1

- (a) The base of f(x) is smaller than or equal to the base of g(x).
- (b) There is an integer c such that b = ac.
- (c) There is an integer c such that a = b + cm.
- (d) Co-prime.

2

- (a)  $f(x) = O(x^3)$  is the best estimate. Reason:  $\log n^6$ ,  $\log n$ , and 17 are constants, and will not be the dominating terms.
- (b) f(x) = O(1) is the best estimate. Reason: Similar to previous problem. g(x) is a function of x, there is no x term, and g(x) = a product of some constants here. Therefore, g(x) = O(1).

3

$$4 \equiv 4 \mod 9$$

$$4^2 \equiv 7 \mod 9$$

$$4^3 \equiv 1 \mod 9$$
, since  $(4^3 = 64 = (9 \times 7) + 1)$ 

$$4^{1033} = 4^{((3)\times344)+1} = 4^1 \times 4^{((3)\times344)}$$

If  $a \equiv b \mod n$ 

Then  $a^{\mathbf{k}} \equiv b^{\mathbf{k}} \mod n$ 

Therefore,

If 
$$4^3 \equiv 1 \mod 9$$

Then 
$$4^{(3)\times 344} \equiv 1^{344} \mod 9 \rightarrow 4^{1032} \equiv 1 \mod 9$$

Also, if  $a \equiv b \mod n$ 

Then  $\gamma a \equiv \gamma b \mod n$ 

Since 
$$4^{1032} \equiv 1 \mod 9 \rightarrow (4 \times 4^{1032}) \equiv (4 \times 1) \mod 9 \rightarrow 4^{1033} \equiv 4 \mod 9$$
.

4

If 
$$a \equiv b \pmod{2m}$$

Then 
$$a = (b + p_1) \times (2m)$$

$$(a-b)=2p_1m$$

Similarly,

If 
$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

Then 
$$a = (b + p_2) \times m$$

$$(a-b) = p_2 m \rightarrow (2p_1 m = p_2 m) \rightarrow (2p_1 m - p_2 m) = 0$$

$$(2p_1 - p_2)m = 0$$
,  $m \neq 0$ , so  $(2p_1 - p_2) = 0 \rightarrow (p_2 = 2p_1)$ 

This statement is false because  $p_2 \neq 2p_1$ .

A counterexample shows that  $10 \pmod{(2 \times 3)} \neq 10 \pmod{(3)}$  since  $4 \neq 1$ 

5

Let 
$$n = a_n 10^n + a_{n-1} 10^{n-1} + \dots + a_3 10^3 + a_2 10^2 + a_1 10^1 + a_0$$
 be digits of  $n$ .

Also, 
$$10 = 9 + 1$$

$$100 = 99 + 1$$

$$1000 = 999 + 1$$

$$10 \dots n(zeros) = [9 \dots (n-1)9] + 1$$

$$10^n = \{(k_n \times 9) + 1: k_n = 1 \dots (n-1) \text{ times}\}\$$

Thus,  $n = a_n(9[k_n \times 9] + 1) + a_{n-1}([k_{n-1} \times 9] + 1) + \dots + a_3([k_3 \times 9] + 1) + a_2([k_2 \times 9] + 1) + a_1([k_1 \times 9] + 1) + a_0$ 

$$n = 9(a_n k_n) + a_n + 9(a_{n-1} k_{n-1}) + a_{n-1} + \dots + 9(a_3 k_3) + a_3 + 9(a_2 k_2) + a_2 + 9(a_1 k_1) + a_1 + a_0$$

$$n = 9[a_nk_n + a_{n-1}k_{n-1} + \dots + a_3k_3 + a_2k_2 + a_1k_1] + [a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0]$$

$$n = 9x + [a_n + a_{n-1} + \dots + a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0]$$
 where  $x = [a_n k_n + a_{n-1} k_{n-1} + \dots + a_3 k_3 + a_2 k_2 + a_1 k_1]$ , which is an integer.

Since  $[a_n + a_{n-1} + \cdots + a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0]$  is the sum of the digits,  $[a_n + a_{n-1} + \cdots + a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0]$  are also multiple of 9. (Premise)

Thus,  $n = 9x + (multiple \ of \ 9) \rightarrow n$  is multiple of 9.

6

The gcd(847,161) is 7.

The Euklidian steps are as follows:

- (1) 847 divided by 161 gives quotient 5 and remainder 42, since  $867 = (5 \times 161) + 42$ .
- (2) 161 divided by 42 gives quotient 3 and remainder 35, since  $161 = (3 \times 42) + 35$ .
- (3) 42 divided by 35 gives quotient 1 and remainder 7, since  $42 = (1 \times 35) + 7$ .
- (4) 35 divided 7 gives quotient 5 and remainder 0, since  $35 = (5 \times 7) + 0$ .

The algorithm stops when the remainder = 0.

$$gcd(847,161) = 7$$