

# COMPLEXITY THEORY EXERCISES

Ray Tsai

## Diagonalization

### Problem 3.1

Prove that  $\mathbf{SPACE}(n) \neq \mathbf{NP}$ .

*Proof.* We prove that  $\mathbf{NP}$  is closed under log-space reduction but  $\mathbf{SPACE}(n)$  is not.

Given a log-space reduction from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ , there is a log-space turing machine  $R$  which performs the reduction. Since  $\mathbf{SPACE}(\log n) \subset P$ , both the runtime and the output length of  $R$  is bounded by a polynomial. If  $L_2 \in \mathbf{NP}$ , then there exists a polynomial-time non-deterministic turning machine  $M$  which decides  $L_2$ . Hence, a non-deterministic turning machine which runs  $R$  then  $M$  decides  $L_1$  in polynomial-time, and so  $L_1 \in \mathbf{NP}$ .

We now show that  $\mathbf{SPACE}(n)$  is not closed under log-space reduction. Let  $R$  be a reduction which pads  $n^2 - n$  1's after an input of length  $n$ . Note that  $R$  uses  $O(\log n)$  space. Pick  $L_1 \in \mathbf{SPACE}(n^2) \setminus \mathbf{SPACE}(n)$ , and let  $L_2$  be the padded version of  $L_1$ . Consider a turing machine  $M$  which checks the (1) input is of a square number length, say  $|x| = n^2$ , (2) checks the first  $n$  symbols are in  $L_1$ , and (3) checks the remaining  $n^2 - n$  symbols are all 1's.  $M$  decides  $L_2$ . Since step (1) and (3) takes  $O(\log n)$  space, and (2) takes  $O(|x|) = O(n^2)$  space,  $M$  only uses linear space. But then  $L_2 \in \mathbf{SPACE}(n)$  and  $L_1 \notin \mathbf{SPACE}(n)$ .  $\square$

## Polynomial Hierarchy

### Problem 5.3

Show that if  $3\text{SAT}$  is polynomial-time reducible to  $\overline{3\text{SAT}}$ , then  $\mathbf{PH} = \mathbf{NP}$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $\Sigma_i^p, \Pi_i^p \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , by induction on  $i$ . Since  $3\text{SAT}$  is  $\mathbf{NP}$ -complete,  $\mathbf{NP} \subseteq \mathbf{coNP}$  by assumption. Let  $L \in \mathbf{coNP}$ . Since  $3\text{SAT} \in \mathbf{coNP}$ ,  $\overline{3\text{SAT}} \in \mathbf{NP}$ . But then  $\overline{3\text{SAT}}$  is  $\mathbf{coNP}$ -complete, and thus  $\mathbf{coNP} \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$ . Hence,  $\Pi_1^p = \mathbf{NP}$ . Suppose  $i \geq 2$ . Let  $L \in \Sigma_i^p$ . There exists a polynomial-time turing machine  $M$  and a polynomial  $q$  such that  $x \in L$  if and only if

$$\exists u_1 \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)} \forall u_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)} \dots Q_i u_i \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)}, M(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i) = 1.$$

Define language  $L'$  such that  $\langle x, u_1 \rangle \in L'$  if and only if

$$\forall u_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)} \dots Q_i u_i \in \{0, 1\}^{q(|x|)}, M(x, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_i) = 1.$$

By induction,  $L' \in \Pi_{i-1}^p \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$ , so there exists a polynomial-time turing machine  $M'$  which verifies  $L'$ . Combining it into  $L$ , we get  $x \in L$  if and only if

$$\exists(u_1, u_2) \in \{0, 1\}^{2q(|x|)}, M'(x, u_1, u_2) = 1.$$

Hence,  $L \in \mathbf{NP}$ . By the same argument, we may also show that  $\Pi_i^p \subseteq \mathbf{coNP}$ . But then by the base case,  $\mathbf{coNP} = \mathbf{NP}$ , and this completes the induction.  $\square$

### Problem 5.11

Show that **SUCCINCT SET-COVER**  $\in \Sigma_2^p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m\}$  be a set of 3-DNF formulae on  $n$  variables  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ .  $\langle S, k \rangle \in \mathbf{SUCCINCT SET-COVER}$  if and only if there exists  $I \subseteq [m]$  such that  $|I| \leq k$  and for all assignments to  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \varphi_i$  results in 1. Hence, there exists a turing machine  $M$  such that  $\langle S, k \rangle \in \mathbf{SUCCINCT SET-COVER}$  if and only if

$$\exists I \subseteq [m] \forall f : V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, M(S, k, I, f) = 1.$$

Since calculating each  $\varphi_i$  with assignment  $f$  takes polynomial time and there are at most  $m$  of them,  $M$  runs in polynomial time. Hence, **SUCCINCT SET-COVER**  $\in \Sigma_2^p$ .  $\square$

### Problem 5.13

This problem studies the Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) dimensions, an important concept in machine learning. If  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$  is a collection of subsets of a finite set  $U$ , the *VC dimension* of  $\mathcal{S}$ , denoted  $VC(\mathcal{S})$ , is the size of the largest set  $X \subseteq U$  such that for every  $X' \subseteq X$ , there is an  $i$  for which  $S_i \cap X = X'$ . (We say that  $X$  is shattered by  $\mathcal{S}$ .)

A Boolean circuit  $C$  succinctly represents collection  $\mathcal{S}$  if  $S_i$  consists of exactly those elements  $x \in U$  for which  $C(i, x) = 1$ . Finally, the

$$\mathbf{VC-DIMENSION} = \{\langle C, k \rangle : C \text{ represents a collection } \mathcal{S} \text{ s.t. } VC(\mathcal{S}) \geq k\}$$

(a) Show that **VC-DIMENSION**  $\in \Sigma_3^p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a set.  $\langle C, k \rangle \in \mathbf{VC-DIMENSION}$  if and only if

$$\exists X \subseteq U \forall X' \subseteq X \exists i \in [m], |X| \geq k \text{ and } \forall x \in X, x \in X' \Leftrightarrow C(i, x) = 1. \quad (1)$$

Note that a collection  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $2^m$  subsets of  $U$  can shatter a subset  $X \subseteq U$  of size at most  $m$ , as each subset  $X'$  of  $X$  corresponds an  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $S_i \cap X = X'$ . Hence, the VC dimension of  $\mathcal{S}$  is at most  $m$ . Suppose circuit  $C$  represents  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since  $m \leq |C|$ ,  $|X|$ ,  $|X'|$ , and  $|i|$  are bounded by  $|C|$ , and thus (1) can be computed in polynomial time with respect to  $|C|$ . Therefore, **VC-DIMENSION**  $\in \Sigma_3^p$ .  $\square$

(b) Show that **VC-DIMENSION** is  $\Sigma_3^p$ -complete.

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(x, y, z)$  be an instance of  $\Sigma_3\text{SAT}$ . We may assume  $|x| = |y| = |z| = n$ . Let  $U = \{0, 1\}^n \times [n]$ . Define collection  $\mathcal{S}$  to contain the sets

$$S_{x,y,z} = \begin{cases} \{x\} \times y & \text{if } \phi(x, y, z) \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

for all  $x, y, z$ . Given  $(x', k) \in U$  and  $(x, y, z), (x', k) \in S_{x,y,z}$  if and only if  $\phi(x, y, z)$  and  $x = x'$  and  $y_k = 1$ . Hence, there exists a circuit  $C$  which succinctly represents  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Suppose  $\exists x \forall y \exists z$  such that  $\phi(x, y, z)$ . Consider the set  $U_x = \{x\} \times [n]$ . Let  $U'_x \subseteq U_x$ . Since the set  $\{x\} \times y \in \mathcal{S}$  for all  $y$ ,  $U'_x = U_x \cap S_{x,y,z}$  for some  $y$  and  $z$  such that  $\phi(x, y, z) = 1$ . Thus,  $U_x$  is shattered by  $\mathcal{S}$ , and so the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{S}$  is at least  $n$ .

Conversely, suppose the VC-dimension of  $\mathcal{S}$  is at least  $n$ . That is, there exists a set  $X$  of size  $n$  which is shattered by  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since  $S_{x,y,z} \cap X \subseteq U_x$  for all  $x$ ,  $X \subseteq U_x$  for some  $x$ . But then  $|X| \geq n = |U_x|$ , so  $X = U_x$ . But then  $U_x$  being shattered by  $\mathcal{S}$  implies that  $\phi(x, y, z) = 1$  for all  $y$  and for some  $z$ .

Therefore, we conclude that  $(C, n)$  is in VC-DIMENSION if and only if  $\exists x \forall y \exists z \phi(x, y, z)$ .  $\square$