Resolution Lemma Let S be a CNF formula in Clause form R he a vesolvent of two clause C, E(, in S. The \$ = SUZR} Proof Spetch 1) \$ (SOLK) >> S show SU [r] = S (Trivial) 2800 E SU [R] Pich a Interpretation that model for S.

Sine Rin vesolvent of Cita R= {c,-{i}}, (c,-{i}). In interpretation I eine Lis true on Ci fale. Suprole Lightne. Sina I i model ton 5 therefor I is model for C2-{23 Lin take then Supper Z is a model for (1- {k} Therefore I is a noble for SU {R}.

Proof Shet ch of Completenen of Resolution for Propositional Logic Res (S) = SU{R|R is a resolvent}
of two clauses in S} Define: Res (s) = S Res'(s) = Res (Res'(s)) Res* (s) = U les (c) Theorem 29 5 in ansatrifiable tra ☐ ∈ les * (s). (roof (Shetch) outline) g n=0 her S= {□} · S□ □ ∈ les (5). Amme theorem holds for all sentences hade up of a propositional variables. (It is anumed freat 5 is in laune form. - ie. Conjunct of dinjuncts.)

Let pre not propositional variables be P, 12. - - Png. Pnt1.

Now we show the theorem huld for not vors.

Now we show the two sentences & EST. SF in created tum: From any to disjunct containing Pn+1 delete it. From any disjund containing That, clim delete pre entire dinjunct. For Sy do the exact dual. Claim: SF & ST are both rensaturifiable (why?) i. by induction hypothesis DE Mes * (SF) & D C ren* (ST). So here wast he a proof to derive D in total SF & ST.

Let pre proof for unsatrifallity SE the ST he: ST#2-1 5 m-1 3 No o pot back in proof of

Now put back in the proof of of St in the disjuncts (on clames) used in the proof for which But was deleted. And goods for It is the sack that.

.

(NO through /re same stem 2 you will get the Same Brost Goths. for Sf & ST. SFO São SF' SE OFFICE STE TPAHI {7 (n+1) { B = +1 }