MATH 140A: Homework #2

Due on October 13, 2023 at 11:00pm $Professor\ Mohammadi$ Section A02

Ray Tsai

A16848188

Let $A \subset R$ be a non-empty subset which satisfies the following two properties

- 1. A = A + A
- 2. For every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $a \in A$ so that $0 < a < \epsilon$.

Prove that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, there exists some $a \in A$ so that

$$0 < x - a < \epsilon$$
.

Proof. Let $a \in A$. We first show that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $na \in A$ by induction on n. We already know $a \in A$. For n > 1, since $(n-1)a \in A$, we know $na = a + (n-1)a \in A$ by rule 1. Thus, $na \in A$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $0 < a < \epsilon$, by rule 2. We assume that $\epsilon < x$, otherwise we are done. Now we show that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < x - an < \epsilon$. Let $0 < \frac{x - \epsilon}{a} < n < \frac{x}{a}$. By the archimedean property, we know there exists $n > \frac{x - \epsilon}{a}$. Since $\epsilon > a$, the gap $\frac{x}{a} - \frac{x - \epsilon}{a} = \frac{\epsilon}{a} > 1$, and so there exists such natural number n within the interval. Thus, we get

$$0 = x - a \cdot \frac{x}{a} < x - an < x - a \cdot \frac{x - \epsilon}{a} = \epsilon.$$

Problem 2

Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume a < b and c < d. Give an explicit one-to-one correspondence between

1. The points of the two open intervals (a, b) and (c, d).

Proof. Define $f:(a,b) \to (c,d)$ to be $f(x) = \frac{(d-c)x + (cb-ad)}{b-a}$. Let $l,m \in (a,b)$. Since a < l < b,

$$\frac{d-c}{b-a}a < \frac{d-c}{b-a}l < \frac{d-c}{b-a}b$$

$$\frac{(d-c)a + (cb-ad)}{b-a} < \frac{(d-c)l + (cb-ad)}{b-a} < \frac{(d-c)b + (cb-ad)}{b-a}$$

$$c < f(l) < d.$$

Suppose that l=m. Then

$$\frac{(d-c)l + (cb - ad)}{b - a} = f(l) = f(m) = \frac{(d-c)m + (cb - ad)}{b - a},$$

and so f is well defined.

Suppose f(l) = f(m). Then,

$$\frac{(d-c)l + (cb-ad)}{b-a} = \frac{(d-c)m + (cb-ad)}{b-a}$$
$$(d-c)l + (db-ad) = (d-c)m + (db-ad)$$
$$(d-c)l = (d-c)m$$
$$l = m.$$

Thus, f is injective.

Let $y \in (c,d)$. There exists $x = \frac{(b-a)y - (cb-ad)}{d-c} \in (a,b)$ such that f(x) = y, and so f is surjective.

Thus, f is an one-to-one correspondence.

2. The points of the two closed intervals [a, b] and [c, d].

Proof. Define $f:[a,b]\to [c,d]$ to be $f(x)=\frac{(d-c)x+(cb-ad)}{b-a}$. Let $l,m\in [a,b]$. Since $a\leq l\leq b$,

$$\frac{d-c}{b-a}a \leq \frac{d-c}{b-a}l \leq \frac{d-c}{b-a}b$$

$$\frac{(d-c)a+(cb-ad)}{b-a} \leq \frac{(d-c)l+(cb-ad)}{b-a} \leq \frac{(d-c)b+(cb-ad)}{b-a}$$

$$c \leq f(l) \leq d.$$

Suppose that l=m. Then

$$\frac{(d-c)l + (cb - ad)}{b - a} = f(l) = f(m) = \frac{(d-c)m + (cb - ad)}{b - a},$$

and so f is well defined.

Suppose f(l) = f(m). Then,

$$\frac{(d-c)l + (cb-ad)}{b-a} = \frac{(d-c)m + (cb-ad)}{b-a}$$
$$(d-c)l + (db-ad) = (d-c)m + (db-ad)$$
$$(d-c)l = (d-c)m$$
$$l = m.$$

Thus, f is injective.

Let $y \in [c, d]$. There exists $x = \frac{(b-a)y - (cb-ad)}{d-c} \in [a, b]$ such that f(x) = y, and so f is surjective. Thus, f is an one-to-one correspondence.

3. The points of the closed interval [a, b] and the open interval (c, d).

Proof. Define $f:[a,b]\to(c,d)$ to be

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} c + \frac{d-c}{n+2}, & x = a + \frac{b-a}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \frac{c+d}{2}, & x = a \\ \frac{(d-c)x + (cb-ad)}{b-a}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the product of f of different cases would not be equal.

Obviously, $f(x) \in (c,d)$ for all $x \in [a,b]$. Let $k,m \in [a,b]$. If k=m=a, then $f(k)=f(m)=\frac{c+d}{2}$. If $k=m=a+\frac{b-a}{n}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $f(k)=f(m)=c+\frac{d-c}{n+2}$. Otherwise, $\frac{(d-c)k+(cb-ad)}{b-a}=\frac{(d-c)m+(cb-ad)}{b-a}$, which implies that f(k)=f(m). Therefore, f is well defined.

Suppose that f(k)=f(m). If $f(k)=f(m)=\frac{c+d}{2}$, then k=m=a. If $f(k)=f(m)=c+\frac{d-c}{n+2}$ for some $n\in\mathbb{N}$, then $k=m=a+\frac{b-a}{n}$. If $f(k)=\frac{(d-c)k+(cb-ad)}{b-a}=\frac{(d-c)m+(cb-ad)}{b-a}=f(m)$, Then k=m, by the results we obtained from previous parts. Thus, f is injective.

Let $y \in (c, d)$. There exists

$$x = \begin{cases} a + \frac{b-a}{n}, & y = c + \frac{d-c}{n+2}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ a, & y = \frac{c+d}{2} \\ \frac{(b-a)x + (ad-cb)}{d-c}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

such that f(x) = y. Thus, f is surjective.

Therefore, f is bijective.

4. The points of the closed interval [a, b] and \mathbb{R}

Proof. Consider $\tan: (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\tan^{-1}: \mathbb{R} \to (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Since \tan and \tan^{-1} are inverses of each other, they are bijective. We can then use the function f we defined in part 3 to get a bijective mapping from [a, b] to $(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$. Thus, we get a bijection $(\tan \circ f): [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\tan \circ f)(x) = \begin{cases} \tan(-\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{n+2}), & x = a + \frac{b-a}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0, & x = a \\ \tan(\frac{2\pi x - \pi(b+a)}{2(b-a)}), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Fix b > 1, y > 0, and prove that there is a unique real x such that $b^x = y$.

Proof. We first show that for any positive integer n, $b^n - 1 \ge n(b-1)$. We show that $b^n > 1$ by induction on n. We already know b > 1. For n > 1, $b^n = b \cdot b^{n-1} > 1$, since $b^{n-1} > 1$ by induction. Thus,

$$b^{n} - 1 = (b-1)(b^{n-1} + \dots + b + 1) \ge (b-1)n. \tag{1}$$

By Theorem 1.21, we know that there exists a unique $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $a^n = b$. Suppose that $a \le 1$. We show that $a^n \le 1$ by induction on n. For n > 1, we know that $a^n = a \cdot a^{n-1} \le 1$, since $a^{n-1} \le 1$ by induction. Thus, a must be greater than 1. Then, by (1), we know that $b - 1 = a^n - 1 \ge (a - 1)n = (b^{\frac{1}{n}} - 1)n$.

Let t > 1. Suppose that $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$, then nt - n > b - 1. Since $n \ge 1$, we know t > b. Note that since $a^n > 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $b = b^{\frac{1}{n}} \cdot a^{n-1} \ge b^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Thus, we get

$$t > b \ge b^{\frac{1}{n}}.\tag{2}$$

Let $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $b^w < y$. Let $t = y \cdot b^{-w} > b^w \cdot b^{-w} = 1$. By (2), there exists $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$, such that $t = y \cdot b^{-w} > b^{\frac{1}{n}}$, and so $y > b^{w+\frac{1}{n}}$. Suppose that $b^w < y$. Let $t = b^w y^{-1}$. Similarly, there exists $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$, such that $t = b^w y^{-1} > b^{\frac{1}{n}}$, and so $b^{w-\frac{1}{n}} > y$.

Let A be the set of all w such that $b^w < y$. We will show that $x = \sup A$ satisfies $b^x = y$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $b^x < y$. Then, by the result we obtained above, we know there exists a large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $b^x < b^{x+\frac{1}{n}} < n$. This implies that there exists $x + \frac{1}{n} \in A$, which contradicts that $x = \sup A$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $b^x > y$. Then, by the result we obtained above, there exists a large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $b^x > b^{x-\frac{1}{n}} > y$, contradicting the fact that $x = \sup A$. Thus, $b^x = y$.

Suppose that $b^z = b^x = y$. $x \not> z$, otherwise $b^z < b^x$, contradiction. Similarly, we also know $x \not< z$. Therefore, x is unique.

Problem 4

If x, y are complex, prove that

$$||x| - |y|| \le |x - y|.$$

Proof. We squure both sides. On the right-hand-side, we have

$$|x - y|^2 = (x - y)\overline{(x - y)}$$
$$= |x|^2 + |y|^2 - y\overline{x} - x\overline{y}$$

Note that $\overline{xy} = y\overline{x}$, so $y\overline{x} + x\overline{y} = 2\operatorname{Re}(x\overline{y})$. On the left-hand-side, we have

$$(|x| - |y|)^{2} = |x|^{2} + |y|^{2} - 2|x||y|$$
$$= |x|^{2} + |y|^{2} - 2|x||\overline{y}|$$
$$= |x|^{2} + |y|^{2} - 2|x\overline{y}|$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(x\overline{y}) \leq |x\overline{y}|$,

$$|x|^2 + |y|^2 - 2|x\overline{y}| \le |x|^2 + |y|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}(x\overline{y})$$

= $|x|^2 + |y|^2 - y\overline{x} - x\overline{y}$,

and thus $||x| - |y|| \le |x - y|$.

If z is a complex number such that |z|=1, that is, such that $z\overline{z}=1$, compute

$$|1+z|^2 + |1-z|^2$$

Proof.

$$|1+z|^{2} + |1-z|^{2} = (1+z)\overline{(1+z)} + (1-z)\overline{(1-z)}$$

$$= 1 + z + \overline{z} + z\overline{z} + 1 - z - \overline{z} + z\overline{z}$$

$$= 4.$$

Prove that

$$|x+y|^2 + |x-y|^2 = 2|x|^2 + 2|y|^2$$

if $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Interpret this geometrically, as a statement about parallelograms.

Proof.

$$|x + y|^2 + |x - y|^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2x \cdot y + |x|^2 + |y|^2 - 2x \cdot y$$
$$= 2|x|^2 + 2|y|^2.$$

Interpreting geometrically, if x, y were the neighboring sides of a para;;elogram, then x + y and x - y are its diagonals. Thus, the equation suggests that the sum of the squares of the sides is equal to the sum of the square of the diagonals.