

COMPLEXITY THEORY EXERCISES

Ray Tsai

Diagonalization

Problem 3.1

Prove that $\mathbf{SPACE}(n) \neq \mathbf{NP}$.

Proof. We prove that \mathbf{NP} is closed under log-space reduction but $\mathbf{SPACE}(n)$ is not.

Given a log-space reduction from L_1 to L_2 , there is a log-space turing machine R which performs the reduction. Since $\mathbf{SPACE}(\log n) \subset P$, both the runtime and the output length of R is bounded by a polynomial. If $L_2 \in \mathbf{NP}$, then there exists a polynomial-time non-deterministic turning machine M which decides L_2 . Hence, a non-deterministic turning machine which runs R then M decides L_1 in polynomial-time, and so $L_1 \in \mathbf{NP}$.

We now show that $\mathbf{SPACE}(n)$ is not closed under log-space reduction. Let R be a reduction which pads $n^2 - n$ 1's after an input of length n . Note that R uses $O(\log n)$ space. Pick $L_1 \in \mathbf{SPACE}(n^2) \setminus \mathbf{SPACE}(n)$, and let L_2 be the padded version of L_1 . Consider a turing machine M which checks the (1) input is of a square number length, say $|x| = n^2$, (2) checks the first n symbols are in L_1 , and (3) checks the remaining $n^2 - n$ symbols are all 1's. M decides L_2 . Since step (1) and (3) takes $O(\log n)$ space, and (2) takes $O(|x|) = O(n^2)$ space, M only uses linear space. But then $L_2 \in \mathbf{SPACE}(n)$ and $L_1 \notin \mathbf{SPACE}(n)$. \square

Polynomial Hierarchy

Problem 5.3

Show that if 3SAT is polynomial-time reducible to $\overline{3\text{SAT}}$, then $\mathbf{PH} = \mathbf{NP}$.

Proof. We show that $\Sigma_i^p, \Pi_i^p \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$ for all $i \geq 1$, by induction on i . Since 3SAT is \mathbf{NP} -complete, $\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{coNP}$ by assumption. Let $L \in \mathbf{coNP}$. Since $3\text{SAT} \in \mathbf{coNP}$, $\overline{3\text{SAT}} \in \mathbf{NP}$. But then $\overline{3\text{SAT}}$ is \mathbf{coNP} -complete, and thus $\mathbf{coNP} \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$. Hence, $\Pi_1^p = \mathbf{NP}$. Suppose $i \geq 2$. Let $L \in \Sigma_i^p$. There exists a polynomial-time turing machine M and a polynomial q such that $x \in L$ if and only if

$$\exists u_1 \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)} \forall u_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)} \dots Q_i u_i \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)}, M(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i) = 1.$$

Define language L' such that $\langle x, u_1 \rangle \in L'$ if and only if

$$\forall u_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)} \dots Q_i u_i \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)}, M(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i) = 1.$$

By induction, $L' \in \Pi_{i-1}^p \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$, so there exists a polynomial-time turing machine M' which verifies L' . Combining it into L , we get $x \in L$ if and only if

$$\exists(u_1, u_2) \in \{0, 1\}^{2q(|x|)}, M'(x, u_1, u_2) = 1.$$

Hence, $L \in \mathbf{NP}$. By the same argument, we may also show that $\Pi_i^p \subseteq \mathbf{coNP}$. But then by the base case, $\mathbf{coNP} = \mathbf{NP}$, and this completes the induction. \square

Problem 5.11

Show that **SUCCINCT SET-COVER** $\in \Sigma_2^p$.

Proof. Let $S = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$ be a set of 3-DNF formulae on n variables $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. $\langle S, k \rangle \in \mathbf{SUCCINCT SET-COVER}$ if and only if there exists $I \subseteq [m]$ such that $|I| \leq k$ and for all assignments to $\bigvee_{i \in I} \varphi_i$ results in 1. Hence, there exists a turing machine M such that $\langle S, k \rangle \in \mathbf{SUCCINCT SET-COVER}$ if and only if

$$\exists I \subseteq [m] \forall f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, M(S, k, I, f) = 1.$$

Since calculating each φ_i with assignment f takes polynomial time and there are at most m of them, M runs in polynomial time. Hence, **SUCCINCT SET-COVER** $\in \Sigma_2^p$. \square

Problem 5.13

This problem studies the Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimensions, an important concept in machine learning. If $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$ is a collection of subsets of a finite set U , the *VC dimension* of \mathcal{S} , denoted $VC(\mathcal{S})$, is the size of the largest set $X \subseteq U$ such that for every $X' \subseteq X$, there is an i for which $S_i \cap X = X'$. (We say that X is shattered by \mathcal{S} .)

A Boolean circuit C succinctly represents collection \mathcal{S} if S_i consists of exactly those elements $x \in U$ for which $C(i, x) = 1$. Finally, the

$$\mathbf{VC-DIMENSION} = \{\langle C, k \rangle : C \text{ represents a collection } \mathcal{S} \text{ s.t. } VC(\mathcal{S}) \geq k\}$$

(a) Show that **VC-DIMENSION** $\in \Sigma_3^p$.

Proof. Let U be a set. $\langle C, k \rangle \in \mathbf{VC-DIMENSION}$ if and only if

$$\exists X \subseteq U \forall X' \subseteq X \exists i \in [m], |X| \geq k \text{ and } \forall x \in X, x \in X' \Leftrightarrow C(i, x) = 1. \quad (1)$$

Note that a collection \mathcal{S} of 2^m subsets of U can shatter a subset $X \subseteq U$ of size at most m , as each subset X' of X corresponds an $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $S_i \cap X = X'$. Hence, the VC dimension of \mathcal{S} is at most m . Suppose circuit C represents \mathcal{S} . Since $m \leq |C|$, $|X|$, $|X'|$, and $|i|$ are bounded by $|C|$, and thus (1) can be computed in polynomial time with respect to $|C|$. Therefore, **VC-DIMENSION** $\in \Sigma_3^p$. \square

(b) Show that **VC-DIMENSION** is Σ_3^p -complete.

Proof. Let $\phi(x, y, z)$ be an instance of $\Sigma_3\text{SAT}$. We may assume $|x| = |y| = |z| = n$. Let $U = \{0, 1\}^n \times [n]$. Define collection \mathcal{S} to contain the sets

$$S_{x,y,z} = \begin{cases} \{x\} \times y & \text{if } \phi(x, y, z) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

for all x, y, z . Given $(x', k) \in U$ and $(x, y, z), (x', k) \in S_{x,y,z}$ if and only if $\phi(x, y, z)$ and $x = x'$ and $y_k = 1$. Hence, there exists a circuit C which succinctly represents \mathcal{S} .

Suppose $\exists x \forall y \exists z$ such that $\phi(x, y, z)$. Consider the set $U_x = \{x\} \times [n]$. Let $U'_x \subseteq U_x$. Since the set $\{x\} \times y \in \mathcal{S}$ for all y , $U'_x = U_x \cap S_{x,y,z}$ for some y and z such that $\phi(x, y, z) = 1$. Thus, U_x is shattered by \mathcal{S} , and so the VC-dimension of \mathcal{S} is at least n .

Conversely, suppose the VC-dimension of \mathcal{S} is at least n . That is, there exists a set X of size n which is shattered by \mathcal{S} . Since $S_{x,y,z} \cap X \subseteq U_x$ for all x , $X \subseteq U_x$ for some x . But then $|X| \geq n = |U_x|$, so $X = U_x$. But then U_x being shattered by \mathcal{S} implies that $\phi(x, y, z) = 1$ for all y and for some z .

Therefore, we conclude that (C, n) is in VC-DIMENSION if and only if $\exists x \forall y \exists z \phi(x, y, z)$. \square