

Week 3 assignment

Himanshu Shete (2380770)

Q.1)

L R

T -1 -4

B -3 3

Game 1 (zero sum)

• No pure NE

• Mixed NE:

Let Row: $(p, 1-p)$,Column: $(q, 1-q)$

Solve for indifference:

Row:

$$\bullet T: -1q - 4(1-q) = -1q - 4 + 4q = 3q - 4$$

$$\bullet B: -3q + 3(1-q) = -3q + 3 - 3q = (-6q + 3)$$

$$\text{Set equal: } 3q - 4 = -6q + 3 \Rightarrow q = \frac{7}{9}$$

Column:

$$\bullet L: -1p - 3(1-p) = -1p - 3 + 3p = (2p - 3)$$

$$\bullet R: -4p + 3(1-p) = -4p + 3 - 3p = (-7p + 3)$$

$$\Rightarrow 2p - 3 = -7p + 3 \Rightarrow p = \frac{6}{9} = \frac{2}{3}$$

Value of the game

= Expected payoff using $(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$ and $(\frac{7}{9}, \frac{2}{9})$

$$= \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{7}{9}\right)(-1) + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\left(\frac{2}{9}\right)(-4) + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{7}{9}\right)(-3)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{2}{9}\right)(3) = -\frac{2}{3} \quad \text{value} = -\frac{2}{3}$$

Game 2 (Zero Sum)

L R

T 3, -1 2

B -3, 1 5

• No pure NE

• Mixed NE :

using indifference method

⇒ Row: $(\frac{5}{9}, \frac{4}{9})$

⇒ Column: $(\frac{7}{18}, \frac{5}{18}, \frac{6}{18})$

Game 3 (Non-zero sum)

L R

T 5, 16 15, 8

B 16, 7 8, 15

⇒ Value = 1.1

• $(T, L) : (5, 16) \rightarrow$ Row prefers B

• $(B, R) : (8, 15) \rightarrow$ Row prefers T

• $(B, L) : NE (16, 7)$

• $(T, R) : NE (15, 8)$

• No mixed NE needed

(since 2 pure NE exist)

→ Pure NE: (B, L) & (T, R)

Game 4 (Non zero sum)

L R

T 15, 3 15, 10

B 15, 4 15, 7

• Row always gets 15, indifferent

• Column prefers R in both cases

• Any strategy for Row, Column plays R

• NE: (Any of T or B, R)

Q2] Let $x = P1$ plays strategy 1

$y = P2$ plays strategy 2

a) $U(x, y) = 5xy - 2x + 6y - 2$

(b) $U1 = 3xy - 4x + 5$,

$U2 = 7xy + 7x - 8y + 12$

∴ This is a zero sum

⇒ use U and $-U$

	$y=1$	$y=0$
$x=1$	1	-2
$x=0$	4	-2

	$y=1$	$y=0$
$x=1$	4, 18	1, 24
$x=0$	5, 4	5, 12

Check: $U(x, y) = xy5 + x0 + y6 + \text{constant} - 2 \rightarrow \text{Matches}$

Q.3] Let p = probability of C (clean)

(a) Expected payoff: • clean: $10 - q = q$
• Not clean: $10 \times (1 - (1-p)^q)$

⇒ $q = 10(1 - (1-p)^q)$

→ $(1-p)^q = 0.1$

⇒ $p = 1 - (0.1)^{1/q} = 0.244$

(b) Generalize: $(1-p)^{(n-1)} = 0.1 \Rightarrow p = 1 - (0.1)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$

(c) Limit as $n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow (1-p)^n \rightarrow e^{(-np)}$

if $p \rightarrow 0$, still tends to 1

→ So Lim = 1 ∴ Nobody cleans.

(d) Name of the effect: Diffusion of Responsibility.

Q.4) (a) • pure strategy : Subsets of numbers $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$
• payoff :

If player wins : $M - \text{cost}$

Else : $-\text{cost}$

If no unique winner : $-\text{cost}$

(b) Game is finite & mixed strategies allowed

\Rightarrow Nash Existence Theorem \Rightarrow Symmetric NE exists.

(c) Every player chooses 1 with prob p_i .

winning prob. = $p_i (1 - p_i)^{n-1}$

Expected payoff = $[M \times p_i (1 - p_i)^{n-1}] - p_i$

NE \Rightarrow payoff = 0 :

$$M (1 - p_i)^{n-1} = 1$$

(d) If prob > 0 for "no purchase"

\Rightarrow Payoff > 0

But payoff = 0 in NE \Rightarrow any positive payoff would incentivize buying \Rightarrow So, expected payoff = 0.

(e) If $M < n$, then

Total expected value $< n \times 1 \Rightarrow$ Some must not buy.

\rightarrow Not purchasing must be part of equilibrium

\rightarrow Then expected payoff = 0 for all in symmetric NE.