# MATH 188: Homework #4

Due on May 15, 2024 at 23:59pm

 $Professor\ Kunnawalkam\ Elayavalli$ 

Ray Tsai

A16848188

(a) Let r be a fixed nonnegative integer. Show that both S(n+r,n) and c(n+r,n) are polynomial functions of n of degree 2r for  $n \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on r. Since S(n,n)=1 the base case r=0 is done. Suppose  $r\geq 1$ . Consider the generating function  $\sum_{n\geq 0} S(n+r,n)x^n$ . We know

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} S(n+r,n)x^n = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} S((n-1)+r,n-1)x^n + \sum_{n\geq 1} nS(n+(r-1),n)x^n$$

$$= 1 + x \sum_{n\geq 0} S(n+r,n)x^n + \sum_{n\geq 0} nS(n+(r-1),n)x^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-x} + \frac{1}{1-x} \sum_{n\geq 0} nS(n+(r-1),n)x^n$$

$$= \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(1 + \sum_{m\geq 0}^n mS(m+(r-1),m)\right)x^n.$$

Hence,  $S(n+r,n)=1+\sum_{m\geq 0}^n mS(m+(r-1),m),$  and this is only a polynomial of degree 2r-1:(

(b) Compute these polynomials for r = 2, 3.

### Problem 2

For n > 0, let  $a_n$  be the number of partitions of n such that every part appears at most twice, and let  $b_n$  be the number of partitions of n such that no part is divisible by 3. Set  $a_0 = b_0 = 1$ . Show that  $a_n = b_n$  for all n.

*Proof.* Let A(x) be the generating function of  $a_n$  and B(x) be the generating function of  $b_n$ . Since  $a_n$  is the number of partitions of n such that every part appears at most twice,

$$A(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n x^n = \prod_{i \ge 1} (1 + x^i + x^{2i}),$$

as we either choose 1,  $x^i$ , or  $x^{2i}$  from the *i*th term, when multiplying out the right side. What we get then is  $x^N$  where N where N is the sum of the *i* where we chose  $x^i$ . But we get  $x^N$  one time for every partition of N into distinct parts, so the coefficient is  $a_N$ .

On the other hand, since  $b_n$  is the number of partitions of n such that no part is divisible by 3,

$$B(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} b_n x^n = \prod_{i \ge 1, 3 \nmid i} \frac{1}{1 - x^i} = \frac{\prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^i}}{\prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{1 - x^{3i}}} = \prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1 - x^{3i}}{1 - x^i}.$$

But then notice that  $1+x^i+x^{2i}=\frac{1-x^{3i}}{1-x^i}$  for all i. Hence,

$$A(x) = \prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1 - x^{3i}}{1 - x^i} = B(x),$$

and the result now follows.

Let y be a variable. Prove the following generalization of Example 3.27:

$$\prod_{i\geq 0} (1+x^{2i+1}y) = \sum_{r\geq 0} \frac{x^{r^2}y^r}{(1-x^2)(1-x^4)\cdots(1-x^{2r})}$$

*Proof.* Notice that  $[y^k x^n] \prod_{i\geq 0} (1+x^{2i+1}y)$  is counting the number of partitions of n with k distinct odd parts, as the exponent of the y term indicates the number of times we picked the  $x^{2i+1}y$  term when expanding the multuplication. On the other hand, from Example 3.27 we know

$$[y^k x^n] \sum_{r \ge 0} \frac{x^{r^2} y^r}{(1 - x^2)(1 - x^4) \cdots (1 - x^{2r})} = [y^k x^n] \sum_{r \ge 0} y^r \left( x^{r^2} \sum_{n \ge 0} p_{\le r}(n) x^{2n} \right)$$

is counting the number of self-conjugate partitions of n with a Durfee square of size k. We now show that there is a bijection between the set of self-conjugate partitions with Durfee square of size r and the set of partition with r distinct odd parts. Given a self-conjugate partition of n which has a Durfee square of size r, we may use the reversible transformation described in Theorem 3.26 to obtain a new partition of n with r distinct odd parts, and thus the bijection.  $\Box$ 

(a) Use the following q-analogue of Pascal's identity (you don't need to prove it)

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = q^k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \quad \text{for } n \ge k > 0$$

to show that if d is a non-negative integer, then

$$\sum_{n>0} {n+d \brack n}_q x^n = \prod_{i=0}^d (1-q^i x)^{-1} = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-qx)\cdots(1-q^d x)}$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on d. If d=0, then  $\sum_{n\geq 0} {n\brack n}_q x^n = \sum_{n\geq 0} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x}$ , and the base case is done. Suppose  $d\geq 1$ . Then,

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} {n+d \brack n}_q x^n = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} q^n {n+(d-1) \brack n}_q x^n + \sum_{n\geq 1} {(n-1)+d \brack n-1}_q x^n$$

$$= \sum_{n\geq 0} {n+(d-1) \brack n}_q (qx)^n + x \sum_{n\geq 0} {n+d \brack n}_q x^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-x} \sum_{n\geq 0} {n+(d-1) \brack n}_q (qx)^n$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-qx)\cdots(1-q^dx)},$$

where the last equality follows from induction.

(b) Give a direct explanation (i.e., independent of the Schubert decomposition explanation from lecture) for why the coefficient of  $x^n$  of the right side is the sum  $\sum q^{|\lambda|}$  over all integer partitions  $\lambda$  whose Young diagram fits in the  $n \times d$  rectangle.

Proof. Note that

$$[x^n] \prod_{i=0}^d (1 - q^i x)^{-1} = \sum_{\substack{(a_0, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ a_0 + \dots + a_d = n}} q^{a_1 + \dots + da_d}.$$

We now show the bijection between the weak compositions of n with d+1 parts and the integer partitions  $\lambda$  whose Young diagram fits in the  $n \times d$  rectangle.

Given an integer partitions  $\lambda$  whose Young diagram fits in the  $n \times d$  rectangle, let  $a_i$  be the number of parts of  $\lambda$  that are equal to  $i \geq 1$  and put  $a_0 = n - a_1 - \dots - a_d$ . Then,  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d)$  is a weak composition of n.

On the other hand, given a weak compositions  $(a_0, \ldots, a_d)$  of n, there is an integer partition  $\lambda$  with  $a_i$  number of i's for all  $i \geq 1$ . Since each part of  $\lambda$  is at most d and  $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$ , the Young diagram of  $\lambda$  fits in the  $n \times d$  rectangle.

But then  $a_1 + \cdots + da_d = |\lambda|$ , and thus

$$\sum_{\substack{(a_0,\dots,a_d)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\\a_0+\dots+a_d=n}} q^{a_1+\dots+da_d} = \sum_{a_0+\dots+a_d=n} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

#### Problem 5

Let V, W be  $\mathbf{F}_q$ -vector spaces with dim V = n and dim W = m.

(a) How many linear maps  $V \to W$  are there?

*Proof.* Consider the number of ways we can map the canonical basis vectors  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$  of V to some vectors in W. Since there are  $q^m$  choices of vectors for each  $e_i$  to be sent to, there are  $q^{mn}$  choices in total. Hence, there are  $q^{mn}$  linear maps  $V \to W$ .

(b) Suppose  $n \geq m$ . How many surjective linear maps  $V \to W$  are there?

*Proof.* By the universal property of a quotient and the First Isomorphism Theorem, any surjective linear map  $\phi: V \to W$  corresponds to a unique induced isomorphism  $u: V/\text{Ker } \phi \to W$ . Note that Ker  $\phi$  is of (n-m)-dimension and the number of isomorphisms  $V/\text{Ker } \phi \to W$  is equal to  $|\mathbf{GL}_m(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ . Hence, there is a bijection between the set of surjective linear maps  $V \to W$  and  $\mathbf{Gr}_{n-m}(\mathbf{F}_q^n) \times \mathbf{GL}_m(\mathbf{F}_q)$ . But then by Theorem 3.34 and 3.35,

$$|\mathbf{Gr}_{n-m}(\mathbf{F}_q^n)| = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q, \quad |\mathbf{GL}_m(\mathbf{F}_q)| = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (q^m - q^i),$$

and thus there are  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (q^m - q^i) = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (q^n - q^i)$  surjective linear maps  $V \to W$ .

(c) Pick  $k \leq \min(m, n)$ . How many rank k linear maps  $V \to W$  are there?

*Proof.* By the universal property of a quotient and the First Isomorphism Theorem, any linear map  $\phi: V \to W$  of rank k corresponds to a unique induced isomorphism from V/K to some k-dimensional subspace U of W, where K is the kernel of  $\phi$ . Note that K is of (n-k)-dimension and the number of isomorphisms  $V/K \to W$  is equal to  $|\mathbf{GL}_k(\mathbf{F}_q)|$ . Since there are  $|\mathbf{Gr}_{n-k}(\mathbf{F}_q^n)|$  choices for K,  $|\mathbf{Gr}_k(\mathbf{F}_q^m)|$  choices for U, and  $|\mathbf{GL}_k(\mathbf{F}_q)|$  choices for isomorphisms  $V/K \to W$ , there are

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (q^k - q^i) = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (q^n - q^i)$$

rank k linear maps  $V \to W$ , by Theorem 3.34 and 3.35.

## Problem 6

Prove

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} x^{n(n-1)/2} = \prod_{n\geq 1} \frac{1-x^{2n}}{1-x^{2n-1}}.$$

Proof. idk bro.

Pick integers satisfying  $1 \le k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r \le n$ . Let X be the set of subspaces  $W_1, \ldots, W_r$  of  $F_q^n$  such that dim  $W_i = k_i$  for all i and  $W_i \subset W_{i+1}$  for i < r.

(a) Find a formula for |X| by generalizing Example 3.39, i.e., use a q-analogue of a multinomial coefficient.

*Proof.* For any  $n \ge k_r > \dots > k_1 \ge 1$ , we show that there are  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, n - k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$  ways of picking subspaces  $W_1, \ldots, W_r$  of  $\mathbf{F}_q^n$  by induction on r. If r=1, it is obvious that there are  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}$  ways of picking  $W_1$ . Suppose  $r \geq 2$ . There are  $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}$  ways of picking  $W_r$ . But then by induction, there are  $\begin{bmatrix} k_r \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, k_r - k_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_q$  ways of picking  $W_1, \dots, W_{r-1}$  which are contained in  $W_r$ . It now follows

 $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_r \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, k_r - k_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, n - k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$ 

ways of picking  $W_1, \ldots, W_r$  of  $\mathbf{F}_q^n$ .

(b) |X| is also a polynomial in q; find an explicit description of this polynomial using a generalization of the Schubert decomposition of the Grassmannian.

*Proof.* By the Schubert decomposition  $\mathbf{Gr}_k(\mathbf{F}_q^n)$ , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = |\mathbf{Gr}_k(\mathbf{F}_q^n)| = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k \times (n-k)} q^{|\lambda|},$$

where  $\lambda$  is any integer partition whose Young diagram fits into the  $k \times (n-k)$  box. It now follows that,

$$|X| = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_1, k_2 - k_1, \dots, n - k_r \end{bmatrix}_q$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k_r \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} k_r \\ k_{r-1} \end{bmatrix}_q \cdots \begin{bmatrix} k_2 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}_q$$

$$= \left( \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k_r \times (n-k_r)} q^{|\lambda|} \right) \left( \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k_{r-1} \times (k_r - k_{r-1})} q^{|\lambda|} \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{\lambda \subseteq k_1 \times (k_2 - k_1)} q^{|\lambda|} \right).$$