \mathcal{A}$$

#### Proposition 1.1: Disjoint union in algebra

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra and let  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable collection of subsets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then, there exists a countable disjoint subsets  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that:

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$$

**Proof** (Proposition 1.1).

Let  $G_m = \bigcup_{n=1}^m E_n$ , we have  $G_1 \subset G_2 \subset G_3 \subset \cdots \subset G_N$ . It is easy to see that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^N G_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n$ . Now, define the collection  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  as followed:

$$F_n = \begin{cases} G_1 & When \ n = 1 \\ \\ G_n \setminus G_{n-1} & When \ n \ge 2 \end{cases}$$

 $\Box$ .

Hence, we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^N F_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^N G_n \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^N F_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n$ .

#### 1.2 Lebesgue measure

**Overview**: The definition of Lebesgue measure stems from the need to construct a more general notion of integral (the Lebesgue integral) because the simple notion of Riemann integral is

incomplete. For example,  $L_R^1([0,1])$  (space of absolutely Riemann-integrable functions) is not a Banach space.

The construction of the Lebesgue integral over  $\mathbb{R}$  requires a notion of "measure" on subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , which, ideally satisfies the following conditions:

- $\mu: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \to [0, \infty)$  where  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the power set of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- $\mu$  extends the measure of interval length l. Meaning, if  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval,  $\mu(I) = l(I)$ .
- Countable additivity: Let  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$  be a collection of disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$ .
- Translation invariance : For  $E \subset \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\mu(E+x) = \mu(E)$ .

However, it is widely known that the construction of such measure is not possible because of the existence of non-measurable sets (Vitali sets [3]).

**Definition 1.4** (Lebesgue outer measure). Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ . The Lebesgue outer measure (or simply "outer measure") is a mapping from the power set of  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $[0, \infty)$  such that:

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} l(I_n) : I_n \text{ are open intervals}; E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \right\}$$

Where l denotes interval length. Without proving, we will just acknowledge the fact that the Lebesgue outer measure satisfies the second and the fourth conditions. However, the outer measure is countably sub-additive rather than countably additive. To account for this, we look at the definition of the Caratheodory criterion below.

**Definition 1.5** (Caratheodory criterion - Lebesgue measurable sets). Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . The set E is called **Lebgesgue measurable** if for all  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , we have:

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

The above condition is called the **Caratheodory criterion**. We denote the set of Lebesgue measurable subsets as  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ E \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \forall A \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \right\}$$

**Remark**: Note that by countable sub-additivity, we will always have  $\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E)$ 

Definition 1.6 (Lebesgue measure).

The Lebesgue measure (denoted  $\mu$ ) is simply the Lebesgue outer measure  $\mu^*$  restricted to the set of Lebesgue measurable sets  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$\mu: \mathcal{M} \to [0, \infty); \quad \mu := \mu^* \Big|_{\mathcal{M}}$$

#### Proposition 1.2: Measure of intersection with measurable collection

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and let  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^N$  be a finite disjoint collection of Lebesgue measurable sets. Then, we have:

$$\mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n \right] \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu^* (A \cap E_n)$$

**Proof** (Proposition 1.2).

We will prove this by induction. For N=1, both sides are identical. For the inductive step, suppose that the above proposition is true for N=m. We have to prove that it is true for N=m+1.

Since  $E_{m+1}$  is measurable, using the Caratheodory criterion, we have:

$$\mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{m+1} E_n \right] \right) = \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{m+1} E_n \right] \cap E_{m+1} \right) + \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{m+1} E_n \right] \cap E_{m+1}^c \right)$$
$$= \mu^* (A \cap E_{m+1}) + \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{m+1} E_n \right] \cap E_{m+1}^c \right)$$

Since  $E_n$  is disjoint for all  $1 \le n \le m+1$ . We have:

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n \subset E_{m+1}^c \implies \left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{m+1} E_n\right] \cap E_{m+1}^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{m} E_n$$

Finally, we have

$$\mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{m+1} E_n \right] \right) = \mu^* (A \cap E_{m+1}) + \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^m E_n \right] \right)$$
$$= \mu^* (A \cap E_{m+1}) + \sum_{n=1}^m \mu^* (A \cap E_n)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \mu^* (A \cap E_n)$$

 $\Box$ .

Proposition 1.3:  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\sigma$ -algebra

The set of Lebesgue measurable subsets  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Proof** (Proposition 1.3).

We first prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra. Then, for all countable collection of Lebesgue measurable sets  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ ,  $\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^(A \cap E^c)$ .

#### Claim 1 : M is an algebra

We have to prove that  $\mathcal{M}$  is both closed under complement and finite union.

- Closure under complement: Trivial due to the symmetry of the Caratheodory criterion.
- Closure under finite union: Let E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub> be two Lebesgue measurable sets. We have:

4

$$\mu^{*}(A \cap (E_{1} \cup E_{2})) = \mu^{*}((A \cap E_{1}) \cup (A \cap E_{2}))$$

$$= \mu^{*}((A \cap E_{1}) \cup (A \cap E_{2} \cap E_{1}^{c}))$$

$$\leq \mu^{*}(A \cap E_{1}) + \mu^{*}(A \cap E_{2} \cap E_{1}^{c}) \quad (Countable \ sub-additivity)$$

$$= \mu^{*}(A) - \mu^{*}(A \cap E_{1}^{c}) + \mu^{*}(A \cap E_{2} \cap E_{1}^{c})$$

$$= \mu^{*}(A) - \left[\mu^{*}(A \cap E_{1}^{c}) - \mu^{*}([A \cap E_{1}^{c}] \cap E_{2})\right]$$

$$= \mu^{*}(A) - \mu^{*}(A \cap E_{1}^{c} \cap E_{2}^{c}) = \mu^{*}(A) - \mu^{*}\left(A \cap [E_{1} \cup E_{2}]^{c}\right)$$

$$\implies \mu^{*}(A) \geq \mu^{*}\left(A \cap (E_{1} \cup E_{2})\right) + \mu^{*}\left(A \cap [E_{1} \cup E_{2}]^{c}\right)$$

$$\implies E_{1} \cap E_{2} \in \mathcal{M}$$

#### Claim 2 : $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\sigma$ -algebra

Given  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable collection of Lebesgue measurable sets and let  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . By proposition 1.1, there exists another countable **disjoint** collection of Lebesgue measurable sets  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = E$ .

For any integer  $N \ge 1$ , we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} F_n$  is Lebesgue measurable because  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra. Hence, we have:

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^N F_n \right] \right) + \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^N F_n \right]^c \right)$$

$$\geq \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^N F_n \right] \right) + \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n \right]^c \right) = \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^N F_n \right] \right) + \mu^* (A \cap E^c)$$

By proposition 1.2, we have:

$$\mu^*(A) \ge \sum_{n=1}^N \mu^*(A \cap F_n) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

Taking  $N \to \infty$ , we have:

$$\mu^*(A) \ge \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap F_n) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

$$= \mu^* \left( A \cap \left[ \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \right] \right) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under countable union and is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

 $\Box$ .

#### 1.3 Measurable spaces

**Definition 1.7** (Measurable space).

Let E be a set and  $\mathcal{E}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra over E. Then, the pair  $(E,\mathcal{E})$  is called a **measurable space**. The elements in  $\mathcal{E}$  are called **measurable sets**. When E is a topological space and  $\mathcal{E}$  is the Borel- $\sigma$ -algebra on E, then the elements in  $\mathcal{E}$  are also called **Borel sets**.

**Definition 1.8** (Product of measurable spaces). Let  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(F, \mathcal{F})$  be measurable spaces. For  $A \subset E, B \subset F$ , we denote the product of A, B, denoted  $A \times B$ , as the set of all pairs (x, y) such that  $x \in A, y \in B$ . The set  $A \times B$  is then called a **measurable rectangle**. The measurable space  $(E \times F, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F})$  is called the product of measurable spaces  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  and  $(F, \mathcal{F})$  where  $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra over  $E \times F$ :

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F} = \left\{ A \times B : A \in \mathcal{E}, B \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

**Definition 1.9** (Measure & Measure space). Let  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  be a measurable space. A measure is a mapping  $\mu : \mathcal{E} \to [0, \infty]$  (Including infinity) such that:

- Empty set has zero measure :  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- Countable additivity: For a collection of disjoint measurable sets  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , we have

$$\mu\bigg(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n\bigg) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$$

**Remark**: Note that **monotonicity** and **translation invariance** are properties specific to Lebesgue measure only. A general measure need not to have those properties.

#### 1.4 Measurable functions

**Definition 1.10** (Function (Mapping)). \_

A mapping or a function  $f: E \to F$  from E to F is a rule that assigns every element  $f(x) \in F$  to an element  $x \in E$ . Given a subset  $B \subset F$ , the pre-image of B under a function  $f: E \to F$  is given by:

$$f^{-1}(B) = \left\{ x \in E : f(x) \in B \right\}$$

#### Proposition 1.4: Properties of functions

Let  $f: E \to F$  be a function, the following properties hold:

- $f^{-1}(F) = E$ .  $f^{-1}(B \setminus C) = f^{-1}(B) \setminus f^{-1}(C)$ .  $f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(B_n)$ .
- $f^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(B_n).$

**Definition 1.11** (Measurable function). \_

Let  $(E,\mathcal{E})$  and  $(F,\mathcal{F})$  be measurable spaces,  $f:E\to F$  be a function. Then, f is called **measur**able relative to  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  (or  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ -measurable) if:

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{F} : f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{E}$$

#### $\mathbf{2}$ Dynkin's $\pi$ - $\lambda$ Theorem

Before diving into the theorem, we should familiarise ourselves with the relevant definitions. Specifically, what is a  $\pi$ -system and what is a  $\lambda$ -system.

#### 2.1 $\pi$ -system and $\lambda$ -system

**Definition 2.1** ( $\pi$ -system).  $\_$ Given a set X. A collection  $\mathcal{P}$  of subsets of X is called a  $\pi$ -system if it is closed under intersection.

The simplest example of a  $\pi$ -system is the set of any single elements of X or the set that contains only the empty set. However, we are more interested in some of the more non-trivial examples of  $\pi$ -system:

- The set of half-open intervals (from the left) :  $\{(-\infty, a] : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .
- The set of half-open intervals (from the right) :  $\{[a, \infty) : a \in \mathbb{R}\}.$
- The set of closed intervals are also a  $\pi$ -system if the empty set is included:  $\{[a,b]:a,b\in$  $\mathbb{R}; a \leq b \} \cup \{\emptyset\}.$
- If  $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_1$  are  $\pi$ -systems over  $X_1, X_2$  then the Cartesian products  $\mathcal{P}_1 \times \mathcal{P}_2 = \{A_1 \times A_2 : A_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1 : A_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1 \}$  $\mathcal{P}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2$  is also a  $\pi$ -system over  $X_1 \times X_2$ .
- Any  $\sigma$ -algebra is a  $\pi$ -system.

**Definition 2.2** ( $\lambda$ -system). \_

Given a set X. A collection of  $\mathcal{D}$  of subsets of X is called a  $\lambda$ -system if it satisfies the following conditions:

- $X \in \mathcal{D}$
- Closure under relative complement: If  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $A \subseteq B \implies B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- Closure under countable disjoint union: If there exists a countable collection of disjoint sets  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{D}$ . Then,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Now that we see that  $\lambda$ -system is actually a slightly more complicated algebraic structure than a  $\pi$ -system. However, one thing we can notice is that any  $\sigma$ -algebra is also a  $\lambda$ -system. More generally, we have the following proposition.

#### Proposition 2.1: $\sigma$ -algebra = $\pi$ -system + $\lambda$ -system

Every  $\sigma$ -algebra is both a  $\pi$ -system and a  $\lambda$ -system.

**Proof** (Proposition 2.1).

Given a set X and let A be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on X.

#### Claim 1 : A is a $\pi$ -system over X

We have to prove that A is closed under (finite) intersection. We know that A is closed under countable intersection. Hence, for all countable collection of sets  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in A, we have:

$$A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$$

For all  $N \geq 1$ , we have:

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{N} A_n = A \setminus \bigcap_{m=N+1}^{\infty} A_m$$

$$= A \cap \left(\bigcup_{m=N+1}^{\infty} A_m^c\right) = \bigcup_{m=N+1}^{\infty} (A \cap A_m^c)$$

For all  $m \geq N+1$ ,  $A \cap A_m^c$  is a countable intersection of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence,  $\bigcup_{m=N+1}^{\infty} (A \cap A_m^c)$  is a countable union of sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{N} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under finite intersection and is a  $\pi$ -system.

#### Claim 2: A is a $\lambda$ -system over X

We have to prove that:

- $X \in \mathcal{A}$ : Trivial.
- A is closed under relative complement: For  $A, B \in A$  and  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $B \setminus A = B \cap A^c \in A$  (By closure under intersection).
- A is closed under countable disjoint union: Trivial since A is already closed under countable union.

Hence, A is a  $\lambda$ -system over X.

 $\Box$ .

#### 2.2 Theorem and proof

#### Theorem 2.1: Dynkin's $\pi$ - $\lambda$ Theorem

If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system containing the  $\pi$ -system  $\mathcal{P}$ . Then, it also contains the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{P}$ .

$$\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{D} \implies \sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$$

In other words, if a  $\lambda$ -system contains a  $\pi$ -system, it also contains the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the  $\pi$ -system.

**Proof** (Theorem 2.1).

We will prove the theorem by using the smallest  $\lambda$ -system generated from  $\mathcal{P}$ . We will prove that:

- (i)  $\lambda(\mathcal{P})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- (ii)  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \lambda(\mathcal{P})$ .
- (iii) Since  $\lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{D} \implies \sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .

Obviously, we know that  $\lambda(\mathcal{P})$  is a  $\lambda$ -system, we have to prove that it is also a  $\pi$ -system. Meaning,  $\forall A, B \in \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \implies A \cap B \in \lambda(\mathcal{P})$ . Let  $A \in \lambda(\mathcal{P})$  be an arbitrary set and define:

$$\mathcal{L}_A = \left\{ E : A \cap E \in \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \right\}$$

Claim 1 :  $\mathcal{L}_A$  is a  $\lambda$ -system

We have:

- $X \in \mathcal{L}_A$  because  $A \cap X = A \in \lambda(\mathcal{P})$ .
- $\forall P, Q \in \mathcal{L}_A, P \subseteq Q \implies Q P \in \mathcal{L}_A$  because we have:
  - $-A\cap (Q-P)=(A\cap Q)-(A\cap P).$
  - $-(A\cap Q), (A\cap P)\in \lambda(\mathcal{P})$  and we have  $A\cap P\subseteq A\cap Q$ . Hence,  $(A\cap Q)-(A\cap P)\in \lambda(\mathcal{P})$ .
- $\forall \{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_A$  be a disjoint collection, we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{L}_A$  because:
  - $-A\cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{A\cap E_n\}.$
  - For all  $E_n$ ,  $A \cap E_n \in \lambda(\mathcal{P})$  and disjoint, hence  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{A \cap E_n\} \in \mathcal{L}_A$ .

Claim 2:  $A \in \mathcal{P} \implies \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_A$ 

We have:

- $\forall C \in \mathcal{P} : A \cap C \in \mathcal{P} \text{ because both } A, C \in \mathcal{P}.$ 
  - $\implies A \cap C \in \lambda(\mathcal{P}).$
  - $\implies \forall C \in \mathcal{P} : C \in \mathcal{L}_A.$
  - $\implies \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_A \ (Meaning \ \mathcal{L}_A \ is \ a \ \lambda\text{-system generated by } \mathcal{P}).$
- But, we already stated that  $\lambda(\mathcal{P})$  is the smallest  $\lambda$ -system generated by  $\mathcal{P}$ . Hence, we have  $\lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_A$ .

Claim 3:  $B \in \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \implies \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_B$ 

- We already proved that  $\forall A \in \mathcal{P} : \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_A$ .
- Hence, for an arbitrary  $B \in \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \implies B \in \mathcal{L}_A$ .
- In other words:  $\forall A \in \mathcal{P} : A \cap B \in \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \implies \forall A \in \mathcal{P} : A \in \mathcal{L}_B$ .

$$\Longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_B$$
.

 $\implies \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_B$  (Again,  $\lambda(\mathcal{P})$  is the smallest  $\lambda$ -system containing  $\mathcal{P}$ ).

From Claim 3, we can conclude that for two arbitrary sets  $A, B \in \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \Longrightarrow A \in \mathcal{L}_B$  (and vice versa). Therefore,  $A \cap B \in \lambda(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\lambda(\mathcal{P})$  is also a  $\pi$ -system. Finally, we conclude that  $\lambda(\mathcal{P})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\square$ .

- (ii) We have proven that  $\lambda(\mathcal{P})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. We also have that  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{P}$ . Hence,  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \lambda(\mathcal{P})$
- (iii) Since  $\lambda(\mathcal{P})$  is the smallest  $\lambda$ -system containing  $\mathcal{P}$ . We have  $\lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . Finally, we have  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \lambda(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq D$ .

### 3 Caratheodory Extension Theorem

#### 3.1 Semi-ring of sets

**Definition 3.1** (Semi-ring of sets). Given a set X, a collection of subsets of X - A is called a semi-ring of subsets in X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- Closure under intersection :  $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \implies A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- If  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , there exists a **finite disjoint** collection of subsets  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that:

$$A \setminus B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N} I_n$$

**Remark**: Notice that any semi-ring of sets over a set X is also a  $\pi$ -system over X.

#### 3.2 $\sigma$ -finiteness of measure

Definition 3.2 ( $\sigma$ -finite measure). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space and  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  be a measure (or pre-measure) defined on it. Then  $\mu$  is called  $\sigma$ -finite if X can be covered by countably many measurable sets with finite measure. In other words, There exists  $\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}, \, \mu(S_n) < \infty$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ .

#### 3.3 Theorem and proof

The Caratheodory Extension Theorem involves the uniqueness and existence of extension of premeasures. Before diving into the theorem, we look at the following lemma ??, which will help us prove the uniqueness.

#### Proposition 3.1: Uniqueness of measure

Suppose that  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are measures on  $(X, \mathcal{E})$  such that  $\mu_1(X) = \mu_2(X) < \infty$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{E}$  be a  $\pi$ -system over X such that  $\mathcal{E} = \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ . Then,

$$\mu_1\Big|_{\mathcal{A}} := \mu_2\Big|_{\mathcal{A}} \implies \mu_1 := \mu_2$$

In other words, it two measures agree on a  $\pi$ -system, they also agree on the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by that  $\pi$ -system.

**Proof** (Proposition 3.1).

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set where  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  agrees:

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ A \in \mathcal{E} : \mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A) \right\}$$

Hence, we have  $A \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .

Claim: D is a  $\lambda$ -system

- $E \in \mathcal{D}$  by assumption.
- If  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $A \subseteq B$ . Since  $B = (B \setminus A) \cup A$ , we have:

$$\mu_1(B) = \mu_1(A) + \mu_1(B \setminus A)$$

$$\mu_2(B) = \mu_2(A) + \mu_2(B \setminus A)$$

But we have  $\mu_1(A) = \mu_2(A)$  and  $\mu_1(B) = \mu_2(B)$ . Hence,  $\mu_1(B \setminus A) = \mu_2(B \setminus A)$  and  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$ .

• Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\mu_1(A_n) = \mu_2(A_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(A_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_2(A_n) \implies \mu_1\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \mu_2\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right)$$

Therefore, we have  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Now that we have proved that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system that contains a  $\pi$ -system, by Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem 2.1, we have  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . Therefore:

$$\mu_1\Big|_{\sigma(\mathcal{A})} := \mu_2\Big|_{\sigma(\mathcal{A})} \text{ or } \mu_1\Big|_{\mathcal{E}} := \mu_2\Big|_{\mathcal{E}}$$

 $\Box$ .

Theorem 3.1: Caratheodory Extension Theorem

Let X be a set and  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semi-ring of sets over X. Let  $\mu: \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$  be a pre-measure defined on the semi-ring of sets. Then,

- There exists an extension of  $\mu$ ,  $\tilde{\mu} : \sigma(A) \to [0, \infty]$ , which is a measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the semi-ring.
- If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $\tilde{\mu}$  is unique.

**Proof** (Theorem 3.1).

We have to prove both the existence and uniqueness of  $\tilde{\mu}$ .

#### (i) Existence of $\tilde{\mu}$

We start by defining the outer measure  $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0, \infty]$  of the pre-measure as followed:

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) : E_n \in \mathcal{A}, E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \right\}$$

Now we restrict  $\mu^*$  to the set of Caratheodory-measurable subsets only:

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ E \subseteq X : \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c), \ \forall A \subseteq X \right\}$$

The strategy is to prove the following:

- $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\implies \mu^*$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M} \implies \sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{M}$ .
- Finally, conclude that  $\mu^* : \sigma(A) \to [0, \infty]$  is an extension of  $\mu$  to  $\sigma(A)$ .

#### Claim 1 : $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\sigma$ -algebra (In other words, $\mu^*$ is indeed a measure on $\mathcal{M}$ )

It is trivial to prove closure under complement because of the symmetry in Caratheodory criterion. Hence, we will focus on proving closure under countable union.

Let  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , we have to prove that  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \in \mathcal{M}$ . To do this, we use the same technique that we used to prove proposition 1.3 for Lebesgue measurable subsets. We make use of the following lemmas for the proof:

- **Proposition 1.1**: In an algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , for any countable collection  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , there exists a countable disjoint collection  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$ .
- Proposition 1.2: For any finite disjoint collection of measurable sets  $\{E_n\}_{n=1}^N$ :

$$\mu^* \left( A \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^N E_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \mu^* (A \cap E_n)$$

Claim 2 :  $A \subset M$ 

For any  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have to show that for all  $A \subseteq X$ , we have:

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

By the definition of the outer measure  $\mu^*$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can always find a countable collection  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}$  such that:

$$A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \text{ and } \mu^*(A) + \epsilon \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n)$$

Then, we also have:

$$A \cap E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap E)$$
$$A \cap E^c \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap E^c)$$

Hence, we have:

$$\mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \le \mu^* \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap E) \right) + \mu^* \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap E^c) \right)$$
$$= \mu^* \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap E) \right) + \mu^* \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \setminus E) \right)$$

Since we have  $A_n \cap E \in \mathcal{A}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have:

$$\mu^* \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cap E) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^* (A_n \cap E)$$

Furthermore, we can always write  $A_n \setminus E$  as a finite disjoint union of elements in A, we also have:

$$\mu^* \left( \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \setminus E) \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^* (A_n \setminus E)$$

Therefore, we can rewrite the above inequality as:

$$\mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n \cap E) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n \setminus E)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \mu^*(A_n \cap E) + \mu^*(A_n \cap E^c) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A_n)$$
$$\le \mu^*(A) + \epsilon$$

Taking  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we have  $\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$ . Hence, E is measurable and we conclude that  $A \subset M$ .

Claim 3:  $\sigma(A) \subset M$ 

This is a direct consequence of Claim 2 due to the Dynkin's  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem 2.1.

With Claim 1, Claim 2 and Claim 3, we define  $\tilde{\mu}$  as a measure such that  $\tilde{\mu}\Big|_{\sigma(\mathcal{A})} := \mu^*\Big|_{\sigma(\mathcal{A})}$  and conclude the proof for existence of an extension measure.

#### (ii) Uniqueness of $\tilde{\mu}$

Suppose that  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are two measures defined on  $(X, \sigma(A) \text{ such that } \mu_1|_A := \mu_2|_A$ . By proposition 3.1, since  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  agrees on a  $\pi$ -system and  $\mu_1(X) = \mu_2(X) < \infty$ ,  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are identical and thus conclude the proof for uniqueness.

 $\Box$ .

#### 4 Manual

#### 4.1 Theorems, Propositions, Lemmas, Corollaries

**Definition 4.1** (Manual - Theorems). \_ To define a new theorem/proposition/lemma/corollary, use the following common format: \begin{theorem}{<theorem\_name>}{<theorem\_refid>} %% Content of theorem \end{theorem} %% ----- %% % Replace theorem with - corollary. % - proposition. - lemma. %% ------ %% To refer to the theorem, we can use the following format: \ref{thm:<theorem\_refid>} %% ----- %% % Replace the "thm" prefix with the following prefixes - coro: for Corollaries. - prop: for Propositions. - lem: for Lemmas. %% ----- %%

#### 4.2 Definitions

#### 4.3 Proofs

```
Definition 4.3 (Manual - Proofs).

When creating a proof for a theorem/proposition/lemma/corollary, use the following format:

\begin{proof*}[\ref{thm:<theorem_refid>}]

%% You can also add sub-proofs, which will be indented
\begin{subproof}{subproof_title}

%% Contents of sub-proof
\end{subproof}
\end{proof*}
\end{proof*}
```

Remember to always associate the proof with the corresponding theorem/proposition/lemma/corollary using the reference index.

## A List of Definitions

	1.1	Definition (Algebra)	2
	1.2	Definition ( $\sigma$ -algebra)	2
	1.3	Definition (Borel- $\sigma$ -algebra)	2
	1.4	Definition (Lebesgue outer measure)	3
	1.5	Definition (Caratheodory criterion - Lebesgue measurable sets)	3
	1.6	Definition (Lebesgue measure)	3
	1.7	Definition (Measurable space)	5
	1.8	Definition (Product of measurable spaces)	6
	1.9	Definition (Measure & Measure space)	6
	1.10	Definition (Function (Mapping))	6
	1.11	Definition (Measurable function)	7
	2.1	Definition $(\pi\text{-system})$	7
	2.2	Definition ( $\lambda$ -system)	8
	3.1		10
	3.2	Definition ( $\sigma$ -finite measure)	10
	4.1	Definition (Manual - Theorems)	14
	4.2	Definition (Manual - Definitions)	14
	4.3		14
В	I	mportant Theorems	
	2.1	V	9
	3.1	Caratheodory Extension Theorem	11
$\mathbf{C}$	I	mportant Corollaries	
D	Ι	mportant Propositions	
	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 2.1	Disjoint union in algebra	2 4 4 7 8
	3.1	Uniqueness of measure	11

### E References

### References

- [1] Rick Durrett. *Probability: Theory and Examples.* 4th. USA: Cambridge University Press, 2010. ISBN: 0521765390.
- [2] Erhan undefinedinlar. *Probability and Stochastics*. Springer New York, 2011. ISBN: 9780387878591. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-387-87859-1. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-87859-1.
- [3] Wikipedia. Vitali set Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Vitali%20set&oldid=1187241923. [Online; accessed 24-December-2023]. 2023.