

MONASH BUSINESS SCHOOL

ETC3550/ETC5550 Applied forecasting

Ch9. ARIMA models OTexts.org/fpp3/



Point forecasts

- Rearrange ARIMA equation so y_t is on LHS.
- Rewrite equation by replacing t by T + h.
- On RHS, replace future observations by their forecasts, future errors by zero, and past errors by corresponding residuals.

Start with h = 1. Repeat for h = 2, 3, ...

95% prediction interval

$$\hat{y}_{T+h|T} \pm 1.96\sqrt{v_{T+h|T}}$$

where $v_{T+h|T}$ is estimated forecast variance.

95% prediction interval

$$\hat{y}_{T+h|T} \pm 1.96\sqrt{v_{T+h|T}}$$

where $v_{T+h|T}$ is estimated forecast variance.

 $\mathbf{v}_{T+1|T} = \hat{\sigma}^2$ for all ARIMA models regardless of parameters and orders.

3

95% prediction interval

$$\hat{y}_{T+h|T} \pm 1.96\sqrt{v_{T+h|T}}$$


where $v_{T+h|T}$ is estimated forecast variance.

- $v_{T+1|T} = \hat{\sigma}^2$ for all ARIMA models regardless of parameters and orders.
- Multi-step prediction intervals for ARIMA(0,0,q):

$$y_t = \varepsilon_t + \sum_{i=1}^q \theta_i \varepsilon_{t-i}.$$

$$v_{T|T+h} = \hat{\sigma}^2 \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \theta_i^2 \right], \quad \text{for } h = 2, 3, \dots.$$

- Prediction intervals increase in size with forecast horizon.
- Prediction intervals can be difficult to calculate by hand
- Calculations assume residuals are uncorrelated and normally distributed.
- Prediction intervals tend to be too narrow.
 - the uncertainty in the parameter estimates has not been accounted for.
 - the ARIMA model assumes historical patterns will not change during the forecast period.
 - the ARIMA model assumes uncorrelated future errors



where m = number of observations per year.

E.g., $ARIMA(1, 1, 1)(1, 1, 1)_4$ model (without constant)

E.g., $ARIMA(1, 1, 1)(1, 1, 1)_4$ model (without constant)

$$(1 - \phi_1 B)(1 - \Phi_1 B^4)(1 - B)(1 - B^4)y_t = (1 + \theta_1 B)(1 + \Theta_1 B^4)\varepsilon_t.$$

E.g., $ARIMA(1, 1, 1)(1, 1, 1)_4$ model (without constant)

E.g., $ARIMA(1, 1, 1)(1, 1, 1)_4$ model (without constant)

$$(1 - \phi_1 B)(1 - \Phi_1 B^4)(1 - B)(1 - B^4)y_t = (1 + \theta_1 B)(1 + \Theta_1 B^4)\varepsilon_t.$$

All the factors can be multiplied out and the general model written as follows:

$$y_{t} = (1 + \phi_{1})y_{t-1} - \phi_{1}y_{t-2} + (1 + \Phi_{1})y_{t-4}$$

$$- (1 + \phi_{1} + \Phi_{1} + \phi_{1}\Phi_{1})y_{t-5} + (\phi_{1} + \phi_{1}\Phi_{1})y_{t-6}$$

$$- \Phi_{1}y_{t-8} + (\Phi_{1} + \phi_{1}\Phi_{1})y_{t-9} - \phi_{1}\Phi_{1}y_{t-10}$$

$$+ \varepsilon_{t} + \theta_{1}\varepsilon_{t-1} + \Theta_{1}\varepsilon_{t-4} + \theta_{1}\Theta_{1}\varepsilon_{t-5}.$$

The seasonal part of an AR or MA model will be seen in the seasonal lags of the PACF and ACF.

$ARIMA(0,0,0)(0,0,1)_{12}$ will show:

- a spike at lag 12 in the ACF but no other significant spikes.
- The PACF will show exponential decay in the seasonal lags; that is, at lags 12, 24, 36,


$ARIMA(0,0,0)(1,0,0)_{12}$ will show:

- exponential decay in the seasonal lags of the ACF
- a single significant spike at lag 12 in the PACF.

ARIMA vs ETS

- Myth that ARIMA models are more general than exponential smoothing.
- Linear exponential smoothing models all special cases of ARIMA models.
- Non-linear exponential smoothing models have no equivalent ARIMA counterparts.
- Many ARIMA models have no exponential smoothing counterparts.
- ETS models all non-stationary. Models with seasonality or non-damped trend (or both) have two unit roots; all other models have one unit root.

ARIMA vs ETS



Equivalences

ETS model	ARIMA model	Parameters
ETS(A,N,N)	ARIMA(0,1,1)	$\theta_1 = \alpha - 1$
$ETS(A,\!A,\!N)$	ARIMA(0,2,2)	θ_1 = α + β – 2
		θ_{2} = 1 $-\alpha$
$ETS(A,A_d,N)$	ARIMA(1,1,2)	$\phi_1 = \phi$
		θ_1 = α + $\phi\beta$ $-$ 1 $ \phi$
		$\theta_2 = (1 - \alpha)\phi$
ETS(A,N,A)	$ARIMA(0,0,m)(0,1,0)_m$	
ETS(A,A,A)	$ARIMA(0,1,m+1)(0,1,0)_m$	
ETS(A,A _d ,A)	$ARIMA(1,0,m+1)(0,1,0)_m$	