Double Turán Problem

Ray Tsai

November 2024

Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 Definitions and Notation

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. Let $V(G) = V$ denote the vertex set and $E(G) = E$ denote the edge set of G. We note by $v(G) = |V|$ the number of vertices and $e(G) = |E|$ the number of edges in G. For vertex $v \in V(G)$, we denote by $N_G(v) = \{u \in V(G) : \{u, v\} \in E(G)\}$ the neighborhood of v.

Given $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ subgraphs of G, we denote $G_{i_1, \ldots, i_k}$ as the subgraph of G with edge set $E(G_{i_1, \ldots, i_k}) = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^{k} E(G_\alpha)$.

In this thesis, we reserve n to denote the number of vertices in a graph. Given a graph F, we denote $\mathrm{ex}(n, F)$ to be the extremal number for F on a graph with n vertices, i.e. the maximum number of edges in a n-vertex graph that does not contain F as a subgraph.

We call a n-vertex complete graph K_n, and a complete bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$, where a, b are the size of its parts. We denote P_n as a path with n edges, and C_n as a cycle with n edges. Given graph G, H, define $G + H$ as the graph fully connecting G, H, i.e. $V(G+H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$ and $E(G+H) = E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{\{u, v\} : u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\}$.

We also denote the set of first n positive integers as $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Given a set X, we denote 2^X as the power set of X.

1.2 Problem Statement

Given graph G with n vertices, let $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ be subgraphs of G. Let F be a graph with at least one edge. Our goal is to determine the maximum sum of the number of edges over all G_i's, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i)$, with the constraint of $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ not including some graph F for all distinct i, j.

In this thesis, we first consider the case where $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ are induced subgraphs, and then shift our focus to the general case. At the end, we will discuss the case where F is bipartite.

2 Induced Case

In this section, we assume that $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ are induced subgraphs of G. We first show a simpler case where F is a triangle.

2.1 Triangle F

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ does not include K_3 for distinct i, j. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \leq n \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor,$$

with equality if and only if $G_1 = G_2 = \cdots = G_n = K_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$.

First we prove the case for two graphs:

Lemma 2.1.1. *Suppose $E(G_1) \cap E(G_2)$ does not include K_3. Then*

$$e(G_1) + e(G_2) \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor,$$

with equality if and only if $G_1 = G_2 = K_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$, unless n is odd and $G_1 = K_{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}$ and $G_2 = K_{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} + K_1$.

Proof. Let $C = V(G_1) \cap V(G_2)$, $A = V(G_1) \backslash C$, and $B = V(G_2) \backslash C$. Put $a = |A|$, $b = |B|$, and $c = |C|$. We may assume that $a + b + c = n$.

We find an upper bound for $e(G_1) + e(G_2)$ with respect to a, b, c. Since G_1, G_2 are induced graphs, $\{u, v\} \in E(G_1)$ if and only if $\{u, v\} \in E(G_2)$, for $u, v \in C$, and thus $E(G_1[C]) = E(G_2[C]) = E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$. But then $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ is triangle-free, so $e(G_1[C]) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{c^2}{4} \right\rfloor$, with equality if and only if $G_1[C] = K_{\lceil \frac{c}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{c}{2} \rfloor}$. Hence,

$$e(G_1) + e(G_2) \leq \binom{a+c}{2} + \binom{b+c}{2} - 2 \left[\binom{c}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{c^2}{4} \right\rfloor \right]. \tag{2.1}$$

Define $f(a, b, c)$ as the function on the right-hand-side of (2.1). We show that $f(a, b, c)$

3

attains its maximum $2 \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor$ at $a = b = 0$ and $c = n$. For $b \geq 2$,

$$f(a, b-2, c+2) - f(a, b, c) = \binom{a+c+2}{2} - \binom{a+c}{2}$$
$$- 2\left[\binom{c+2}{2} - \binom{c}{2} - \left\lfloor \frac{(c+2)^2}{4} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{c^2}{4} \right\rfloor \right]$$
$$= 2(a+c) + 1 - 2[2c + 1 - (c+1)]$$
$$= 2a + 1 > 0.$$

By symmetry, $f(a-2, b, c+2) > f(a, b, c)$, and thus f attains its maximum when c is $n-1$ or n, that is, $a + b \leq 1$. Equation (2.1) now yields,

$$e(G_1) + e(G_2) \leq 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Assume that $a = 0$. When $c = n$, the equality holds only if $G_1 = G_2 = K_{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}$. If $c = n-1$, then the equality holds only if n is odd, $G_1 = G[C] = K_{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}$ and G_2 is a copy of G_1 with all vertices adjacent to the only remaining vertex, i.e. $G_2 = G_1 + K_1$. $\square$

We now prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Assume that $n > 1$. Put $G_{n+i} = G_i$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (e(G_i) + e(G_{i+1})) \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor = n \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Suppose the equality holds. By Lemma 3.2, we are done if n is even. Suppose n is odd and $G_i = K_{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} + K_1$ for some i. By applying Lemma 3.2 repeatedly,

$$G_i = K_{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} + K_1$$
$$G_{i+1} = K_{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}$$
$$G_{i+2} = K_{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} + K_1$$
$$\vdots$$

and the alternation proceeds. But then $G_{n+i} = G_i = K_{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}$ as n is odd, and this contradiction completes the proof. $\square$

2.2 Non-bipartite F

Theorem 2.2. *Let F be $(r+1)$-colorable, with $r \geq 2$. Suppose that $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ is F-free for distinct i, j. For large enough n,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \leq n \cdot \mathrm{ex}(n, F),$$

with equality if and only if $G_1 = G_2 = \cdots = G_n$ are n-vertex extremal graphs for F.

By the same argument as in Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 2.2.1. *Let F be $(r+1)$-colorable, with $r \geq 2$. Suppose $E(G_1) \cap E(G_2)$ does not include F. For large enough n,*

$$e(G_1) + e(G_2) \leq 2 \cdot \mathrm{ex}(n, F),$$

with equality if and only if $G_1 = G_2$ are n-vertex extremal graphs for F, unless n is odd, G_1 is an $(n-1)$-vertex extremal graph for F, and $G_2 = G_1 + K_1$.

Proof. Let $C = V(G_1) \cap V(G_2)$, $A = V(G_1) \backslash C$, and $B = V(G_2) \backslash C$. Put $a = |A|$, $b = |B|$, $c = |C|$. Since G_1, G_2 are induced graphs, $E(G_1[C]) = E(G_2[C]) = E(G[C]) = E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$, which is F-free. Hence,

$$e(G_1) + e(G_2) \leq \binom{a+c}{2} + \binom{b+c}{2} - 2\left[\binom{c}{2} - \mathrm{ex}(c, F)\right]. \tag{2.2}$$

Define $f(a, b, c)$ as the function on the right-hand-side of (2.2). We show that $f(a, b, c)$ attains its maximum at $a = b = 0$ and $c = n$.

Claim 1. *If $c \leq \frac{n}{2}$, then $f(a, b, c) < 2 \cdot \mathrm{ex}(n, F)$.*

Proof. Write $c = kn$ for some $k \in [0, 1/2]$. Since

$$f(a, b, kn) \leq 2\binom{(1-k)n/2}{2} - 2\left[\binom{kn}{2} - \mathrm{ex}(kn, F)\right],$$

it suffices to show that

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, F) - \mathrm{ex}(c, F) > \binom{(1-k)n/2}{2} - \binom{kn}{2}$$

for all $k \in [0, 1/2]$. By the Erdős-Stone theorem, $\mathrm{ex}(n, F) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ and so the left-hand-side is at least

$$\mathrm{ex}(n, F) - \mathrm{ex}(c, F) \geq \mathrm{ex}(n, F) - \mathrm{ex}(n/2, F) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)\left(\frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n^2}{8}\right) - o(n^2) \geq \frac{3n^2}{16} - o(n^2).$$

On the right-hand-side,

$$\binom{(1-k)n/2}{2} - \binom{kn}{2} = (1 - 2k - 3k^2)\frac{n^2}{8} + o(n^2) \leq \frac{n^2}{8} + o(n^2).$$

Combining the above inequalities now yields the claim, as n is large. $\qquad\square$

Thus we may assume that $c > \frac{n}{2}$. A theorem of Simonovits states that for large enough n, $\mathrm{ex}(n, F) = \mathrm{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) + \mathrm{ex}(n, \tilde{F})$, where $\tilde{F}$ is the family of residue subgraphs of F after F is embedded into $T_r(n)$. This implies

$$\mathrm{ex}(n+1, F) - \mathrm{ex}(n, F) \geq \mathrm{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - \mathrm{ex}(n+1, K_{r+1}),$$

and so

$$f(a, b-2, c+2) - f(a, b, c) \geq 2a - 2c - 1 + 2[\mathrm{ex}(c+2, K_{r+1}) - \mathrm{ex}(c, K_{r+1})].$$

5

Since $\mathrm{ex}(c+1, K_{r+1}) - \mathrm{ex}(c, K_{r+1}) \geq c - \lfloor \frac{c}{r} \rfloor \geq c - \lfloor \frac{c}{2} \rfloor$, we have

$$\mathrm{ex}(c+2, K_{r+1}) - \mathrm{ex}(c, K_{r+1}) \geq c + 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{c+1}{2} \right\rfloor + c - \left\lfloor \frac{c}{2} \right\rfloor = c + 1,$$

and thus

$$f(a, b-2, c+2) - f(a, b, c) \geq 2a + 1 > 0.$$

By symmetry, we also have $f(a-2, b, c+2) > f(a, b, c)$. Thus, f attains its maximum when c is $n-1$ or n. Equation (2.2) now yields,

$$e(G_1) + e(G_2) \leq \max\left[2 \cdot \mathrm{ex}(n, F), 2 \cdot \mathrm{ex}(n-1, F) + n - 1\right].$$

Assume that $a = 0$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \cdot \mathrm{ex}(n, F) - [2 \cdot \mathrm{ex}(n-1, F) + n - 1] \\
\geq 2[\mathrm{ex}(n, K_{r+1}) - \mathrm{ex}(n-1, K_{r+1})] - n + 1 \quad\quad (2.3) \\
= 2\left(n - \left\lceil \frac{n}{r} \right\rceil\right) - n + 1 \\
\geq n + 1 - 2\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \geq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$e(G_1) + e(G_2) \leq 2 \cdot \mathrm{ex}(n, F).$$

If $c = n$, the equality holds only if $G_1 = G_2$ are n-vertex extramal graphs for F. Suppose $c = n-1$ and the equality holds. Observe that equation (2.3) is equal to zero only when $r = 2$ and n is odd. Thus if $c = n-1$, then the equality could only be achieved when $r = 2$, n is odd, G_1 is an $(n-1)$-vertex extremal graph for F, and $G_2 = G_1 + K_1$. $\quad\square$

3   General Case

We now relax the assumption that $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ are induced subgraphs. The trivial construction of putting $G_1 = K_n$ and $G_2, \ldots, G_m$ to be extremal graphs for F yields the lower bound

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i) = \binom{n}{2} + (m-1)\mathrm{ex}(n, F). \tag{3.1}$$

In this section we examine whether this bound is tight. The following is an asymptotic result on the number of G_i's:

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ does not include r-vertex graph F for distinct i, j. Then for large enough n,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i) \le m(1 + o(1))\mathrm{ex}(n, F),$$

as $m \to \infty$.

Proof. Pick $\epsilon > 0$. Reorder $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ so that $G_1, \ldots, G_{m'}$ are all the G_i's containing at least $(1 + \epsilon)\mathrm{ex}(n, F)$ edges. A theorem of Simonovits states that G contains at least δn^r copies of F for some $\delta = \delta(\epsilon)$. Since there can be at most $\binom{n}{r}$ copies of F across all G_i's,

$$m' \delta n^r \le \binom{n}{r} \le n^r \implies m' \le \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

It now follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m'} e(G_i) + \sum_{i=m'+1}^{m} e(G_i)$$

$$\le \frac{1}{\delta}\binom{n}{2} + \left(m - \frac{1}{\delta}\right)(1 + \epsilon)\mathrm{ex}(n, F)$$

$$= m\left[1 + \epsilon + \frac{1}{m\delta}\left(\frac{\binom{n}{2}}{\mathrm{ex}(n, F)} - (1 + \epsilon)\right)\right]\mathrm{ex}(n, F).$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, the result follows. $\qquad\square$

3.1   Triangle F

Consider F to be a triangle. Simply counting the number of triangles in each G_i shows the following:

Theorem 3.2. *For any $\epsilon > 0$, if $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ does not include K_3 for distinct i, j, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i) < m(1+\epsilon)\frac{n^2}{4} + (1-\epsilon)\frac{n^2}{2\epsilon}.$$

Claim 2. *There are less than $\frac{2}{\epsilon}$ number of G_i's with $e(G_i) \geq (1+\epsilon)\frac{n^2}{4}$.*

Proof. Suppose $e(G_i) \geq (1+\epsilon)\frac{n^2}{4}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $K_3(G)$ denote the number of triangles in graph G. By the Moon-Moser inequality,

$$K_3(G_i) \geq \epsilon(1+\epsilon)\frac{n^3}{12}.$$

Since there are no overlapping traingles from different G_i's,

$$\binom{n}{3} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} K_3(G_i) \geq \frac{\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}{12}kn^3.$$

Rearranging yields $k < \frac{2}{\epsilon}$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

By the claim,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i) < \frac{2}{\epsilon}\binom{n}{2} + \left(m - \frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)(1+\epsilon)\frac{n^2}{4} \leq m(1+\epsilon)\frac{n^2}{4} + (1-\epsilon)\frac{n^2}{2\epsilon},$$

which proves Theorem 3.2.

It can be easily shown that the bound in Theorem 3.2 is tight when $m = 2$, as

$$e(G_1) + e(G_2) \leq \binom{n}{2} + e(G_{1,2}) \leq \binom{n}{2} + \left\lfloor\frac{n^2}{4}\right\rfloor.$$

This result is also true for $m = 3$:

Proposition 3.3. *Let G_1, G_2, G_3 be subgraphs of some G such that no triangle is contained in any two graphs, then*

$$e(G_1) + e(G_2) + e(G_3) \leq \binom{n}{2} + \frac{n^2}{2}.$$

Proof. Define $H_k \subseteq G$ be the graph with edges contained in at least k number of G_i's and note that $e(G_1) + e(G_2) + e(G_3) = e(H_1) + e(H_2) + e(H_3)$. Thus it suffices to show that $e(H_2) + e(H_3) \leq \frac{n^2}{2}$. Notice H_2 must not contain any triangles with two edges in H_3, so

$$e(H_2) + e(H_3) \leq \binom{n}{2} + e(H_3) - |\{\{u, v\} : u \neq v, N_{H_3}(u) \cap N_{H_3}(v) \neq \emptyset\}|.$$

Let H_3' be the graph with the same vertex set as H_3 and edge set $\{\{u,v\} : u \neq v, N_{H_3}(u) \cap N_{H_3}(v) \neq \emptyset\}$. It suffices to show that $\frac{n}{2} \geq e(H_3) - e(H_3')$.

Let $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \cdots \geq d_n$ and $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \cdots \geq f_n$ each be the degree sequence of H_3 and H_3', respectively. We show that $f_i \geq d_i - 1$ for all i. Let v_i denote the vertex in H with degree d_i and u_i be the vertex in H with degree f_i. Let $S_i = |N_{H_3}(v_1) \cup \cdots \cup N_{H_3}(v_i)|$. Since

$$\sum_{u \in S_i} d_{H_3}(u) \geq d_1 + \cdots + d_i,$$

we have that $|S_i| \geq i$. But then $S_i \backslash \{u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}\}$ is non-empty, and every $u \in S_i$ has degree $d_{H_3'}(u) \geq d_i - 1$. Hence, $f_i \geq d_i - 1$ for all i, which yields

$$e(H_3') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1) = e(H_3) - \frac{n}{2}.$$

$\square$

However, the bound in Proposition 3.1 is not tight for $m \geq 4$, as shown in the following graph:

The number on each edge denotes the set of G_i's that contain the edge.

The above graph contains 29 edges, which exceeds the bound $\binom{5}{2} + 3\lfloor \frac{5^2}{4} \rfloor = 28$ by 1. By blowing up the above graph, we can construct a graph with $n \in 10\mathbb{Z}$ vertices that contains

$$5 \binom{n/5}{2} + 29 \cdot \frac{(n/5)^2}{4}$$

edges, which exceeds the bound $\binom{n}{2} + 3\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$ by $n^2/100$.

3.2 Bipartite F

In this section, we discuss the case where F is bipartite. In particular, we focus on the cases where $F \subseteq K_{2,2}$ is P_2, a path of length 2, or M_2, a matching with two edges.

Theorem 3.4. *Given graph G and $G_1, \cdots, G_m$ subgraphs of G with $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ not containing P_2 for distinct i, j. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m e(G_i) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1) \right) \frac{n^2 \sqrt{m}}{2},$$

9

$n \to \infty$ *or* $m \to \infty$.

Proof. Since there are no overlapping P_2's in different G_i's,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \#\{P_2 \subseteq G_i\} \leq \#\{P_2 \subseteq G\}$$

For each G_i, each vertex v in G_i and two of its neighbors form one unique P_2, so

$$\#\{P_2 \subseteq G_i\} = \sum_{v \in V(G_i)} \binom{d_{G_i}(v)}{2}.$$

And by Jensen's inequality,

$$\sum_{v \in V(G_i)} \binom{d_{G_i}(v)}{2} \geq n \binom{d_{G_i}(v)/n}{2} = n \binom{2e(G_i)/n}{2} \geq \frac{2(e(G_i))^2}{n} - e(G_i).$$

On the other hand, since each three vertices in G can form at most three P_2's,

$$\#\{P_2 \subseteq G\} \leq 3\binom{n}{3} \leq \frac{n^3}{2}.$$

Combining the above inequalities yields

$$\frac{2m}{n}\left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i)\right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i) \overset{Jensen's}{\leq} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{2(e(G_i))^2}{n} - e(G_i) \leq \frac{n^3}{2},$$

and solving the quadratic equation gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i) \leq mn \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{4n^2/m + 1}}{4} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right)\frac{n^2\sqrt{m}}{2},$$

as $n \to \infty$ or $m \to \infty$. $\qquad\qquad\square$

When $n = m$, the above bound is tight asymptotically, as shown by the following construction using projective planes.

Theorem 3.5. *Given graph G and $G_1, \cdots, G_m$ subgraphs of G with $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ not containing P_2 for distinct i, j. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1)\right)n^{5/2},$$

as $n \to \infty$. This bound is tight asymptotically.

Proof. The upper bound is obtained by Theorem 3.4, so it suffices to give a construction to show the tightness of the bound. Consider a finite projective plane of order q. The projective plane has $n = q^2 + q + 1$ points and n lines. Let $S_1, \ldots, S_n \subseteq [n]$ be the n lines of the projective plane. Note that each line S_i contains $q + 1$ points, and the intersection of any two distinct lines S_i, S_j contains $|S_i \cap S_j| = 1$ point. Define $G_1, \ldots, G_n$

to be graphs on $[n]$, each with edge set $E(G_i) = \{\{j, k\} \subseteq [n] : j \neq k, j + k \in S_i \mod n\}$. Note that the intersection of distinct G_i, G_j is P_2 free: since $|S_i \cap S_j| = 1$, if $\{a, b\}, \{a, c\} \in E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$, then $a + b = a + c$ so $b = c$. We now count the number of edges in $G_1, \ldots, G_n$. Since $|S_i| = q + 1$, for each point $j \in [n]$, there are $q + 1$ choices for $k \in [n]$ such that $j + k \in S_i$. But then we have to avoid counting the same edge twice and loops, so the number of edges in G_i is

$$e(G_i) = \frac{n(q + 1) - \#\text{loops counted for } G_i}{2}.$$

If $j \in [n]$ is even, then $k = j/2$ is the unique number in $[n]$ such that $k + k = j \mod n$. If $j \in [n]$ is odd, then $k = (n + j)/2$ is the unique number in $[n]$ such that $k + k = j \mod n$, as n is even. Hence, for each $j \in S_i$, there exists a unique $k \in [n]$ such that $k + k = j \mod n$, and thus

$$\#\text{loops counted for } G_i = |S_i| = q + 1.$$

The number of edges in $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ is then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) = n \cdot \frac{n(q + 1) - (q + 1)}{2} = \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1) \right) n^{5/2},$$

as $n \to \infty$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad$ $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *Given graph G and $G_1, \cdots, G_n$ subgraphs of G with $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ not containing M_2 for distinct i, j. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \leq n^{5/2}.$$

Proof. Notice that $\#\{M_2 \subseteq G\} = \binom{e(G_i)}{2}$. On the other hand, each four vertices in G can form at most three M_2's, so $\#\{M_2 \subseteq G\} \leq 3\binom{n}{4} \leq \frac{n^4}{8}$. By the same argument as in Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{e(G_i)}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \#\{M_2 \subseteq G_i\} \leq \#\{M_2 \subseteq G\} \leq \frac{n^4}{8}.$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{e(G_i)}{2} \geq n \binom{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i)/n}{2} = \frac{1}{2n} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \right)^2 - n \sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \right].$$

Combining the above inequalities yields

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \right)^2 - n \sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \leq \frac{n^5}{4},$$

and solving the quadratic inequality gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \leq n^{5/2}.$$

$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad$ $\square$

We may obtain a tight bound if we forbid both P_2 and M_2 at the same time:

Theorem 3.7. *Given graphs $G_1, \ldots, G_n \subseteq K_n$ with $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ not containing P_2 or M_2 for distinct i, j. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \leq n^2 - n,$$

and this bound is tight.

Proof. Denote the set of G_i's as $\{G_i\} = \{G_1, \ldots, G_n\}$, and the set of distinct pairs of G_i's as $\{G_i\}^2 = \{\{G_j, G_k\} : j \neq k\}$. Consider the bipartite graph H with vertex set $V(H) = \{G_i\} \sqcup E(K_n)$ and edge set $E(H) = \{\{G_j, e\} \in \{G_i\} \times E(K_n) : e \in G_j\}$. Define $\phi : \{G_i\}^2 \to 2^{E(K_n)}$ by sending each $\{G_j, G_k\}$ to their common edge set $E(G_j) \cap E(G_k)$. Notice that each distinct G_j, G_k have at most one edge in common, so $|\phi(G_j, G_k)| \leq 1$. On the other hand, each edge $e \in E(G)$ can be obtained via ϕ by $\binom{d_H(e)}{2}$ possible distinct pairs (G_j, G_k), and thus $|\phi^{-1}(e)| = \binom{d_H(e)}{2}$. But then

$$\binom{n}{2} \geq \sum_{(G_j, G_k) \in \{G_i\}^2} |\phi(G_j, G_k)| = \sum_{e \in E(K_n)} |\phi^{-1}(e)| = \sum_{e \in E(K_n)} \binom{d_H(e)}{2}.$$

By Jensen's inequality,

$$\sum_{e \in E(K_n)} \binom{d_H(e)}{2} \geq \binom{n}{2} \binom{\sum_{e \in E(K_n)} d_H(e) / \binom{n}{2}}{2} = \binom{n}{2} \binom{\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) / \binom{n}{2}}{2}.$$

Combining the above inequalities yields

$$2\binom{n}{2}^2 \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i) \right)^2 - \binom{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e(G_i),$$

and the result now follows from solving the quadratic inequality.

To see that this bound is tight, consider the construction such that for each distinct $i, j \in [n]$, $E(G_i) \cap E(G_j)$ contains exactly one unique edge $e \in K_n$. The number of edges in this construction is $2\binom{n}{2} = n^2 - n$. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 3.8. *Let F be a graph such that there exists extremal F-free subgraph H on n vertices that have maximum degree $\Delta(H) = o(n^{1/2})$. For large enough n, if $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ are graphs on common vertex set $[n]$ such that no copy of F is contained in any k of the G_i's, then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i) = (k-1)\binom{n}{2} + \mathrm{ex}(n, F)\binom{m}{k},$$

and the bound is tight.

TODO: add an explicit condition on how small m relative to n.

Proof. For $S \subseteq [m]$, let E_S denote the set of edges that are contained in exactly $\{G_i\}_{i \in S}$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} e(G_i) = \sum_{S \subseteq [m]} |S||E_S| \leq (k-1)\binom{n}{2} + \sum_{S \subseteq [m], |S| \geq k} (|S| - k + 1)|E_S|.$$

Let $A_S = \bigcup_{T \supseteq S} E_T$, i.e. the set of edges that are contained in all G_i with $i \in S$. When $|S| \geq k$, the edge set A_S is F-free and thus

$$\sum_{T \supseteq S} |E_T| \leq \mathrm{ex}(n, F).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [m] \\ |S| \geq k}} (|S| - k + 1)|E_S| = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [m], \, T \subseteq S \\ |S| = k}} \frac{(|T| - k + 1)|E_T|}{\binom{|T|}{k}} \leq \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [m], \, T \subseteq S \\ |S| = k}} |E_T| \leq \binom{m}{k} \mathrm{ex}(n, F),$$

as each $T \in [m]$ with $|T| \geq k$ is counted $\binom{|T|}{k}$ times in total and $|T| - k + 1 \leq \binom{|T|}{k}$. This proves the upper bound.

Now we show the bound is tight. In particular, we need to show there exists a construction such that the graph with edge set E_S is an extremal F-free graph, for all $S \subseteq [m]$ of size k. Let $M = \binom{m}{k}$ and $H_1, \ldots, H_M$ be copies of an extremal F-free graph on n vertices. It suffices to show that we can embed each H_i onto $[n]$ such that their edge sets are pairwise disjoint. We begin by an arbitrary embedding of each H_i and iteratively decrease the number of intersecting edges. Define a (u, v, i)-swap by swapping the embedding of vertex u and v of H_i, i.e. replacing each edge $\{u, w\} \in E(H_i)$ with the edge $\{u, w\}$ and each edge $\{v, w\} \in E(H_i)$ with the edge $\{v, w\}$. This perserves the type of isomorphism of H_i. Given a vertex v, let $N(v) = N_{H_1}(v) \cup \cdots \cup N_{H_M}(v)$. Suppose there exists an intersecting edge $\{u, w\} \in E(H_i) \cap E(H_j)$. Since the maximum degree of each H_i is $o(n^{1/2})$, $|N(u) \cup N(N(u))| = o(n)$ so there exists a vertex $v \notin N(u) \cup N(N(u))$. Since $N(u) \cap N(v) = \emptyset$, performing a (u, v, i)-swap reduces the number of intersecting edges. The result now follows from iterating this process. $\qquad\square$