

## COMPSCI 4CR3 - Assignment 4

1. Given an element  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , and the prime factorization  $p-1 = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ , the following algorithm determines whether  $g$  is a generator or not.

---

```

1. for  $i = 1$  to  $k$  do
2.   if  $g^{(p-1)/p_i} = 1 \pmod{p}$ , return False
3. end for
4. return True

```

---

- (a) (20 points) Show that the algorithm is correct; that is, it returns True if and only if  $g$  is a generator.
  - (b) (10 points) What is the complexity of the algorithm in terms of the number of multiplications? Express your answer using Big-O notation.
  - (c) (5 points) What is the output of the algorithm for  
 $p = 899009829279928687167847647253$ ,  
 $g = 425044249325748129860331117047$ ?
2. Let  $G$  be a group of order  $3^n$ , i.e.,  $|G| = 3^n$ , and suppose  $g \in G$  is a generator of  $G$ . Recall that  $g^{3^n} = g^{|G|} = 1$ . Due to the specific structure of  $G$ , the discrete logarithm problem (DLP) is computationally easy in  $G$ .

- (a) (15 points) Design an efficient algorithm for DLP in  $G$ . Hint. Given  $g^x = h$ , you can compute one “digit” of  $x$  at a time. If

$$x = 3^{n-1}x_{n-1} + 3^{n-2}x_{n-2} + \cdots + 3x_1 + x_0$$

is the base-3 expansion of  $x$ , you can determine  $x_0$  by computing  $h^{3^{n-1}}$ . Include the pseudocode of your algorithm here.

- (b) (10 points) Analyze the running time complexity of your algorithm. Express your answer using Big-O notation.
- (c) (15 points) Consider the following values for  $p$  and  $g$ :

$$p = 20602102921755074907947094535687$$

$$g = 15074692835850319635499377698538.$$

For these values,  $g$  is a generator of a subgroup  $G < \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  of order  $3^{65}$ . That means the numbers  $g^0 \bmod p, g^1 \bmod p, \dots, g^k \bmod p$ , where  $k = 3^{65} - 1$ , form a group of order  $3^{65}$ . Use your algorithm to find the discrete logarithm  $x = \log_g h$  for  $h = 19341277950553269760848569026015$ .

3. To prevent the existential forgery attack, we discussed in the class how the RSA signature scheme uses a padding algorithm called EMSA. Suppose that instead of padding, we use just a hash function  $H$ .
- (a) (10 points) Write down the algorithms **Gen**, **Sig** and **Ver** for the new signature scheme that employs only  $H$ .
  - (b) (10 points) Justify why the existential forgery attack is not applicable to the new scheme.
  - (c) (5 points) Does using only  $H$  have a drawbacks compared to EMSA? Explain.