

# **MATH 188: Homework #4**

Due on May 15, 2024 at 23:59pm

*Professor Kunnawalkam Elayavalli*

**Ray Tsai**

A16848188

## Problem 1

- (a) Let  $r$  be a fixed nonnegative integer. Show that both  $S(n+r, n)$  and  $c(n+r, n)$  are polynomial functions of  $n$  of degree  $2r$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* We first prove the case for  $S(n+r, n)$ . Consider the number  $k$  of non-singleton blocks in a partition of  $[n+r]$  with  $n$  blocks. To count the number of partitions with exactly  $k$  non-singleton blocks, we first pick the  $n-k$  elements from  $[n+r]$  that are in singletons, and then we calculate the number  $a_{k,r}$  of possible orientations of the remaining  $k+r$  elements. Note that  $a_{k,r}$  is not dependent on  $n$ . Hence, summing over all possible  $k$ , we have

$$S(n+r, n) = \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{n+r}{n-k} a_{k,r} = \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{n+r}{r+k} a_{k,r}.$$

But then  $\binom{n+r}{r+k} a_{k,r}$  is a polynomial of  $n$  of degree  $r+k$ . Since  $r+k$  goes up to  $2r$  exactly once,  $S(n+r, n)$  is a polynomial of  $n$  of degree  $2r$ .

The similar argument works for  $c(n+r, n)$ . Consider the number  $k$  of non-trivial cycles in a permutation of size  $n+r$  with  $n$  disjoint cycles. To count the number of permutation with exactly  $k$  non-trivial cycles, we first pick the  $n-k$  elements from  $[n+r]$  such that each of them are cycles on its own, and then we calculate the number  $b_{k,r}$  of possible cycle formations of the remaining  $k+r$  elements. Note that  $b_{k,r}$  is not dependent on  $n$ . Hence, summing over all possible  $k$ , we have

$$c(n+r, n) = \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{n+r}{n-k} b_{k,r} = \sum_{k=1}^r \binom{n+r}{r+k} b_{k,r}.$$

But then  $\binom{n+r}{r+k} b_{k,r}$  is a polynomial of  $n$  of degree  $r+k$ . Since  $r+k$  goes up to  $2r$  exactly once,  $c(n+r, n)$  is a polynomial of  $n$  of degree  $2r$ .  $\square$

- (b) Compute these polynomials for  $r = 2, 3$ .

*Proof.* We first compute  $S(n+r, n)$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . When  $r = 2$ , there are either 1 or 2 non-singleton blocks. If there is only one non-singleton block, then 3 elements are in a block and the remaining elements each form a singleton, which has  $\binom{n+2}{3}$  possibilities. If there are 2 non-singleton blocks, then there are 2 blocks of size 2 and  $n-2$  singletons, which has  $3\binom{n+2}{4}$  possibilities. Hence,  $S(n+2, n) = \binom{n+2}{3} + 3\binom{n+2}{4}$ . When  $r = 3$ , the number of non-singleton blocks ranges from 1 to 3. If there is only one non-singleton block, then 4 elements are in a block and the remaining elements each form a singleton, which has  $\binom{n+3}{4}$  possibilities. If there are 2 non-singleton blocks, then there is a block of size 2, a block of size 3, and  $n-2$  singletons, which has  $\binom{5}{2}\binom{n+3}{5} = 10\binom{n+3}{5}$  possibilities. If there are 3 non-singleton blocks, then there are 3 blocks of size 2 and all singletons for the rest, which has  $\frac{1}{3!}\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{2}\binom{n+3}{6} = 15\binom{n+3}{6}$  possibilities. Hence,  $S(n+3, n) = \binom{n+3}{4} + 10\binom{n+3}{5} + 15\binom{n+3}{6}$ .

We now compute  $c(n+r, n)$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . When  $r = 2$ , there are either 1 or 2 non-trivial cycles. If there is only one non-trivial cycle, then there is a 3-cycle and  $n-1$  singletons, which has  $2\binom{n+2}{3}$  possibilities. If there are 2 non-trivial cycles, then there are 2 transpositions and  $n-2$  singletons, which has  $3\binom{n+2}{4}$  possibilities. Hence,  $c(n+2, n) = 2\binom{n+2}{3} + 3\binom{n+2}{4}$ . When  $r = 3$ , the number of non-trivial cycles ranges from 1 to 3. If there is only one non-trivial cycle, then there is a 4-cycle and  $n-1$  singletons, which has  $3!\binom{n+3}{4}$  possibilities. If there are 2 non-trivial cycles, then there is a transposition, a 3-cycle, and  $n-2$  singletons, which has  $2\binom{5}{2}\binom{n+3}{5} = 20\binom{n+3}{5}$  possibilities. If there are 3 non-trivial cycles, then there are 3 transpositions and all singletons for the rest, which has  $\frac{1}{3!}\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{2}\binom{n+3}{6} = 15\binom{n+3}{6}$  possibilities. Hence,  $c(n+3, n) = 6\binom{n+3}{4} + 20\binom{n+3}{5} + 15\binom{n+3}{6}$ .  $\square$

## Problem 2

For  $n > 0$ , let  $a_n$  be the number of partitions of  $n$  such that every part appears at most twice, and let  $b_n$  be the number of partitions of  $n$  such that no part is divisible by 3. Set  $a_0 = b_0 = 1$ . Show that  $a_n = b_n$  for all  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A(x)$  be the generating function of  $a_n$  and  $B(x)$  be the generating function of  $b_n$ . Since  $a_n$  is the number of partitions of  $n$  such that every part appears at most twice,

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n = \prod_{i \geq 1} (1 + x^i + x^{2i}),$$

as we either choose 1,  $x^i$ , or  $x^{2i}$  from the  $i$ th term, when multiplying out the right side. What we get then is  $x^N$  where  $N$  is the sum of the  $i$  where we chose  $x^i$  or  $x^{2i}$ . But we get  $x^N$  one time for every partition of  $N$  into parts which repeat at most once, so the coefficient is  $a_N$ .

On the other hand, since  $b_n$  is the number of partitions of  $n$  such that no part is divisible by 3,

$$B(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n x^n = \prod_{i \geq 1, 3 \nmid i} \frac{1}{1 - x^i} = \frac{\prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^i}}{\prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{3i}}} = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1 - x^{3i}}{1 - x^i}.$$

But then notice that  $1 + x^i + x^{2i} = \frac{1 - x^{3i}}{1 - x^i}$  for all  $i$ . Hence,

$$A(x) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1 - x^{3i}}{1 - x^i} = B(x),$$

and the result now follows. □

### Problem 3

Let  $y$  be a variable. Prove the following generalization of Example 3.27:

$$\prod_{i \geq 0} (1 + x^{2i+1}y) = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{x^{r^2} y^r}{(1-x^2)(1-x^4) \cdots (1-x^{2r})}$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $[y^k x^n] \prod_{i \geq 0} (1 + x^{2i+1}y)$  is counting the number of partitions of  $n$  with  $k$  distinct odd parts, as the exponent of the  $y$  term indicates the number of times we picked the  $x^{2i+1}y$  term when expanding the multiplication. On the other hand, from Example 3.27 we know

$$[y^k x^n] \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{x^{r^2} y^r}{(1-x^2)(1-x^4) \cdots (1-x^{2r})} = [y^k x^n] \sum_{r \geq 0} y^r \left( x^{r^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} p_{\leq r}(n) x^{2n} \right)$$

is counting the number of self-conjugate partitions of  $n$  with a Durfee square of size  $k$ . We now show that there is a bijection between the set of self-conjugate partitions with Durfee square of size  $r$  and the set of partition with  $r$  distinct odd parts. Given a self-conjugate partition of  $n$  which has a Durfee square of size  $r$ , we may use the reversible transformation described in Theorem 3.26 to obtain a new partition of  $n$  with  $r$  distinct odd parts, and thus the bijection. The result now follows from the bijection.  $\square$

## Problem 4

- (a) Use the following  $q$ -analogue of Pascal's identity (you don't need to prove it)

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \quad \text{for } n \geq k > 0$$

to show that if  $d$  is a non-negative integer, then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} n+d \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q x^n = \prod_{i=0}^d (1 - q^i x)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-qx) \cdots (1-q^d x)}$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $d$ . If  $d = 0$ , then  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}$ , and the base case is done. Suppose  $d \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} n+d \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q x^n &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} q^n \begin{bmatrix} n+(d-1) \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q x^n + \sum_{n \geq 1} \begin{bmatrix} (n-1)+d \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q x^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} n+(d-1) \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q (qx)^n + x \sum_{n \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} n+d \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q x^n \\ &= \frac{1}{1-x} \sum_{n \geq 0} \begin{bmatrix} n+(d-1) \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q (qx)^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-qx) \cdots (1-q^d x)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from induction.  $\square$

- (b) Give a direct explanation (i.e., independent of the Schubert decomposition explanation from lecture) for why the coefficient of  $x^n$  of the right side is the sum  $\sum q^{|\lambda|}$  over all integer partitions  $\lambda$  whose Young diagram fits in the  $n \times d$  rectangle.

*Proof.* Note that

$$[x^n] \prod_{i=0}^d (1 - q^i x)^{-1} = \sum_{\substack{(a_0, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ a_0 + \dots + a_d = n}} q^{a_1 + \dots + da_d}.$$

We now show the bijection between the weak compositions of  $n$  with  $d+1$  parts and the integer partitions  $\lambda$  whose Young diagram fits in the  $n \times d$  rectangle.

Given an integer partitions  $\lambda$  whose Young diagram fits in the  $n \times d$  rectangle, let  $a_i$  be the number of parts of  $\lambda$  that are equal to  $i \geq 1$  and put  $a_0 = n - a_1 - \dots - a_d$ . Then,  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d)$  is a weak composition of  $n$ .

On the other hand, given a weak compositions  $(a_0, \dots, a_d)$  of  $n$ , there is an integer partition  $\lambda$  with  $a_i$  number of  $i$ 's for all  $i \geq 1$ . Since each part of  $\lambda$  is at most  $d$  and  $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ , the Young diagram of  $\lambda$  fits in the  $n \times d$  rectangle.

But then  $a_1 + \dots + da_d = |\lambda|$ , and thus

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_0, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ a_0 + \dots + a_d = n}} q^{a_1 + \dots + da_d} = \sum q^{|\lambda|}.$$

$\square$

## Problem 5

Let  $V, W$  be  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -vector spaces with  $\dim V = n$  and  $\dim W = m$ .

- (a) How many linear maps  $V \rightarrow W$  are there?

*Proof.* Consider the number of ways we can map the canonical basis vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  of  $V$  to some vectors in  $W$ . Since there are  $q^m$  choices of vectors for each  $e_i$  to be sent to, there are  $q^{mn}$  choices in total. Hence, there are  $q^{mn}$  linear maps  $V \rightarrow W$ .  $\square$

- (b) Suppose  $n \geq m$ . How many surjective linear maps  $V \rightarrow W$  are there?

*Proof.* By the universal property of a quotient and the First Isomorphism Theorem, any surjective linear map  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  corresponds to a unique induced isomorphism  $u : V/\text{Ker } \phi \rightarrow W$ . Note that  $\text{Ker } \phi$  is of  $(n - m)$ -dimension and the number of isomorphisms  $V/\text{Ker } \phi \rightarrow W$  is equal to  $|\mathbf{GL}_m(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ . Hence, there is a bijection between the set of surjective linear maps  $V \rightarrow W$  and  $\mathbf{Gr}_{n-m}(\mathbf{F}_q^n) \times \mathbf{GL}_m(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . But then by Theorem 3.34 and 3.35,

$$|\mathbf{Gr}_{n-m}(\mathbf{F}_q^n)| = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad |\mathbf{GL}_m(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (q^m - q^i),$$

and thus there are  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (q^m - q^i) = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (q^n - q^i)$  surjective linear maps  $V \rightarrow W$ .  $\square$

- (c) Pick  $k \leq \min(m, n)$ . How many rank  $k$  linear maps  $V \rightarrow W$  are there?

*Proof.* By the universal property of a quotient and the First Isomorphism Theorem, any linear map  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  of rank  $k$  corresponds to a unique induced isomorphism from  $V/K$  to some  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $U$  of  $W$ , where  $K$  is the kernel of  $\phi$ . Note that  $K$  is of  $(n - k)$ -dimension and the number of isomorphisms  $V/K \rightarrow U$  is equal to  $|\mathbf{GL}_k(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ . Since there are  $|\mathbf{Gr}_{n-k}(\mathbf{F}_q^n)|$  choices for  $K$ ,  $|\mathbf{Gr}_k(\mathbf{F}_q^m)|$  choices for  $U$ , and  $|\mathbf{GL}_k(\mathbf{F}_q)|$  choices for isomorphisms  $V/K \rightarrow U$ , there are

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (q^k - q^i) = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (q^n - q^i)$$

rank  $k$  linear maps  $V \rightarrow W$ , by Theorem 3.34 and 3.35.  $\square$

## Problem 6

Prove

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} x^{n(n-1)/2} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - x^{2n}}{1 - x^{2n-1}}.$$

*Proof.* By the Jacobi triple product,

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^{2n})(1 + x^{2n-1}y^2)(1 + x^{2n-1}y^{-2}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^2} y^{2n}.$$

Hence, substituting  $x$  as  $\sqrt{x}$  and  $y$  as  $\sqrt[4]{x}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)(1 + x^n)(1 + x^{n-1}) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n(n+1)/2} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} x^{n(n+1)/2} + x^{n(n-1)/2} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} x^{n(n+1)/2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} x^{n(n-1)/2} \\ &= 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} x^{n(n+1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} x^{n(n+1)/2} &= \frac{1}{2} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^n)(1 + x^n)(1 + x^{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^{2n})(1 + x^{n-1}) \\ &= \left( \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^{2n}) \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 + x^n) \right) \\ &= \left( \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - x^{2n}) \right) \left( \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 + x^n) \right) \\ &= \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{1 - x^{2n}}{1 - x^{2n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from Theorem 3.25. □

## Problem 7

Pick integers satisfying  $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r \leq n$ . Let  $X$  be the set of subspaces  $W_1, \dots, W_r$  of  $F_q^n$  such that  $\dim W_i = k_i$  for all  $i$  and  $W_i \subset W_{i+1}$  for  $i < r$ .

- (a) Find a formula for  $|X|$  by generalizing Example 3.39, i.e., use a  $q$ -analogue of a multinomial coefficient.

*Proof.* For any  $n \geq k_r > \cdots > k_1 \geq 1$ , we show that there are  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, n - k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$  ways of picking subspaces  $W_1, \dots, W_r$  of  $F_q^n$  by induction on  $r$ . If  $r = 1$ , it is obvious that there are  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_q$  ways of picking  $W_1$ . Suppose  $r \geq 2$ . There are  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$  ways of picking  $W_r$ . But then by induction, there are  $\begin{bmatrix} k_r \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, k_r - k_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_q$  ways of picking  $W_1, \dots, W_{r-1}$  which are contained in  $W_r$ . It now follows that there are

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_r \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, k_r - k_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, n - k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$$

ways of picking  $W_1, \dots, W_r$  of  $F_q^n$ .  $\square$

- (b)  $|X|$  is also a polynomial in  $q$ ; find an explicit description of this polynomial using a generalization of the Schubert decomposition of the Grassmannian.

*Proof.* By the Schubert decomposition of  $\mathbf{Gr}_k(F_q^n)$ , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = |\mathbf{Gr}_k(F_q^n)| = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} q^{|\lambda|},$$

where  $\lambda$  is any integer partition whose Young diagram fits into the  $k \times (n - k)$  box. It now follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, n - k_r \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_r \\ k_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \cdots \begin{bmatrix} k_2 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ &= \left( \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k_r \times (n - k_r)} q^{|\lambda|} \right) \left( \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k_{r-1} \times (k_r - k_{r-1})} q^{|\lambda|} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k_1 \times (k_2 - k_1)} q^{|\lambda|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$