# MATH 140B: Homework #8

Due on Jun 3, 2024 at 23:59pm  $\,$ 

Professor Seward

Ray Tsai

A16848188

If  $0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , prove that

$$\frac{2}{\pi} < \frac{\sin x}{x} < 1.$$

*Proof.* Consider the function  $f(x) = x - \sin x$ . Since  $\cos x < 1 = (x)'$  in  $(0, \pi/2)$ ,  $f'(x) = x - \cos x > 0$  in  $(0, \pi/2)$ , so f is strictly increasing in  $(0, \pi/2)$ . But then f(x) > f(0) = 0 for all  $x \in (0, \pi/2)$ . It now follows that  $\frac{\sin x}{x} < 1$ .

Now consider  $g(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x}$ .  $g'(x) = \frac{x\cos x - \sin x}{x^2}$ . We now show that  $x < \tan x = \frac{\sin x}{\cos x}$  in  $(0, \pi/2)$ . Put  $h(x) = \tan x - x$ . Since  $|\cos x| < 1$  in  $(0, \pi/2)$ ,  $h'(x) = \frac{\cos^2 x + \sin^2 x}{\cos^2 x} - 1 = \frac{1}{\cos^2 x} - 1 > 1$ . But then h(0) = 0 and h is strictly increasing, so  $\tan x - x > 0$  in  $(0, \pi/2)$ . It now follows that  $g'(x) < \frac{\tan x \cos x - \sin x}{x^2} = 0$  and  $g(\pi/2) = \frac{2}{\pi}$ , and thus  $\frac{2}{\pi} < \frac{\sin x}{x}$  for all  $0 < x < \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  and x real, prove that

$$|\sin nx| \le n|\sin x|$$
.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on n. The base case n=0 is trivial. Suppose  $n \ge 1$ .

$$|\sin nx| = \left| \frac{1}{2i} (e^{nix} - e^{-nix}) \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{2i} [(e^{(n-1)ix} - e^{-(n-1)ix})(e^{ix} + e^{-ix}) + (e^{(n-1)ix} + e^{-(n-1)ix})(e^{ix} - e^{-ix})] \right|$$

$$= [\sin(n-1)x \cdot \cos x + \cos(n-1)x \cdot \sin x]$$

$$\leq |\sin(n-1)x \cdot \cos x| + |\cos(n-1)x \cdot \sin x|$$

$$\leq |\sin(n-1)x| + |\sin x|$$

By induction,

$$|\sin nx| = |\sin(n-1)x| + |\sin x| \le (n-1)|\sin x| + |\sin x| = n|\sin x|.$$

## Problem 3

Put  $s_N = 1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} (s_N - \log N)$$

exists. (The limit, often denoted by  $\gamma$ , is called Euler's constant. Its numerical value is 0.5772.... It is not known whether  $\gamma$  is rational or not.)

*Proof.* Let  $f_n = s_n - \log n$ . Since  $\frac{1}{x}$  is a decreasing function,  $\int_n^{n+1} \frac{1}{x} dx \ge \frac{1}{n+1}$ . Thus,

$$f_{n+1} - f_n = \frac{1}{n+1} - (\log(n+1) - \log n) = \frac{1}{n+1} - \int_n^{n+1} \frac{1}{x} dx \le 0,$$

and so  $\{f_n\}$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence. But then  $\int_1^n \frac{1}{x} dx \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}$ . Hence,

$$f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} - \int_1^n \frac{1}{x} dx \ge \frac{1}{n} > 0,$$

so  $f_n$  is bounded below. The result now follows from Theorem 3.14.

Prove that  $\sum 1/p$  diverges; the sum extends over all primes.

*Proof.* Given N, let  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  be those primes that divide at least one integer at most N. Each  $n \leq N$  is a product of powers of  $p_j$ 's. Since  $\prod_{j=1}^k \left(1 + \frac{1}{p_j} + \frac{1}{p_j^2} + \cdots\right)$  is the sum of all inverses of numbers whose factorization consists of only powers of  $p_j$ 's,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \cdots p_k^{l_k}}$$

$$\leq \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p_j} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} \right)^{-1}.$$

We now show that  $e^{2x} \ge (1-x)^{-1}$  for  $x \in (0,1/2)$ . Put  $f(x) = (1-x)e^{2x}$ . Since  $f'(x) = (1-2x)e^{2x} > 0$  for  $x \in (0,1/2)$  and f(0) = 1, we have  $f(x) \ge 1$  in (0,1/2), and thus  $e^{2x} \ge (1-x)^{-1}$ . Hence, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_j} \right)^{-1} \le \exp \sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{2}{p_j}.$$

The logarithmic function is monotonically increasing, so we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\frac{1}{n}\right) \le \sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1}{p_j}.$$

Since  $k \to \infty$  as  $N \to \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$  diverges,  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_j}$  diverges, by comparison test.

Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{R}$  on [0, A] for all  $A < \infty$ , and  $f(x) \to 1$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} t \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx = 1 \quad (t > 0).$$

*Proof.* We first show that the improper integral is well-defined. Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists A such that  $|f(x) - 1| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \ge A$ . For n > A,

$$\frac{1-\epsilon}{t}[e^{-tA}-e^{-tn}] = \int_A^n e^{-tx}(1-\epsilon) \, dx < \int_A^n e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx < \int_A^n e^{-tx}(1+\epsilon) \, dx = \frac{1+\epsilon}{t}[e^{-tA}-e^{-tn}].$$

Since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} e^{-tn} = 0$ ,

$$\frac{1-\epsilon}{t}e^{-tA} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_A^n e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx \le \frac{1+\epsilon}{t}e^{-tA}.$$

As  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,

$$\int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{t} e^{-tA},$$

and so  $\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} f(x) dx = \int_0^A e^{-tx} f(x) dx + \int_A^\infty e^{-tx} f(x) dx$  exists. Since  $|e^{-tx}| < 1$  for all t > 0,

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+} t \left| \int_0^A e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx \right| \le \lim_{t \to 0^+} t \int_0^A |f(x)| \, dx = 0.$$

On the other hand, for t > 0,

$$t \left| \int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx \right| = t \left| \frac{1}{t} e^{-tA} \right| = e^{-tA}.$$

It now follows that

$$\lim_{t \to 0^{+}} t \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx \right| = \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} t \left| \int_{0}^{A} e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx + \int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx \right|$$

$$\leq \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} t \left| \int_{0}^{A} e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx \right| + \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} t \left| \int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx \right|$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} t \left| \int_{A}^{\infty} e^{-tx} f(x) \, dx \right|$$

$$= \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} e^{-At}$$

$$= 1.$$

If  $\alpha$  is real and -1 < x < 1, prove Newton's binomial theorem

$$(1+x)^{\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n+1)}{n!} x^{n}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - n)}{(n + 1)!} x^{n + 1}}{\frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - n + 1)}{n!} x^n} \right| = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \frac{n - \alpha}{n + 1} \right| |x| < 1,$$

the series on the right converges in (-1,1) by the ratio test. Let f(x) denote the function on the right-hand side. By Theorem 8.1, f(x) is differentiable. Note that

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n+1)}{(n-1)!} x^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n)}{n!} x^n.$$

Hence, we have

$$(1+x)f'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n)}{n!} x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n)}{n!} x^{n+1}$$

$$= \alpha + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n)}{n!} + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n+1)}{(n-1)!} \right) x^n$$

$$= \alpha + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+\alpha-n) \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n+1)}{n!} x^n$$

$$= \alpha + \alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-n+1)}{n!} x^n$$

$$= \alpha f(x).$$

Since f(0) = 1 and f is continuous, there exists  $R \in (0,1)$  such that f(x) > 0 in (-R,R). Hence,  $(\log f(x))' = \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} = \frac{\alpha}{1+x}$  in (-R,R), which shares the same derivative with  $\log(1+x)^{\alpha}$ . But then for  $x \in (-R,R)$ ,

$$\log f(x) = \log f(x) - \log f(0) = \int_0^x \frac{\alpha}{1+t} dt = \alpha \log(1+x) = \log(1+x)^{\alpha},$$

and so  $f(x) = \exp(\log f(x)) = \exp(\log(1+x)^{\alpha}) = (1+x)^{\alpha}$ . Now let  $S = \{K \in (0,1) \mid f(x) > 0 \text{ if } x \in [-K,K]\}$ . Suppose for contradiction that  $A = \sup S < 1$ . We know  $f(x) = (1+x)^{\alpha}$  in (-A,A). But then

$$\lim_{x \to A} f(x) = (1+A)^{\alpha} > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \to -A} f(x) = (1+-A)^{\alpha} > 0.$$

By continuity, there exists  $\delta$  such that f(x) > 0 in  $(-A - \delta, A + \delta)$ , contradiction. Hence,  $f(x) = (1 + x)^{\alpha}$  in (-1, 1).