



UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO.

Team Gremlins Compiler

ING. Norberto Jesús Ortigoza Márquez

Barrientos Veana Luis Mauricio. González Pacheco Leonardo Alonso. Martínez Matías Joan Eduardo. Rosales Romero Ricardo.

Failures detected for the forth delivery:

- The organization was not efficient and the goals to be achieved had to be rethought due to poor organization.
- The use of used tools was not 100% mastered and therefore there were problems in understanding the way of working.
- The implementation of all the parts of the compiler and understanding its operation caused confusion at first but the errors were debugged.

Settings for the ultimate release

- In the way of organization there was more communication with the members of the team.
- The tools for making the compiler were better mastered.
- More realistic dates and objectives were set.
- There were changes but errors could be fixed.
- It was checked that the last modifications were correct for the final delivery of the compiler project

Activity planning

Tasks	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4
Receive feedback				
from customer and				
subject matter				
expert				
Read				
documentation				
from Nora Sandler.				
Implement new				
lexing operators in				
the token list.				
Planning compiler				
improvements				
Debug the code				
and upload				
changes to GIT and				
GIT HUB.				

Latest code modifications

We're adding some boolean operators (&&, | | |) and a whole bunch of relational operators (<, | = |, etc.). The three tests whose names start with skip on failure use local

variables, which we haven't implemented yet. When you run the test suite, they should show up as NOT_IMPLEMENTED rather than FAIL in the results, and they shouldn't count toward the total number of failures. Once you've implemented local variables, these tests should pass.

We're adding eight new operators this week:

```
Logical AND &&
Logical OR | |
Equal to ==
Not equal to !=
Less than <</li>
Less than or equal to <=</li>
Greater than >
Greater than or equal to >=
```

As usual, we'll update our lexing, parsing, and code generation passes to support these operations.

Lexing

Each new operator corresponds to a new token. Here's the full list of tokens we need to support, with old tokens at the top and new tokens in bold at the bottom:

```
Open brace {
Close brace }
Open parenthesis (
Close parenthesis )
Semicolon ;
Int keyword int
Return keyword return
Identifier [a-zA-Z]\w*
Integer literal [0-9]+
Minus -
Bitwise complement ~
Logical negation !
Addition +
Multiplication *
```

```
Division /
AND &&
OR | |
Equal ==
Not Equal !=
Less than <</li>
Less than or equal <=</li>
Greater than >
Greater than or equal >=
```

We have a lot more precedence levels, which means our grammar will grow a lot. However, our parsing strategy hasn't changed at all; we'll handle our new production rules exactly the same way as the old rules for exp and term.

Parsing

Here are our all binary operators, from highest to lowest precedence¹:

- Multiplication & division (*, /)
- Addition & subtraction (+, -)
- Relational less than/greater than/less than or equal/greater than or equal $(\langle , \rangle, \langle = , \rangle =)$
- Relational equal/not equal (==, !=)
- Logical AND (&&)
- Logical OR (||)
 - We'll add a production rule for each of the last four bullet points. The new grammar is below, with changed/added rules bolded.

```
• <unary_op> ::= "!" | "~" | "-"
```

We had to rename it because $\langle exp \rangle$ now refers to logical OR expressions, which now have lowest precedence.

now you'll need <code>parse_relational_exp</code>, <code>parse_equality_exp</code>, etc. Other than handling different operators, these functions will all be identical.

And for the sake of completeness, here's our AST definition:

```
program = Program(function_declaration)

function_declaration = Function(string, statement) //string is
the function name

statement = Return(exp)

exp = BinOp(binary_operator, exp, exp)

| UnOp(unary_operator, exp)

| Constant(int)
```

This is identical to last week, except we've added more possible values of binary operator.

We can modify this slightly to implement ==:

```
<CODE FOR e1 GOES HERE>
      %eax
                   ; save value of el on the stack
push
≺CODE FOR e2 GOES HERE>
       %ecx
                     ; pop e1 from the stack into ecx - e2 is
pop
already in eax
cmpl
      %eax, %ecx ;set ZF on if e1 == e2, set it off otherwise
      $0, %eax
               ;zero out EAX (doesn't change FLAGS)
movl
sete %al
                    ;set AL register (the lower byte of EAX) to
1 iff ZF is on
```

The sete instruction is just one of a whole slew of conditional set instructions. There's also setne (set if not equal), setge (set if greater than or equal), and so on. To implement <, >, and the other relational operators, we can generate exactly the same assembly as we used for == above, just replacing sete with the appropriate conditional set instruction. Easy!

we need the sign flag (SF), which is set if the result of an operation is negative, like so:

```
movl $0, %eax ;zero out EAX
movl $2, %ecx ;ECX = 2
cmpl $3, %ecx ;compute 2 - 3, set flags
setl %al ;set AL if 2 < 3, i.e. if 2 - 3 is negative</pre>
```

Now let's talk about && and | | . I'll use & and | to indicate bitwise AND and OR, respectively.

Learnings

This project left us too many learnings, the one we value the most was collaborative work and teamwork, they are two different ways of working, but together they help the project to be carried out correctly.

Also we learned too much how to think to help a user make a code and our compiler can give him some help.

Finally we leave with a lot of knowledge and see from another point of view a code that compiles other codes and see what mistakes can be made during a code creation.

Conclusions

By understanding the latest compiler tests using local variables, it was possible to see how a short circuit is made

Also adding the new tokens, increased the list that we had previously in the lexer

Finally, we can say that it was not an easy job, it had its complications like any project, also because of the situation in which we find ourselves, the times and communication with our coworkers was more difficult, but we were able to carry out our project, now that a way out and the support of all the members was sought.

References

• https://norasandler.com/2017/12/28/Write-a-Compiler-4.html