

FIT3077 - Sprint 3

Alex Ung, Romal Patel, Hirun Hettigoda



Table of Contents

Table of Contents.....	2
Code Review.....	3
Assessment Criteria.....	3
Romal Design Review.....	4
Hirun Design Review.....	5
Alex Design Review.....	5
Object Oriented Design.....	6
CRC Cards.....	6
Class Diagram (UML).....	6
Tech-based Software Prototype.....	6
Instructions for how to build and run the executable.....	6
Video Demonstration.....	6

Code Review

Assessment Criteria

Completeness of solution - [functional completeness as well as correctness]

Is the rationale appropriate? [functional appropriateness]

Understandability of the solution [appropriateness recognisability]

Extensibility [modifiability]

Quality of written source code (coding standards, reliance on case analysis and/or down-casts) [maintainability]

Aesthetics (how good is the UI)

Criteria	0 - Unsatisfactory	1 - Needs Improvement	2 - Satisfactory	3 - Very Good	4 - Excellent
Functional Completeness	Key functionalities are mostly absent or incorrectly implemented.	Some functionalities are present but several key aspects are flawed or missing.	Basic functionalities are present but some are missing or flawed.	Most key functionalities are covered and correctly implemented.	All key functionalities are comprehensively covered and correctly implemented.
Functional Appropriateness	Solution direction lacks clear rationale or is inappropriate for the project goals.	Solution somewhat aligns with project goals but significant adjustments needed.	Solution direction has a basic rationale that aligns somewhat with project goals.	Solution direction is well-reasoned and aligns well with project goals.	Solution direction is excellently reasoned and perfectly aligns with project goals.

Appropriateness Recognisability	Solution direction is unclear or confusing, making it hard to understand.	Solution is somewhat understandable but lacks clarity in important areas.	Solution direction is understandable but could be clearer or more intuitive.	Solution direction is clear and well-understood by stakeholders.	Solution direction is exceptionally clear and easily understood by all stakeholders.
Modifiability	Solution is rigid and difficult to modify for future extensions.	Modification is possible but requires significant effort.	Solution allows for some modification but with considerable effort.	Solution is fairly easy to modify and adapt for future extensions.	Solution is designed with excellent foresight, making it very easy to extend and modify.
Maintainability	Code is poorly written with no adherence to coding standards; heavy reliance on case analysis and down-casts.	Some adherence to standards, but significant issues in code quality.	Code mostly adheres to standards with some reliance on case analysis and down-casts.	Code is well-written with minor issues; minimal reliance on case analysis and down-casts.	Code is exemplary, fully adheres to coding standards and avoids unnecessary complexities.
User Engagement	UI is poorly designed, not engaging, and hard to use.	UI is functional but lacks appeal and minimal engagement.	UI design is functional but lacks visual appeal or user engagement.	UI is visually appealing and engages users effectively.	UI is exceptionally designed, highly engaging, and enhances user experience.

Romal Design Review

Referring to the Assessment Criteria outlined above, Romal's tech-based prototype has been assessed as the following:

Functional Completeness - Very Good (3)

- Romal's implementation demonstrates a complete board setup of the game in which the majority of the functionalities are met, with only a few functionalities not present resulting in a score of 3. The game board has 24 squares/panels around the board in which they are split into their respective volcano cards that are randomised. Specifically going into his chosen functionality the 16 dragon cards are placed in the middle of the board in which they can flip up and down as well as randomise representing a perfect implementation for his chosen section. There are also 4 caves illustrated on the outside of volcano cards which can also be randomised as well as two dragon tokens on the caves which is great. In terms of the board creation and his chosen functionality the functional completeness would result in an excellent score, however taking into account all key functionalities like switch player turn or moving player has not yet been implemented.

Functional Appropriateness - Excellent (4)

- The implementation demonstrates a great start to the game which can be further extended upon. Knowing that creatures are used all around the board (volcano cards, caves, dragon cards) Romal decided to use an abstract Creature class in which other creatures can be extended upon even different types of creatures like PirateDragon. Using his chosen functionality of flipping the dragon card it is illustrated further how going by this approach was a good decision. In the future there may be certain changes to creatures which can be implemented in a respectable manner due to his approach. The caves also take an abstract approach. As of now there may have been a simpler approach since the cave's main attribute is the position, however this approach opens up goals which can be achieved later on in the game. Additionally the game follows all the rules with certain design patterns like singleton also implemented when running the game which is another smart approach as certain factors should have only one instance.

Appropriateness Recognisability: Excellent (4)

- Romal's implementation follows great recognisability. All the code represented is very easy to understand which is further expressed through multiple comments, the structure of the code and naming conventions. Certain files are also placed in specific packages making it easy to navigate. Due to this the solution would be easy to understand from all stakeholders perspectives creating an excellent score.

Modifiability: Excellent (4)

- Since Romal has used abstract classes for the majority of his implementation as well as splitting up certain methods in other classes like flip() in the DragonCard class the code can easily be modified. If the addition of other classes follow the procedure he has taken so far whilst using the abstract classes created it is definitely easily modifiable.

Maintainability: Very Good (3)

- Majority of Romal's implementation is very good in which the code is handled with proper standards and avoids complexities. However for the volcano card creation and placement on the board a function like `setupVolcanoCard()` takes into account multiple different input parameters which may not be ideal in an implementation and this project.

User Engagement: Excellent (4)

- In terms of Romal's user engagement I would say it's excellent. It is very engaging to the user and the design is great with the way everything is laid out. There is also a great use of images which aligns to the game which is being created. Certain images are also specifically set for certain purposes for example the volcano card images, the image before a dragon card is flipped etc. There are also little details like the brown background colour for the grid the dragon cards are placed in which matches the colour of the image before the dragon card is flipped. The dragon card can also be flipped up and down in a seamless manner representing the image again on the other side when flipped back down which is very good.

Hirun Design Review

Referring to the Assessment Criteria outlined above, Alex's tech-based prototype has been assessed as the following:

1. Functional Completeness:

- Needs Improvement(1): The implementation includes a baseline board setup visually, as there are 24 cards, 4 caves and 16 dragon cards present. And each dragon card position is randomised. The chosen functionality is implemented where each dragon card position is randomised and can be flipped over to reveal a creature. Cave creatures are randomised which is good. However, some improvements need to be made in regards to the ability to unflip the dragon cards, showing creatures on the squares of the volcano cards as well as the randomisation of the board.

2. Functional Appropriateness:

- Very Good (3): The code logically follows the game's rules and objectives as detailed in the game manual. The solution's direction seems appropriate for simulating the board game environment, though some minor improvements could enhance the integration of game components.

3. Appropriateness Recognisability:

- Very Good (3): The source code is structured in a way that it is easy to follow what class does what which makes it easy to understand where Hirun wants to go in terms of his solution direction. His class and method names are descriptive enough to understand their role for the game, making the codebase relatively easy to navigate.

4. Modifiability:

- Very Good (3): The current code in regards to the flipping dragon cards game functionality is easy to modify as the solution is simple with few dependencies which makes it easy to for example add new types of cards or game features. The separation into different classes for handling specific game elements like cards and board setup supports extensibility. There could be some improvements to the modifiability by not hard coding the showing of the board

5. Maintainability:

- Very Good (3): The code is well-organised, with clear separation of responsibilities of each class as well as good separation of responsibilities with the functions related to each class which facilitates maintenance. However, some sections could benefit from additional comments (file headers, function headers) to explain complex logic or decisions.

6. User Engagement (Aesthetics of the User Interface):

- Satisfactory (2): The user interface, based on the description of the 'BoardPanel' class, utilises basic Java Swing components. The visuals are most definitely functional, the aesthetic appeal isn't, and the interface could be enhanced to improve user engagement, such as more sophisticated graphics or interactive elements.

Summary:

The code effectively implements the fundamental mechanics of the "Fiery Dragons" game, adhering well to the game's rules and providing a functional user interface. While functionally complete and maintainable, there's room for enhancing the user interface and adding comprehensive documentation to boost understandability and ease of future modifications.

Alex Design Review

Referring to the Assessment Criteria outlined above, Alex's tech-based prototype has been assessed as the following:

Functional Completeness - 3 (Very Good):

In regards to the functional completeness of Alex's tech-based prototype, he has been awarded 'Excellent' for being able to implement close to everything that was required in Sprint 2. By testing and observing the final sprint 2 executable, it can be acknowledged that all cards: Volcano Cards, Dragon Cards, Caves are implemented correctly and work together coherently. Volcano Cards are randomised correctly and the Caves are attached to the correct creature and Volcano Card as well. Furthermore, All Dragon Tokens (players) are added onto the board and also have the ability to move forward and backwards (1-3 steps) seamlessly as per Alex's chosen functionality. The only reason Alex was not given an Excellent is due to the fact that his Dragon Cards were not randomised onto the board. Other than that, his functional completeness is near perfect.

Functional Appropriateness - 4 (Excellent):

Commenting on functional appropriateness, Alex's tech-based prototype is appropriately implemented based on the goal of the game and future extensions. Adding classes such as 'PlayerManager' and 'MovementManager' proves that the prototype efficiently manages the state of the game, handling player turns, movement of tokens and so on. This aligns with the project's goal, and will definitely ease the progression in Sprint 3.

Functional Recognisability - 4 (Excellent):

Observing the code, I can easily understand what each class's and method's purpose is as he has derived clear and informative comments for the reader. Each complex piece of code also has its own comment to outline the goal and purpose of that line making it easier for readers to understand why that line is so important. To add, each variable is carefully named so that it reflects how the variable is being used and why it exists. This makes it easy for readers and future collaborators to work with the pre-existing system. Overall, Alex's code documentation and naming conventions allows other developers to easily and quickly understand the purpose and interconnectedness of the prototype.

Modifiability - 3 (Very Good):

In terms of modifiability,

Object Oriented Design

CRC Cards

Class Diagram (UML)

Tech-based Software Prototype

Instructions for how to build and run the executable

Video Demonstration

There should be instructions on how to submit this video in the brief/edstem

For the demonstration we'll need to demonstrate these scenarios

- Using a number of game situations, demonstrate that your implementation correctly follows the rules of the game. You must illustrate at a minimum
 - an 'initial' board at the beginning of the game,
 - a player flips a Dragon card,
 - the dragon token moves correctly based on the last uncovered Dragon card,
 - a player uncovers another Dragon card if the turn is not over yet,
 - once a turn is over, flip all the Dragon cards face down for next player's turn,
 - a specific situation where a Dragon Pirate card is uncovered or a different animal (to the animal shown on the square where your dragon stands) is uncovered or your dragon would land on an occupied square, and
 - the end of the game.