

MATH 100B: Homework #4

Due on Feb 8, 2024 at 12:00pm

Professor McKernan

Section A02 6:00PM - 6:50PM

Section Leader: Castellano-Macías

Source Consulted: Textbook, Lecture, Discussion, Office Hour

Ray Tsai

A16848188

Problem 1

Let R be an integral domain. Let a and b be two elements of R . Show that if d and d' are both a gcd for the pair a and b , then d and d' are associates.

Proof. Since d and d' both divides a and b and d is a gcd, $d'|d$. However, d' is also a gcd, so $d|d'$. The result then follows. \square

Problem 2

Let R be a UFD.

- (a) Prove that for every pair of elements a and b of R , we may find an element $m = [a, b]$ that is a least common multiple, that is

- (i) $a|m$ and $b|m$,
- (ii) and if $a|m'$ and $b|m'$ then $m|m'$.

Show that any two lcm's are associates.

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$. If either a or b is 0, then 0 is the only possible common multiple of a and b , and thus 0 is their lcm. Since R is a UFD, we may put a and b into a standard form of prime factorizations

$$a = up_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \dots p_k^{m_k} \quad \text{and} \quad b = vp_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k},$$

where u, v are invertible and p_i and p_j are associates if and only if $i = j$. Let $m = p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \dots p_k^{l_k}$ such that $l_i = \max(m_i, n_i)$. It is obvious that $a|m$ and $b|m$. Suppose that $a|m'$ and $b|m'$. Then, $m' = op_1^{h_1} p_2^{h_2} \dots p_k^{h_k}$, where $h_i \geq m_i$ and $h_i \geq n_i$, for all i . However, this means that $h_i \geq \max(m_i, n_i)$, so $m|m'$. Hence, m is a least common multiple of a and b . Suppose that m' is also a least common multiple of a, b . Then, we have $m'|m$, which makes m and m' associates. \square

- (b) Show that if (a, b) denotes the gcd then $(a, b)[a, b]$ is an associate of ab .

Proof. Again, we put a and b into a standard form of prime factorizations

$$a = up_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \dots p_k^{m_k} \quad \text{and} \quad b = vp_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \dots p_k^{n_k}.$$

Let $d = \alpha p_1^{s_1} p_2^{s_2} \dots p_k^{s_k}$, $m = \beta p_1^{l_1} p_2^{l_2} \dots p_k^{l_k}$, where $d = (a, b)$, $m = [a, b]$, and α, β are invertible. Then, we know $l_i = \max(m_i, n_i)$ and $s_i = \min(m_i, n_i)$, for all i . However, this means that $l_i + s_i = m_i + n_i$, and thus

$$dm = \alpha \beta p_1^{m_1+n_1} p_2^{m_2+n_2} \dots p_k^{m_k+n_k} = \alpha \beta (uv)^{-1} ab.$$

Since $\alpha \beta (uv)^{-1}$ is invertible, dm and ab are associates, and this completes the proof. \square

Problem 3

Find the greatest common divisor of the following polynomials over \mathbb{Q} ,

- (a) $x^3 - 6x + 7$ and $x + 4$.

Proof. $x + 4$ is prime as it is degree 1, so either $x + 4 \mid x^3 - 6x + 7$ or they are coprime. However, $x^3 - 6x + 7 = (x + 4)(x^2 - 4x + 10) - 33$, so the greatest common divisor of the two polynomials is 1. \square

- (b) $x^3 - 1$ and $x^7 - x^4 + x^3 - 1$.

Proof. Note that $x^7 - x^4 + x^3 - 1 = (x^3 - 1)(x^4 + 1)$, so $x^3 - 1$ is their common divisor. \square

Problem 4

Find the greatest common divisor of $135 - 14i$ and $155 + 34i$ in the ring of Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

Proof. We apply the Euclidean Algorithm. Since

$$\frac{155 + 34i}{135 - 14i} = \frac{(135 + 14i)(155 + 34i)}{135^2 + 14^2} = \frac{20294 + 6726i}{18421} \approx 1.1 + 0.37i,$$

we may pick $q = 1$ and the remainder is $r = (155 + 34i) - (135 - 14i)q = 20 + 48i$.

Since

$$\frac{135 - 14i}{20 + 48i} = 0.75 - 2.5i,$$

we may pick $q = 1 - 2i$ and the remainder $r = (135 - 14i) - (20 + 48i)q = 19 - 22i$.

Since

$$\frac{20 + 48i}{19 - 22i} = -0.8 + 1.6i,$$

we may pick $q = -1 + 2i$ and the remainder $r = (20 + 48i) - (19 - 22i)q = -5 - 12i$.

Since

$$\frac{19 - 22i}{-5 - 12i} = 1 + 2i,$$

$-5 - 12i \mid 19 - 22i$ so there are no remainders left. Hence, the gcd of $135 - 14i$ and $155 + 34i$ is $-5 - 12i$. \square

Problem 5

- (a) Show that the elements 2, 3 and $1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$ are irreducible elements of $R = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$.

Proof. Define $f : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as $f(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = a^2 + 5b^2$. For $a + b\sqrt{-5} \in R$, we know

$$\begin{aligned} f((a + b\sqrt{-5})(c + d\sqrt{-5})) &= f(ac - 5bd + (ad + bc)\sqrt{-5}) \\ &= a^2c^2 + 5a^2d^2 + 5b^2c^2 + 25b^2d^2 \\ &= (a^2 + 5b^2)(c^2 + 5d^2) \\ &= f(a + b\sqrt{-5})f(c + d\sqrt{-5}), \end{aligned}$$

and $f(a + b\sqrt{-5}) \geq 0$. Notice that $f(a + b\sqrt{-5}) \geq 5$ if b is positive, so $f(a + b\sqrt{-5}) = a^2 + 5b^2 = 1$ if and only if $a + b\sqrt{-5} = 1$, and thus $f(a + b\sqrt{-5}) \geq 2$ when $a + b\sqrt{-5}$ is not 0 or 1.

Let $m = a + b\sqrt{-5}, n = c + d\sqrt{-5} \in R$. Suppose that $mn = 2$. Then, $f(2) = 4 = f(m)f(n)$, so $f(m)$ or $f(n)$ is a multiple of 2. Suppose that $f(m)$ is a multiple of 2. We know $f(m) = a^2 + 5b^2$ cannot be 2, as $f(m) > 2$ if b is positive but there are no integers such that $a^2 = 2$, so $f(m) = 4$. But then $f(n) = 1$, so $n = 1$, which is invertible. Hence, 2 is irreducible.

Suppose that $mn = 3$. Similarly, $f(3) = 9 = f(m)f(n)$, so $f(m)$ or $f(n)$ is a multiple of 3. Suppose that $f(m)$ is a multiple of 3. We know $f(m) = a^2 + 5b^2$ cannot be 3, as $f(m) > 3$ if b is positive but there are no integers such that $a^2 = 3$, so $f(m) = 9$. But then $f(n) = 1$, so $n = 1$, which is invertible. Hence, 3 is irreducible.

Suppose that $mn = 1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$. Then, $f(1 \pm \sqrt{-5}) = f(m)f(n) = 6$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $m, n \neq 1$. Then, either $f(m)$ or $f(n)$ must be 2. However, we already know $f(k) \neq 2$ for all $k \in R$, contradiction. Hence, either m or n is 1, so $1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$ is irreducible. \square

- (b) Show that every element of R can be factored into irreducibles.

Proof. By Proposition 6.11, it suffices to show that the set of principal ideals of R satisfies ACC. Suppose that we have an increasing sequence of principal ideals of R

$$\langle a_1 \rangle \subset \langle a_2 \rangle \subset \cdots \subset \langle a_n \rangle \subset \cdots,$$

for $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_i = a_j$ if and only if $i = j$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that the increasing sequence does not stabilize. Since for $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $a_{i+1} = ka_i$ for some $k \in R$, we know $f(a_{i+1}) = f(k)f(a_i)$. Hence, $f(a_{i+1}) \geq 2f(a_i)$, as $k \neq 1$. Since the sequence does not stabilize and $f(a_i)$ is finite, $f(a_n) < 1$ for large enough n . But then $a_n = 0$, which forces $\langle a_n \rangle = \{0\}$, and this contradiction completes the proof. \square

- (c) Show that R is not a UFD.

Proof. Consider 2. We already know 2 is irreducible. Notice that, $2 \mid (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5})$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $2 = x(1 + \sqrt{-5})$, for some $x \in R$. Then, $x = \frac{1 - \sqrt{-5}}{2} \notin R$, contradiction. Similarly, we also know $2 \nmid 1 - \sqrt{-5}$. Hence, 2 does not divide $1 \pm \sqrt{-5}$, so 2 is not prime. The result now follows from Proposition 6.17. \square