

# MATH 8510 Galois Theory

LU Junyu

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Warm up

Let's agree on some facts and conventions from elementary abstract algebra, in particular those with polynomial rings before we dig into Galois theory.

A ring is always commutative with multiplicative identity 1 unless otherwise stated.  $R^*$  is the multiplicative group of units in  $R$  and  $R^\times = R \setminus \{0\}$ . We can use these two notations interchangeably when  $R$  is a field.

Let  $F$  be a field. A polynomial ring  $F[X]$  with an indeterminate  $X$  is an  $F$ -vector space with basis  $1, X, X^2, \dots, X^n, \dots$ , with the multiplication

$$\left(\sum_i a_i X^i\right)\left(\sum_j b_j X^j\right) = \sum_k \left(\sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j\right) X^k,$$

where  $X^0$  is defined to be 1. Alternatively, we can identify  $R[X]$  with

$$R^{(\mathbb{N})} = \{(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} : a_i \in R, a_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

in an obvious way. But usually, we want to say  $R$  embeds into  $R[X]$  although the most formal way is to identify  $R$  with a subring of  $R[X]$ . We will also use notations like  $F[x]$ ,  $k[x]$  and  $k[X]$  for polynomial rings as long as there is no confusion.

The degree function has the following properties:

1.  $\deg(f + g) \leq \max(\deg f, \deg g)$ ,
2.  $\deg(fg) = \deg f + \deg g$ .

There are plenty results by arguing over the degree of a polynomial. We have  $(R[X])^* = R^*$  if  $R$  is an integral domain. We have the division algorithm on  $R[X]$ .

**Theorem 1.1.1.** *Let  $F$  be a commutative ring. Then  $F[X]$  is a PID if and only if  $F$  is a field.*

Hence or otherwise,  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is not a PID. Indeed,  $\langle 2, X \rangle$  is an example of an ideal that cannot be generated by a single polynomial.  $K[X, Y]$  is not a PID as  $\langle X, Y \rangle$  is not principal.

**Theorem 1.1.2.** *An ideal in a PID is prime if and only if it is maximal.*

**Definition 1.1.3.** If  $f(X) \in F[X]$  where  $F$  is a field, then a *root* of  $f$  in  $F$  is an element  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .

Given a polynomial  $f[X] \in F[X]$  and any  $u \in F$ , the division algorithm give us:

$$f(X) = q(X)(X - u) + f(u).$$

And lying in the center of proving that every finite subgroup of  $F^\times$  is cyclic is counting the roots of polynomial  $X^n - 1$ .

**Theorem 1.1.4.** *Let  $F$  be a field and  $f[X] \in F[X]$  a polynomial of degree  $n$ . Then  $f$  has at most  $n$  roots.*

**Definition 1.1.5.** Let  $F$  be a field. A nonzero polynomial  $p(X) \in F[X]$  is said to be *irreducible* over  $F$  (or *irreducible* in  $F[X]$ ) if  $\deg p \geq 1$  and there is no factorization  $p = fg$  in  $F[X]$  with  $\deg f < \deg p$  and  $\deg g < \deg p$ .

A quadratic or cubic polynomial is irreducible in  $F[X]$  if and only if it has no root in  $F$ .

**Theorem 1.1.6** (Gauss's Lemma). *A polynomial  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is irreducible if and only if it is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ .*

**Theorem 1.1.7** (Eisenstein's Criterion). *Let  $f(X) = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots + a_nX^n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a polynomial over integers with  $a_n \neq 0$ . Suppose that there exists a prime  $p$  such that*

1.  $p \nmid a_n$ ,
2.  $p \mid a_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ,
3.  $p^2 \nmid a_0$ .

*Then  $f(X)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .*

A typical application of Eisenstein's Criterion is to prove the irreducibility of the  $p$ -th cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_p(X) = \frac{X^p - 1}{X - 1}$ , where  $p$  is a prime. The idea is to apply the criterion to  $\Phi(X + 1)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.8.** *Let  $F$  be a field and  $f(x)$  a polynomial in  $F[X]$ . Then  $(f(X))$  is a prime ideal in  $F[X]$  if and only if  $f(X)$  is irreducible. Equivalently,  $f$  is irreducible if and only if  $K[X]/(f)$  is a field.*

## 1.2 Extensions of fields

Most of this course will involve studying fields relative to certain subfield which we feel we understand better. For example, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is the root of some polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we might wish to study  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , the smallest subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  containing  $\alpha$  and all of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Certainly, if we want to understand how "complicated" the number  $\alpha$  is, it makes sense to consider how "complicated" the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is as an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $F \subset E$  are fields, we will denote the extension by  $E/F$  (this just means that  $F$  is a subfield of  $E$ , and that we're considering  $E$  relative to  $F$ , in particular,  $E/F$  is not a quotient or anything too formal). Note that often we will consider  $E$  to be an extension of  $F$  even if  $F \not\subseteq E$ , as long as there is an obvious embedding of  $F$  into  $E$  (an embedding is a homomorphism which is injective).

We will make a lot of use of the observation that if  $E/F$  is an extension of fields, then we may view  $E$  as a vector space over  $F$ .

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let  $E/F$  be an extension of fields. We say that  $E$  is a *finite extension* of  $F$  if  $E$  is finite-dimensional as a vector space over  $F$ . In this case we denote the dimension by  $[E : F]$ . We say that  $E$  is an *infinite extension* of  $F$  if  $E$  is infinite-dimensional as a vector space over  $F$ , and we write  $[E : F] = \infty$ .

**Example 1.2.2.**  $\{1, i\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{C}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $\mathbb{C}$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$ .

**Example 1.2.3.** It is widely known that  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $1, \sqrt{2}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . On the other hand  $(\sqrt{2})^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  and then any polynomial in  $\sqrt{2}$  with rational coefficients is just a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combinations of  $1$  and  $\sqrt{2}$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{a + b\sqrt{2}} = \frac{a}{a^2 - 2b^2} + \frac{-b}{a^2 - 2b^2}\sqrt{2},$$

every rational function of  $\sqrt{2}$  can be written as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combinations of  $1$  and  $\sqrt{2}$ . It follows immediately that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ .

**Example 1.2.4.** We can show  $[\mathbb{C}(x) : \mathbb{C}] = \infty$  by arguing  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$  is a linear independent set.

**Example 1.2.5.** To show  $[\mathbb{R} : \mathbb{Q}] = \infty$ , we make use of the unique factorization theorem of integers and argue that  $\{\ln(p) : p \text{ is a prime}\}$  is a linearly independent set.

**Proposition 1.2.6.** Let  $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$  be fields. Then  $E/K$  is a finite extensions if and only if both  $F/K$  and  $E/F$  are, and when this is the case, we have

$$[E : K] = [E : F][F : K].$$

*Sketch of proof.* If  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\{b_j\}$  are bases for  $E/F$  and  $F/K$  respectively, then  $\{a_i b_j\}$  is a basis for  $E/K$ .  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.7.** Let  $E/F$  be a field extension. An element  $\alpha \in E$  is *algebraic* over  $F$  if there is a non-zero polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Otherwise we say that  $\alpha$  is *transcendental* over  $F$ . The extension  $E/F$  is *algebraic* if every element of  $E$  is algebraic over  $F$ , and is *transcendental* otherwise.

**Example 1.2.8.** Both  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $i$  are algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  as they are roots of  $x^2 - 2$  and  $x^2 + 1$ . But  $\pi$  and  $e$  are transcendental. As you can see, it's much easier to show that something is algebraic over a subfield than to show that it isn't (since to show that it is, one simply needs to exhibit a non-trivial polynomial relation). This shows that  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$  is a transcendental extension, but some more work is required to show that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is algebraic, namely, we need to make sure that the smallest field containing  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\sqrt{2}$  doesn't somehow contain transcendental elements over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.9.** Let  $E/F$  be a finite extension of fields. Then every element of  $E$  is algebraic over  $F$ . Specifically, for every element  $\alpha \in E$  there is a unique non-zero monic irreducible polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , and  $f(x)$  divides every polynomial  $g(x) \in F[x]$  with  $g(\alpha) = 0$ . Moreover, this polynomial satisfies  $\deg(f) \leq [E : F]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E/F$  is a finite extension and  $\alpha \in E$ . Consider the elements

$$1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{[E:F]} \in E.$$

Since there are  $[E : F] + 1$  elements, they must be linearly dependent over  $F$ . Hence we can find  $c_i \in F$  such that

$$c_0 \cdot 1 + c_1 \alpha + \dots + c_{[E:F]} \alpha^{[E:F]} = 0.$$

In other words,  $\alpha$  is a root of the (non-zero) polynomial

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{[E:F]} c_i x^i \in F[x].$$

And the degree of  $g$  is at most  $[E : F]$ .

Now consider the evaluation map

$$\varphi : F[x] \rightarrow E, f(x) \mapsto f(\alpha),$$

where one may consider it as the restriction of  $e_\alpha : E[x] \rightarrow E$ . Then  $\ker(\varphi)$  is non-empty since  $g$  lies in it and then  $\ker(\varphi) = (f(x))$  for some monic  $f(x) \in F[x]$  since  $F[x]$  is a PID. Any polynomial  $g(x) \in F[x]$  with a root  $\alpha$  belongs to the kernel and hence is divisible by  $f(x)$ . Clearly,  $\deg f$  is no bigger than  $\deg g$  and then no bigger than  $[E : F]$ . Since  $E$  is a field as well,  $\text{im}(\varphi)$  is a domain. So the kernel is a prime ideal and therefore  $f$  is irreducible.  $\square$

**Definition 1.2.10.** The polynomial  $f$  constructed in Theorem 1.2.9 is called the *minimal polynomial* of  $\alpha$  over  $F$ .

In other words, in a finite extension, every element is the root of some polynomial over the smaller field. The next theorem is a partial converse to this, and we will use it often.

**Theorem 1.2.11.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $f[x]$  a monic irreducible polynomial in  $k[x]$  of degree  $d$ . Let  $K = k[x]/I$ , where  $I = (f)$ , and  $\beta = x + I \in K$ . Then:

1.  $K$  is a field and  $k' = \{a + I : a \in k\}$  is a subfield of  $K$  isomorphic to  $k$ ,
2.  $\beta$  is a root of  $f$  in  $K$ ,
3. if  $g(x) \in k[x]$  and  $\beta$  is a root of  $g$  in  $K$ , then  $f \mid g$  in  $k[x]$ ,
4.  $f$  is the unique monic irreducible polynomial in  $k[x]$  having  $\beta$  as a root,
5.  $1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^{d-1}$  form a basis of  $K$  as a vector space over  $k$  and so  $\dim_k(K) = d$ .

*Proof.* With the knowledge from the warm-up part, we can prove this theorem easily.

1.  $I$  is a prime ideal hence maximal since  $F[x]$  is a PID. So the quotient ring  $K = k[x]/I$  is a field. Every field homomorphism is injective and so  $k$  embeds into  $K$  with its image  $k'$ .

2. Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + x^d$ , where  $a_i \in k$  for all  $i$ . In  $K = k[x]/I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(\beta) &= (a_0 + I) + (a_1 + I)\beta + \cdots + (1 + I)\beta^d \\ &= (a_0 + I) + (a_1 + I)(x + I) + \cdots + (1 + I)(x + I)^d \\ &= (a_0 + I) + (a_1x + I) + \cdots + (x^d + I) \\ &= a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + x^d + I \\ &= f(x) + I = 0 + I. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\beta$  is a root of  $p$ .

3. If  $f \nmid g$  in  $k[x]$ , then their gcd is 1 since  $f$  is irreducible. Therefore, we can find polynomials  $s, t$  in  $k[x]$  such that  $1 = sf + gt$ . Treating them as polynomials in  $K[x]$  and evaluating at  $\beta$ , we get  $1 = 0$ , a contradiction.
4. Let  $g$  be a monic irreducible polynomial in  $k[x]$  having  $\beta$  as a root. Then by part (3) we have  $f \mid g$ . Since  $g$  is irreducible, we have  $g = ch$  for some constant  $c$ . But both  $f, g$  are monic, we have  $c = 1$  and  $f = g$ .
5. Every element of  $K$  has the form  $g + I$ , where  $g(x) \in k[x]$ . By the division algorithm, we have  $g = qf + r$  with either  $r = 0$  or  $\deg(r) < \deg(f)$ . Then  $g + I = r + I$  since  $g - r = qf \in I$ . By the calculation similar in part (2), it follows that  $r + I = b_0 + b_1\beta + \cdots + b_{d-1}\beta^{d-1}$  if we express  $r(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_{d-1}x^{d-1}$ .

If  $\{1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^{d-1}\}$  is not linearly independent, then we can find coefficients  $c_i \in k$  not all zero such that

$$c_0 + c_1\beta + \cdots + c_{d-1}\beta^{d-1} = 0.$$

Define  $g(x) \in k[x]$  by  $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i x^i$ . Then  $g(\beta) = 0$  and  $\deg(g) \leq d-1 < \deg(f) = d$ . By part (3) says  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$  since  $f \mid g$ . We reach a contradiction.

□

**Example 1.2.12.** The polynomial  $x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is irreducible so  $K = \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1)$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{R}$  with degree 2. If  $\beta$  is a root of  $x^2 + 1$  in  $K$ , then  $\beta^2 = -1$ . Moreover, every element of  $K$  has a unique expression  $a + b\beta$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## 2 Splitting fields and algebraic closure

### 2.1 Automorphisms