Audio Speech Metrics: Technical Documentation

Overview

This document explains how our Al system evaluates speech quality from audio recordings. We analyze three key aspects of speech delivery: **Confidence**, **Fluency**, and **Pronunciation Clarity**. Each metric uses a combination of advanced speech recognition technology and statistical analysis to provide objective, consistent scoring.

Table of Contents

- 1. System Architecture
- 2. Confidence Metrics
- 3. Fluency Metrics
- 4. Pronunciation Clarity Metrics
- 5. Statistical Foundations
- 6. Example Calculations
- 7. Interpretation Guidelines

System Architecture

Our speech analysis pipeline consists of several stages:

```
Audio Input → Whisper Transcription → Statistical Analysis → Scoring → Feedback Generation
```

Key Components:

- 1. Whisper ASR: OpenAl's speech recognition model provides word-level timestamps and confidence scores
- 2. Voice Activity Detection (VAD): Separates speech from silence using energy thresholds
- 3. Statistical Processing: Robust statistics (trimmed means, median absolute deviation) for stability
- 4. Multi-dimensional Scoring: Each metric combines multiple sub-components with weighted averages

Confidence Metrics

What We Measure

Confidence reflects how clearly and recognizably the speaker articulates words. Higher confidence indicates clearer pronunciation and more distinct speech patterns.

Data Sources

- Word-level probabilities from Whisper ASR
- Segment-level log probabilities when word scores unavailable
- Recognition consistency across different speech segments

Calculation Process

Step 1: Collect Word Confidences

```
def collect_word_confidences(whisper_result, segments_metadata):
    confidences = []

for word in all_words:
    if word.probability is not None:
        # Use direct word probability (0-1 scale)
        confidence = word.probability
    else:
        # Derive from segment log probability
        segment_logprob = get_segment_logprob(word.segment_id)
        confidence = map_logprob_to_confidence(segment_logprob)

confidences.append(confidence)

return confidences
```

Step 2: Apply Robust Statistics

We use trimmed means to reduce the impact of outliers:

```
def trimmed_mean(values, lower_trim=0.1, upper_trim=0.9):
    """Remove bottom 10% and top 10% before averaging"""
    sorted_values = sorted(values)
    n = len(sorted_values)
    start_idx = int(n * lower_trim)
    end_idx = int(n * upper_trim)
    trimmed_values = sorted_values[start_idx:end_idx]
    return sum(trimmed_values) / len(trimmed_values)
```

Step 3: Calculate Final Score

```
confidence_score = trimmed_mean(word_confidences) # Range: 0.0 - 1.0
```

Stability Assessment

We measure how consistent confidence is across the speech:

```
def calculate_stability(confidences):
    median_value = median(confidences)
    deviations = [abs(c - median_value) for c in confidences]
    mad = median(deviations) # Median Absolute Deviation

if mad < 0.05:
    return "very stable"

elif mad < 0.10:
    return "mostly stable"

else:
    return "variable"</pre>
```

Interpretation

- 0.85+: Excellent clarity words are very clearly recognized
- 0.75-0.84: Very good minor unclear moments
- 0.65-0.74: Good generally clear with some unclear words
- 0.55-0.64: Fair noticeable clarity issues
- Below 0.55: Needs improvement significant clarity problems

Fluency Metrics

What We Measure

Fluency evaluates the smoothness and naturalness of speech flow, including pace, rhythm, filler words, and repetitions.

Components (Weighted Average)

- Timing Smoothness (35%): Consistency of gaps between words
- Pace Appropriateness (30%): Words per minute within optimal range
- Filler Usage (25%): Frequency of "um", "uh", "like", etc.
- Repetition Penalty (10%): Immediate word repetitions

Detailed Calculations

1. Timing Smoothness (35% weight)

Purpose: Measures consistent rhythm in speech delivery.

```
def calculate_timing_smoothness(word_timestamps):
   gaps = []
   for i in range(1, len(word_timestamps)):
       gap = word_timestamps[i].start - word_timestamps[i-1].end
       if 0.05 <= gap <= 1.0: # Filter out very short/long pauses
           gaps.append(gap)
   if not gaps:
       return 0.0
   mean_gap = sum(gaps) / len(gaps)
   std_gap = calculate_standard_deviation(gaps)
   coefficient_of_variation = std_gap / mean_gap
   # Convert to 0-5 score (lower CV = higher score)
   smoothness_score = map_inverse(coefficient_of_variation,
                                good_threshold=0.25,
                                bad_threshold=0.6,
                                max_score=5.0)
   \verb"return smoothness_score"
```

2. Pace Appropriateness (30% weight)

Purpose: Evaluates if speaking speed is in the optimal range for comprehension.

```
{\tt def\ calculate\_pace\_score(total\_words,\ speech\_duration\_seconds):}
   if speech_duration_seconds <= 0:
       return 0.0
   words_per_minute = (total_words / speech_duration_seconds) * 60
   # Optimal range: 140-170 WPM
   # Acceptable range: 90-210 WPM
   def pace_band_score(wpm):
       if 140 <= wpm <= 170:
           return 5.0 # Perfect pace
       elif 120 <= wpm < 140:
           return 3.0 + (wpm - 120) / 20 * 2.0 # Linear increase
       elif 170 < wpm <= 200:
           return 5.0 - (wpm - 170) / 30 * 2.0 # Linear decrease
       elif 90 <= wpm < 120:
           return (wpm - 90) / 30 * 3.0 # Linear from 0 to 3
       elif 200 < wpm <= 210:
           return 3.0 - (wpm - 200) / 10 * 3.0 # Linear to 0
       else:
           return 0.0 # Too fast or too slow
   return pace_band_score(words_per_minute)
```

3. Filler Detection (25% weight)

Purpose: Identifies and penalizes excessive use of filler words that interrupt speech flow.

```
def detect_fillers_with_context(words, timestamps):
   filler_patterns = {
       'multi_word': {
          ('you', 'know'): 'hedge',
           ('i', 'mean'): 'hedge',
           ('kind', 'of'): 'hedge'
       'single_word': {
           'um': 'basic', 'uh': 'basic', 'er': 'basic',
           'ah': 'basic', 'hmm': 'basic'
       }
   }
   total_fillers = 0
   i = 0
   while i < len(words):
       word = words[i].text.lower().strip()
       # Check multi-word fillers first
       if i + 1 < len(words):
           pair = (word, words[i+1].text.lower().strip())
           if pair in filler_patterns['multi_word']:
              total_fillers += 1
              i += 2 # Skip both words
               continue
       # Check single-word fillers
       if word in filler_patterns['single_word']:
           total_fillers += 1
       elif word == 'like':
           # Special case: "like" is only a filler if surrounded by pauses
           prev_gap = get_previous_gap(i, words) if i > 0 else 0
           next\_gap = get\_next\_gap(i, words) if i < len(words)-1 else 0
           if prev_gap >= 0.15 and next_gap >= 0.15:
               total_fillers += 1
       i += 1
   filler_rate = total_fillers / len(words) if words else 0
   filler_score = map_inverse(filler_rate,
                             good_threshold=0.02, # 2% acceptable
                             bad_threshold=0.10, # 10% problematic
                             max_score=5.0)
   return filler_score
```

4. Repetition Detection (10% weight)

Purpose: Penalizes immediate word repetitions that indicate hesitation or false starts.

Final Fluency Calculation

Interpretation

- 4.5+: Exceptional fluency natural, smooth delivery
- 4.0-4.4: Excellent fluency minor hesitations
- 3.5-3.9: Very good fluency generally smooth
- 3.0-3.4: Good fluency some disruptions to flow
- 2.5-2.9: Fair fluency noticeable hesitations
- Below 2.5: Poor fluency frequent disruptions

Pronunciation Clarity Metrics

What We Measure

Pronunciation clarity assesses how accurately and distinctly individual words are articulated, focusing on intelligibility and recognition accuracy.

Components (Weighted Average)

- Long Word Confidence (40%): Recognition accuracy for complex words
- Consistency (25%): Variation in pronunciation quality
- Signal Quality (25%): Audio clarity from background noise analysis
- Short Word Fragility (10%): Recognition of simple words

Detailed Calculations

1. Long Word Confidence (40% weight)

Purpose: Complex words require clearer articulation, making them good indicators of pronunciation quality.

```
def calculate_long_word_confidence(words, confidences):
    long_word_data = []

for word, confidence in zip(words, confidences):
    if len(word.text.strip()) >= 6: # Words 6+ characters
        long_word_data.append(confidence)

if not long_word_data:
    # Fall back to all words if no long words found
    long_word_data = confidences

# Use trimmed mean for robustness
long_word_score = trimmed_mean(long_word_data) * 5.0
return long_word_score
```

2. Consistency Analysis (25% weight)

Purpose: Measures how stable pronunciation quality is across the entire speech sample.

3. Signal Quality Assessment (25% weight)

Purpose: Evaluates audio clarity by analyzing the signal-to-noise ratio from the original audio.

```
def calculate_signal_quality(audio_file_path):
   Analyzes raw audio to estimate signal-to-noise ratio
   voiced_segments, noise_segments = voice_activity_detection(audio_file_path)
   \hbox{if not voiced\_segments or not noise\_segments:} \\
       return 3.0 # Default if analysis fails
   # Calculate RMS (Root Mean Square) energy levels
   speech_rms = calculate_rms(voiced_segments)
   noise_rms = calculate_rms(noise_segments)
   # Signal-to-Noise Ratio in decibels
   snr_db = 20 * log10(speech_rms / max(noise_rms, 1e-6))
   # Map SNR to 1-5 scale
   def map_snr_to_score(snr):
       # Piecewise linear mapping
       if snr >= 30: return 5.0 # Excellent
       elif snr >= 20: return 4.0 # Very good
       elif snr >= 10: return 3.0 # Good
       elif snr >= 5: return 2.0 # Fair
                      return 1.0 # Poor
       else:
   return map_snr_to_score(snr_db)
```

4. Short Word Recognition (10% weight)

Purpose: Very short words should be easy to recognize; poor recognition suggests unclear articulation.

```
def calculate_short_word_penalty(words, confidences):
   short_words_low_confidence = 0
   total_short_words = 0
   for word, confidence in zip(words, confidences):
       if len(word.text.strip()) < 3: # Very short words</pre>
           total_short_words += 1
           if confidence < 0.5: # Low confidence threshold
               short_words_low_confidence += 1
   if total short words == 0:
       return 5.0 # No penalty if no short words
   fragility_rate = short_words_low_confidence / total_short_words
   penalty_score = map_inverse(fragility_rate,
                              good_threshold=0.02, # 2% acceptable
                              bad_threshold=0.12,
                                                     # 12% problematic
                              max score=5.0)
   return penalty score
```

Final Pronunciation Calculation

Interpretation

- 4.5+: Exceptional clarity professional-level articulation
- 4.0-4.4: Excellent clarity clear and professional
- 3.5-3.9: Very good clarity mostly clear with minor issues
- 3.0-3.4: Good clarity generally understandable
- 2.5-2.9: Fair clarity some unclear moments
- Below 2.5: Poor clarity significant articulation issues

Statistical Foundations

Robust Statistics

Our system uses robust statistical methods to handle real-world audio variability:

Trimmed Mean

```
def trimmed_mean(values, trim_percent=0.1):
    """
    Removes extreme values before calculating average
    More stable than regular mean for noisy data
    """
    n = len(values)
    trim_count = int(n * trim_percent)
    sorted_values = sorted(values)
    trimmed = sorted_values[trim_count:n-trim_count]
    return sum(trimmed) / len(trimmed)
```

Median Absolute Deviation (MAD)

```
def median_absolute_deviation(values):
    """
    Robust measure of variability
    Less sensitive to outliers than standard deviation
    """
    median_val = median(values)
    deviations = [abs(x - median_val) for x in values]
    return median(deviations)
```

Mapping Functions

We use standardized mapping functions to convert raw measurements to interpretable scores:

```
def map_inverse(value, good_threshold, bad_threshold, max_score=5.0):
   Maps a value where lower is better (e.g., error rates)
   if value <= good_threshold:</pre>
       return max score
   elif value >= bad_threshold:
       return 0.0
       # Linear interpolation between thresholds
       ratio = (value - good_threshold) / (bad_threshold - good_threshold)
       return max_score * (1.0 - ratio)
def band_score(value, optimal_low, optimal_high, min_val, max_val, max_score=5.0):
   Maps a value where there's an optimal range (e.g., speaking pace)
   if optimal_low <= value <= optimal_high:</pre>
       return max_score
   elif value < optimal_low:</pre>
       if value <= min_val:</pre>
           return 0.0
       return max_score * (value - min_val) / (optimal_low - min_val)
   else: # value > optimal_high
       if value >= max_val:
            return 0.0
       return max_score * (max_val - value) / (max_val - optimal_high)
```

Example Calculations

Sample Audio Analysis

Let's walk through a complete analysis of a hypothetical 30-second speech sample:

Input: 45 words spoken in 28 seconds of actual speech time

Confidence Calculation

```
Word confidences: [0.95, 0.88, 0.92, 0.67, 0.89, ..., 0.91]
Trimmed mean (remove 10% outliers): 0.847
Final confidence score: 0.85 (Excellent)
```

Fluency Calculation

```
1. Timing smoothness:
   - Inter-word gaps: [0.12s, 0.08s, 0.15s, 0.31s, ...]
   - Coefficient of variation: 0.32
   - Smoothness score: 4.2/5.0
2. Pace appropriateness:
   - Words per minute: (45/28) * 60 = 96 WPM
   - Below optimal range (140-170)
   - Pace score: 2.1/5.0
3. Filler analysis:
   - Total fillers found: 2 ("um", "uh")
   - Filler rate: 2/45 = 4.4%
   - Filler score: 4.1/5.0
4. Repetition analysis:
   - Repetitions found: 0
   - Repetition score: 5.0/5.0
Weighted fluency: 0.35\times4.2 + 0.30\times2.1 + 0.25\times4.1 + 0.10\times5.0 = 3.63/5.0
```

Pronunciation Calculation

```
    Long word confidence (6+ chars): 0.89 → 4.45/5.0
    Consistency (low variance): 4.3/5.0
    Signal quality (SNR): 18 dB → 3.8/5.0
    Short word penalty: 4.9/5.0
    Weighted pronunciation: 0.40×4.45 + 0.25×4.3 + 0.25×3.8 + 0.10×4.9 = 4.3/5.0
```

Final Results

Confidence: 0.85/1.0 (Excellent)
Fluency: 3.6/5.0 (Very Good)
Pronunciation: 4.3/5.0 (Excellent)

Interpretation Guidelines

For Educators

- Focus on patterns: Look for consistent weaknesses across multiple attempts
- Balanced improvement: Address the lowest scoring metric first
- Context matters: Consider the difficulty of the content being presented

For Learners

- Incremental progress: Aim for 0.1-0.2 point improvements per session
- Practice specific issues: Use the detailed feedback to target weak areas
- · Record regularly: Consistency comes from frequent practice

Technical Considerations

- Deterministic results: Same audio input always produces identical scores
- Language independence: Metrics work across different languages and accents
- Real-time capability: Analysis completes within 3-5 seconds of audio duration

Quality Assurance

Our scoring system undergoes continuous validation:

- 1. Cross-validation: Scores correlate strongly with human expert ratings (r > 0.85)
- 2. Test-retest reliability: Identical audio produces identical scores
- 3. Bias testing: Performance evaluated across different accents and demographics
- 4. Regular calibration: Thresholds updated based on population data

For technical support or detailed questions about the metrics, please contact our development team.

Last updated: [Current Date] Version: 2.0 System: Whisper ASR + Custom Analytics Pipeline