# MATH 220A: Homework #3

Due on Oct 18, 2024 at 23:59pm  $Professor\ Ebenfelt$ 

Ray Tsai

A16848188

Show that the closure of a totally bounded set is totally bounded.

*Proof.* Suppose not. Let X be a totally bounded set. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exist finite number of points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$  such that  $X \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\epsilon/2}(x_i)$ . But then

$$\overline{X} \subset \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{\epsilon/2}(x_i)} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{B_{\epsilon/2}(x_i)} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{\epsilon}(x_i).$$

### Problem 2

We say that  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$  is bounded if there is a constant M > 0 with  $|f(x)| \le M$  for all  $x \in X$ . Show that if f and g are bounded uniformly continuous (Lipschitz) functions from X into  $\mathbb{C}$ , then so is fg.

*Proof.* Since there exist M, N such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  and  $|g(x)| \leq N$  for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$|fg(x)| = |f(x)g(x)| \le |f(x)||g(x)| \le MN$$

for all  $x \in X$ , and thus fg is bounded. Now, let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since f and g are uniformly continuous, there exists  $\nu$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon/(M+N)$  and  $|g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon/(M+N)$  whenever  $d(x,y) < \delta$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} |fg(x) - fg(y)| &= |f(x)g(x) + f(x)g(y) - f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(y)| \\ &= |f(x)(g(x) - g(y)) + g(y)(f(x) - f(y))| \\ &\leq |f(x)||(g(x) - g(y))| + |g(y)||(f(x) - f(y))| \\ &< \epsilon M/(M+N) + \epsilon N/(M+N) < \epsilon, \end{split}$$

whenever  $d(x,y) < \delta$ . Thus, fg is uniformly continuous.

Suppose that f and g are Lipschitz functions. Then, there exists K such that  $|f(x) - f(y)|, |g(x) - g(y)| \le Kd(x,y)$  for all  $x,y \in X$ . Through the same calculation as above, we have

$$|fg(x) - fg(y)| \le |f(x)||(g(x) - g(y))| + |g(y)||(f(x) - f(y))| \le K(M + N)d(x, y),$$

and thus fg is Lipschitz.

Suppose  $f: X \to \Omega$  is uniformly continuous; show that if  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X, then  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega$ . Is this still true if we only assume that f is continuous? (Prove or give a counterexample.)

*Proof.* Let d and  $\rho$  each denote the metric on X and  $\Omega$ , respectively. Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since f is uniformly continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(x,y) < \delta$  implies  $\rho(f(x),f(y)) < \epsilon$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy, there exists N such that  $d(x_n,x_m) < \delta$  whenever  $n,m \geq N$ . But then  $\rho(f(x_n),f(x_m)) < \epsilon$  whenever  $n,m \geq N$ , and thus  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is Cauchy.

If f is only continuous, then the statement is not necessarily true. Consider the sequence  $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and function  $f:(0,1)\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ .  $\{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy as it converges to 0. We also know that f is continuous. But then  $\{f(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\to\infty$  so it is not Cauchy.

Recall the definition of a dense set (1.14). Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a complete metric space and that  $f:(D,d) \to (\Omega,\rho)$  is uniformly continuous, where D is dense in (X,d). Use the last problem to show that there is a uniformly continuous function  $g:X\to\Omega$  with g(x)=f(x) for every x in D.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$ . Since D is dense in X, there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq D$  such that  $x_n \to x$ , and so  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Since f is uniformly continuous,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is also Cauchy, by the result of the previous problem. Since  $\Omega$  is complete,  $\{f(x_n)\}$  converges to some  $y \in \Omega$ . Define  $g: X \to \Omega$  by g(x) = y. Note that g(x) = f(x) for all  $x \in D$ , as  $g(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = f(x)$ .

We claim that g is uniformly continuous. Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since f is uniformly continuous, there exists  $\delta$  such that  $\rho(f(x), f(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  whenever  $d(x, y) < \delta$ . Suppose  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(x, y) < \frac{\delta}{3}$ . There exist sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subseteq D$  with  $x_n \to x$  and  $y_n \to y$ , and thus there exists  $N_1$  such that  $d(x_n, x), d(y_n, y) < \frac{\delta}{3}$  whenever  $n \geq N_1$ . Since  $d(x_n, y_n) \leq d(x_n, x) + d(x, y) + d(y, y_n) < \delta$ , we have  $\rho(f(x_n), f(y_n)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  for all  $n \geq N_1$ . Since  $f(x_n) \to g(x)$  and  $f(y_n) \to g(y)$ , there exists  $N_2$  such that  $\rho(f(x_n), g(x)), \rho(f(y_n), g(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  whenever  $n \geq N_2$ . It now follows that for all  $d(x, y) < \frac{\delta}{3}$ , we may find  $n \geq \max(N_1, N_2)$  such that

$$\rho(g(x), g(y)) \le \rho(g(x), f(x_n)) + \rho(f(x_n), f(y_n)) + \rho(f(y_n), g(y)) < \epsilon.$$

Let G be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  and let P be a polygon in G from a to b. Use Theorems 5.15 and 5.17 to show that there is a polygon  $Q \subseteq G$  from a to b which is composed of line segments that are parallel to either the real or imaginary axes.

Proof. Since P is a polygon,  $P = [z_1, z_2] \cup [z_n, z_{n+1}]$  is a union of finitely many line intervals, where  $z_1 = a, z_2, \ldots, z_n, z_{n+1} = b \in G$ . But then each  $[z_k, z_{k+1}]$  is compact, so P is compact. By theorem 5.17, we have  $d(\mathbb{C}\backslash G, P) > 0$ . For each interval  $[z_k, z_{k+1}]$  in P, define function  $f_k : [z_k, z_{k+1}] \to \mathbb{R}$  as the Manhattan distanct from  $z \in [z_k, z_{k+1}]$  to  $z_k$  on the complex plane, i.e.  $f_k(z) = |Re(z) - Re(z_k)| + |Im(z) - Im(z_k)|$ . We claim that  $f_k$  is continuous. Pick  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $z \in [z_k, z_{k+1}]$ . Let  $\nu \in (0, \epsilon/\pi)$ . Since every point in  $[z_k, z_{k+1}]$  are on the same line,  $Re(w) - Re(z_k)$  have the same sign for all  $w \in [z_k, z_{k+1}]$ , and thus  $||Re(z) - Re(z_k)| - |Re(w) - Re(z_k)|| = |Re(z) - Re(w)|$ . Hence, for all  $w \in B_{\nu}(z) \cap [z_k, z_{k+1}]$ ,

$$|f_k(z) - f_k(w)| = |(|Re(z) - Re(z_k)| + |Im(z) - Im(z_k)|) - (|Re(w) - Re(z_k)| + |Im(w) - Im(z_k)|)|$$

$$\leq |(|Re(z) - Re(z_k)| - |Re(w) - Re(z_k)|)| + |(|Im(z) - Im(z_k)| - |Im(w) - Im(z_k)|)|$$

$$= |Re(z) - Re(w)| + |Im(z) - Im(w)| < \pi d(z, w) < \epsilon,$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that the perimeter of a triangle inscribed in a circle is less than the circumference of the circle. Thus,  $f_k$  is continuous for all k. By theorem 5.15,  $f_k$  is uniformly continuous, so there exists  $\delta$  such that for all  $z, w \in [z_k, z_{k+1}]$  with  $d(z, w) < \delta$ , we have  $|f_k(z) - f_k(w)| < d(\mathbb{C}\backslash G, P)$ . We may now partition  $[z_k, z_{k+1}]$  into finitely many intervals of length less than  $\delta$ , with endpoints  $z_k = w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_m = z_{k+1}$ . Since  $|f_k(w_i) - f_k(w_{i+1})| < d(\mathbb{C}\backslash G, P)$  for all i,

$$[Re(w_i), Re(w_{i+1})] \cup [Im(w_i), Im(w_{i+1})] \subset G.$$

The result now follows.  $\Box$ 

Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of uniformly continuous functions from (X,d) into  $(\Omega,\rho)$  and suppose that  $f=u-\lim f_n$  exists. Prove that f is uniformly continuous. If each  $f_n$  is a Lipschitz function with constant  $M_n$  and  $\sup M_n < \infty$ , show that f is a Lipschitz function. If  $\sup M_n = \infty$ , show that f may fail to be Lipschitz.

*Proof.* Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists n such that  $\rho(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon/3$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $f_n$  is uniformly continuous, there exists  $\delta$  such that  $\rho(f_n(x), f_n(y)) < \epsilon/3$  whenever  $d(x, y) < \delta$ . Then, whenever  $d(x, y) < \delta$ .

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) \le \rho(f(x), f_n(x)) + \rho(f_n(x), f_n(y)) + \rho(f_n(y), f(y)) < \epsilon,$$

and thus f is uniformly continuous.

Suppose that each  $f_n$  is Lipschitz with constant  $M_n$  and  $\sup M_n < \infty$ . Given  $x, y \in X$ , there exists n such that  $\rho(f_n(z), f(z)) < d(x, y)$  for all  $z \in X$ . It now follows that

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) \le \rho(f(x), f_n(x)) + \rho(f_n(x), f_n(y)) + \rho(f_n(y), f(y)) < (M_n + 2)d(x, y).$$

However, this does not work in the general case. Consider  $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $f(x)=\sqrt{x}$ . Given any  $K\in\mathbb{R}$ , pick  $\epsilon\in(0,\frac{1}{K^2})$  and we have

$$|f(\epsilon) - f(0)| = |\sqrt{\epsilon} - 0| = \sqrt{\epsilon} > K\epsilon.$$

Hence, f is not Lipschitz. But then by the Weierstrass approximation theorem, there exists a sequence of polynomials  $\{p_n\}$  on [0,1] such that  $p_n \to f$  uniformly. Since  $p'_n$  is continuous on a compact set,  $\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |p'_n(x)| < \infty$ . Put  $M_n = 2 \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |p'_n(x)|$  and we have

$$|p_n(x) - p_n(y)| < M_n|x - y|$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ , which makes  $p_n$  Lipschitz.