

leung30
Timothy Leung

1a

If you have enough plaintext and their corresponding ciphertext you can decipher any new plaintext that is a linear combination of previously known ciphertext.

For instance, if Trudy knows $E(k, 1)$, and Alice sends an encrypted message $E(k, x)$. Then Trudy can decrypt $E(k, x)$ since $E(k, x) = E(k, 1) + E(k, 1) + \dots + E(k, 1) + E(k, 1) = x * E(k, 1)$. Since Trudy has the plaintext of $E(k, 1)$ he also can decrypt $E(k, x)$.

1b

$$f(1E) = 72$$

$$f(00) = 63$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(1E + 00) &= f(1E) = 72 \\ f(1E) + f(00) &= 72 + 63 = D5 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(1E + 00) &\neq F(1E) + F(00) \\ \exists x, y | F(x + y) &\neq f(x) + f(y) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the S box is not a linear function

2

The has I got with the new function definitions is:

```
a909986f702bf8d63dd741ff69ebb34c6ed957da07a9609322e43791edb7746b
```

The SHA-256 implementation comes from <https://github.com/keanemind/Python-SHA-256>.

3

We start with h_1 is collision resistant and h_2 is not.

Suppose h is not collision resistant, then we can find x_1 and x_2 such that $h(x_1) = h(x_2) = y || z$ since h_2 is not collision resistant then we can get $h_2(x_1) = h_2(x_2) = z$.

But we also have access to all the bits before z , which I call y . This y is representative of the output of h_1 on x_1 and x_2 but since h was not collision resistant, h produces the same y in $h(x_1)$ and $h(x_2)$. This proves h_1 is not collision resistant since $y = h_1(x_1) = h_1(x_2)$. Hence a contradiction.

Thus h must be collision resistant.