Math 109 HW 1

Ray Tsai

10/3/2022

1.

Proposition 1. x = -1, y = -2 is a counter example to the statement "For all real numbers x, y with $x \ge y$, we have $x^2 \le y^2$."

Proof. x > y, but $x^2 = 1 > y^2 = 4$, which contradicts the given statement.

2. (a) $\neg (P \lor (\neg Q)) \equiv (\neg P) \land Q$

- (b) $\neg (P \rightarrow (\neg Q)) \equiv \neg ((\neg P) \lor (\neg Q)) \equiv P \land Q$
- (c) $\neg(\forall x \ (\exists y \ (S(x,y) \text{ is true.}))) \equiv \exists x (\forall y \ (S(x,y) \text{ is false.}))$
- (d) $\neg(\exists x \ (\forall y \ (S(x,y) \text{ is true.}))) \equiv \forall x (\exists y \ (S(x,y) \text{ is false.}))$
- 3. The negation of "for every real number x, there exists a real number y such that x+y=0" is "there exists a real number x, such that $x+y\neq 0$ for every real number y."
- 4. The negation of "if z is a real number such that there is a real number x with xz = 0, then z = 0" is "z is a real number such that there is a real number x with xz = 0 and $z \neq 0$."

5.

Proposition 2. "if a person gets at least an 98% in the class, then they get an A+"

- (a) i. converse: "If a person gets an A+, then they get at least an 98% in the class."
 - ii. contrapositive: "If a person does not get an A+, then they get lesser than 98% in the class."
 - iii. negation: "A person gets at least an 98% in the class and they does not get an A+".
- (b) If a person gets an 97% in the class and gets an A+, then this scenario makes (iii) and (ii) true but (i) false.

If a person does not gets an A+ and gets an 98% in the class, then this scenario makes (iii) true but (ii) false.

Therefore, the three statements written above are different.

(c) Below is a truth table of the original statement and statement (ii).

gets at least an 98%	gets an A+	original	ii.
Т	Т	Т	Т
T	F	F	F
F	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	Т

Therefore, according to the truth table, the original statement is equivalent to statement (ii).

- 6. (a) There is not enough information to tell because $P \to Q$ does not imply whether P is true or false.
 - (b) There is not enough information to tell because $P \to Q$ does not imply whether Q is true or false.
 - (c) There is not enough information to tell because $P \to Q$ does not imply $P \wedge Q$.
 - (d) This statement is false because $P \land (\neg Q) \equiv \neg ((\neg P) \lor Q) \equiv \neg (P \to Q)$.
 - (e) There is not enough information to tell because $P \to Q$ does not imply $Q \to P$.
 - (f) This statement is true because a statement is equivalent to it's contrapositive.
 - (g) This statement is false because a statement is opposite to it's negation.