

**Question A.** Let

$$E = \left\{ \frac{5n+8}{11n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Compute  $\sup E$  and  $\inf E$ . Justify your answer.

*Solution.* We will show that  $\sup E = \frac{13}{11}$  and  $\inf E = \frac{5}{11}$ . Since  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} n &\geq 1 \\ 1 &\geq \frac{1}{n} \geq 0 \\ \frac{8}{11} &\geq \frac{8}{11n} \geq 0 \\ \frac{13}{11} &\geq \frac{5n+8}{11n} \geq \frac{5}{11}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\frac{13}{11}$  and  $\frac{5}{11}$  are a upper bound and a lower bound of  $E$  respectively. Let  $s < \frac{13}{11}$ . Since  $\frac{13}{11} \in E$ ,  $s$  is not a upper bound of  $E$ . Therefore,  $\sup E = \frac{13}{11}$ .

We will now show  $\inf E = \frac{5}{11}$  by contradiction. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists a lower bound  $l$  of  $E$  such that  $l > \frac{5}{11}$ . Then, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{5n+8}{11n} &\geq l \\ \frac{8}{11l-5} &\geq n, \end{aligned}$$

contradiction as  $\mathbb{N}$  is unbounded above. Therefore,  $\inf E = \frac{5}{11}$ . □

**Question B.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two bounded subsets of the real numbers. Prove that

$$\sup(T \cup S) = \max\{\sup T, \sup S\}.$$

*Proof.* Assume without loss of generality that  $\max\{\sup T, \sup S\} = \sup T$ . For all  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ , since  $\sup T \geq t$  and  $\sup T \geq \sup S \geq s$ , we know  $\sup T \geq x$ , for all  $x \in T \cup S$ , which shows that  $\sup T$  is an upper bound of  $T \cup S$ . Let  $k < \sup T$ . Then there exists some  $p \in T \subseteq T \cup S$  such that  $p > k$ , and thus  $k$  is not an upper bound of  $T \cup S$ . Therefore, the statement of the question holds.  $\square$

**Question C.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two bounded, nonempty, subsets of the set of positive real numbers. Define  $ST := \{st : s \in S, t \in T\}$  and  $S + T := \{s + t : s \in S, t \in T\}$ . Prove that

$$\sup(ST) = (\sup S)(\sup T) \text{ and } \sup(S + T) = \sup S + \sup T.$$

*Proof.* We first show that  $\sup(ST) = (\sup S)(\sup T)$ . Let  $t \in T$ ,  $s \in S$ . Since  $s < \sup S$  and  $t < \sup T$ , we have  $st < (\sup S)t < (\sup S)(\sup T)$ , and thus  $(\sup S)(\sup T)$  is an upper bound of  $ST$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , such that  $k < (\sup S)(\sup T)$ . Since  $\frac{k}{\sup S} < \sup T$ , there exists  $t \in T$  such that  $\frac{k}{\sup S} < t < \sup T$ . Then, we also know that since  $\frac{k}{t} < \sup S$ , there exists  $s \in S$ , such that  $\frac{k}{t} < s < \sup S$ . Rearranged, we get  $k < st \in ST$ , which shows that  $k$  is not an upper bound of  $ST$ , and thus  $\sup(ST) = (\sup S)(\sup T)$ .

We now show that  $\sup(S + T) = \sup S + \sup T$ . Let  $t \in T$ ,  $s \in S$ . Since  $s < \sup S$  and  $t < \sup T$ , we have  $s + t < \sup S + \sup T$ , and thus  $\sup S + \sup T$  is an upper bound of  $S + T$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , such that  $k < \sup S + \sup T$ . Since  $k - \sup T < \sup S$ , there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $k - \sup T < s$ . Since  $k - s < \sup T$ , there exists  $t \in T$  such that  $k - s < t$ , and thus we know there exist  $s + t \in S + T$  such that  $k < s + t < \sup S + \sup T$ . Therefore,  $\sup(S + T) = \sup S + \sup T$ .  $\square$

**Question D.** Let  $F$  be the set of all rational functions

$$\frac{a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0}{b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \cdots + b_0} \quad (1)$$

where the coefficients are real numbers and  $b_m \neq 0$ .

- (i) Define addition and multiplication of two elements in  $F$  to be the usual addition and multiplication of functions. Show that with this addition and multiplication,  $F$  is a field.

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0 \mid a_n, \dots, a_0 \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $B = \{b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \cdots + b_0 \mid b_m, \dots, b_0 \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}\}$ . Let  $a = \frac{f_1}{g_1}$ ,  $b = \frac{f_2}{g_2}$ ,  $c = \frac{f_3}{g_3} \in F$ .

**Associativity:** Since

$$(a + b) + c = \frac{f_1 g_2 g_3 + f_2 g_1 g_3 + f_3 g_1 g_2}{g_1 g_2 g_3} = a + (b + c)$$

and

$$(ab)c = \frac{f_1 f_2 f_3}{g_1 g_2 g_3} = a(bc),$$

$F$  is associative under  $+$  and  $\times$ .

**Commutativity:** Since

$$a + b = \frac{f_1 g_2 + f_2 g_1}{g_1 g_2} = b + a$$

and

$$ab = \frac{f_1 f_2}{g_1 g_2} = ba,$$

$F$  is commutative under  $+$  and  $\times$ .

**Additive and multiplicative identity:** Since

$$a + 0 = 0 + a = a$$

and

$$a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = a,$$

$F$  has additive and multiplicative identity.

**Additive inverses:** For every  $a$ , we have  $a^{-1} = -a \in F$ , so that  $a + (-a) = 0$ .

**Multiplicative inverses:** For every  $a \neq 0$ , we have  $a^{-1} = \frac{g_1}{f_1} \in F$ . Note that  $f_1 \in B$ . Then, we have  $aa^{-1} = \frac{f_1}{g_1} \cdot \frac{g_1}{f_1} = 1$ .

**Distributivity:** Since

$$a(b + c) = \frac{f_1}{g_1} \cdot \frac{f_2 g_3 + f_3 g_2}{g_2 g_3} = \left( \frac{f_1}{g_1} \cdot \frac{f_2}{g_2} \right) + \left( \frac{f_1}{g_1} \cdot \frac{f_3}{g_3} \right) = (ab) + (ac),$$

$F$  is distributive.

The above qualities show that  $F$  is a field under addition and multiplication.  $\square$

- (ii) We can define an order on  $F$  as follows. A rational function like (1) is positive if and only if  $a_n$  and  $b_m$  have the same sign, i.e.  $a_nb_m > 0$ . Now given two rational functions  $\frac{p}{q}$  and  $\frac{f}{g}$  we define:

$$\frac{p}{q} > \frac{f}{g} \text{ if and only if } \frac{p}{q} - \frac{f}{g} > 0.$$

Show with this ordering and the operations in part (i),  $F$  is an ordered field.

*Proof.* We continue using the defined sets  $A, B$  and elements  $a, b, c \in F$  from part (i).

We first show that  $F$  is an ordered set. Let  $n_1, m_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m_1 \neq 0$ , each be the leading coefficient of  $f_1, g_1$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is an ordered set, we know  $n_1m_1$  must be either positive, negative, or equal to 0. This indicates that for all  $f \in F$ ,  $f$  must be either positive, negative, or equal to 0. Since  $a - b \in F$ , it must be either positive, negative, or equal to 0. Therefore, since  $a, b \in F$ , one and only one of the following statements

$$a > b, \quad b > a, \quad a = b$$

is true.

Suppose  $a > b$  and  $b > c$ , then  $\frac{f_1g_2 - f_2g_1}{g_1g_2} > 0$  and  $\frac{f_2g_3 - f_3g_2}{g_2g_3} > 0$ . Combining two equations, we get  $\frac{f_1g_2g_3 - f_2g_1g_3 + f_2g_1g_3 - f_3g_1g_2}{g_1g_2g_3} > 0$ . It follows that

$$\frac{f_1g_3 - f_3g_1}{g_1g_3} = a - c > 0.$$

Thus,  $F$  is an ordered set since it meets the two required conditions.

Suppose  $c > b$ . We know  $a + c = \frac{f_1g_3 + f_3g_1}{g_1g_3}$  and  $a + b = \frac{f_1g_2 + f_2g_1}{g_1g_2}$ . Since  $c > b$ , we rearrange and get  $f_3g_2 > f_2g_3$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f_3g_2 &> f_2g_3 \\ f_3g_2g_1 &> f_2g_3g_1 \\ f_1g_2g_3 + f_3g_2g_1 &> f_1g_2g_3 + f_2g_3g_1 \\ \frac{f_1g_3 + f_3g_1}{g_1g_3} &> \frac{f_1g_2 + f_2g_1}{g_1g_2} && \text{dividing } g_1g_2g_3 \text{ on both sides} \\ a + c &> a + b. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $a, b$  are positive. Let  $n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  each be the leading coefficient of  $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2$ , we get  $n_1m_1, n_2m_2 > 0$ . Since the leading coefficient of the product of two polynomials is the product of the leading coefficients of the two polynomials, we know that the leading coefficient of  $f_1f_2$  and  $g_1g_2$  are  $n_1n_2$  and  $m_1m_2$ , respectively. Since  $n_1m_1, n_2m_2 > 0$ ,  $n_1n_2m_1m_2 > 0$ , and thus  $ab = \frac{f_1f_2}{g_1g_2}$  is also positive.

Since all the conditions are met,  $F$  is an ordered field.  $\square$

- (iii) Write the following polynomials in order of increasing size using the order defined in (ii):  $x^2, -x^5, 2, x + 6, 3 - 2x$ .

*Solution.* Since

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 - (x + 6) &= x^2 - x - 6 > 0, \\x + 6 - 2 &= x + 4 > 0, \\2 - (-2x + 3) &= 2x - 1 > 0, \\-2x + 3 - (-x^5) &= x^5 - 2x + 3 > 0,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$x^2 > x + 6 > 2 > -2x + 3 > -x^5,$$

by the transitivity of ordered sets. □

(iv) Show that  $x > a$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $x - a$  has a leading coefficient of 1, the statement holds true. □

**Question E1.** If  $r$  is rational ( $r \neq 0$ ) and  $x$  is irrational, prove that  $r + x$  and  $rx$  are irrational.

*Proof.* Let  $r = \frac{m}{n}$ , for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . We first show  $r + x$  to be irrational. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $r + x = \frac{p}{q}$ , for  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ . Then  $x = \frac{p}{q} - \frac{m}{n} = \frac{mq+np}{nq} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , contradiction.

We now show  $rx$  to be irrational. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $rx = \frac{k}{l}$ , for  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gcd(k, l) = 1$ . Then  $x = \frac{\frac{k}{l}}{\frac{m}{n}} = \frac{kn}{lm} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , contradiction.

Therefore, both  $r + x$  and  $rx$  are irrational. □

**Question E2.** Prove that there is no rational number whose square is 12.

*Proof.* Let  $p = \frac{m}{n}$ , for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that  $p^2 = 12$ . We know  $m^2 = 12n^2$ , and so  $m = 2k$ , for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We then have  $k^2 = 3n^2$ , which implies that  $3|k$ . This shows that  $m = 6l$ , for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Substituting it back into the equation, we get  $3l^2 = n^2$ , which shows that  $3|m, n$ , contradiction. Therefore, the statement of the question holds true.  $\square$



**Question E5.** Let  $A$  be a nonempty set of real numbers which is bounded below. Let  $-A$  be the set of all numbers  $-x$ , where  $x \in A$ . Prove that

$$\inf A = -\sup(-A).$$

*Proof.* Let  $k = \inf A$ ,  $b \in -A$ . Since  $-b \in A$ , we know  $k \leq -b$ . Therefore,  $-k \geq b$ , and thus  $-k$  is an upper bound of  $-A$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $m < -k$ . Since  $-m > k$ , we know there exists  $a \in A$ , such that  $-m > a$ . Since  $-a \in -A$  and  $-a > m$ ,  $m$  is not an upper bound of  $-A$ . Therefore,  $k = -\sup(-A)$ .  $\square$

**Question E8.** Prove that no order can be defined in the complex field that turns it into an ordered field.

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there exists some ordering such that  $a > b$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} a &> b \\ ia &> ib \\ -a &> -b \\ a &< b, \end{aligned}$$

contradiction. Thus, the statement holds true. □