## Chapter 4 Continuity

solutions by Hikari

December 2021

1. f satisfying the condition is not necessary continuous. Consider the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then  $\lim_{h\to 0} [f(x+h) - f(x-h)] = 0$  for every x, while f is not continuous at x=0.

**2.** Let  $q \in f(\overline{E})$ , so there is a  $p \in \overline{E}$  such that f(p) = q. Consider the neighborhood of q:

$$N_{\varepsilon} = \{ y \in Y | d_Y(y, q) < \varepsilon \}$$

Since f is continuous, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$x \in N_{\delta} = \{ x \in X | d_X(x, p) < \delta \}$$

implies  $f(x) \in N_{\varepsilon}$ . Since p is a point or a limit point of E, there is a point of E contained in  $N_{\delta}$ , and therefore there is a point of f(E) contained in  $N_{\varepsilon}$ . So every neighborhood of q contains a point of f(E), which means  $q \in \overline{f(E)}$ . Therefore,  $f(\overline{E}) \subset \overline{f(E)}$ .

Let f be a mapping from X = (0,1) to Y = R, such that

$$f(x) = x$$

Let E = X. Since  $\overline{E} = E$  in X, we have

$$f(\overline{E}) = f(E) = (0, 1)$$

$$\overline{f(E)} = \overline{(0,1)} = [0,1]$$

so  $f(\overline{E})$  is a proper subset of  $\overline{f(E)}$ .

**3.**  $\{0\}$  is closed in R, so by Corollary of Theorem 4.8,  $Z(f) = f^{-1}(\{0\})$  is closed in X, since f is continuous.

**4.** E is dense in X, so  $\overline{E} = X$ . From Exercise 2,  $f(X) = f(\overline{E}) \subset \overline{f(E)}$ , so every point of f(X) is a point or a limit point of f(E), which means f(E) is dense in f(X).

Let  $p \in X$ . Since E is dense in X, there is a sequence  $\{p_n\} \to p$  where  $p_n \in E$  for each n, so  $g(p_n) = f(p_n)$ . Since g and f are continuous,

$$g(p) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(p_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(p_n) = f(p)$$

1

so g(p) = f(p) for all  $p \in X$ .

**5.** The complement of E is open, so by Exercise 2.29, it is the union of an at most countable collection of disjoint segments. Let  $E^c = \bigcup_i (a_i, b_i)$ . Let  $g_i$  be a real function on  $(a_i, b_i)$ . If  $a_i = \infty$  or  $-\infty$ , let  $g_i(x) = f(b_i)$ . If  $b_i = \infty$  or  $-\infty$ , let  $g_i(x) = f(a_i)$ . If both  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are finite, let  $g_i$  be that

$$g_i(x) = \frac{f(b_i) - f(a_i)}{b_i - a_i}(x - a_i) + f(a_i)$$

so  $g_i(x)$  is a linear function  $g_i(x) = m_i x + k_i$  where  $g_i(a_i) = f(a_i)$  and  $g_i(b_i) = f(b_i)$ . Let g be a real function on  $R^1$  such that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in E \\ g_i(x), & \text{if } x \in (a_i, b_i) \in E^c \end{cases}$$

g is continuous at every point except those  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  since f(x) and  $g_i(x)$  are continuous. At  $a_i$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $|x - a_i| < \delta_1$  implies  $|f(x_i) - f(a_i)| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\delta_2 = 0$  if  $g_i(x) = f(a_i)$ , or  $\delta_2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{|m|}$  otherwise. Let  $\delta = \max(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ . For  $|x - a_i| < \delta$ ,

$$|g(x) - g(a_i)| < \varepsilon$$

so g is continuous at  $a_i$ . Similarly g is continuous at  $b_i$ . So g is a continuous real function on  $R^1$  such that g(x) = f(x) for all  $x \in E$ .

Let f be a real continuous function defined on E = (0,1) such that  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ . Note that E is open. The extension g of f cannot be continuous at 0 whatever value g(0) is assigned since  $\lim_{x\to 0} f(x) = \infty \neq g(0)$ .

Let  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, \cdot, f_n)$  be a vector-valued continuous function defined on a closed set  $E \subset R^1$ . By Theorem 4.10, each  $f_k$  is continuous, so there exist continuous function  $g_k$  on  $R^1$  such that  $g_k(x) = f_k(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, \cdot, g_n)$ , then by Theorem 4.10,  $\mathbf{g}$  is a continuous function on  $R^1$  such that  $\mathbf{g}(x) = \mathbf{f}(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ .

**6.\*** If f is continuous on E, let  $\phi$  be a function from  $E \in \mathbb{R}^1$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that

$$\phi(x) = (x, f(x))$$

then the graph of f is  $\phi(E)$ . Since both the functions  $\phi_1(x) = x$  and  $\phi_2(x) = f(x)$  are continuous,  $\phi$  is continuous by Theorem 4.10. Since E is compact,  $\phi(E)$  is compact by Theorem 4.14.

If f is not continuous at some point p, then there is a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  in E such that  $\{p_n\}$  converges to p, but  $\{f(p_n)\}$  does not converge to f(p). Consider the sequence  $\{q_n\}$  in  $\phi(E)$  such that  $q_n = (p_n, f(p_n))$ . If a subsequence  $\{q_{n_k}\}$  converges to (a,b), then  $\{p_{n_k}\} \to a$  and  $\{f(p_{n_k})\} \to b$ . Since  $\{p_n\}$  converges to p, p is p, but since  $\{f(p_n)\}$  does not converge to p, p in p in p in p in p converges to a point of p in p in p in p in p converges to a point of p in p in p in p in p converges to a point of p in p in p in p in p converges to a point of p in p in p in p in p converges to a point of p in p in p in p in p converges to a point of p in p in p in p in p in p converges to a point of p in p

7.  $(|x|-y^2)^2 \ge 0$ , so  $x^2 + y^4 \ge 2|x|y^2$ , and

$$|f(x,y)| = \frac{|xy^2|}{|x^2 + y^4|} \le \frac{|xy^2|}{2|xy^2|} = \frac{1}{2}$$

so f(x,y) is bounded by  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $x = y^3$ , then

$$g(x,y) = \frac{y^3 \cdot y^2}{y^6 + y^6} = \frac{1}{2y}$$

since  $\frac{1}{2y} \to \infty$  as  $y \to 0$ , g(x,y) is unbounded in every neighborhood of (0,0).

Let  $x = y^2$ , then

$$f(x,y) = \frac{y^2 \cdot y^2}{y^4 + y^4} = \frac{1}{2}$$

For  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , in every neighborhood  $|(x,y) - (0,0)| < \delta$  there is a point  $(a^2,a)$  such that  $|f(a^2,a) - f(0,0)| = \frac{1}{2} > \varepsilon$ , so f is not continuous at (0,0).

If f(x,y) and g(x,y) are restricted to a straight line not passing (0,0), then the denominators of f(x,y) and g(x,y) are not zero, which implies that they are continuous by Theorem 4.9. If f(x,y) and g(x,y) are restricted to a straight line y=mx passing (0,0), then

$$f(x,y) = \frac{x \cdot m^2 x^2}{x^2 + m^4 x^4} = \frac{m^2 x}{1 + m^4 x^2}$$

$$g(x,y) = \frac{x \cdot m^2 x^2}{x^2 + m^6 x^6} = \frac{m^2 x}{1 + m^6 x^4}$$

both the denominators of which are not zero, so they are continuous by Theorem 4.9.

8. Let x be a limit point of E, so there is a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in E such that  $\{x_n\} \to x$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|p-q| < \delta$  implies  $|f(p)-f(q)| < \varepsilon$ , since f is uniformly continuous; for  $\delta > 0$ , there is a positive integer N such that  $m, n \geq N$  implies  $|x_n - x_m| < \delta$ , since  $\{x_n\}$  converges and is therefore a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a positive integer N such that  $m, n \geq N$  implies  $|f(x_n) - f(x_m)| < \varepsilon$ , which means  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since every Cauchy sequence in  $R^1$  converges,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  converges to a point y, where y = y(x) depends on x. Let F be a function on  $\overline{E}$  to  $R^1$  such that

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \in E \\ y(x), & \text{if } x \in \overline{E} \setminus E \end{cases}$$

By the construction of y, F is continuous. Since  $\overline{E}$  is closed and bounded, it is compact, so  $F(\overline{E})$  is compact and therefore bounded by Theorem 4.14. Note that  $f(E) = F(E) \subset F(\overline{E})$ , so f(E) is bounded.

Let f(x) = x be a function from  $E = R^1$  to  $R^1$ . It is uniformly continuous if we let  $\delta = \varepsilon$ , since  $|f(x) - f(y)| = |x - y| < \delta = \varepsilon$ . But E is not bounded.  $f(E) = f(R^1) = R^1$  is not bounded.

**9.** If f is a uniformly continuous function from X to Y, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_X(p,q) < \delta$  implies  $d_Y(f(p),f(q)) < \varepsilon$ . If  $E \subset X$  and diam  $E < \delta$ , then for  $p,q \in E$ , we have  $d_X(p,q) \leq \dim E < \delta$ , and therefore  $d_Y(f(p),f(q)) < \varepsilon$ . Since diam  $f(E) = \sup d_Y(f(p),f(q))$  for  $f(p),f(q) \in E$ , we have diam  $f(E) < \varepsilon$ .

If for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that diam  $E < \delta$  implies diam  $f(E) < \varepsilon$ , then for every  $d_X(p,q) < \delta$ , let  $E = \{p,q\}$ , then diam  $E = d_X(p,q) < \delta$ , and therefore  $d_Y(f(p),f(q)) = \text{diam } f(E) < \varepsilon$ , which means f is uniformly continuous.

- 10. Let f be a continuous mapping of a compact metric space X into a metric space Y. Suppose f is not uniformly continuous, then for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are sequences  $\{p_n\}, \{q_n\}$  in X such that  $d_X(p_n, q_n) \to 0$  but  $d_Y(f(p_n), f(q_n)) > \varepsilon$  for every n. By Theorem 3.6, a subsequence  $\{p_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{p_n\}$  converges to a point  $p \in X$ , and a subsequence  $\{q_{n_{i_j}}\} = \{q_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{q_{n_i}\}$  converges to a point  $q \in X$ . So  $\{p_{n_k}\} \to p$  and  $\{q_{n_k}\} \to q$ , but note that  $d_X(p_n, q_n) \to 0$ , so p = q. Since f is continuous, we have  $\{f(p_{n_k})\} \to f(p)$  and  $\{f(q_{n_k})\} \to f(q)$ , while f(p) = f(q), so  $d_Y(f(p_{n_k}), f(q_{n_k})) \to 0$ , contradicting with  $d_Y(f(p_n), f(q_n)) > \varepsilon$ . So f is uniformly continuous.
- **11.** Suppose f is a uniformly continuous mapping from X to Y, and  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_X(p,q) < \delta$  implies  $d_Y(f(p),f(q)) < \varepsilon$ ; for  $\delta > 0$ , there is a positive integer N such that  $m,n \geq N$  implies  $d_X(x_m,x_n) < \delta$ , and therefore  $d_Y(f(x_m),f(x_n)) < \varepsilon$ . So  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in Y.

(Exercise 13) For each  $x \in X$ , let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in E such that  $\{x_n\} \to x$  (the sequence exists since E is dense in X). Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is also a Cauchy sequence and therefore converges, since  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is in  $R^1$ . Let the limit be F(x).

F is a function defined on X, and F(x)=f(x) for  $x\in E$  since f is continuous on E. So F is an extension of f from E to X. For every  $\varepsilon>0$ , there is a  $\delta>0$  such that  $p,q\in E$  and  $d(p,q)<\delta$  implies  $d(f(p),f(q))<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . For  $x,y\in X$  and  $d(x,y)<\delta$ , let N be a positive integer such that  $d(f(x_N),F(x))<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  and  $d(x_N,x)<\frac{\delta-d(x,y)}{2}$ ; let M be a positive integer such that  $d(f(y_M),F(y))<\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  and  $d(y_N,y)<\frac{\delta-d(x,y)}{2}$ . Let  $p=x_N$  and  $q=y_M$ . Then we have

$$d(p,q) \le d(p,x) + d(x,y) + d(y,q) < \frac{\delta - d(x,y)}{2} + d(x,y) + \frac{\delta - d(x,y)}{2} = \delta$$

and therefore

$$d(F(x),F(y)) \leq d(F(x),F(p)) + d(F(p),F(q)) + d(F(q),F(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon$$

Therefore, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) < \delta$  implies  $d(F(x), F(y)) < \varepsilon$ , which means F is a uniformly continuous extension of f from E to X.

**12.** restate: If f is a uniformly continuous function from X to Y, and g is a uniformly function form  $f(X) \subset Y$  to Z, then the composition  $h = g \circ f$  is a uniformly continuous function from X to Z.

proof: For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\eta > 0$  such that  $d_Y(x,y) < \eta$  implies  $d_Z(g(x),g(y)) < \varepsilon$ ; for  $\eta > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_X(p,q) < \delta$  implies  $d_Y(f(p),f(q)) < \eta$ . Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_X(p,q) < \delta$  implies  $d_Z(g(f(p)),g(f(q))) < \varepsilon$ , which means  $g \circ f$  is uniformly continuous.

13. For each  $p \in X$  and each positive integer n, let  $V_n(p)$  be the set of all  $q \in E$  with  $d(p,q) < \frac{1}{n}$ . For every n,  $V_n(p)$  is nonempty since E is dense in X, so  $f(V_n(p))$  is nonempty for every n. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $E \subset X$  with diam  $E < \delta$  implies diam  $f(E) < \varepsilon$ ; for  $\delta > 0$ , let N be the positive integer such that  $\frac{1}{N} < \delta$ , then diam  $V_n(p) < \delta$  for  $n \ge N$ . Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a positive integer N such that  $n \ge N$  implies diam  $f(V_n(p)) < \varepsilon$ , which means diam  $f(V_n(p)) \to 0$ . Let M be the positive integer such that diam  $f(V_n(p)) < 1$  for  $n \ge M$ . Then  $f(V_M(p)), f(V_{M+1}(p)), f(V_{M+2}(p)), \cdots$  are closed and bounded, so they are compact. From Theorem 3.10,  $f(V_M(p)) \supset f(V_{M+1}(p)) \supset \cdots$  and diam  $f(V_n(p)) \to 0$  implies there is exactly one point contained in every  $f(V_n(p))$ , let it be g(p).

g is a function defined on X, and for  $x \in E$ , g(x) = f(x), so g is an extension of f from E to X. For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $p,q \in E$  and  $d(p,q) < \delta$  implies  $d(f(p),f(q)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . For  $x,y \in X$  such that  $d(x,y) < \delta$ , let N,M be positive integers such that  $\overline{f(V_N(x))} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  and  $\overline{f(V_M(y))} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . Let p be an element of E such that  $d(p,x) < \min(\frac{1}{N},\frac{\delta-d(x,y)}{2})$ , and let q be an element of E such that  $d(p,y) < \min(\frac{1}{M},\frac{\delta-d(x,y)}{2})$  (p,q exist because E is dense in X). Then we have

$$d(p,q) \le d(p,x) + d(x,y) + d(y,q) < \frac{\delta - d(x,y)}{2} + d(x,y) + \frac{\delta - d(x,y)}{2} = \delta$$

and therefore

$$d(g(x),g(y)) \leq d(g(x),g(p)) + d(g(p),g(q)) + d(g(q),g(y)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon$$

Therefore, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x, y \in X$  and  $d(x, y) < \delta$  implies  $d(g(x), g(y)) < \varepsilon$ , so g is a uniformly continuous extension of f from E to X.

The range space can be replaced by  $R^k$ , compact spaces or complete metric spaces, since by Exercise 3.21, the existence and uniqueness of g(p) so constructed does not change, and the rest of the proof holds in every metric space.

The consequence may not hold if the range space is incomplete. For example, let E=Q, the rational numbers which is dense in R, and let f(x)=x be a function from Q to Q, which is uniformly continuous. If f has a continuous extension from Q to R, then the extension  $F_1$  is a function from R to Q such that  $F_1(x)=x$  for  $x\in Q$ . Let  $F_2$  be the identity function  $F_2(x)=x$  from R to R. We have  $F_1(p)=F_2(p)$  for  $p\in Q$ , while if  $q\in R\setminus Q$ , then  $F_1(q)\in Q$  but  $F_2(q)\in R\setminus Q$ , so  $F_1(q)\neq F_2(q)$ , a contradiction to Exercise 4. Therefore, f does not have a continuous extension from Q to R.

- **14.** If f(0) = 0 or f(1) = 1, then we are done, so supposed f(0) > 0 and f(1) < 1. Let g(x) = f(x) x, which is continuous since f(x) is continuous. g(0) = f(0) 0 > 0, and g(1) = f(1) 1 < 0, so by Theorem 4.23, there is a point  $p \in (0,1)$  such that g(p) = 0. Then f(p) = p.
- 15. Let f be a continuous mapping of  $R^1$  into  $R^1$ . If it is not monotonic, then there are a < b < c such that f(a) < f(b) and f(b) > f(c), or f(a) > f(b) and f(b) < f(c). Consider the first case only, since the second case can be proved similarly. The interval I = [a, c] is compact, so f(I) is compact, and therefore  $\sup f(I)$  exists and  $\sup f(I) \in f(I)$ . Let u be the point in [a, c] such that  $f(u) = \sup f(I)$ . Since  $f(a), f(c) < f(b) \le f(u), u \ne a, b$ , so  $u \in (a, b)$ . Consider the segment S = (a, b) which is open.  $f(u) \in f(S)$  while it is not an interior point of f(S), since every neighborhood  $N_{\varepsilon}(f(u))$  contains a point p such that f(u) , which is not in <math>f(S). So f(S) is not open, which means f is not an open mapping. Therefore, every continuous open mapping of  $R^1$  into  $R^1$  is monotonic.

- **16.** Let f(x) = [x] and g(x) = (x). Both the functions are continuous on segments (n, n + 1), where n is an integer. At x = n, f(n-) = n 1, f(n+) = n, g(n-) = 1, g(n+) = 0, so both the functions have simple discontinuity at integer points.
- 17. For f(x-) < f(x+), a rational number p such that  $f(x-) exists by Theorem 1.20. If for every <math>\delta > 0$ , there is a t such that  $x \delta < t < x$  and  $f(t) \ge p$ , let  $t_n$  be that t when  $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$ , then  $\{t_n\} \to x$ , but  $\{f(t_n)\}$  either diverges or converges to  $q \ge p$ , a contradiction to the definition of f(x-) < p. Therefore, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x \delta < t < x$  implies f(t) < p. Let q be a rational number in (a,b) such that  $x \delta < q < x$ , then q < t < x implies f(t) < p. Similarly, we can find a rational number r in (a,b) such that x < t < r implies f(t) > p.

If there are two points  $x_1 < x_2$  of E associated to the same (p,q,r), let t be a point such that  $x_1 < t < x_2$ , then  $x_1 < t < r$  so f(t) > p, but  $q < t < x_2$  so f(t) < p, a contradiction, which means at most one point of E is associated with each triple (p,q,r). So the set of simple discontinuity such that f(x-) < f(x+) is countable. Similar is for the case of f(x-) > f(x+).

Let p be the set of points on which f(x-) = f(x+) < f(x). With each point x of E, associate a triple (p,q,r) of rational numbers such that f(x-) = f(x+) , and <math>a < q < t < x or x < t < r < b implies f(t) < p. If there are two points  $x_1 < x_2$  of P associated to the same (p,q,r), then  $q < x_1 < x_2$  implies  $f(x_1) < p$ , but  $f(x_1) > p$ , a contradiction, which means at most one point of P is associated with each triple (p,q,r). So the set of simple discontinuity such that f(x-) = f(x+) < f(x) is countable. Similar is for the case of f(x-) = f(x+) > f(x).

Therefore, the set of points at which f has a simple discontinuity is at most countable.

- 18. Let x be a point in  $R^1$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let N be the positive integer such that  $N \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} < N+1$ . For  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , let  $M_n$  be the integer such that  $\frac{M_n}{n} \leq x \leq \frac{M_n+1}{n}$ . If  $x = \frac{M_n}{n}$ , let  $\delta_n = \frac{1}{n}$ , otherwise let  $\delta_n = \min(x \frac{M_n}{n}, \frac{M_n+1}{n} x)$ . Let  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2, \cdots, \delta_N)$ , so there is no rational number  $\frac{m}{n}$  other than x with  $n \leq N$  be contained the neighborhood of x with radius  $\delta$ . For every t such that  $0 < |t-x| < \delta$ , if t is irrational, then  $|f(t) 0| = |0 0| < \varepsilon$ ; if t is rational so  $t = \frac{m}{n}$ , then by the construction of  $\delta$  we have n > N, so  $|f(t) 0| = |\frac{1}{n} 0| \leq \frac{1}{N+1} < \varepsilon$ . Therefore, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $0 < |t-x| < \delta$  implies  $|f(t) 0| < \varepsilon$ , which means  $\lim_{t \to x} f(t) = 0$ . If x is irrational, then  $\lim_{t \to x} f(t) = f(x) = 0$ , so f is continuous at x; if x is rational, then  $f(x+) = f(x-) = \lim_{t \to x} f(t) = 0 \neq f(x)$ , so f has a simple discontinuity at x.
- 19. Suppose f is a real function with domain  $R^1$  which has the intermediate value property. If f is not continuous at a point  $x_0$ , then there is a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  such that  $\{p_n\} \to x_0$  but  $\{f(p_n)\}$  does not converge to  $f(x_0)$ . Either the set of  $p_n$  such that  $f(p_n) > f(x_0)$  or the set of  $p_n$  such that  $f(p_n) < f(x_0)$  are infinite. Consider the first case only since the second case can be proved similarly. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the subsequence of  $\{p_n\}$  such that  $f(x_n) > f(x_0)$  for every n.  $\{f(x_n)\}$  does not converge to  $f(x_0)$ , so there is a r' such that  $f(x_n) > r' > f(x_0)$  for every n. Let r be a rational number such that  $r' > r > f(x_0)$ . Let  $t_n$  be the point such that  $x_0 < t_n < x_n$  and  $f(t_n) = r$ .  $x_0$  is a limit point of the set of  $t_n$ , which is a subset of  $E = \{x \mid f(x) = r\}$ , so  $x_0$  is a limit point of E. But  $f(x_0) \neq r$ , so  $x_0 \notin E$ , which means E is not closed. Therefore, if f is a real function with domain  $R^1$  which has the intermediate value property, and for every rational r, the set of all x with f(x) = r is closed, then f is continuous.
- **20.** (a)  $\rho_E(x) = \inf_{z \in E} d(x, z) = 0$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $z \in E$  such that d(x, z) < E, which is equivalent to  $x \in \overline{E}$ .
- (b)  $\rho_E(x) \leq d(x,z) \leq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$  for all z, so  $\rho_E(x) d(x,y) \leq d(y,z)$  for all z, which means  $\rho_E(x) d(x,y) \leq \rho_E(y)$ . Interchanging x and y, we have  $|\rho_E(x) \rho_E(y)| \leq d(x,y)$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta = \varepsilon$ , then  $d(x,y) < \delta$  implies  $|\rho_E(x) \rho_E(y)| < \varepsilon$ , which means  $\rho_E$  is a uniformly continuous function.
- **21.** K is compact and  $\rho_F$  is continuous, so  $\rho_F(K)$  is compact by Theorem 4.14. So  $\inf \rho_F(K) \in \rho_F(K)$ , which means there is a  $u \in K$  such that  $\rho_F(u) = \inf \rho_F(K)$ . If  $\rho_F(u) = 0$ , then by Exercise 20,  $u \in \overline{F}$  and therefore  $u \in F$  since F is closed, a contradiction to K and F being disjoint. So  $\inf \rho_F(K) = \rho_F(u) > 0$ . Let  $\delta$  be a number such that  $0 < \delta < \inf \rho_F(K)$ . For a point  $p \in K$  and all points  $q \in F$ ,  $d(p,q) \ge \rho_F(p)$ , and for all  $p \in K$ ,  $\rho_F(p) \ge \inf \rho_F(K) > \delta$ , so  $d(p,q) > \delta$  for all  $p \in K$  and  $q \in F$ .
- Let  $A=\{n\,|\,n\in\mathbb{N}\}$  and  $B=\{n+\frac{1}{n+1}|n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ . A and B are disjoint, and both of which are closed but not compact. For every  $\varepsilon>0$ , let N be the positive integer such that  $N+1>\frac{1}{\delta}$ , then  $p=N\in A$  and  $q=N+\frac{1}{N+1}\in B$  satisfy  $d(p,q)=\frac{1}{N+1}<\delta$ .

**22.** If  $\rho_A(p) = \rho_B(p) = 0$ , then  $p \in \overline{A} = A$  and  $p \in \overline{B} = B$ , a contradiction to A and B being disjoint, so  $\rho_A(p) + \rho_B(p) \neq 0$  for all  $p \in X$ . By Theorem 4.9, f is continuous.  $\rho_A(p) \geq 0$  and  $\rho_B(p) \geq 0$ , so

$$0 = \frac{0}{\rho_A(p) + \rho_B(p)} \le \frac{\rho_A(p)}{\rho_A(p) + \rho_B(p)} \le \frac{\rho_A(p)}{\rho_A(p)} = 1$$

for all  $p \in X$ , so the range of f lies in [0,1]. f(p) = 0 if and only if  $\rho_A(p) = 0$ , if and only if  $p \in \overline{A} = A$ . f(p) = 1 if and only if  $\rho_B(p) = 0$ , if and only if  $p \in \overline{B} = B$ .

f is a continuous function from X to Y = [0,1].  $[0,\frac{1}{2})$  is open in Y, so  $V = f^{-1}([0,\frac{1}{2}))$  is open in Xby Theorem 4.8. Similarly,  $W = f^{-1}((\frac{1}{2},1])$  is open in X.  $f(p) = 0 \in [0,\frac{1}{2})$  for all  $p \in A$ , so  $A \subset V$ . Similarly,  $B \subset W$ .

**23.** Let x be a point in (a,b). Find p,q and  $\eta$  such that  $a . Let <math>M_1 = \max(f(p), f(q))$ . For every t such that p < t < q, let  $\lambda = \frac{q-t}{q-p}$ , then

$$t = \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)q$$

$$f(t) \le \lambda f(p) + (1 - \lambda)f(q) \le \lambda M_1 + (1 - \lambda)M_1 = M_1$$

So  $f(t) \leq M_1$  for all t in (p,q). For every t such that  $\frac{p+q}{2} < t < q$ , let  $\lambda = \frac{2t-p-q}{2(t-p)}$ , then

$$\begin{split} \frac{p+q}{2} &= \lambda p + (1-\lambda)t \\ f(\frac{p+q}{2}) &\leq \lambda f(p) + (1-\lambda)f(t) \\ f(t) &\geq \frac{1}{1-\lambda} f(\frac{p+q}{2}) - \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} f(p) \\ &= \frac{2(t-p)}{q-p} f(\frac{p+q}{2}) - \frac{2t-p-q}{q-p} f(p) \\ &\geq -2 \left| f(\frac{p+q}{2}) \right| - |f(p)| \end{split}$$

Let  $M_2 = -2\left|f(\frac{p+q}{2})\right| - |f(p)|$ , then  $f(t) \ge M_2$  for all t in  $(\frac{p+q}{2},q)$ . Similarly, we can find  $M_3$  such that  $f(t) \ge M_3$  for all t in  $(p, \frac{p+q}{2})$ . Let  $M = \max(|M_1|, |M_2|, |M_3|, |f(p)|, |f(p)|, |f(\frac{p+q}{2})|)$ , then |f(t)| < Mfor all t in [p, q].

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta = \frac{\eta}{2M} \varepsilon$ . For every y in  $(x, x + \delta)$ , let  $\lambda = \frac{y - x}{y - p}$ , then

$$x = \lambda p + (1 - \lambda)y$$
 
$$f(x) \le \lambda f(p) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$
 
$$f(x) - f(y) \le \lambda (f(p) - f(y)) = \frac{y - x}{y - p} (f(p) - f(y)) < \frac{\delta}{\eta} \cdot 2M = \varepsilon$$

Let  $\lambda = \frac{q-y}{q-x}$ , then

$$y = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)q$$
 
$$f(y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(q)$$
 
$$f(y) - f(x) \le (1 - \lambda)(f(q) - f(x)) = \frac{y - x}{q - x}(f(q) - f(x)) < \frac{\delta}{n} \cdot 2M = \varepsilon$$

So  $|f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all y in  $(x, x + \delta)$ . Similarly,  $|f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for all y in  $(x - \delta, x)$ . Therefore, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|y - x| < \delta$  implies  $|f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ , so f is continuous at x. Since x is arbitrary, f is continuous.

If g is an increasing convex function, and f is convex, then

$$q(f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y)) < q(\lambda f(x) + (1-\lambda)f(y)) < \lambda q(f(x)) + (1-\lambda)q(f(y))$$

so  $g \circ f$  is convex.

If f is convex in (a,b) and a < s < t < u < b, let  $\lambda = \frac{u-t}{u-s}$ , then

$$t = \lambda s + (1 - \lambda)u$$

$$f(t) \le \lambda f(s) + (1 - \lambda)f(u) = \frac{u - t}{u - s}f(s) + \frac{t - s}{u - s}f(u)$$

Rearranging we can obtain

$$\frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s} \le \frac{f(u) - f(s)}{u - s}$$

$$\frac{f(u) - f(s)}{u - s} \le \frac{f(u) - f(t)}{u - t}$$

**24.** Consider the inequality  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in (a, b)$ . If  $\lambda = 0$  or 1, the inequality holds. If  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ ,

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) = f(\frac{x+y}{2}) \le \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2} = \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$$

so the inequality holds. Suppose the inequality holds for  $\lambda = \frac{k}{2^n}$  where n is a positive integer and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n$ . Consider  $\lambda = \frac{r}{2^{n+1}}$  where  $r = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{n+1}$ . If r is even, then the inequality holds since  $\lambda = \frac{r}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{2r'}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{r'}{2^n}$  where r' is an integer. If r is odd, then  $\lambda = \frac{r}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{t}{2^n} + \frac{t+1}{2^n} \right)$  for some integer t, so

$$\begin{split} &f\left[\frac{r}{2^{n+1}}x + \left(1 - \frac{r}{2^{n+1}}\right)y\right] \\ &= f\left[\frac{\frac{t}{2^n}x + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2^n}\right)y + \frac{t+1}{2^n}x + \left(1 - \frac{t+1}{2^n}\right)y}{2}\right] \\ &\leq \frac{f\left[\frac{t}{2^n}x + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2^n}\right)y\right] + f\left[\frac{t+1}{2^n}x + \left(1 - \frac{t+1}{2^n}\right)y\right]}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{t}{2^n}f(x) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2^n}\right)f(y) + \frac{t+1}{2^n}f(x) + \left(1 - \frac{t+1}{2^n}\right)f(y)}{2} \\ &= \frac{r}{2^{n+1}}f(x) + \left(1 - \frac{r}{2^{n+1}}\right)f(y) \end{split}$$

so the inequality holds for  $\lambda = \frac{r}{2^{n+1}}$ . Therefore, by induction, the inequality holds for all  $\lambda \in A$  where  $A = \{\frac{k}{2^n} \mid n, k \in \mathbb{N}, \ 0 \le k \le 2^n\}$ . For  $x, y \in (a, b)$ , consider the function g from [0, 1] to  $R^1$  that maps  $\lambda$  to  $\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) - f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)$ . For all  $\lambda \in A$ ,  $\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) - f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \ge 0$ , so  $g(\lambda) \ge 0$ , which means  $A \subset g^{-1}([0, \infty))$ . Since f is continuous, g is continuous, and since  $[0, \infty)$  is closed in  $R^1$ ,  $g^{-1}([0, \infty))$  is closed in [0, 1] by Theorem 4.8. For  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , every neighborhood of  $\lambda$  contains a point of A and therefore a point of  $g^{-1}([0, \infty))$ , so  $\lambda$  is a point or a limit point of  $g^{-1}([0, \infty))$ , and since  $g^{-1}([0, \infty))$  is closed,  $\lambda \in g^{-1}([0, \infty))$ , which is  $g(\lambda) \ge 0$ . Therefore, for every  $x, y \in (a, b)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , the inequality  $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$  holds, so f is convex.

- **25.** (a) Take  $\mathbf{z} \notin K + C$ , put  $F = \mathbf{z} C$ , the set of all  $\mathbf{z} \mathbf{y}$  with  $\mathbf{y} \in C$ . If  $\mathbf{t} \in K$  and  $\mathbf{t} \in F$ , then  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z} \mathbf{y}$  for some  $\mathbf{x} \in K$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in C$ , a contradiction to  $\mathbf{z} \notin K + C$ , so K and F are disjoint. K is compact and F is closed since C is closed, so by Exercise 21, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\mathbf{p} \mathbf{q}| > \delta$  if  $\mathbf{p} \in K$ ,  $\mathbf{q} \in F$ , so  $|\mathbf{p} \mathbf{q}| = |\mathbf{p} (\mathbf{z} \mathbf{r})| = |\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{r} \mathbf{z}| > \delta$  for  $\mathbf{p} \in K$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \in C$ , which means the open ball with center  $\mathbf{z}$  and radius  $\delta$  contains no element of K + C. So if  $\mathbf{z} \notin K + C$ , then  $\mathbf{z}$  is not a limit point of K + C, which means K + C is closed.
- (b)  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  have no limit points and therefore closed. Let  $\beta_k = k\alpha [k\alpha]$ , where k is a positive integer, and  $[k\alpha]$  denotes the largest integer less than or equal to  $k\alpha$ . If  $i \neq j$  but  $\beta_i = \beta_j$ , then  $i\alpha [i\alpha] = j\alpha [j\alpha]$ , so

$$\alpha = \frac{[i\alpha] - [j\alpha]}{i - i}$$

which means  $\alpha$  is rational, a contradiction. Therefore,  $\beta_i \neq \beta_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , so there are infinitely many different elements of  $\{\beta_k\}$  in (0,1). For every integer N, consider the disjoint sets  $(\frac{0}{N}, \frac{1}{N}), [\frac{1}{N}, \frac{2}{N}), \cdots, [\frac{N-1}{N}, \frac{N}{N})$  whose union is (0,1). There are only N sets but infinitely many elements of  $\{\beta_k\}$  in (0,1), which means there are at least two elements  $\beta_i, \beta_j$  in the same set, so  $0 < \beta_i - \beta_j < \frac{1}{N}$ . Note that

 $\beta_i - \beta_j = (i+j)\alpha - ([i\alpha] + [j\alpha])$  is an element of  $C_1 + C_2$ , so for every positive integer N there is an element y of  $C_1 + C_2$  such that  $0 < y < \frac{1}{N}$ . For every  $x \in R^1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let N be a positive integer such that  $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ , then the segment  $(\frac{k}{N}, \frac{k+1}{N})$  for some integer k is in the neighborhood of x with radius  $\varepsilon$ . Let n be the integer such that  $n \leq \frac{k}{N} < n+1$ , and let y be an element of  $C_1 + C_2$  such that  $0 < y < \frac{1}{N}$ , then there is an integer m such that  $\frac{k}{N} < n + my < \frac{k+1}{N}$ , while  $n + my \in C_1 + C_2$ , so  $(\frac{k}{N}, \frac{k+1}{N})$  contains a point of  $C_1 + C_2$ . Therefore, every neighborhood of  $x \in R^1$  contains a point of  $C_1 + C_2$ , which means  $C_1 + C_2$  is dense in  $C_1 + C_2$  is countable but  $C_1 + C_2$  is not closed.

**26.** g is a continuous one-to-one mapping of the compact metric space Y onto g(Y), so  $g^{-1}$  is a continuous mapping of g(Y) onto Y by Theorem 4.17. Since Y is compact and g is continuous, g(Y) is compact by Theorem 4.14, and since  $g^{-1}$  is continuous,  $g^{-1}$  is uniformly continuous by Theorem 4.19. Therefore, if h is uniformly continuous, then  $f = g^{-1} \circ h$  is uniformly continuous by Exercise 12. If h is continuous, then  $f = g^{-1} \circ h$  is continuous by Theorem 4.7.

continuous, then  $f = g^{-1} \circ h$  is continuous by Theorem 4.7. Let  $X = Z = \{\mathbf{x} \in R^2 \mid |\mathbf{x}| = 1\}$  and  $Y = \{x \in R^1 \mid 0 \le x < 2\pi\}$ . Let g be the function from Y onto Z such that  $g(t) = (\cos t, \sin t)$ . Since g is a one-to-one mapping onto Z,  $g^{-1}$  is a well-defined function from Z to Y. Let f be the same as  $g^{-1}$  with the domain replaced by X.  $h = g \circ f$  is the identity function and therefore uniformly continuous, but f is not continuous at  $\mathbf{x} = (0,1)$ . Note that Y is not compact while X and Z are compact.