# MATH 8510 Galois Theory

LU Junyu

# Week 1

#### 1.1 Review on polynomial rings

Let's agree on some facts and conventions from elementary abstract algebra, in particular those with polynomial rings before we dig into Galois theory.

A ring is always commutative with multiplicative identity 1 unless otherwise stated.  $R^*$  is the multiplicative group of units in R and  $R^* = R \setminus \{0\}$ . We can use these two notations interchangeably when R is a field.

Let F be a field. A polynomial ring F[X] with an indeterminate X is an F-vector space with basis  $1, X, X^2, \dots, X^n, \dots$ , with the multiplication

$$\left(\sum_{i} a_i X^i\right)\left(\sum_{j} b_j X^j\right) = \sum_{k} \left(\sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j\right) X^k,$$

where  $X^0$  is defined to be 1. Alternatively, we can identify R[X] with

$$R^{(\mathbb{N})} = \{(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} : a_i \in R, a_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

in an obvious way. But usually, we want to say R embeds into R[X] although the most formal way is to identify R with a subring of R[X]. We will also use notations like F[x], k[x] and k[X] for polynomial rings as long as there is no confusion.

The degree function has the following properties:

- 1.  $\deg(f+g) \le \max(\deg f, \deg g)$ ,
- 2.  $\deg(fg) = \deg f + \deg g$ .

There are plenty results by arguing over the degree of a polynomial. We have  $(R[X])^* = R^*$  if R is an integral domain. We have the division algorithm on R[X].

**Theorem 1.1.1.** Let F be a commutative ring. Then F[X] is a PID if and only if F is a field.

Hence or otherwise,  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is not a PID. Indeed,  $\langle 2, X \rangle$  is an example of an ideal that cannot be generated by a single polynomial. K[X,Y] is not a PID as  $\langle X,Y \rangle$  is not principal.

**Theorem 1.1.2.** An ideal in a PID is prime if and only if it is maximal.

**Definition 1.1.3.** If  $f(X) \in F[X]$  where F is a field, then a *root* of f in F is an element  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .

Given a polynomial  $f[X] \in F[X]$  and any  $u \in F$ , the division algorithm give us:

$$f(X) = q(X)(X - u) + f(u).$$

And lying in the center of proving that every finite subgroup of  $F^{\times}$  is cyclic is counting the roots of polynomial  $X^n - 1$ .

**Theorem 1.1.4.** Let F be a field and  $f[X] \in F[X]$  a polynomial of degree n. Then f has at most n roots.

**Definition 1.1.5.** Let F be a field. A nonzero polynomial  $p(X) \in F[X]$  is said to be *irreducible* over F (or *irreducible* in F[X]) if  $\deg p \geq 1$  and there is no factorization p = fg in F[X] with  $\deg f < \deg p$  and  $\deg g < \deg p$ .

A quadratic or cubic polynomial is irreducible in F[X] if and only if it has no root in F.

**Theorem 1.1.6** (Gauss's Lemma). A polynomial  $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  is irreducible if and only if it is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ .

**Theorem 1.1.7** (Eisenstein's Criterion). Let  $f(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \cdots + a_n X^n \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  be a polynomial over integers with  $a_n \neq 0$ . Suppose that there exists a prime p such that

- 1.  $p \nmid a_n$ ,
- 2.  $p \mid a_i \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$
- 3.  $p^2 \nmid a_0$ .

Then f(X) is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

A typical application of Eisenstein's Criterion is to prove the irreducibility of the p-th cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_p(X) = \frac{X^p-1}{X-1}$ , where p is a prime. The idea is to apply the criterion to  $\Phi(X+1)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.8.** Let F be a field and f(x) a polynomial in F[X]. Then (f(X)) is a prime ideal in F[X] if and only if f(X) is irreducible. Equivalently, f is irreducible if and only if K[X]/(f) is a field.

#### 1.2 Extensions of fields

Most of this course will involve studying fields relative to certain subfield which we feel we understand better. For example, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is the root of some polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we might wish to study  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , the smallest subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  containing  $\alpha$  and all of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Certainly, if we want to understand how "complicated" the number  $\alpha$  is, it makes sense to consider how "complicated" the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  is as an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If  $F \subset E$  are fields, we will denote denote the extension by E/F (this just means that F is a subfield of E, and that we're considering E relative to F, in particular, E/F is not a quotient or anything too formal). Note that often we will consider E to be an extension of F even if  $F \nsubseteq E$ , as long as there is an obvious embedding of F into E (an embedding is a homomorphism with is injective).

We will make a lot of use of the observation that if E/F is an extension of fields, then we may view E as a vector space over F.

**Definition 1.2.1.** Let E/F be an extension of fields. We say that E is a *finite extension* of F if E is finite-dimensional as a vector space over F. In this case we denote the dimension by [E:F]. We say that E is an *infinite extension* of F if E is infinite-dimensional as a vector space over F, and we write [E:F]=1.

**Example 1.2.2.**  $\{1, i\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{C}$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . So  $\mathbb{C}$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $[\mathbb{C} : \mathbb{R}] = 2$ .

**Example 1.2.3.** It is widely known that  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $1, \sqrt{2}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . On the other hand  $(\sqrt{2})^2 \in \mathbb{Q}$  and then any polynomial in  $\sqrt{2}$  with rational coefficients is just a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combinations of 1 and  $\sqrt{2}$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{a+b\sqrt{2}} = \frac{a}{a^2 - 2b^2} + \frac{-b}{a^2 - 2b^2}\sqrt{2},$$

every rational function of  $\sqrt{2}$  can be written as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combinations of 1 and  $\sqrt{2}$ . It follows immediately that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  and  $[\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$ .

**Example 1.2.4.** We can show  $[\mathbb{C}(x):\mathbb{C}]=\infty$  by arguing  $\{1,x,x^2,\cdots\}$  is a linear independent set.

**Example 1.2.5.** To show  $[\mathbb{R} : \mathbb{Q}] = \infty$ , we make use of the unique factorization theorem of integers and argue that  $\{\ln(p) : p \text{ is a prime}\}\$  is a linearly independent set.

**Theorem 1.2.6.** Let  $K \subseteq F \subseteq E$  be fields. Then E/K is a finite extensions if and only if both F/K and E/F are, and when this is the case, we have

$$[E:K] = [E:F][F:K].$$

Sketch of proof. If  $\{a_i\}$  and  $\{b_j\}$  are bases for E/F and F/K respectively, then  $\{a_ib_j\}$  is a basis for E/K.

**Example 1.2.7.** Consider field extensions  $\mathbb{Q} \subset E = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] \subset F = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}]$ . We already know  $[E:\mathbb{Q}]=2$  and since  $\sqrt{3} \notin E$  and it is a  $x^2-3 \in E[x]$ , we also have  $[F:E]=[E[\sqrt{3}:E]=2$ . And then  $[\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2},\sqrt{3}]:\mathbb{Q}]=4$ .

**Definition 1.2.8.** Let E/F be a field extension. An element  $\alpha \in E$  is algebraic over F if there is a non-zero polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Otherwise we say that  $\alpha$  is transcendental over F. The extension E/F is algebraic if every element of E is algebraic over F, and is transcendental otherwise.

**Example 1.2.9.** Both  $\sqrt{2}$  and i are algebraic over  $\mathbb Q$  as they are roots of  $x^2-2$  and  $x^2+1$ . But  $\pi$  and e are transcendental. As you can see, it's much easier to show that something is algebraic over a subfield than to show that it isn't (since to show that it is, one simply needs to exhibit a non-trivial polynomial relation). This shows that  $\mathbb R/\mathbb Q$  is a transcendental extension, but some more work is required to show that  $\mathbb Q(\sqrt{2})$  is algebraic, namely, we need to make sure that the smallest field containing  $\mathbb Q$  and  $\sqrt{2}$  doesn't somehow contain transcendental elements over  $\mathbb Q$ .

**Theorem 1.2.10.** Let E/F be a finite extension of fields. Then every element of E is algebraic over F. Specifically, for every element  $\alpha \in E$  there is a unique non-zero monic irreducible polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ , and f(x) divides every polynomial  $g(x) \in F[x]$  with  $g(\alpha) = 0$ . And this polynomial satisfies  $deg(f) \leq [E:F]$ . Moreover, if I=(f), then  $F[x]/I \cong k(\alpha)$ ; indeed, there exists an isomorphism  $\phi: F[x]/I \to k(\alpha)$  with  $\phi(x+I) = \alpha$  and  $\phi(a+I) = a$  for all  $a \in F$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that E/F is a finite extension and  $\alpha \in E$ . Consider the elements

$$1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \cdots, \alpha^{[E:F]} \in E.$$

Since there are [E:F]+1 elements, they must be linearly dependent over F. Hence we can find  $c_i \in F$  such that

$$c_o \cdot 1 + c_1 \alpha + \dots + c_{[E:F]} \alpha^{[E:F]} = 0.$$

In other words,  $\alpha$  is a root of the (non-zero) polynomial

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{[E:F]} c_i x^i \in F[x].$$

And the degree of q is at most [E:F].

Now consider the evaluation map

$$\varphi: F[x] \to E, f(x) \mapsto f(\alpha),$$

where one may consider it as the restriction of  $e_{\alpha}: E[x] \to E$ . Then  $\ker(\varphi)$  is non-empty since g lies in it and then  $\ker(\varphi) = (f(x))$  for some monic  $f(x) \in F[x]$  since F[x] is a PID. Any polynomial  $g(x) \in F[x]$  with a root  $\alpha$  belongs to the kernal and hense is divisible by f(x). Clearly,  $\deg f$  is no bigger than  $\deg g$  and then no bigger than [E:F]. Since E is a field as well,  $\operatorname{im}(\varphi)$  is a domain. So the kernel is a prime (hence maximal) ideal and therefore f is irreducible and  $\operatorname{im}(\varphi)$  is a field containing  $\mathbb Q$  and  $\alpha$  indeed.  $\varphi$  is the canonical isomorphism induced by  $\varphi$ .

Hence, we have  $F[\alpha] = F(\alpha)$  when  $\alpha$  is algebraic.

**Definition 1.2.11.** The polynomial f constructed in Theorem 1.2.10 is called the *minimal polynomial* of  $\alpha$  over F.

In other words, in a finite extension, every element is the root of some polynomial over the smaller field. The next theorem is a partial converse to this, and we will use it often.

**Theorem 1.2.12.** Let k be a field and f[x] a monic irreducible polynomial in k[x] of degree d. Let K = k[x]/I, where I = (f), and  $\beta = x + I \in K$ . Then:

- 1. K is a field and  $k' = \{a + I : a \in k\}$  is a subfield of K isomorphic to k,
- 2.  $\beta$  is a root of f in K,
- 3. if  $g(x) \in k[x]$  and  $\beta$  is a root of g in K, then  $f \mid g$  in k[x],

- 4. f is the unique monic irreducible polynomial in k[x] having  $\beta$  as a root,
- 5.  $1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^{d-1}$  form a basis of K as a vector space over k and so  $\dim_k(K) = d$ .

*Proof.* With the knowledge form the warm-up part, we can prove this theorem easily.

- 1. I is a prime ideal hence maximal since F[x] is a PID. So the quotient ring K = k[x]/I is a field. Every field homomorphism is injective and so k embeds into K with its image k'.
- 2. Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + x^d$ , where  $a_i \in k$  for all i. In K = k[x]/I, we have

$$p(\beta) = (a_0 + I) + (a_1 + I)\beta + \dots + (1 + I)\beta^d$$

$$= (a_0 + I) + (a_1 + I)(x + I) + \dots + (1 + I)(x + I)^d$$

$$= (a_0 + I) + (a_1x + I) + \dots + (x^d + I)$$

$$= a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + x^d + I$$

$$= f(x) + I = 0 + I.$$

So  $\beta$  is a root of p.

- 3. If  $f \nmid g$  in k[x], then their gcd is 1 since f is irreducible. Therefore, we can find polynomials s, t in k[x] such that 1 = sf + gt. Treating them as polynomials in K[x] and evaluating at  $\beta$ , we get 1 = 0, a contradiction.
- 4. Let g be a monic irreducible polynomial in k[x] having  $\beta$  as a root. Then by part (3) we have  $f \mid g$ . Since g is irreducible, we have g = ch for some constant c. But both f, g are monic, we have c = 1 and f = g.
- 5. Every element of K has the form g+I, where  $g(x)\in k[x]$ . By the division algorithm, we have g=qf+r with either r=0 or  $\deg(r)<\deg(f)$ . Then g+I=r+I since  $g-r=qf\in I$ . By the calculation similar in part (2), it follows that  $r+I=b_0+b_1\beta+\cdots+b_{d-1}\beta^{d-1}$  if we express  $r(x)=b_0+b_1x+\cdots+b_{d-1}x^{d-1}$ .

If  $\{1, \beta, \beta^2, \cdots, \beta^{d-1}\}$  is not linearly independent, then we can find coefficients  $c_i \in k$  not all zero such that

$$c_0 + c_1 \beta + \dots + c_{d-1} \beta^{d-1} = 0.$$

Define  $g(x) \in k[x]$  by  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} c_i x^i$ . Then  $g(\beta) = 0$  and  $\deg(g) \le d-1 < \deg(f) = d$ . By part (3) says  $\deg(f) \le \deg(g)$  since  $f \mid g$ . We reach a contradiction.

*Remark.* The pair  $(K, \beta)$  is called the *stem field* in Milner.

**Example 1.2.13.** The polynomial  $x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is irreducible so  $K = \mathbb{R}[x]/(x^2 + 1)$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{R}$  with degree 2. If  $\beta$  is a root of  $x^2 + 1$  in K, then  $\beta^2 = -1$ . Moreover, every element of K has a unique expression  $a + b\beta$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 1.2.14.** Let  $f(x) = x^4 - 10x^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ . This is an irreducible polynomial: it has no rational roots (if r/s in lowest form was one, then  $r \mid 1$  and  $r \mid 1$ ; the only possible rational root was  $r/s = \pm 1/1 = \pm 1$ ) and a direct factorization  $f(x) = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 - ax + c)$  is also impossible. (One can show, however, f is reducible in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$  for any prime f.) The roots of f are

$$\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}.$$

Let  $\beta$  be one of the roots. Consider the field extensions  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}[\beta] \subset \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}]$ . We already know from pervious example

$$[\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}] : \mathbb{Q}] = 4 = [\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}] : \mathbb{Q}[\beta]][\mathbb{Q}[\beta] : \mathbb{Q}].$$

But  $\beta$  is a root of irreducible polynomial of degree 4 and therefore

$$[\mathbb{Q}[\beta]:\mathbb{Q}]=4.$$

We see that  $[\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}] : \mathbb{Q}[\beta]] = 1$  and then

$$\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}] = \mathbb{Q}[\beta].$$

And hence all roots of f lies in  $\mathbb{Q}[\beta]$ .

## 1.3 Automorphisms

When one is first introduced to the complex numbers, it is usually as a superset of the reals. We're introduced to  $\mathbb C$  as a vector space over  $\mathbb R$  with basis  $\{1,i\}$  which happens to also admit the structure of a field. One function which helps with the very basic study of  $\mathbb C$  from this perspective is the complex conjugation:

$$\overline{x+yi} = x - yi$$

for  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . The important properties of this function are that it is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$  and that it fixes real numbers (and only real numbers. We would like to identify functions of this form for arbitrary field extensions.

**Definition 1.3.1.** Let F be a field, and let  $X \subset F$  be a subset. Then  $\varphi : F \to F$  is an automorphism if it is a bijection and a homomorphism, namely,  $\varphi(x+y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$  and  $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ . We denote the group of automorphisms of F by  $\operatorname{Aut}(F)$ . We say that  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(F)$  fixes X if  $\varphi(x) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ , and we denote the set of automorphisms of F fixing X by  $\operatorname{Aut}(F/X)$ .

It's worth noting that this definition of fixing a set is what might more rightly be referred to as fixing X pointwise. It is sometimes useful to consider functions which fix X setwise, meaning that  $\varphi(x) \in X$  for all  $x \in X$ . Unless otherwise stated, "fix" means "fix pointwise". Note that, in the lemma below, we make no special assumptions about the nature of  $X \subset F$ .

**Proposition 1.3.2.** For any field F, and any set  $X \subset F$ , the set Aut(F/X) is a group under composition.

*Proof.* Just straightforward verifications.

**Example 1.3.3.** Consider  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ . Every element of  $\mathbb{C}$  can be written as x+yi with  $x,y\in\mathbb{R}$ . For any  $\sigma\in\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ , we must have  $\sigma(x+yi)=x+y\sigma(i)$ . Furthermore, we also have

$$-1 = \sigma(-1) = \sigma(i^2) = \sigma(i)^2,$$

and hence  $\sigma(i)=\pm i$ . So  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  contains exactly two elements: the trivial one and the complex conjugation. It is clear that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  is group — we need to check the complex conjugation is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$  and twice the complex conjugation is just the identity map.

This example gives us a feeling about how  $\operatorname{Aut}(E/F)$  will be for a field extension E/F. In general, if E/F is a finite extension with [E:F]=n, then we can choose a basis  $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n \in E$  for E/F. Any element of E can be written uniquely in the form

$$c_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + c_n\alpha_n$$

with  $c_i \in F$ . If  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(E/F)$ , then we have

$$\sigma(c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_n\alpha_n) = c_1\sigma(\alpha_1) + \dots + c_n\sigma(\alpha_n).$$

In other words, the automorphism  $\sigma$  is entirely defined by the n values  $\sigma(\alpha_1), \dots, \sigma(\alpha_n)$ . Moreover, if  $f_i(x) \in F[x]$  is the minimal polynomial for  $\alpha_i$ , then

$$f_i(\sigma(\alpha_i)) = \sigma(f_i(\alpha_i)) = \sigma(0) = 0.$$

So  $\sigma(\alpha_i)$  is one of the (finitely many) roots of  $f_i$  in E. So there are only finitely many possible values for  $\sigma(\alpha_i)$ , for each i. We won't count how many automorphisms the can be (this will become easier later), but we've just made the following useful observation:

**Theorem 1.3.4.** Let E/F be a finite extension of fields. Then Aut(E/F) is a finite group. Moreover, if we have  $E=F(\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha \in E$ , then Aut(E/F) naturally embeds into the group of permutations of the roots of the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over F.

Note that E/F does not need to be a finite extension for us to define Aut(E/F) (indeed, F need not even be a field). Unfortunately, there are interesting extensions E/F for which the group Aut(E/F) is not interesting.

**Example 1.3.5.** Let  $\alpha$  be the real cube root of 2, and let  $E = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Then  $[E : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$  (since the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , which is  $f(x) = x^3 - 2$ , is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). Now suppose that  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(E/Q)$ . We've seen that  $\sigma$  is entirely determined by  $\sigma(\alpha)$ . But  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\sigma(\alpha)$  has to satisfy

$$\sigma(\alpha)^3 = \sigma(\alpha^3) = 2.$$

In particular,  $\sigma(\alpha)$  is a real cube root of 2, and so the only possibility is  $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha$ . In other words, the only element of  $\operatorname{Aut}(E/Q)$  is the trivial element  $\sigma(x) = x$  for all  $x \in E$ .

This example is somewhat unsatisfying. One of the important properties of the group  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$  is that the non-trivial element fixes exactly  $\mathbb{R}$ . In the example above, the (trivial) group  $\operatorname{Aut}(E/\mathbb{Q})$  isn't going to be of much use in studying the field E. In some sense, the problem is that E contains only one cube root of 2, but we expect there to be 3 distinct cube roots of 2; we'll explore this more when we define what it means for an extension to be Galois.

**Example 1.3.6.** We can show  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$  is also trivial. Let  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})$ . From the observation that

$$\sigma(a^2) = \sigma(a)^2 > 0,$$

we see  $\sigma$  must take positive to positive and hence order-preserving. And then it must be continuous (by more detailed arguments) but any continuous map on  $\mathbb{R}$  which is the identity on  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the identity map (again you may fill the details if you want).

Our next example says something about finite fields. We do a quick catch-up here.

We denote the finite field of order p, where p is a prime, by  $\mathbb{F}_p = \{0, 1, \cdots, p-1\}$ . If F be a finite field with q elements and suppose that  $F \subset K$  where K is also a finite field. Then K has  $q^n$  elements where n = [K : F] from the knowledge on finite field extensions. Hence a finite field is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  where p is its characteristic and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  — we will show any two fields have the same number of elements are isomorphic.

Since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^{\times}$  is cyclic of order  $p^n-1$ , we have  $a^{p^n}=a$  for all  $a\in\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . The polynomial  $x^{p^n}-x$  has at most  $\deg=p^n$  roots and we conclude

$$x^{p^n} - x = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}} (x - a) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}[x].$$

As we will see later,  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is the splitting field of  $x^{p^n} - x \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ .

**Example 1.3.7.** Let p be a prime, and consider the extension  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p$ . We define a function  $\sigma$ :  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \to \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  by  $\sigma(x) = x^p$ . By the binomial theorem, and the fact that p divides the binomial coefficient  $\binom{p}{i}$  for any  $1 \le j \le p-1$ , we have

$$\sigma(x+y) = (x+y)^p = x^p + y^p + p \cdot (\text{something}) = \sigma(x) + \sigma(y).$$

And of course  $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(x)\sigma(y)$ . So  $\sigma$  is a homomorphism. We wish to show that  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ . Since  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is finite, we simply need to show that  $\sigma$  is either surjective or injective. We'll show that it's injective. To see this, suppose to the contrary that there's some non-zero  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  with  $\sigma(x) = 0$ . Since the group of non-zero elements  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is cyclic, say, generated by  $\gamma$ . If  $x = \gamma^j$ , then

$$x^{p^n} = (\gamma^j)^{p^n} = (\gamma^{p^n})^j = \gamma^j = x.$$

On the other hand,

$$x^{p^n} = \sigma^{(n)}(x) = \sigma^{n-1}(\sigma(x)) = \sigma^{(n-1)}(0) = 0,$$

where  $\sigma^{(n)}$  means compose  $\sigma$  with itself n times. We reach a contradiction. Also, note that  $\sigma$  fixes  $\mathbb{F}_p$ , so really  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}/\mathbb{F}_p)$ . It's possible to show that  $\sigma$  generates this group (laster).

## Week 2

## 2.4 Separable extensions

Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$  be an irreducible polynomial and  $(E = F[\alpha], \alpha)$  its stem field (or E a field containing all the roots). From what we have learnt from last week, we know an element in  $\operatorname{Aut}(E/F)$  shall permute the roots of f. It then follows not surprisingly that we want the distinctness of the roots; in other words, we want the roots are separable.

**Definition 2.4.1.** Let k be a field. A nonzero polynomial  $f(x) \in k[x]$  is called *separable* if it has no repeated roots (in any extension field).

Recall that the derivative of a polynomial  $f(x) = \sum a_i x^i$  is defined to be  $f'(x) = \sum i a_i x^{i-1}$ . When f has coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$ , this agrees with the definition in calculus. The usual rules for differentiating sums and products still hold, but note that in characteristic p the derivative of  $x^p$  is zero.

**Theorem 2.4.2.** Let K be a field. An irreducible f polynomial in K[X] is separable if and only if gcd(f, f') = 1 in K[X].

*Proof.* Let f(X) be an irreducible polynomial in K[X]. Suppose f(X) is separable, and let  $\alpha$  be a root of f(X) (in some extension of K. Then  $f(X) = (X - \alpha)h(X)$  for some  $h(x) \neq 0$ . Since  $f'(\alpha) = h(\alpha) \neq 0$ , f' is non-zero and  $\deg(f') < \deg(f)$ . It follows from the irreducibility of f immediately that  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$ .

Now suppose f(X) is not separable and  $\alpha$  is a repeated root (in an extension field). Then we can write  $f(X) = (X - \alpha)^2 g(X)$  (in some extension field), where g(x) is non-zero, and then  $f'(X) = (X - \alpha)^2 g'(X) + 2(X - \alpha)g(x)$ . It follows that f' is non-zero as well and  $f'(\alpha) = 0$ . By Theorem 1.2.10, both f, f' are divisible by the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  in K[X] and then  $\gcd(f, f') \neq 1$ .

**Definition 2.4.3.** A field F is said to be *perfect* if every irreducible polynomial in F[x] is separable.

Fortunately, almost all the fields we have good feelings at are perfect.

**Theorem 2.4.4.** A field F is perfect if and only if either F has characteristic 0, or F has characteristic p and the function  $\sigma(x): F \to F, x \mapsto X$  is an isomorphism. (And then in particular, any finite field is perfect.)

*Proof.* Suppose that F has characteristic 0. Let f be an irreducible polynomial. Then  $\deg(f') = \deg(f) - 1 \neq 0$  and it follows from the irreducibility of f that  $\gcd(f, f') = 1$ . Therefore, f is separable by Theorem 2.4.2.

Now consider the case when the characteristic of F is a prime p. We already see  $\sigma$  is a field homomorphism last week. Since field homomorphisms are injective, we only need to consider the surjectivity of  $\sigma$ .

Suppose that  $\sigma$  is not surjective and  $a \in F$  is not in the image. Then the polynomial  $f(x) = x^p - a$  has no roots in F.

Claim: f(x) is irreducible.

Proof of claim: By Theorem 1.2.12, let E/F be a finite extension containing a root  $\beta$  of f and so that

$$f(x) = x^p - a = x^p - \beta^p = (x - \beta)^p \in E[x].$$

Thus if f factors non-trivially in F[x], then a factor of f looks like  $(x - \beta)^j \in F[x]$  for some  $1 \le j < p$ . The coefficient of  $x^{j-1}$  in  $(x - \beta)^j$  is  $-j\beta$ . Since  $j \ne 0$  in F, we conclude  $\beta$  lies in F and reach a contradiction.

Notice that  $f'(x) = px^{p-1} = 0$  in F[x]. So every root of f is a multiple root. We have shown f is irreducible and inseparable and then F is not perfect.

For another direction, suppose that  $\sigma$  is surjective and that  $f \in F[x]$  is irreducible and inseparable. Similarly to the argument in Theorem 2.4.2, we get f divides f'. If f' was not the zero polynomial, then  $\deg(f') < \deg(f)$ , which is impossible given  $f \mid f'$ . Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$  then we get

$$0 = f'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} i a_i x^{i-1} \in F[x].$$

Therefore,  $ia_i = 0$  for each i, which says  $a_i = 0$  or i = 0 in F. In other words,  $a_i = 0$  unless p|i and then we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{ip} x^{ip}.$$

But  $\sigma$  is surjective, then  $a_i p = (\alpha^i)^p$  for some  $\alpha_i \in F$  for each i and

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (\alpha_i)^p x^{ip} = (\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i x^i)^p.$$

This polynomial is definitely reducible and we reach a contradiction.