

# MATH 100B: Homework #1

Due on January 18, 2024 at 12:00pm

*Professor McKernan*

Section A02 6:00PM - 6:50PM

Section Leader: Castellano-Macías

Source Consulted: Textbook, Lecture, Discussion, Office Hour

**Ray Tsai**

A16848188

## Problem 1

Show that any field is an integral domain.

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a field, and let  $a, b \in F$ , such that  $ab = 0$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $a, b \neq 0$ . Since  $F$  is a division ring, there exists  $a^{-1} \in F$ . But this implies  $a^{-1}ab = b = 0$ , contradiction. Thus,  $F$  is an integral domain.  $\square$

**Problem 2**

Fine all matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ .

*Proof.*  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  if and only if  $\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  if and only if  $b = c = 0$ . Thus, only diagonal  $2 \times 2$  matrices meet the requirement.  $\square$

### Problem 3

Let  $R$  be any ring with unit,  $S$  the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over  $R$ .

- (a) Check the associative law of multiplication in  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} g & h \\ k & l \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} \in S$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g & h \\ k & l \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} ag + bk & ah + bl \\ cg + dk & ch + dl \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} agw + bkw + ahy + bly & agx + bky + ahz + blz \\ cgw + dkw + chy + dly & cgy + dkx + chz + dlz \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} g & h \\ k & l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} gw + hy & gx + hz \\ kw + ly & kx + lz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} agw + bkw + ahy + bly & agx + bky + ahz + blz \\ cgw + dkw + chy + dly & cgy + dkx + chz + dlz \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

the associative law is met.  $\square$

- (b) Show that  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in R \right\}$  is a subring of  $S$ .

*Proof.* We name the set  $L$ .  $L$  contains the unit, namely the identity matrix. It suffices to check that  $L$  is closed under addition, additive inverses, and multiplication. Let  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} g & h \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} \in L$ . Since

$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} g & h \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x+g & y+h \\ 0 & z+k \end{pmatrix} \in L$ ,  $L$  is closed under addition. Since there exists  $\begin{pmatrix} -x & -y \\ 0 & -z \end{pmatrix} \in L$  such that  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -x & -y \\ 0 & -z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $L$  is closed under taking additive inverse. Since  $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g & h \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xg & xh + yk \\ 0 & zk \end{pmatrix} \in L$ ,  $L$  is closed under multiplication. Therefore,  $L$  is a subring.  $\square$

- (c) Show that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$  has an inverse in  $S$  if and only if  $a$  and  $c$  have inverses in  $R$ . In that case write down  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$  explicitly.

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ w & z \end{pmatrix} \in S$ , such that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ w & z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ w & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then,  $\begin{pmatrix} ax + bw & ay + bz \\ cw & cz \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xa & xb + yc \\ wa & wb + zc \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Notice that  $w = 0$ , otherwise  $a = c = 0$  and  $xa = 0 \neq 1$ . Thus, we have  $xa = ax + bw = ax = 1$  and  $cz = wb + zc = zc = 1$ , so  $a, c$  have inverse  $x, z \in R$ , respectively. Since  $ay + bc^{-1} = a^{-1}b + yc = 0$ , we know  $y = -a^{-1}bc^{-1}$ , and so  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & -a^{-1}bc^{-1} \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ .

We now suppose that  $a^{-1}, c^{-1} \in R$ . Then, there exists  $\begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & -a^{-1}bc^{-1} \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in S$ , such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & -a^{-1}bc^{-1} \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & -a^{-1}bc^{-1} \\ 0 & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and we are done.  $\square$

## Problem 4

Let  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined by  $F(a + bi) = a - bi$ . Show that:

- (a)  $F(xy) = F(x)F(y)$  for  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = a + bi, y = c + di \in \mathbb{C}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} F(xy) &= F[(a + bi)(c + di)] \\ &= F(ac - bd + (ad + bc)i) \\ &= ac - bd - (ad + bc)i \\ &= (a - bi)(c - di) = F(x)F(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

- (b)  $F(x\bar{x}) = |x|^2$ .

*Proof.*

$$F(x\bar{x}) = F((a + bi)(a - bi)) = F(a^2 + b^2) = |x|^2.$$

□

- (c) Using Parts (a) and (b), show that

$$(a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2) = (ac - bd)^2 + (ad + bc)^2.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} (a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2) &= F(x\bar{x})F(y\bar{y}) \\ &= F(x\bar{x}y\bar{y}) \\ &= F(xy\bar{x}\bar{y}) \\ &= F(xy\overline{xy}) \\ &= |xy|^2 \\ &= (ac - bd)^2 + (ad + bc)^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

## Problem 5

Show that the only quaternions commuting with  $i$  are of the form  $\alpha + \beta i$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q = ai + bj + ck + d$  be a quaternion that commutes with  $i$ . This means that  $qi = -a - bk + cj + di = -a + bk - cj + di = iq$ , so  $b = -b$  and  $c = -c$ . Thus,  $b = c = 0$ , so  $q = d + ai$  is of the form  $\alpha + \beta i$ .  $\square$

## Problem 6

Find the quaternions that commute with both  $i$  and  $j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $q = ai + bj + ck + d$  be a quaternion that commutes with both  $i$  and  $j$ . This means that  $qi = -a - bk + cj + di = -a + bk - cj + di = iq$  and  $qj = ak - b - ci + dj = -ak - b + ci + dj = jq$ , so  $b = -b, c = -c$ , and  $a = -a$ . Thus,  $a = b = c = 0$ , so  $q$  is a real number.  $\square$

## Problem 7

Show that there is an *inifnite* number of solutions to  $x^2 = -1$  in the quaternions.

*Proof.* Consider  $x = bi + cj + dk$ . Then,  $x^2 = -(b^2 + c^2 + d^2) = -1$ , but  $b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 1$  has infinitely many real solutions. Therefore, there is an inifnite number of solutions to  $x^2 = -1$  in the quaternions.  $\square$



## Problem 8

In the quaternions, consider the following set  $G$  having eight elements:  $G = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$ .

- (a) Prove that  $G$  is a group under multiplication.

*Proof.* Since the quaternions form a division ring and  $G$  is a subset of the quaternions ring, it suffices to show that  $G$  is closed under multiplication and taking inverses. By the quaternions multiplication rule carved on the Brougham Bridge in Dublin,  $G$  is closed under multiplication. Since the inverse of each element in  $G$  is just the conjugate of itself, which is also in  $G$ ,  $G$  is closed under taking inverses, and this completes the proof.  $\square$

- (b) List all subgroups of  $G$ .

*Proof.*  $G$  itself and the trivial subgroup  $\{1\}$  are subgroups of  $G$ . By Lagrange's Theorem, the remaining subgroups are of sizes either 2 or 4. We first consider subgroups generated by a single element. We know  $\langle -1 \rangle = \{\pm 1\}$ . Consider the subgroup generated by  $i$  or  $-i$ . We get  $\langle i \rangle = \langle -i \rangle = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ . By symmetry, we also have  $\{\pm 1, \pm j\}$  and  $\{\pm 1, \pm k\}$ . Since any pair of elements  $\neq \pm 1$  and not from the same subgroup listed above would generate  $G$ , we have listed all the subgroups of  $G$ .  $\square$

- (c) What is the center of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Since only  $\pm 1$  commute with all elements in  $G$ ,  $\{\pm 1\}$  is the center of  $G$ .  $\square$

- (d) Show that  $G$  is a nonabelian group all of whose subgroups are normal.

*Proof.* Since  $ij \neq ji$ ,  $G$  is nonabelian. Since subgroups of order 4 is half the size of  $G$ , all subgroups of order 4 are normal. However, the remaining subgroups of  $G$  are the trivial subgroup, the center, and  $G$  itself, so all subgroups of  $G$  are normal.  $\square$

## Problem 9

Define the map  $*$  in the quaternions by

$$(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 i + \alpha_2 j + \alpha_3 k)^* = (\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 i - \alpha_2 j - \alpha_3 k).$$

Show that

- (a)  $x^{**} = (x^*)^* = x$ .
- (b)  $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$ .
- (c)  $xx^* = x^*x$  is real and nonnegative.
- (d)  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x = a + bi + cj + dk$ ,  $y = m + yi + wj + zk$ .

$$(a) \quad x^{**} = (a - bi - cj - dk)^* = a + bi + cj + dk = x$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y)^* &= ((a + m) + (b + y)i + (c + w)j + (d + z)k)^* \\ &= (a + m) - (b + y)i - (c + w)j - (d + z)k \\ &= (a - bi - cj - dk) + (m - yi - wj - zk) = x^* + y^*. \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) \quad xx^* = (a + bi + cj + dk)(a - bi - cj - dk) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = (a - bi - cj - dk)(a + bi + cj + dk) = x^*x, \text{ which is a sum of squares.}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)^* &= ((a + bi + cj + dk)(m + yi + wj + zk))^* \\ &= ((am - by - cw - dz) + (ay + bm - cz + dw)i + (az - bx + cm + dy)j + (aw + bx - cy + dm)k)^* \\ &= (am - by - cw - dz) - (ay + bm - cz + dw)i - (az - bx + cm + dy)j - (aw + bx - cy + dm)k, \\ y^*x^* &= am + aw - ayi + azi - bmi - bw - by + bz + cm + cw - cyi + czi + dmi + dw + dy - dz \\ &= (am + bw + cz + dy) - (ay + bm - cz + dw)i - (az + bx - cm - dy)j - (aw - bx + cy - dm)k, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } (xy)^* = y^*x^*.$$

□

## Problem 10

If  $R$  is an integral domain and  $ab = ac$  for  $a \neq 0, b, c \in R$ , show that  $b = c$ .

*Proof.*  $ab = ac$  implies  $ab - ac = a(b - c) = 0$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain and  $a \neq 0$ , we know  $b - c = 0$ , and so  $b = c$ .  $\square$

## Problem 11

If  $R$  is a finite integral domain, show that  $R$  is a field.

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is a ring,  $R - \{0\}$  is closed under multiplication, so it suffices to show that  $R$  is closed under taking inverse. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $a \neq 0$  does not have a multiplicative inverse in  $R - \{0\}$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain, we know  $a^i \neq 0$  for all positive  $i$ . Then,  $a^i \neq 1$  for finite  $i$ , which makes  $R$  an infinite group, contradiction. Therefore,  $R - \{0\}$  is closed under taking inverse.  $\square$

## Problem 12

If  $F$  is a finite field, show that:

- (a) There exists a prime  $p$  such that  $pa = 0$  for all  $a \in F$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $[k]$  as 1 added to itself  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  times. Note that  $[i][j] = [ij]$ , for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$ka = \underbrace{a + a + \cdots + a}_{k \text{ times}} = \underbrace{(1 + 1 + \cdots + 1)}_{k \text{ times}}a = [k]a.$$

Since  $F$  is finite, there exists  $k$  such that  $[k]a = 0$ . Since  $F$  is an integral domain,  $[k]a = 0$  implies  $[k] = 0$ . Suppose that  $k$  is a composite number, say  $k = xy$ . Then,  $[k] = [x][y] = 0$ , so one of  $[x], [y]$  is equal to 0. Suppose that  $[x] = 0$ . We may recursively take  $[x]$  as our current  $[k]$  and decompose it to eventually end up with a prime number  $p$  such that  $[p] = 0$ , and thus  $pa = [p]a = 0$ , for all  $a \in F$ .  $\square$

- (b) If  $F$  has  $q$  elements, then  $q = p^n$  for some integer  $n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $pa = 0$  for all  $a \in F$ , all non-identity elements in  $F$  are of order  $p$  under addition. Therefore, there does not exist prime number  $m \neq p$  that divides  $q$ , otherwise there exists an element of order  $m$ , by Sylow's Theorem.  $\square$