## James Munkres - Topology Carter Hinsley's notes Last edited May 8, 2022

## 29 Local Compactness

**Definition.** A space X is *locally compact at* x if there is some compact subspace C of X that contains a nbhd of x. If X is locally compact at each of its points, X is said to be *locally compact*.

We ask: "Under what conditions is a space homeomorphic with a subspace of a compact Hausdorff space?"

**Theorem 29.1.** Let X be a space. X is locally compact Hausdorff iff there exists a space Y satisfying the following conditions:

- X is a subspace of Y.
- Y X comprises a single point.
- Y is a compact Hausdorff space.

**Definition.** If Y is a compact Hausdorff space and X is a proper subspace of Y dense in Y, then Y is said to be a *compactification* of X. If Y - X equals a single point, then Y is called the *one-point* compactification of X.

**Theorem 29.2.** Let X be a Hausdorff space. Then X is locally compact iff given  $x \in X$  and a nbhd U of x, there is a nbhd V of x such that  $\overline{V}$  is compact and  $\overline{V} \subset U$ .

Corollary 29.3. Any open or closed subspace of a locally compact Hausdorff space is itself locally compact.

Corollary 29.4. A space X is homeomorphic to an open subspace of a compact Hausdorff space iff X is locally compact Hausdorff.

## **Exercises**

(1) Show that the rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$  are not locally compact.

**Proof.** It will be sufficient to show that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not locally compact at 0; i.e., that there is no compact subspace of  $\mathbb{Q}$  containing a neighborhood of 0.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there existed a compact subspace C of  $\mathbb Q$  and an open nbhd U of 0 in C. Then there would be some open nbhd  $(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\cap\mathbb Q\subseteq U$  of 0. Note that the inclusion  $\mathbb Q\stackrel{\iota}{\hookrightarrow}\mathbb R$  is continuous; this map preserves compactness. Hence  $\iota(C)$  is compact in  $\mathbb R$ . As  $\mathbb R$  is Hausdorff,  $\iota(C)$  is closed in  $\mathbb R$ . Thus  $[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]=\overline{(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)\cap\mathbb Q}\subseteq\iota(C)$ . Since  $[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$  is uncountable while  $\iota(C)$  is countable, we obtain a contradiction. Hence  $\mathbb Q$  is not locally compact.  $\square$ 

## Supplementary Exercises: Nets

Nets are generalizations of sequences that characterize limit points, continuous functions, and compact sets not only in metric topologies, but in *all* topologies.

**Definition.** A directed set J is a poset wherein for each pair  $\alpha, \beta \in J$ , there exists an element  $\gamma \in J$  such that  $\alpha \leq \gamma$  and  $\beta \leq \gamma$ .

- (1) Show that the following are directed sets:
- Any simply ordered set, under the relation  $\leq$ .

**Proof.** We take  $\leq$  to be  $\leq$ . Let S be a simply (totally) ordered set with elements x, y. Then, as S is simply ordered, either  $x \leq y$  or  $y \leq x$ . By reflexivity,  $x \leq x$  and  $y \leq y$  in either case, so y or x serves as  $\gamma$  respectively.  $\square$ 

• The collection of all subsets of a set S, partially ordered by inclusion.

**Proof.** We are speaking of the power set  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ . Let  $U, V \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ . Then there are inclusions  $U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$  and  $V \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ . As the identity map  $\mathcal{P}(S) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$  is an inclusion,  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  serves as  $\gamma$ .

• A collection  $\mathscr A$  of subsets of S that is closed under finite intersections, partially ordered by reverse inclusion.

**Proof.** We use  $\leq$  to denote  $\leftarrow$ . Let  $U, V \in \mathscr{A}$ . As  $U \cap V \in \mathscr{A}$ , we have  $U \leq U \cap V, V \leq U \cap V$ .  $\square$ 

 $\bullet$  The collection of all closed subsets of a space X, partially ordered by inclusion.

**Proof.** X itself is closed; hence for all U, V closed subsets of  $X, U \leq X$  and  $V \leq X$ .  $\square$ 

(2) A subset K of J is said to be *cofinal* in J if for each  $\alpha \in J$ , there exists  $\beta \in K$  such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Show that if J is a directed set and K is cofinal in J, then K is a directed set.

**Proof.** We must show that for every pair of elements  $x,y\in K$ , there exists an element  $z\in K$  such that  $x\preceq z$  and  $y\preceq z$ . Because  $K\subseteq J$ , we know that  $x,y\in J$ . Consequently, there exists  $\beta\in J$  such that  $x\preceq \beta$  and  $y\preceq \beta$ . As K is cofinal in J, there exists  $\gamma\in K$  such that  $\beta\preceq \gamma$ . By transitivity, we have  $x\preceq \gamma$  and  $y\preceq \gamma$ ; that is, K is a directed set.  $\square$ 

(3) Let X be a topological space. A net in X is a function f from a directed set J into X. If  $\alpha \in J$ , we usually denote  $f(\alpha)$  by  $x_{\alpha}$ . We denote the net f itself by the symbol  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in J}$ , or merely by  $(x_{\alpha})$  if the index set is understood.

The net  $(x_{\alpha})$  is said to *converge* to the point  $x \in X$  (written  $x_{\alpha} \to x$ ) if for each nbhd U of x, there exists  $\alpha \in J$  such that  $\alpha \preceq \beta \implies x_{\beta} \in U$  Show that these definitions reduce to familiar ones when  $J = Z_{+}$ .

- (4) Suppose that  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in J} \to x \in X$  and  $(y_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in J} \to y \in Y$ . Show that  $(x_{\alpha} \times y_{\alpha}) \to x \times y \in X \times Y$ .
- (5) Show that if X is Hausdorff, a net in X converges to at most one point.
- (6) **Theorem.** Let  $A \in X$ . Then  $x \in \overline{A}$  iff there is a net of points of A converging to x.
- (7) **Theorem.** Let  $f: X \to Y$ . Then f is continuous iff for every convergent net  $(x_{\alpha}) \to x$  in X, the net  $(f(x_{\alpha}))$  converges to f(x).
- (8) Let  $f: J \to X$  be a net in X; let  $f(\alpha) = x_{\alpha}$ . If K is a directed set and  $g: K \to J$  is a function such that
  - $i \leq j \implies g(i) \leq g(j)$ ,
  - g(K) is cofinal in J,

then the composite function  $f \circ g : K \to X$  is called a *subnet* of  $(x_{\alpha})$ . Show that if the net  $(x_{\alpha})$  converges to x, so does any subnet.

(9) Let  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in J}$  be a net in X. x is an accumulation point of the net  $(x_{\alpha})$  if for each nebhd U of x, the set of those  $\alpha$  for which  $x_{\alpha} \in U$  is cofinal in J.

**Lemma.** The net  $(x_{\alpha})$  has the point x as an accumulation point iff some subnet of  $(x_{\alpha})$  converges to x.

- (10) **Theorem.** X is compact iff every net in X has a convergent subnet.
- (11) **Corollary.** Let G be a topological group; let A and B be subsets of G. If A is closed in G and B is compact, then  $A \cdot B$  is closed in G.
- (12) Check that the preceding exercises remain correct if condition (2) is omitted from the definition of directed set.