# MATH 188: Homework #6

Due on Jun 3, 2024 at 23:59pm

 $Professor\ Kunnawalkam\ Elayavalli$ 

Ray Tsai

A16848188

The following exercise gives another proof of Cayley's formula, and at the same time provides new information that our proof doesn't give.

Let  $n \ge 1$  and let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  be variables. Given a labeled tree T with vertices  $1, \ldots, n$ , define the monomial  $x(T) = x_1^{d_1} \cdots x_n^{d_n}$  where  $d_i$  is the degree of vertex i, i.e., the number of edges containing i. Define  $\mathbf{C}_n = \sum_T x(T)$  where the sum is over all labeled trees T with vertices  $1, \ldots, n$ . Also define

$$\mathbf{D}_n = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)^{n-2}.$$

(a) Given a polynomial  $p(x_1, ..., x_n)$ , let  $p^{(i)}$  be the result of plugging in  $x_i = 0$  into the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}$ , i.e., the coefficient of  $x_i$  if you think of the other variables as constants. If  $n \geq 2$ , show that

$$\mathbf{C}_n^{(n)} = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1})\mathbf{C}_{n-1},$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{n}^{(n)} = (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{n-1})\mathbf{D}_{n-1}.$$

Proof. Since a tree is connected, all vertices has positive degree. Hence, we have

$$\mathbf{C}_{n}^{(n)} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \sum_{T} x(T) \right|_{x_{n}=0} = \sum_{T} d_{n} x_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{d_{n}-1} \Big|_{x_{n}=0} = \sum_{T; d_{n}=1} x_{1}^{d_{1}} \cdots x_{n-1}^{d_{n-1}}.$$
 (1)

A tree must contains a vertex of degree 1. Given a T with  $d_n = 1$ , suppose j is the only neighbor of n. Then,  $x(T) = x_n x_j x(T_{n-1})$ , where  $T_{n-1} = T - \{n\}$  a labeled tree with vertex set [n-1]. On the other hand, given a labeled tree  $T_{n-1}$  with vertex set [n-1], we may choose a vertex which connects to n and get T with  $d_n = 1$ , with  $x(T) = x_n x_j x(T_{n-1})$ . It now follows that

$$\mathbf{C}_{n}^{(n)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \sum_{T} x(T) \bigg|_{x_{n}=0}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \sum_{T;d_{n}=1} x(T) \bigg|_{x_{n}=0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \sum_{T;d_{n}\neq 1} x(T) \bigg|_{x_{n}=0}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{T;d_{n}=1, \\ \{j,n\} \in e(T)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} x_{n} x_{j} x(T_{n-1}) \bigg|_{x_{n}=0}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{j} \sum_{T} x(T_{n-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{j} \mathbf{C}_{n-1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{D}_{n}^{(n)} = x_{1}x_{2} \cdots x_{n-1}(x_{1} + x_{2} + \cdots + x_{n})^{n-2}$$

$$+ (n-2)x_{1}x_{2} \cdots x_{n}(x_{1} + x_{2} + \cdots + x_{n})^{n-3}|_{x_{n}=0}$$

$$= x_{1}x_{2} \cdots x_{n-1}(x_{1} + x_{2} + \cdots + x_{n-1})^{n-2}$$

$$= (x_{1} + x_{2} + \cdots + x_{n-1})\mathbf{D}_{n-1}.$$

(b) Assuming that  $C_{n-1} = D_{n-1}$  show that  $C_n^{(i)} = D_n^{(i)}$  for all i = 1, ..., n.

*Proof.* Define  $\mathbf{C}_{[n]-\{i\}} = \sum_{T_{[n]-\{i\}}} x(T_{[n]-\{i\}})$ , where the sum is over all labeled trees  $T_{[n]-\{i\}}$  with vertices  $[n] - \{i\}$ . Also define  $\mathbf{D}_{[n]-\{i\}} = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n x_i^{-1} (x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n - x_i)^{n-3}$ .

Using the same argument in (a), we may show that

$$\mathbf{C}_{n}^{(i)} = \sum_{T; d_{i}=1} \prod_{j \neq i} x_{j}^{d_{j}} = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} x_{j} \mathbf{C}_{[n] - \{i\}},$$

for all i. On the other hand, for all i,

$$\mathbf{D}_{n}^{(i)} = x_{i}^{-1} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n} (x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots + x_{n})^{n-2}$$

$$+ (n-2) x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n} (x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots + x_{n})^{n-3} |_{x_{i}=0}$$

$$= x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n} x_{i}^{-1} (x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots + x_{n} - x_{i})^{n-2}$$

$$= (x_{1} + x_{2} + \dots + x_{n} - x_{i}) \mathbf{D}_{[n] - \{i\}}.$$

Note that the only differences between  $\mathbf{C}_{n-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{[n]-\{i\}}$  and between  $\mathbf{D}_{n-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_{[n]-\{i\}}$  are the indexing of the variables. Hence,  $\mathbf{C}_{n-1} = \mathbf{D}_{n-1}$  also implies that  $\mathbf{C}_{[n]-\{i\}} = \mathbf{D}_{[n]-\{i\}}$ . It now follows that

$$\mathbf{C}_{n}^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} x_{j} \mathbf{C}_{[n]-\{i\}} = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} x_{j} \mathbf{D}_{[n]-\{i\}} = \mathbf{D}_{n}^{(i)},$$

for all i.

(c) Conclude that  $\mathbf{C}_n = \mathbf{D}_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. When n=1, there are only one label tree, which is a singleton. Hence,  $\mathbf{C}_1=1=x_1x_1^{-1}=\mathbf{D}_1$ . Suppose  $n\geq 2$ . Since each tree has at least a leaf, each term in  $\mathbf{C}_n$  has some  $x_i$  with power 1. On the other hand, note that each term in the expansion of  $(x_1+x_2+\cdots+x_n)^{n-2}$  misses at least one  $x_i$ , and thus each term in  $\mathbf{D}_n$  has some  $x_i$  with degree 1. By induction and (b), we have  $\mathbf{C}_n^{(i)}=\mathbf{D}_n^{(i)}$  for all  $i\in[n]$ . It follows that the terms with some single degree  $x_i$  are equal in  $\mathbf{C}_n$  and  $\mathbf{D}_n$ , which entail every term. Hence,  $\mathbf{C}_n=\mathbf{D}_n$ .

How many ways are there to list the letters of the word MATHEMATICS so that no two consecutive letters are the same?

*Proof.* The repeated characters in the word MATHEMATICS are A, M, and T. Let  $S_A, S_M, S_T$  each be the set of ways to list MATHEMATICS with consecutive A, M, T, respectively. By inclusion-exclusion, the number of arrangements of MATHEMATICS with consecutive same characters is

$$|S_A \cup S_M \cup S_T| = |S_A| + |S_M| + |S_T| - |S_A \cap S_M| - |S_A \cap S_T| - |S_T \cap S_T| + |S_A \cap S_M \cap S_T|.$$

Since A, M, and T each appears exactly twice in MATHEMATICS,

$$|S_A \cup S_M \cup S_T| = 3|S_A| - 3|S_A \cap S_M| + |S_A \cap S_M \cap S_T|,$$

by symmetry. Notice that to count elements in  $S_A$ , we may view AA as a single character, and

$$|S_A| = \frac{10!}{2!2!}.$$

Similarly, to count elements in  $S_A \cap S_M$ , we may AA and MM as characters and get

$$|S_A \cap S_M| = \frac{9!}{2!}.$$

Using the same idea, we get

$$|S_A \cap S_M \cap S_T| = 8!.$$

Hence,

$$|S_A \cup S_M \cup S_T| = 3 \cdot \frac{10!}{2!2!} - 3 \cdot \frac{9!}{2!} + 8!.$$

In total there are  $\frac{11!}{2!2!2!}$  arrangements of MATHEMATICS, so the number of arrangements of MATHEMATICS with no consecutive repeated characters is

$$\frac{11!}{2!2!2!} - 3 \cdot \frac{10!}{2!2!} + 3 \cdot \frac{9!}{2!} - 8! = 2772000.$$

Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. We have n married couples (2n people in total).

(a) How many ways can we have the 2n people stand in a line so that no person is standing next to their spouse?

*Proof.* Let L be the set of all arrangements of 2n people in a line. Put  $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$ , where  $A_i$  is set of ways to line up n couples with the ith couple standing next to each other. Let  $S \subseteq [n]$ . Define

$$f(S) = |\{x \in A \mid x \in A_i \text{ if and only if } i \in S\}|,$$
  
$$g(S) = |\{x \in A \mid x \in A_i \text{ if } i \in S\}|.$$

Note that  $g(\emptyset) = |A|$  and  $f(\emptyset) = 0$ . To calculate  $g(S) = |\cap_{i \in S} A_i|$ , we may view each couple in S as a unit of people and account the ordering of each unit. Then, we would have 2n - |S| unit of people, with |S| units each having 2 arrangements, so

$$g(S) = (2n - |S|)!2^{|S|}.$$

By inclusion-exclusion,

$$|A| = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{|S|-1} (2n - |S|)! 2^{|S|} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} (2n - k)! 2^{k}$$

Since we are calculating the case where no person stands next to their spouse, we have

$$|L \setminus A| = |L| - |A| = (2n)! - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} (2n-k)! 2^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-2)^k \binom{n}{k} (2n-k)!.$$

(b) Same as (a), but replace "line" by "circle".

*Proof.* Let L be the set of all arrangements of 2n people in a line. Put  $A = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n$ , where  $A_i$  is set of ways to line up n couples with the ith couple standing next to each other. Let  $S \subseteq [n]$ . Define

$$f(S) = |\{x \in A \mid x \in A_i \text{ if and only if } i \in S\}|,$$
  
$$g(S) = |\{x \in A \mid x \in A_i \text{ if } i \in S\}|.$$

Note that  $g(\emptyset) = |A|$  and  $f(\emptyset) = 0$ . To calculate  $g(S) = |\cap_{i \in S} A_i|$ , we may view each couple in S as a unit of people and account the ordering of each unit. Then, we would have 2n - |S| unit of people, with |S| units each having 2 arrangements, so

$$g(S) = \frac{1}{2n - |S|} \cdot (2n - |S|)!2^{|S|} = (2n - |S| - 1)!2^{|S|}.$$

Note that we divide by 2n - |S| to disregard shifting the circle. By inclusion-exclusion,

$$|A| = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ S \neq \emptyset}} (-1)^{|S|-1} (2n - |S| - 1)! 2^{|S|} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} (2n - k - 1)! 2^k$$

Hence, the number of ways to have n couples stand in a circle with no person standign next to their spouse is

$$|L \setminus A| = \frac{1}{2n} \cdot (2n)! - \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} (2n-k-1)! 2^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-2)^k \binom{n}{k} (2n-k-1)!.$$

Let q be a prime power and n a positive integer. Let V be an n-dimensional  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -vector space and let P be the poset whose elements are linear subspaces of V with the ordering  $X \leq Y$  if X is contained in Y. Show that the Möbius function of P is given by

$$\mu(X,Y) = (-1)^d q^{\binom{d}{2}}$$

where  $d = \dim Y - \dim X$ .

*Proof.* For  $X \leq Y$ , it suffices to show that

$$\delta_{X,Y} = \sum_{U \in [X,Y]} (-1)^{d_u} q^{\binom{d_u}{2}},$$

where  $d_u = \dim U - \dim X$ . Put  $x = \dim X$  and  $y = \dim Y$ . The number of vector spaces of dimension k in the interval [X,Y] is  $\begin{bmatrix} d \\ k-x \end{bmatrix}_q$ . It now follows that

$$\sum_{U \in [X,Y]} (-1)^{d_u} q^{\binom{d_u}{2}} = \sum_{k=x}^y \begin{bmatrix} d \\ k-x \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^{k-x} q^{\binom{k-x}{2}}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^d \begin{bmatrix} d \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q (-1)^i q^{\binom{i}{2}}.$$

By Theorem 3.2.4 from Sagen,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ i \end{bmatrix}_{q} (-1)^{i} q^{\binom{i}{2}} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (1-q^{i}) & d > 0 \\ 1 & d = 0 \end{cases} = \delta_{X,Y}.$$

Let  $\Pi_n$  be the poset of set partitions of [n] and let  $\mu$  be its Möbius function. Write a formula for the number of connected labeled graphs with vertex set [n] using  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the set of all labeled graphs with vertex set [n], and let  $P = \{S_1, \ldots, S_m\} \in \Pi_n$ . Define

$$f(P) = |\{G \in \mathcal{G} \mid i, j \text{ connected in } G \text{ if and only if } i, j \in S_k \text{ for some } k\}|,$$

$$g(P) = |\{G \in \mathcal{G} \mid i, j \text{ connected in } G \text{ only if } i, j \in S_k \text{ for some } k\}| = \prod_{k=1}^m 2^{\binom{|S_k|}{2}} = 2^{\sum_{k=1}^m \binom{|S_k|}{2}}.$$

Note that  $f(\{[n]\})$  is the number of connected labeled graphs with vertex set [n] and  $g(\{[n]\}) = 2^{\binom{n}{2}}$ . By definition,  $g(P) = \sum_{Q \le P} f(Q)$ . It now follows by the Möbius inversion that

$$f(\{[n]\}) = \sum_{P \in \Pi_n} \mu(P, \{[n]\}) g(P) = \sum_{P \in \Pi_n} \mu(P, \{[n]\}) 2^{\sum_{S \in P} \binom{|S|}{2}}.$$

 $F(x) = \sum_{n>0} f_n x^n$  is a formal power series that satisfies the following identity:

$$F(x) = \exp\left(\frac{x}{2}(F(x) + 1)\right).$$

Find a formula for  $f_n$ .

*Proof.* We first note that  $f_0 = F(0) = 1$ . Adding 1 then multiplying  $\frac{x}{2}$  on both sides of the given identity yields

$$\frac{x}{2}(F(x)+1) = \frac{x}{2} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{x}{2}(F(x)+1)\right) + 1 \right].$$

Take  $G(x) = \frac{1}{2}(e^x + 1)$  and  $A(x) = \frac{x}{2}(F(x) + 1)$ . Since A(0) = 0 and  $G(0) \neq 0$ , the Lagrange inversion formula gives

$$\frac{n+1}{2}[x^n]F(x) = (n+1)[x^{n+1}]A(x)$$

$$= [x^n](G(x)^{n+1})$$

$$= [x^n]\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}(e^x+1)^{n+1}$$

$$= [x^n]\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k}e^{kx}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k}\frac{k^n}{n!}$$

That is, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$f_n = \frac{1}{2^n(n+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} {n+1 \choose k} k^n.$$

Reminder: Lagrange's version of the Taylor remainder theorem says this: if f(x) is an infinitely differentiable function whose Taylor series at 0 converges at x = r, then there exists  $\xi$  between 0 and r such that

$$f(r) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{f^{(i)}(0)}{i!} r^{i} = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} r^{n+1}.$$

Use the Taylor remainder theorem to show that

$$\left| \frac{1}{e} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \right| \le \frac{1}{(n+1)!}$$

and conclude from this that the number of derangements of n objects is inside the closed interval

$$\left[\frac{n!}{e} - \frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{n!}{e} + \frac{1}{n+1}\right].$$

In particular, show that it is the closest integer to n!/e.

*Proof.* Consider  $f(r) = e^{-r}$ . When r = 1, Taylor remainder theorem yields

$$e^{-1} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1}e^{-\xi}}{(n+1)!},$$

for some  $\xi$  between 0 and 1. But then  $|e^{-\xi}| \leq 1$ , so

$$\left| \frac{1}{e} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i!} \right| = \left| \frac{(-1)^{n+1} e^{-\xi}}{(n+1)!} \right| \le \frac{1}{(n+1)!}.$$

Let D(n) be the number of derangements of size n. It now follows from Theorem 6.14 that

$$\frac{n!}{e} - \frac{1}{n+1} \le D(n) = n! \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \le \frac{n!}{e} + \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Obviously  $\frac{n!}{e}$  is not an integer. Since D(n) is an integer and  $\frac{1}{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , D(n) is the closest integer to  $\frac{n!}{e}$ .

Let  $d_n$  be the number of derangements of [n], and let

$$D(x) = \sum_{n>0} \frac{d_n}{n!} x^n.$$

(a) Using the structure interpretation for products of EGF, show that

$$D(x)e^x = \frac{1}{1-x}.$$

*Proof.* Let D(S) denote the set of derangements of S. Define structures  $\alpha(S) = D(S)$  and  $\beta(S) = \{0\}$ . He product of two structures is

$$(\alpha \cdot \beta)(S) = \bigsqcup_{T \subseteq S} D(T) \times \{0\} \simeq \bigsqcup_{T \subseteq S} D(T).$$

But then given a derangement of some subset  $T \subseteq S$ , we get a permutation  $\sigma$  of S with  $\sigma(i) = i$  if and only if  $i \in S \setminus T$ . On the other hand, given a permutation  $\sigma$  of S, we get a derangement of  $T = \{i \in S \mid \sigma(i) \neq i\} \subseteq S$ . Hence,  $\bigsqcup_{T \subseteq S} D(T) \simeq S_n$ , the symmetry group of degree n. It now follows that  $(\alpha \cdot \beta)(S) = |S|!$ , and so

$$D(x)e^x = E_{\alpha \cdot \beta}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}.$$

(b) Show how this implies the formula we previously obtained:

$$d_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \frac{n!}{i!}.$$

*Proof.* Rearranging the result of (a), we get

$$D(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}e^{-x},$$

and so

$$d_n = n![x^n] \frac{1}{1-x} e^{-x} = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \frac{n!}{i!}.$$

For a positive integer n, define

$$f(n) = |\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \le i \le n, \gcd(n, i) = 1\}|.$$

(a) Show that

$$n = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$$

where the sum is over all positive integers d that divide n.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \sum_{d|n} f(d) &= \sum_{d|n} f(n/d) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} |\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \le i \le n/d, \gcd(n/d, i) = 1\}| \\ &= \sum_{d|n} |\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \le i \le n, \gcd(n, i) = d\}| \\ &= n. \end{split}$$

(b) Use Möbius inversion to show that

$$f(n) = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

where the product is over the primes p that divide n.

*Proof.* Define g(n) = n, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In (a), we already established that  $g(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$ . By the Möbius inversion formula,

$$\begin{split} f(n) &= \sum_{d|n} g(d)\mu(d,n) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} d\mu(d,n) \\ &= \sum_{d|n} \frac{n}{d}\mu\left(\frac{n}{d},n\right) \\ &= n \sum_{d|n} \frac{1}{d}\mu\left(\frac{n}{d},n\right) \\ &= n \sum_{\substack{d=p_1\cdots p_k \\ p_i|n \text{ and distinct}}} \frac{(-1)^k}{d} \\ &= n \sum_{\substack{d=p_1\cdots p_k \\ p_i|n \text{ and distinct}}} \left(-\frac{1}{p_1}\right)\cdots\left(-\frac{1}{p_k}\right) \\ &= n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right). \end{split}$$

There	are $n$ pe	eople si	tting	at a	circular	table.	How	many	ways	$\operatorname{can}$	they	${\rm rearrange}$	seats	so t	that	no	one sits
next to	o someor	ne they	were	sitt	ing next	to befo	ore?										

*Proof.* idk bro.  $\Box$ 

Let q be a prime power and let  $N_n$  be the number of monic irreducible polynomials of degree n with coefficients in  $\mathbf{F}_q$ :

(a) Using that polynomials over a field satisfy unique factorization, show that

$$(1 - qx)^{-1} = \prod_{d \ge 1} (1 - x^d)^{-N_d}$$

*Proof.* By the binomial theorem,

$$(1 - x^d)^{-N_d} = \sum_{k>0} {\binom{-N_d}{k}} (x^d)^k.$$

Note that  $\binom{-N_d}{k}$  is the number of ways to pick a multiset of size k from  $N_d$  elements. Given a monic polynomial, we may view its factorization as a multiset of irreducible polynomials. Hence,  $[x^{dk}](1-x^d)^{-N_d}$  is the number of ways to pick a monic polynomial whose factorization is k irreducible polynomials of degree d. But then

$$[x^n] \prod_{d \ge 1} (1 - x^d)^{-N_d} = [x^n] \prod_{d \ge 1} \sum_{k \ge 0} {\binom{-N_d}{k}} (x^d)^k$$

is just the number monic polynomials of degree n. Since there are n undetermined coefficients in a monic polynomial of degree n, there are  $q^n$  monic polynomials of degree n. In other words,

$$\prod_{d\geq 1} (1-x^d)^{-N_d} = (1+qx+q^2x^2+\cdots) = (1-qx)^{-1}.$$

(b) Take the logarithmic derivative of (a) and compare the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  to get

$$q^n = \sum_{d|n} dN_d.$$

Proof.

$$\mathcal{L}((1-qx)^{-1}) = q(1-qx)(1-qx)^{-2} = q(1-qx)^{-1}.$$

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\prod_{d\geq 1} (1-x^d)^{-N_d}\right) = \sum_{d\geq 1} N_d \mathcal{L}((1-x^d)^{-1})$$

$$= \sum_{d\geq 1} dN_d x^{d-1} (1-x^d)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{d\geq 1} dN_d (x^{d-1} + x^{2d-1} + x^{3d-1} + \cdots).$$

Hence,

$$q^{n} = [x^{n-1}]q(1 - qx)^{-1}$$

$$= [x^{n-1}] \sum_{d \ge 1} dN_{d}x^{d-1}(1 - x^{d})^{-1}$$

$$= [x^{n}] \sum_{d \ge 1} dN_{d}(x^{d} + x^{2d} + x^{3d} + \cdots)$$

$$= \sum_{d \mid n} dN_{d}.$$

(c) Use Möbius inversion to get a formula for  $N_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $q^n = \sum_{d|n} dN_d$ , by Möbius inversion,

$$nN_d = \sum_{d|n} q^d \mu(d,n),$$

and so

$$N_d = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} q^d \mu(d, n).$$