Analytic Number Theory Problems

Alec Lau

All mistakes are to be emailed to aszlau@gmail.com. All problems written by Prof. Kannan Soundararajan and Prof. Andrew Glanville's "Multiplicative Number Theory" textbook, unless otherwise marked.

Contents

L	The	Prim	e Number Theorem	1
	1.1	Partia	d Summation	1
		1.1.1	Different Forms of the Prime Number Theorem	1
		1.1.2	Adding reciprocals	
		1.1.3	$\log N!$	Ę
		1.1.4	The Riemann Zeta Function	7
	1.2	Cheby	vshev's Elementary Estimates	8
		1.2.1	$\lim rac{\psi(x)}{x}$	8
		1.2.2	Proof of Bertrand's postulate	Ç

1 The Prime Number Theorem

1.1 Partial Summation

1.1.1 Different Forms of the Prime Number Theorem

Question 1. Given the conjecture

$$\psi(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) \sim x \tag{1}$$

where

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases}
\log p & \text{if } n = p^m \text{ for } p \text{ prime and } m \ge 1 \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$
(2)

and the conjecture

$$\pi(x) := \sum_{p \le x} 1 \sim \frac{x}{\log x} \tag{3}$$

Use partial summation to prove that (1) and (3) are equivalent and both are equivalent to the conjecture

$$\theta(x) := \sum_{p \le x} \log(p) = x + o(x) \tag{4}$$

Definition 1. Partial Summation: Given a sequence $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, set $S(t) = \sum_{k \leq t} a_k$, it is easy to conclude that

$$\sum_{n=A+1}^{B} a_n f(n) = S(B)f(B) - S(A)f(A) - \sum_{n=A}^{B-1} S(n)(f(n+1) - f(n))$$
 (5)

and, if f is continuously differentiable on [A, B], then

$$\sum_{A < n \le B} a_n f(n) = S(B) f(B) - S(A) f(A) - \int_A^B S(t) f'(t) dt$$
 (6)

Proof. We start with (3). Notice that, if we choose

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } n = p \text{ for p prime} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (7)

and $f(x) = \log x$, then

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{n \le x} a_n f(n) \tag{8}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n \le x} a_n\right) \log x - \int_2^x \left(\sum_{n \le t} a_n\right) (\log t)' dt \tag{9}$$

$$= (\sum_{p \le x} 1) \log x - \int_2^x (\sum_{p \le t} 1) \frac{1}{t} dt$$
 (10)

$$= \pi(x)\log x - \int_{2}^{x} \pi(t)\frac{1}{t}dt$$
 (11)

$$\sim \frac{x}{\log x} \log x - \int_2^x \frac{t}{t \log t} dt \tag{12}$$

$$\sim x - \int_{2}^{x} \frac{1}{\log t} dt \tag{13}$$

$$\sim x + (-li(x)) \tag{14}$$

It remains to prove that $(-li(x)) \in o(x)$. Thus we examine the asymptotic behavior of -li(x)/x. By L'Hospital's Rule, we have

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{-li(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \to \infty} -\frac{1}{\log x}$$
 (15)

Since $\log x$ diverges, we have this limit equal to 0, so (4) is true if and only if $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$. Now we move on to the conjecture in (1). We can easily see that

$$\sum_{p \le x} \log p \le \sum_{n \le x} \log n \Rightarrow \tag{16}$$

$$\theta(x) \le \psi(x) \sim \tag{17}$$

$$x + o(x) \le \psi(x) \tag{18}$$

Next we observe that

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) = \sum_{p \le x} \log p \sum_{k \le \log_p x} 1 = \sum_{p \le x} \log p \left[\frac{\log x}{\log p} \right] \le \sum_{p \le x} \log p \frac{\log x}{\log p} = \sum_{p \le x} \log x \Rightarrow (19)$$

$$\psi(x) \le \pi(x) \log x \tag{20}$$

which, assuming conjecture (3), we then deduce

$$\psi(x) \le \frac{x}{\log x} \log x = x \tag{21}$$

Thus we have

$$\theta \le \psi(x) \le x \tag{22}$$

$$x - li(x) \le \psi(x) \le x \tag{23}$$

using Conjecture (4). Thus we have, assuming Conjecture (3) and Conjecture (4), that

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) \sim x \tag{24}$$

1.1.2 Adding reciprocals

Note: my version of the paper has $\sum_{n\leq x}^{N} \frac{1}{N}$. I'm pretty sure the denominator should be n, as that sum is just 1.

Question 2. Prove that for any integer $N \ge 1$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n} = \log N + 1 - \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\}}{t^{2}} dt$$
 (25)

3

Deduce that, for any real $x \ge 1$,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + \gamma + O(\frac{1}{x}) \tag{26}$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant

$$\gamma = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n} - \log N \right) = 1 - \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt$$
 (27)

Note that, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, [t] is the integral part of t, and $\{t\}$ is the rest of t.

Proof. We use partial summation again. Let $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ and $a_n = 1$. Thus, by partial summation, we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{n} = [N] \frac{1}{N} + \log 1 + \int_{1}^{N} t \frac{1}{t^{2}} dt$$
 (28)

$$= [N] \frac{1}{N} + \int_{1}^{N} \frac{1}{t^2} (t - \{t\}) dt$$
 (29)

$$=1+\int_{1}^{N}\frac{t}{t^{2}}dt-\int_{1}^{N}\frac{\{t\}}{t^{2}}dt\tag{30}$$

$$= 1 + \log N - \log 1 - \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \tag{31}$$

$$= \log N + 1 - \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\}}{t^{2}} dt \tag{32}$$

For any real x, we have, through partial summation,

$$\sum_{n \le r} \frac{1}{n} = [N] \frac{1}{N} + \int_{1}^{N} t \frac{1}{t^{2}} dt \tag{33}$$

$$= \frac{x - \{x\}}{x} + \log N - \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt$$
 (34)

$$= \log N + 1 - \frac{\{x\}}{x} + \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt - \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt$$
 (35)

$$= \log N + \gamma - \frac{\{x\}}{x} + \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt$$
 (36)

It remains to prove that $\frac{\{x\}}{x}$ and $\int_N^\infty \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt$ are in $O(\frac{1}{x})$. Starting with the former, we see that since $\{x\} < 1$, we have that $|\frac{\{x\}}{x}| < \frac{1}{x}$, so $\frac{\{x\}}{x} \in O(\frac{1}{x})$. Similarly, we have

$$\left| \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^2} dt \right| \le \int_{N}^{\infty} |\{t\}| \left| \frac{1}{t^2} \right| dt \le \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2} dt \in O(\frac{1}{x})$$
 (37)

Thus we conclude

$$\sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{n} = \log x + \gamma + O(\frac{1}{x}) \tag{38}$$

1.1.3 $\log N!$

Question 3. For an integer $N \geq 1$, show that

$$\log N! = N \log N - N + 1 + \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt$$
 (39)

Using that $\int_1^x (\{t\} - 1/2)dt = (\{x\}^2 - \{x\})/2$, show that

$$\int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2} \log N - \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt \tag{40}$$

Conclude that $N! \sim C\sqrt{N}(N/e)^N$, where you can take as fact that

$$C = \exp(1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt) = \sqrt{2\pi}$$
 (41)

Proof. From rules of logarithms, we have $\log N! = \log(N(N-1)...(2)(1)) = \log N + \log(N-1) + ... + \log 2 + \log 1$. We use partial summation once again. Let $a_n = 1$, and $f(x) = \log x$. From the partial summation formula given by (6), we have

$$\log N! = N \log N - 0 - \int_{1}^{N} (\sum_{n \le t} 1) \frac{dt}{t}$$
 (42)

$$= N\log N - \int_{1}^{N} \frac{[t]}{t} dt \tag{43}$$

$$= N \log N - \int_{1}^{N} \frac{t - \{t\}}{t} dt \tag{44}$$

$$= N \log N - \int_{1}^{N} dt + \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt$$
 (45)

$$= N \log N - N + 1 + \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt$$
 (46)

As for the next part, we notice (38):

$$\int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\}}{t} dt = \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}{t} dt \tag{47}$$

$$= \int_{1}^{N} \frac{1}{t} (\{t\} - \frac{1}{2}) dt + \int_{1}^{N} \frac{1}{2t} dt$$
 (48)

$$= \frac{1}{t} \frac{\{t\}^2 - \{t\}}{2} \Big|_1^N - \int_1^N \frac{1}{2} \frac{\{t\}^2 - \{t\}}{-t^2} dt + \frac{1}{2} \log N + \frac{1}{2} \log 1$$
 (49)

$$= 0 + \int_{1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\{t\}^{2} - \{t\}}{t^{2}} dt + \frac{1}{2} \log N$$
 (50)

$$= \frac{1}{2}\log N - \int_{1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt \tag{51}$$

Thus we have

$$\log N! = N \log N - N + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log N - \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt$$
 (52)

$$= N \log N - N + 1 + \frac{1}{2} \log N - \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt$$
 (53)

$$= N \log N - N + \frac{1}{2} \log N + \log C + \frac{1}{2} \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt$$
 (54)

Taking the exponent of both sides, we get

$$N! = N^{N} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{N}} \sqrt{N} \cdot C\sqrt{e}^{\int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\} - \{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt}$$
 (55)

Now we examine the integral in the exponent. First we split it up.

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^{2}} dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt \le \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}}{t^{2}} dt \right| - \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{N}^{\infty} \frac{\{t\}^{2}}{t^{2}} dt \right| \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{N}^{\infty} |\{t\}| \left| \frac{1}{t^{2}} |dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{N}^{\infty} |\{t\}^{2}| \frac{1}{t^{2}} dt \right|$$

$$(56)$$

It is easy to see that the limit as N approaches infinity the value of this integral converges to 0, so

$$N! \sim N^N \cdot \frac{1}{e^N} \sqrt{N} \cdot C\sqrt{e}^0 \Rightarrow$$
 (57)

$$N! \sim C\sqrt{N}(N/e)^N \tag{58}$$

Definition 2. The Riemann Zeta Function is given by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_{p} (1 - \frac{1}{p^s})^{-1}$$
(59)

1.1.4 The Riemann Zeta Function

Note: My copy of the problem has a later part. In another copy of the book, this part is labeled as a problem which requires complex analysis, something I haven't learned yet, so I'm skipping that for now.

Question 4. Prove that for Re(s)>1,

$$\zeta(s) = s \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{[y]}{y^{s+1}} dy = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \tag{60}$$

Proof. We use partial summation again. We see that, for $a_n = 1, f(x) = \frac{1}{x^s}$, we have $\zeta(s) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n f(n)$, so, using the usual partial summation formula,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_n f(n) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{1}^{N} a_n f(n)$$
(61)

$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[[N] \frac{1}{N^s} - [1] \frac{1}{1^s} - \int_1^N [y] \frac{1}{y^s} dy \right]$$
 (62)

$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[[N] \frac{1}{N^s} - [1] \frac{1}{1^s} - [N] \frac{1}{N^s} + [1] \frac{1}{1^s} + s \int_1^N [y] \frac{1}{y^{s+1}} dy \right]$$
 (63)

$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[s \int_{1}^{N} [y] \frac{1}{y^{s}} dy \right] \tag{64}$$

$$=s\int_{1}^{\infty} [y]\frac{1}{y^{s}}dy\tag{65}$$

We write this final integral in a different way:

$$s \int_{1}^{\infty} [y] \frac{1}{y^{s}} dy = \lim_{N \to \infty} s \int_{1}^{N} \frac{y - \{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \tag{66}$$

$$= s \int_{1}^{N} \frac{y}{y^{s+1}} dy - s \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \tag{67}$$

$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[s \int_{1}^{N} \frac{1}{y^{s}} dt - s \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \right]$$
 (68)

$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[-s \frac{1}{s-1} \left(\frac{1}{t^{s-1}} \right|_{1}^{N} \right) - s \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dy \right]$$
 (69)

$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[-\frac{s}{s-1} \left(\frac{1}{N^{s-1}} - \frac{1}{1^{s-1}} \right) - s \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dt \right]$$
 (70)

Since Re(s) > 1, we have Re(s) - 1 > 0, so, evaluating the limit, we get that this expression is equivalent to

$$\frac{-s}{s-1}(0-1) - s \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dt = \frac{s}{s-1} - s \int_{1}^{N} \frac{\{y\}}{y^{s+1}} dt$$
 (71)

1.2 Chebyshev's Elementary Estimates

1.2.1 $\lim \frac{\psi(x)}{x}$

Question 5. Prove that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \sup \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \ge 1 \ge \lim_{x \to \infty} \inf \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \tag{72}$$

so that if $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{x}$ exists, it must be equal to 1.

Note that $\log n = \sum_{d|n} \Lambda(d)$, so

$$\sum_{n < x} \log n = \sum_{n \le x} \sum_{n = dk} \Lambda(d) = \sum_{k = 1}^{\infty} \psi(\frac{x}{k})$$
(73)

and, by Stirling's Formula, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(\frac{x}{k}) = x \log x - x + O(\log x) \tag{74}$$

Proof. We start with $\limsup \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \ge 1$. Suppose not. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for all $x > x_0$ for some $x_0 \ge 2$, $\frac{\psi(x)}{x} \le (1 - \epsilon)$. Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \le \sum_{k=1}^{x/x_0} \psi(x/k) + \sum_{x/x_0 \le k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k)$$
 (75)

$$x \log x - x + O(\log x) \le (1 - \epsilon) x \sum_{k=1}^{x/x_0} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{x/x_0 < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k)$$
 (76)

$$x \log x - x + O(\log x) \le (1 - \epsilon)x(\log x - \log x_0 + \gamma + O(\frac{1}{x})) + \sum_{x/x_0 < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k)$$
 (77)

$$\epsilon x \log x + O(x) \le \sum_{x/x_0 < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \le \psi(x_0)(x - x_0) \tag{78}$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. Since the LHS is O(xlogx) and the RHS is O(x), this cannot be true for all $x > x_0$. Thus $\lim_{x \to \infty} \sup \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \ge 1$.

We follow the same approach as with lim sup. We suppose by contradiction that there exists

 $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for all $x > x_0$ for some $x_0 \ge 2$, such that $\frac{\psi(x)}{x} \ge (1 + \epsilon)$. We then have

$$(1+\epsilon)x\sum_{k=1}^{x/x_0} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{|x/x_0| < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(x/k)$$
 (79)

$$(1+\epsilon)x[\log\frac{x}{x_0} + \gamma + O(\frac{1}{x})] + \sum_{|x/x_0| < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \le x \log x - x + O(\log x)$$
(80)

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{|x/x_0| < k}^{\infty} \psi(x/k) \le -\epsilon \log x - 1 + (1+\epsilon) \log x_0 - (1+\epsilon)\gamma \tag{81}$$

Since $\psi(x)$ is strictly nonnegative for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, this cannot be true for all $x > x_0$. Thus

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \sup \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \ge 1 \ge \lim_{x \to \infty} \inf \frac{\psi(x)}{x} \tag{82}$$

1.2.2 Proof of Bertrand's postulate

Question 6. Given that

$$\psi(2x) - \psi(x) + \psi(2x/3) \ge x \log 4 + O(\log x)$$

Proof that there exists a prime between N and 2N for large N.

It is given to us that $\psi(x) \leq x \log 4 + O((\log x)^2)$. (To see this, just subtract $\psi(2x) - \psi(x)$ using the approximation given in the previous problem). Therefore, we get that $\pi(x) \leq (\log 4 + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x}$

Proof. First we rearrange terms and take the given bound, resulting in

$$\psi(2x) - \psi(x) \ge x \log 4 - \psi(2x/3) + O(\log x) \Rightarrow \tag{83}$$

$$\psi(2x) - \psi(x) \ge \frac{1}{3}x\log 4 + O(\log x)$$
 (84)

Notice that $\psi(x) = \sum_{p \le x} \log p \lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor$. Then this inequality becomes

$$\sum_{p \le 2x} \log p \lfloor \frac{\log 2x}{\log p} \rfloor - \sum_{p \le x} \log p \lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p} \rfloor \ge \frac{1}{3} x \log 4 + O(\log x)$$
 (85)

The LHS is less than or equal to

$$\sum_{p \le 2x} \log 2x - \sum_{p \le x} \log x \ge \frac{1}{3} x \log 4 + O(\log x)$$
$$[\pi(2x) - \pi(x)] \log x + \pi(2x) \log 2 \ge \frac{1}{3} x \log 4 + O(\log x)$$
$$\pi(2x) - \pi(x) \ge \frac{x}{3 \log x} \log 4 - \pi(2x) \frac{\log 2}{\log x} + O(1)$$
$$\pi(2x) - \pi(x) \ge \frac{2x}{3} \frac{\log 2}{\log x} - \pi(2x) \frac{\log 2}{\log x} + O(1)$$

Thus we have that there exists a prime number between 2x and x if $\pi(2x) < \frac{2x}{3}$ for large x. As we bounded $\pi(x) \leq (\log 4 + o(1)) \frac{x}{\log x} < \frac{x}{3}$, this is the case for large enough x.