## Question 4

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries

 $A_{ii} = i$ , i.e. the entries run from 1 to n,

and let  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  a vector with all 1 entries. Define the function

$$f(x) = rac{1}{2}x^TAx - b^Tx.$$

We want to compare the convergence behavior of conjugate gradient (version 0 or 1) and gradient descent. Do the following for n=20 and n=100 with initialization  $x^{(0)} = 0.$ 

```
In [122... import numpy as np
          from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
```

## Part A

Find the optimal solution  $x^*$  by solving Ax = b using a Matlab/Python linear equation solver (or by hand and hard code the answer).

```
In [123... n = 20
         def A(n):
            return np.diag(np.arange(1, n+1))
         def b(n):
            return np.ones(n)
         def x_opt(n):
            return np.linalg.solve(A(n), b(n))
```

## Part B

Program and run the gradient descent method for f with a fixed stepsize. Run the method for n iterations. You may experiment with the stepsize until you see something that works or use a stepsize dictated by a theorem in the class.

## Part C


Program and run the conjugate gradient (version 0 or 1) for f. Run the method for n iterations.


```
In [126... N = [20, 100]]
          cgd_x_values = [[], []]
          cgd_f_values = [[], []]
          for n in N:
            x = np.zeros(n)
            r = df(x, n)
            p = -r
            for i in range(n):
               cgd_x_values[N.index(n)].append(max(np.linalg.norm(x - x_opt(n)), 1e-16)
               \operatorname{cgd}_{f}\operatorname{values}[N.index(n)].append(\max(f(x, n) - f(x_{opt}(n), n), 1e-16))
               alpha = r.T @ r / (p.T @ A(n) @ p)
              x += alpha * p
               r_new = r + alpha * A(n) @ p
              beta = r_new.T @ r_new / (r.T @ r)
               p = -r_new + beta * p
               r = r_new
```

Plot the  $f(x^{(t)})-f(x^*)$  for both methods in the same figure. In a different figure, plot  $\|x^{(t)}-x^*\|$  for both methods. If you encounter a number smaller than  $10^{-16}$ , set it to be  $10^{-16}$ . In both plots, make the logarithmic scale for the vertical axis. Comment on the plots.

```
In [127... plt.figure(figsize=(12, 5))
         plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
         plt.plot(range(20), gd_f_values[0])
         plt.yscale('log')
         plt.xlabel(f"Iterations")
         plt.ylabel(r"Value of slog[f(x) - f(x^*)]")
         plt.title(f"n = 20")
         plt.subplot(1, 2, 2)
         plt.plot(range(20), cgd_f_values[0])
         plt.yscale('log')
         plt.xlabel(f"Iterations")
         plt.ylabel(r"Value of slog[f(x) - f(x^*)]")
         plt.title(f"n = 20")
         plt.show()
         plt.figure(figsize=(12, 5))
         plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
         plt.plot(range(20), gd_x_values[0])
         plt.yscale('log')
         plt.xlabel(f"Iterations")
         plt.ylabel(r"Value of \log |x - x^*|")
         plt.title(f"n = 20")
         plt.subplot(1, 2, 2)
         plt.plot(range(20), cgd_x_values[0])
         plt.yscale('log')
         plt.xlabel(f"Iterations")
         plt.ylabel(r"Value of \log |x - x^*|")
         plt.title(f"n = 20")
         plt.show()
         plt.figure(figsize=(12, 5))
         plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
         plt.plot(range(100), gd_f_values[1])
         plt.yscale('log')
         plt.xlabel(f"Iterations")
         plt.ylabel(r"Value of \{ (x) - f(x^*) \}")
```

```
plt.title(f"n = 100")
plt.subplot(1, 2, 2)
plt.plot(range(100), cgd_f_values[1])
plt.yscale('log')
plt.xlabel(f"Iterations")
plt.ylabel(r"Value of \{ (x) - f(x^*) \} \}")
plt.title(f"n = 100")
plt.show()
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 5))
plt.subplot(1, 2, 1)
plt.plot(range(100), gd_x_values[1])
plt.yscale('log')
plt.xlabel(f"Iterations")
plt.ylabel(r"Value of \log |x - x^*|")
plt.title(f"n = 100")
plt.subplot(1, 2, 2)
plt.plot(range(100), cgd_x_values[1])
plt.yscale('log')
plt.xlabel(f"Iterations")
plt.ylabel(r"Value of \log |x - x^*|")
plt.title(f"n = 100")
plt.show()
```





The conjugate gradient descent method converges significantly faster than the standard gradient descent. The conjugate gradient descent method indeed converges within n iterations, agreeing with the theorem we learned.