

# MATH 100B: Homework #2

Due on January 25, 2024 at 12:00pm

*Professor McKernan*

Section A02 6:00PM - 6:50PM

Section Leader: Castellano-Macías

Source Consulted: Textbook, Lecture, Discussion, Office Hour

**Ray Tsai**

A16848188

**Problem 1**

If  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$  is a homomorphism of  $R$  *onto*  $R'$  and  $R$  has a unit element,  $1$ , show that  $\varphi(1)$  is the unit element of  $R'$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r' \in R'$ . Since  $\varphi$  is onto, there exists  $r \in R$ , such that  $\varphi(r) = r'$ . However,

$$r' \varphi(1) = \varphi(r) \varphi(1) = \varphi(r) = \varphi(1) \varphi(r) = \varphi(1) r',$$

so  $\varphi(1)$  is the unit element of  $R'$ . □

## Problem 2

If  $I, J$  are ideals of  $R$ , define  $I + J$  by  $I + J = \{i + j \mid i \in I, j \in J\}$ . Prove that  $I + J$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* We first show  $I + J$  is a subgroup of  $R$ . Let  $a, b \in I + J$ . We know  $a = i + j$ ,  $b = i' + j'$ , for some  $i, i' \in I$  and  $j, j' \in J$ . Then,  $a + b = i + i' + j + j'$ . However,  $i + i' \in I$  and  $j + j' \in J$ , so  $a + b \in I + J$ . Since  $a^{-1} = -(i + j) = (-i) + (-j) \in I + J$ ,  $I + J$  is closed under taking inverse. Hence,  $I + J$  is a subgroup of  $R$ . Let  $r \in R$ . Since  $ri \in I$  and  $rj \in J$ , we know  $r(i + j) = ri + rj \in I + J$ . Similarly, since  $ir \in I$  and  $jr \in J$ , we know  $(i + j)r = ir + jr \in I + J$ . Therefore,  $I + J$  is an ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

### Problem 3

If  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $A$  is a subring of  $R$ , show that  $I \cap A$  is an ideal of  $A$ .

*Proof.* We already know the intersection of two groups is a group, and thus  $I \cap A$  is a group under addition. Let  $i \in I \cap A$  and  $a \in A$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal,  $ia, ai \in I$ . However,  $A$  is closed under multiplication, so  $ia, ai \in A$ . Thus,  $ia, ai \in I \cap A$ , so  $I \cap A$  is an ideal of  $A$ .  $\square$

## Problem 4

If  $I, J$  are ideals of  $R$ , show that  $I \cap J$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* We already know the intersection of two groups is a group, and thus  $I \cap J$  is a group under addition. Let  $k \in I \cap J$  and  $r \in R$ . Since  $I, J$  are both ideal,  $kr, rk \in I$  and  $kr, rk \in J$ . Hence,  $kr, rk \in I \cap J$ , so  $I \cap J$  is an ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

## Problem 5

Let  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$  be a homomorphism of  $R$  onto  $R'$  with kernel  $K$ . If  $A'$  is a subring of  $R'$ , let  $A = \{a \in R \mid \varphi(a) \in A'\}$ . Show that:

(a)  $A$  is a subring of  $R$ ,  $A \supset K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in A$ . Since  $A'$  contains the unit,  $1 \in A$ . Since  $\varphi(a + b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) \in A'$  and  $\varphi(-a) = -\varphi(a) \in A'$ ,  $A$  is a subgroup under addition. Since  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in A'$ ,  $A$  is closed under multiplication, and thus  $A$  is a subring of  $R$ . Let  $k \in K$  and let  $0'$  be the zero in  $A'$ . Since  $\varphi(k) = 0' \in A'$ , we know  $k \in A$ , and so  $A \supset K$ .  $\square$

(b)  $A/K \simeq A'$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\phi : A \rightarrow A'$  as  $\phi(a) \mapsto \varphi(a)$ .  $\phi$  is well-defined as  $\varphi$  is well-defined. Since  $\varphi$  is surjective, there exists  $m \in R$  such that  $\varphi(m) = a'$ , for all  $a' \in A'$ . However,  $\varphi(m) = a'$  implies that  $m \in A$ , so  $\phi$  is surjective. Since  $A \supset K$ ,  $\phi$  shares the same kernel  $K$  with  $\varphi$ . The result now follows by the Isomorphism Theorem of rings.  $\square$

(c) If  $A'$  is a left ideal of  $R'$ , then  $A$  is a left ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r \in R$ , and  $a \in A$ . We know  $\varphi(a) = a'$ , for some  $a' \in A'$ . Since  $A'$  is a left ideal of  $R'$ , we get  $\varphi(ra) = \varphi(r)\varphi(a) = \varphi(r)a' \in A'$ , which makes  $ra \in A$ . Hence,  $A$  is a left ideal of  $R$ .  $\square$

## Problem 6

In Example 4, show that  $R/I \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a = \frac{m}{n} \in R$ , where  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ . Since  $n$  is not divisible by  $p$ , there exists  $[n]^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus, we may define  $\phi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$  as  $\phi(a) = [m][n]^{-1}$ . Let  $b = \frac{p}{q} \in R$ , where  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ . Suppose that  $a = b$ . Then,  $a, b$  must have the same reduced form, so  $m = p$  and  $n = q$ . Then,  $\phi(a) = [m][n]^{-1} = [p][q]^{-1} = \phi(b)$ , so  $\phi$  is well-defined. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a + b) &= \phi\left(\frac{mq + np}{nq}\right) \\ &= [mq + np][nq]^{-1} \\ &= [mq][nq]^{-1} + [np][nq]^{-1} \\ &= [m][q][q]^{-1}[n]^{-1} + [n][p][q]^{-1}[n]^{-1} \\ &= [m][n]^{-1} + [p][q]^{-1} \\ &= \phi(a) + \phi(b), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(ab) &= \phi\left(\frac{mp}{nq}\right) \\ &= [mp][nq]^{-1} \\ &= [m][q][q]^{-1}[n]^{-1} \\ &= ([m][n]^{-1})([p][q]^{-1}) \\ &= \phi(a)\phi(b), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\phi(1) = [1][1]^{-1} = 1$ ,  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. For  $[\alpha] \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , there exists  $\alpha \in R$  such that  $\phi(\alpha) = [\alpha]$ , so  $\phi$  is surjective. Suppose that  $a \in \text{Ker } \phi$ .  $\phi(k) = 0$  if and only if  $[m][n]^{-1} = 0$ . Since  $n$  is not divisible by  $p$ ,  $[m][n]^{-1} = 0$  if and only if  $[m] = 0$  if and only if  $m$  is divisible by  $p$  if and only if  $a \in I$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ker } \phi = I$ . The result now follows by the Isomorphism Theorem of rings.  $\square$

## Problem 7

In Example 8, verify that the mapping  $\psi$  given is an isomorphism of  $R$  onto  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow R$  as  $\phi(a + bi) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\psi$  and  $\phi$  are both obviously well-defined. Let  $m + ni \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\psi(\phi(m + ni)) = \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ -n & m \end{bmatrix}\right) = m + ni$  and  $\phi(\psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ -n & m \end{bmatrix}\right)) = \phi(m + ni) = \begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ -n & m \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\phi$  is the inverse of  $\psi$ , and thus  $\psi$  is bijective. Let  $\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ -q & p \end{bmatrix} \in R$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ -n & m \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ -q & p \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} m+p & n+q \\ -(n+q) & m+p \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= (m+p) + (n+q)i \\ &= m + ni + p + qi \\ &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ -n & m \end{bmatrix}\right) + \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ -q & p \end{bmatrix}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ -n & m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ -q & p \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} mp - nq & mq + np \\ -(mq + np) & mp - nq \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= (mp - nq) + (mq + np)i \\ &= (m + ni)(p + qi) \\ &= \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} m & n \\ -n & m \end{bmatrix}\right) \psi\left(\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ -q & p \end{bmatrix}\right), \end{aligned}$$

$\psi$  is an isomorphism, and thus  $R \simeq \mathbb{C}$ . □



## Problem 8

If  $I, J$  are ideals of  $R$ , let  $IJ$  be the set of all sums of elements of the form  $ij$ , where  $i \in I, j \in J$ . Prove that  $IJ$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m, n \in IJ$ .  $m, n$  are of the form  $i_{m_1}j_{m_1} + i_{m_2}j_{m_2} + \dots$  and  $i_{n_1}j_{n_1} + i_{n_2}j_{n_2} + \dots$ , respectively. Since  $m + n$  and  $m^{-1}$  are both sums of elements of the form  $ij$ ,  $IJ$  is closed under addition and taking additive inverses, and thus  $IJ$  is a subgroup under addition. Let  $r \in R$ . Since  $I, J$  are ideals, for  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ , we know  $rij = (ri)j = i'j$ , for some  $i' \in I$ . Similarly,  $ijr = i(jr) = ij'$ , for some  $j' \in J$ . Therefore,

$$rm = r(i_{m_1}j_{m_1} + i_{m_2}j_{m_2} + \dots) = ri_{m_1}j_{m_1} + ri_{m_2}j_{m_2} + \dots = i'_{m_1}j_{m_1} + i'_{m_2}j_{m_2} + \dots \in IJ$$

and

$$mr = (i_{m_1}j_{m_1} + i_{m_2}j_{m_2} + \dots)r = i_{m_1}j_{m_1}r + i_{m_2}j_{m_2}r + \dots = i_{m_1}j'_{m_1} + i_{m_2}j'_{m_2} + \dots \in IJ$$

for some  $i'_{m_k} \in I, j'_{m_k} \in J$ , so  $IJ$  is an ideal in  $R$ . □

## Problem 9

Prove Theorem 4.3.5 (Second Homomorphism Theorem):

Let  $A$  be a subring of a ring  $R$  and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $A + I = \{a + i \mid a \in A, i \in I\}$  is a subring of  $R$ ,  $I$  is an ideal of  $A + I$ , and  $(A + I)/I \simeq A/(A \cap I)$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $A + I$  is closed under addition, taking additive inverse, multiplication, and contains the unit 1. Let  $a + i, a' + i' \in A + I$ , where  $a, a' \in A$  and  $i, i' \in I$ . Then,  $a + i + a' + i' = (a + a') + (i + i') \in A + I$  and  $-(a + i) = (-a) + (-i) \in A + I$ , so  $A + I$  is a group under addition. For multiplication,  $(a + i)(a' + i') = aa' + ai' + ia' + ii'$ . Since  $I$  is an ideal,  $ai' + ia' + ii' \in I$ , and thus  $A + I$  is closed under multiplication. Since  $A$  is a subring, we know  $1 \in A$ . However,  $I$  is an ideal, so  $0 \in I$ . This gives us  $1 + 0 = 1 \in A + I$ . Thus,  $A + I$  is a subring of  $R$ .

Let  $m \in I$  and let  $a + i \in A + I$ . We already know  $I$  is a subgroup under addition. Since  $m(a + i) = ma + mi \in I$  and  $(a + i)m = am + im \in I$ ,  $I$  is an ideal of  $A + I$ .

Let  $A \rightarrow A + I$  be the natural inclusion. Since  $I$  is an ideal of  $A + I$ , we may compose the inclusion with the natural projection map to get a homomorphism

$$A \rightarrow (A + I)/I.$$

The map sends  $a$  to  $a + I$ .

Suppose that  $x \in (A + I)/I$ . Then,  $x = (a + i) + I = a + I$ , for some  $a \in A$ . Thus the homomorphism above is clearly surjective. Suppose that  $a \in A$  belongs to the kernel. Then,  $a + I = I$ , so  $a \in I$ . Hence,  $a \in A \cap I$ , and the result follows by the First Isomorphism Theorem of ring applied to the map above.  $\square$

## Problem 10

Show that  $R \oplus S$  is a ring and that the subrings  $\{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$  and  $\{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$  are ideals of  $R \oplus S$  isomorphic to  $R$  and  $S$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Let  $(r, s), (r', s'), (r'', s'') \in R \oplus S$ . Since  $(r, s) + (r', s') = (r + r', s + s') \in R \oplus S$  and  $(r, s)(r', s') = (rr', ss') \in R \oplus S$ ,  $R \oplus S$  is closed under addition and multiplication. Since

$$\begin{aligned} ((r, s) + (r', s')) + (r'', s'') &= (r + r', s + s') + (r'', s'') \\ &= (r + r' + r'', s + s' + s'') \\ &= (r, s) + (r' + r'', s' + s'') \\ &= (r, s) + ((r', s') + (r'', s'')) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((r, s)(r', s'))(r'', s'') &= (rr', ss')(r'', s'') \\ &= (rr'r'', ss's'') \\ &= (r, s)(r'r'', s's'') \\ &= (r, s)((r', s')(r'', s'')), \end{aligned}$$

$R \oplus S$  is associative under both addition and multiplication. Since  $(0, 0) \in R \oplus S$  such that  $(0, 0) + (r, s) = (r, s) + (0, 0) = (r, s)$ ,  $R \oplus S$  contains the zero. Similarly, there exists unit  $(1, 1) \in R \oplus S$  such that  $(1, 1)(r, s) = (r, s)(1, 1) = (r, s)$ . Since  $-(r, s) = (-r, -s) \in R \oplus S$ ,  $R \oplus S$  is closed under taking inverse, and thus  $R \oplus S$  is a ring.

Let  $r, r' \in R$ ,  $s, s' \in S$ . Since  $(1, 0) \in \{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$  and  $(0, 1) \in \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$  such that  $(1, 0)(r, 0) = (r, 0)(1, 0) = (r, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)(0, s) = (0, s)(0, 1) = (0, s)$ , both sets contain a unit. Since  $(r, 0) + (r', 0) = (r + r', 0) \in \{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$ ,  $(0, s) + (0, s') = (0, s + s') \in \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$ ,  $-(r, 0) = (-r, 0) \in \{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$ , and  $-(0, s) = (0, -s) \in \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$ , we know  $\{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$  and  $\{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$  are subgroups under addition. Since  $(r, 0)(r', 0) = (rr', 0) \in \{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$  and  $(0, s)(0, s') = (0, ss') \in \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$ ,  $\{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}, \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$  are closed under multiplication, and thus they are both subrings. Lastly, since

$$\begin{aligned} (r, s)((r', s') + (r'', s'')) &= (r, s)(r' + r'', s' + s'') = (rr' + rr'', ss' + ss'') = (r, s)(r', s') + (r, s)(r'', s''), \\ ((r', s') + (r'', s''))(r, s) &= (r' + r'', s' + s'')(r, s) = (r'r + r''r, s's + s''s) = (r', s')(r, s) + (r'', s'')(r, s), \end{aligned}$$

$R \oplus S$  is distributive.

We know  $\{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$  and  $\{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$  are both subgroups under addition. Let  $(m, n) \in R \oplus S$ . Since  $(r, 0)(m, n) = (rm, 0) \in \{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$ ,  $(m, n)(r, 0) = (mr, 0) \in \{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$ ,  $\{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$  is an ideal of  $R \oplus S$ . Similarly, Since  $(0, s)(m, n) = (0, sn) \in \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$ ,  $(m, n)(0, s) = (0, ns) \in \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$ ,  $\{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$  is an ideal of  $R \oplus S$ .

Define  $\phi : R \rightarrow \{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$  as  $\phi(r) = (r, 0)$ , and define  $\psi : \{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\} \rightarrow R$  as  $\psi((r, 0)) = r$ . Both functions are obviously well-defined. Since  $\phi(\psi(r, 0)) = \phi(r) = (r, 0)$  and  $\psi(\phi(r)) = \psi(r, 0) = r$ ,  $\phi$  is a bijection. We may define a bijective mapping  $\tau : S \rightarrow \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$  in a similar manner. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(r) + \phi(r') &= (r, 0) + (r', 0) = (r + r', 0) = \phi(r + r'), \\ \phi(r)\phi(r') &= (r, 0)(r', 0) = (rr', 0) = \phi(rr'), \\ \tau(s) + \tau(s') &= (0, s) + (0, s') = (0, s + s') = \tau(s + s'), \\ \tau(s)\tau(s') &= (0, s)(0, s') = (0, ss') = \tau(ss'), \end{aligned}$$

$\phi$  and  $\tau$  are both isomorphisms, and thus  $R \simeq \{(r, 0) \mid r \in R\}$  and  $S \simeq \{(0, s) \mid s \in S\}$ .  $\square$

## Problem 11

If  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \text{ real} \right\}$  and  $I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \text{ real} \right\}$ , show that:

(a)  $R$  is a ring.

*Proof.* We already know matrices are associative under addition and multiplication, commutes under addition, and distributive. Since  $R$  contains the zero matrix and the identity matrix,  $R$  contains zero and unit. Let  $k = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $m = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & z \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $k + m = \begin{pmatrix} a+x & b+y \\ 0 & c+z \end{pmatrix}$  and  $km = \begin{pmatrix} ax & ay+bz \\ 0 & cz \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $R$  is closed under addition and multiplication. Since  $-k = \begin{pmatrix} -a & -b \\ 0 & -c \end{pmatrix} \in R$ ,  $R$  is closed under taking additive inverse. Therefore,  $R$  is a ring.  $\square$

(b)  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

*Proof.*  $k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $k + m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a+x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in I$  and  $-k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in I$ ,  $I$  is an additive subgroup of  $R$ . Let  $r = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \in R$ . Since  $kr = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ar \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $rk = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & pa \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $I$  is an ideals of  $R$ .  $\square$

(c)  $R/I \simeq F \oplus F$ , where  $F$  is the field of real numbers.

*Proof.* Consider the map  $\phi : R \rightarrow F \oplus F$  that sends  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$  to  $(a, c)$ . Suppose that  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & c' \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $a = a'$  and  $c = c'$ , and so  $\phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}\right) = (a, c) = (a', c') = \phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & c' \end{pmatrix}\right)$ , so  $\phi$  is well-defined.  $\phi$  is also surjective, as for all  $(a, c) \in F \oplus F$ , there exists  $k = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \in R$  such that  $\phi(k) = (a, c)$ . Let  $m = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ 0 & c' \end{pmatrix} \in R$ . Since

$$\phi(k) + \phi(m) = (a, c) + (a', c') = (a + a', c + c') = \phi(k + m),$$

and

$$\phi(k)\phi(m) = (a, c)(a', c') = (aa', cc') = \phi(km),$$

$\phi$  is a homomorphism. The result now follows by the Isomorphism Theorem of rings.  $\square$

## Problem 12

If  $I, J$  are ideals of  $R$ , let  $R_1 = R/I$  and  $R_2 = R/J$ . Show that  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R_1 \oplus R_2$  defined by  $\varphi(r) = (r+I, r+J)$  is a homomorphism of  $R$  into  $R_1 \oplus R_2$  such that  $\text{Ker } \varphi = I \cap J$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m, n \in R$ . Note that since  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$ , for  $i \in I$ ,  $(m+i)(n+i) = mn + in + mi + i^2 = mn + i' \in mn + I$ , for some  $i' = in + mi + i^2 \in I$ . By symmetry, we also know  $(m+j)(n+j) = mn + j' \in mn + J$ , for some  $j, j' \in J$ . Thus,  $(m+I)(n+I) = mn + I$  and  $(m+J)(n+J) = mn + J$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(m) + \varphi(n) &= (m+I, m+J) + (n+I, n+J) \\ &= ((m+n)+I, (m+n)+J) \\ &= \varphi(m+n)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(m)\varphi(n) &= (m+I, m+J)(n+I, n+J) \\ &= ((mn)+I, (mn)+J) \\ &= \varphi(mn),\end{aligned}$$

$\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Let  $k \in \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Then,  $\varphi(k) = (k+I, k+J) = (I, J)$ , so  $k \in I$  and  $k \in J$ , which makes  $\text{Ker } \varphi = I \cap J$ .  $\square$

## Problem 13

Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the ring of integers and  $m, n$  two relatively prime integers,  $I_m$  the multiples of  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $I_n$  the multiples of  $n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

- (a) What is  $I_m \cap I_n$ ?

*Proof.* Since  $m, n$  are relatively prime,  $I_m \cap I_n$  is the multiples of  $mn$ , namely  $I_{mn}$ . □

- (b) Use the result of Problem 12 to show that there is a one-to-one homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}/I_{mn}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/I_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}/I_n$ .

*Proof.* We first show that  $I_m$  and  $I_n$  are ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We already know  $I_m$  and  $I_n$  are additive subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p \in I_m$ , and  $q \in I_n$ . Since  $xp = px$  is a multiple of  $m$  and  $xq = qx$  is a multiple of  $n$ ,  $I_m$  and  $I_n$  are indeed ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It follows by the results of Problem 12 that there exists a homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/I_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}/I_n$  that maps  $x$  to  $(x + I_m, x + I_n)$  and has  $I_m \cap I_n = I_{mn}$  as its kernel. By the Isomorphism Theorem of rings, there exists an injective homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/I_{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/I_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}/I_n$  that maps  $x + I_{mn}$  to  $(x + I_m, x + I_n)$ . □

## Problem 14

If  $m, n$  are relatively prime, prove that  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} = \mathbb{Z}/I_{mn}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_m = \mathbb{Z}/I_m$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/I_n$ , we may continue using our homomorphism  $\phi$  defined in the previous problem. Note that  $|\mathbb{Z}_{mn}| = mn = |\mathbb{Z}_m||\mathbb{Z}_n| = |\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n|$ . Since  $\phi$  is injective and  $|\mathbb{Z}_{mn}| = |\mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n|$  are finite,  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, and thus  $\mathbb{Z}_{mn} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n$ .  $\square$

## Problem 15

Use the result of Problem 14 to prove the *Chinese Remainder Theorem*, which asserts that if  $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime integers and  $a, b$  any integers, we can find an integer  $x$  such that  $x \equiv a \pmod{m}$  and  $x \equiv b \pmod{n}$  simultaneously.

*Proof.* Define  $\phi$  as we did in Problem 13. Since  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n$  is an isomorphism, we may find  $[x]_{mn} \in \mathbb{Z}_{mn}$  such that  $\phi([x]_{mn}) = ([a]_m, [b]_n)$ , for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the result now follows.  $\square$