sprint3 review generator

December 23, 2022

1 Review generator

```
[1]: import pandas as pd
  import seaborn as sn
  import numpy as np
  import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
  import seaborn as sns
  from matplotlib.transforms import Bbox
  from random import shuffle
  from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
  import tensorflow as tf
  from tensorflow import keras
```

First we read in the reviews

```
[46]: reviews=pd.read_csv("../tripadvisor_dataset/reviews.csv") reviews.shape
```

[46]: (136173, 6)

We're going to take a small part of the data to train, we've first tried to train it on all data but after a few hours we couldn't even get 1 epoch done. We decided to make it smaller.

We split the positive and negatives so we can have an equal distribution. We think that if we do that we'll be able to generate positive and negative reviews.

```
[3]: reviews_positive = reviews[reviews["rating"] == 5]
reviews_negative = reviews[reviews["rating"] == 1]
print(reviews_negative.shape)
reviews_positive.shape
```

(8060, 6)

[3]: (58117, 6)

When we look at the positive and negative reviews, we see there is a mix of languages. This is not the best way to train this model. Sadly we found this out after training the model, so this model is trained on multiple languages. In the new version we'll only train on English.

```
[25]: reviews_positive.head(5)
[25]:
                        reviewer name
               id
      0
         13969825
                             bertd818
      1
         13969825
                         593laetitiad
         13969825
                             612ellen
      3
         13969825
                               j0ycal
         13969825
                   Global45882037169
                                                       title
                                                                              date
      0
                             supper snelle en lekkere lunch September 26, 2022
      1
            Un délicieux repas aux saveurs de la Thaïlande
                                                               September 24, 2022
      2
                              Altijd leuk om terug te komen
                                                               September 19, 2022
         Perfect onthaal/gastvrijheid, superlekker eten... September 19, 2022
      3
                                                    genieten September 19, 2022
                                                               review
         rating
      0
            5.0
                supper lekker gegeten tijdens de middag, als w...
      1
            5.0 Un menu lunch très bien équilibré aux niveaux ...
      2
            5.0 Super gezellig restaurant met super bediening ...
            5.0 Perfect onthaal, lekker eten. Heel goede lunch...
      3
      4
            5.0 verrassend lekker gegeten, een mooi en rustig ...
[66]: reviews_negative.head(5)
[66]:
                 id
                       reviewer name
                                                                        title
      57
           13969825
                                      Wel ok, maar zeker niet de allerbeste
                         398maartjeg
          13969825
                      michellD9555DF
      118
                                                           Waardeloze service
      141
           13969825
                          143andreic
                                                                   Ungenügend
      208
           13969825
                              559zul
                                                               teleurstelling
      494
           13969825
                          sv20172017
                                                   Rendement per m2 optimaal
                          pierrecvdv
      637
           13969825
                                                                   lamentable
                                                       Uncomfortable seating
      795
           13969825
                             Rajan N
      809
           13969825
                             ulibear
                                                 super unfriendly headwaiter
      926
           13969825
                      annerasschaert
                                                                   Jammer...
      938
           13969825
                      annerasschaert
                                                                   Jammer...
                          date
                                rating
      57
                 June 11, 2022
                                   1.0
                March 5, 2022
      118
                                   1.0
      141
              January 6, 2022
                                   1.0
      208
            September 9, 2021
                                   1.0
      494
              January 3, 2020
                                   1.0
      637
                 July 14, 2019
                                   1.0
      795
           September 24, 2018
                                   1.0
      809
           September 17, 2018
                                   1.0
      926
               April 16, 2018
                                   1.0
```

```
April 16, 2018 1.0
```

938

review
57 Bediening is aardig maar eten is niet heel spe...
118 Niet eens aan eten toegekomen. Je reserveert e...
141 Wir haben nicht reserviert kamen rein und habe...
208 Be ready to wait LONG for your food.\nWe had t...
494 Na het lezen van de recentie van Gault & Milla...
637 als u slecht wilt eten, voor veel geld, is kin...
795 After eating in few restaurant in the dark str...
809 We have reserved a table for 4. We then inform...
926 Gisteren vol verwachtingen naar Kin Khao... he...

The number 2500 is choosen after trial and error to see how long one epoch would take. With 5000 total reviews, 1 epoch takes around 30mins which is acceptable.

```
[4]: reviews_positive = reviews_positive.head(2500)
reviews_negative = reviews_negative.head(2500)
reviews = pd.concat([reviews_negative, reviews_positive])
reviews
```

[4]:		id :	reviewe	r name		title \	
	57	13969825	398maartjeg		Wel	ok, maar zeker niet de allerbeste	
	118	13969825 m	0 0			Waardeloze service	
	141	13969825	143andreic			Ungenügend	
	208	13969825	559zul			teleurstelling	
	494	13969825	sv20172017			Rendement per m2 optimaal	
		•••	•••			•••	
	2946	10032417	593sannew			Excellent !	
	2947	10032417	513veerlev			Love the experience	
	2948	10032417	76sandrar			Lovely Lunch and Owner!	
	2949	10032417	PuchPuch			Tres bon goûter sur une agréable	
	2952	10032417	Galitea7			Magnifique	
			date	rating	\		
	57	June 11		1.0			
	118	March 5		1.0			
	141	January 6		1.0			
	208	September 9		1.0			
	494	January 3	, 2020	1.0			
	2946	August 6		5.0			
	2947	August 3		5.0			
	2948	July 30		5.0			
	2949	July 7		5.0			
	2952	June 24	, 2018	5.0			

review

```
Bediening is aardig maar eten is niet heel spe...

Niet eens aan eten toegekomen. Je reserveert e...

Wir haben nicht reserviert kamen rein und habe...

Be ready to wait LONG for your food.\nWe had t...

Na het lezen van de recentie van Gault & Milla...

...

2946 Wat een prachtige plek en een enorm toffe eige...

2947 It is not a place where you just have somethin...

2948 Not only is the place super cute and original,...

2949 Le lieu mérite une visite ne serait-ce que pou...

2952 Maison Elza... Entdeckt vom Wasser aus...di...
```

Writing the reviews to a txt file to access it later

```
[5]: reviews_txt = open("reviews.txt", "w", encoding="utf-8")

reviews_cleaned = ""

for rev in list(reviews["review"]):
    rev = str(rev)
    reviews_txt.write(rev + "\n")

reviews_txt.close()
with open("reviews.txt", "r", encoding="utf-8") as f:
    reviews_cleaned = f.read()
```

[6]: print(len(reviews_cleaned))

2159953

1.1 Preprocessing data

```
[7]: tokenizer = keras.preprocessing.text.Tokenizer(char_level=True)
tokenizer.fit_on_texts(reviews_cleaned)
max_id = len(tokenizer.word_index)
```

```
[8]: dataset_size = tokenizer.document_count # total number of characters
[encoded] = np.array(tokenizer.texts_to_sequences([reviews_cleaned])) - 1
train_size = dataset_size * 90 // 100
dataset = tf.data.Dataset.from_tensor_slices(encoded[:train_size])
```

```
[9]: n_steps = 100
window_length = n_steps + 1 # target = input shifted 1 character ahead
dataset = dataset.window(window_length, shift=1, drop_remainder=True)
```

```
[10]: dataset = dataset.flat_map(lambda window: window.batch(window_length))
     batch_size = 32
     dataset = dataset.shuffle(10000).batch(batch_size)
     dataset = dataset.map(lambda windows: (windows[:, :-1], windows[:, 1:]))
[11]: dataset = dataset.map(
         lambda X_batch, Y_batch: (tf.one_hot(X_batch, depth=max_id), Y_batch))
[12]: dataset = dataset.prefetch(1)
     for X_batch, Y_batch in dataset.take(1):
         print(X_batch.shape, Y_batch.shape)
     (32, 100, 830) (32, 100)
     1.2 Training the model
[13]: model = keras.models.Sequential([
         keras.layers.GRU(128, return_sequences=True, input_shape=[None, max_id],
                         #dropout=0.2, recurrent_dropout=0.2),
                         dropout=0.2),
         keras.layers.GRU(128, return_sequences=True,
                         #dropout=0.2, recurrent_dropout=0.2),
                         dropout=0.2),
         keras.layers.TimeDistributed(keras.layers.Dense(max_id,
                                                      activation="softmax"))
     ])
     model.compile(loss="sparse_categorical_crossentropy", optimizer="adam")
     history = model.fit(dataset, epochs=10)
     Epoch 1/10
     2022-12-19 16:39:36.275348: I tensorflow/stream_executor/cuda/cuda_dnn.cc:384]
     Loaded cuDNN version 8500
     60746/60746 [============= ] - 1933s 32ms/step - loss: 1.7735
     Epoch 2/10
     60746/60746 [============= ] - 1900s 31ms/step - loss: 1.6156
     Epoch 3/10
     60746/60746 [============== ] - 2150s 35ms/step - loss: 1.5940
     Epoch 4/10
     60746/60746 [============== ] - 1917s 32ms/step - loss: 1.5833
     Epoch 5/10
     60746/60746 [============= ] - 1946s 32ms/step - loss: 1.5765
     Epoch 6/10
     60746/60746 [============= ] - 1951s 32ms/step - loss: 1.5725
     Epoch 7/10
     60746/60746 [============= ] - 2207s 36ms/step - loss: 1.5676
```

1.3 Save the model

```
[]: model.save('./review_generator_v2/')
```

Reading in the model that was trained above

```
[14]: model = keras.models.load_model("./review_generator_v2")
```

```
[15]: def preprocess(texts):
    X = np.array(tokenizer.texts_to_sequences(texts)) - 1
    return tf.one_hot(X, max_id)
```

Trying a simple example

```
[52]: X_new = preprocess(["How are yo"])
#Y_pred = model.predict_classes(X_new)
Y_pred = np.argmax(model(X_new), axis=-1)
tokenizer.sequences_to_texts(Y_pred + 1)[0][-1] # 1st sentence, last char
```

```
[52]: 'u'
```

```
[53]: def next_char(text, temperature=1):
    X_new = preprocess([text])
    y_proba = model(X_new)[0, -1:, :]
    rescaled_logits = tf.math.log(y_proba) / temperature
    char_id = tf.random.categorical(rescaled_logits, num_samples=1) + 1
    return tokenizer.sequences_to_texts(char_id.numpy())[0]
```

```
[54]: def complete_text(text, n_chars=50, temperature=1):
    for _ in range(n_chars):
        text += next_char(text, temperature)
    return text
```

1.4 Temperature

The temperature is an import parameter that determines.

A high temperature forces the model to make more original predictions. A low temperature make sures that the model doesn't go off topic, mostly the same text is being predicted if the temperature is very low.

Now we test what our review generator can do

```
[58]: print(complete_text("lekker", 50, temperature=0.2))
```

lekkere gerechten en de koks zelf geserveerd door de kok

```
[34]: print(complete_text("aanrader", 50, temperature=0.2))
```

aanrader! het was een zeer geslaagd op de keuken van de ko

That looks decent! But it can also generate nonsense, especially when we put a high temperature

```
[62]: print(complete_text("super lekker", 50, temperature=1))
```

super lekkere witneervaste wat een ongedwong richtigd met een

And the reviews aren't always logical

```
[19]: print(complete_text("good food", 100, temperature=0.2))
```

good food. the parth share was a plate of the evening and the perfectly prepared with an excellent food and t

```
[20]: print(complete_text("The food was awful!", 100, temperature=0.2))
```

The food was awful! the service was a so good and cream of the past of the patershol was also food was a pleasure and t

We also weren't really be able to generate negative reviews, even though it was half our dataset.

```
[77]: print(complete_text("trage bediening", 50, temperature=0.2))
```

trage bediening. de kok is een aangepaste wijnen. de kok is een z

```
[78]: print(complete_text("slecht eten", 50, temperature=0.2))
```

slecht eten en de koks werd ook geen steeds een aangepaste wi

1.5 Conclusion

This model didn't perform well when generating negative reviews. Generating positive reviews are better, but not optimal. A reason for this might be because we mixed multiple languages. Another reason is that we train this model from 0, and it probably needs way more data. We couldn't train much data because the training time is high.

We could solve this issue by using a pretrained model that can already understand English. Then we can finetune it to a specific task (generate reviews). We just finetune an existing model with our dataset. The model we finetuned is GPT-2.

In this file review_generator_v3.ipynb you can find the sequel.

1.6 References:

https://github.com/ageron/handson-ml2