Deformations of space curves lying on a del Pezzo surface

Hirokazu Nasu

Tokai University

June 12, 2025
2025 Algebraic Geometry Conference in Jeonju Hanok Village

Today's slide

Plan of Talk

1. Hilbert schemes and deformation of flags
2. Kleppe-Ellia conjecture and its generalization (Main result) (cf. arXiv:2501.15788)
3. Applications and Examples

§1 Hilbert schemes and deformation of flags

the Hilbert scheme

Given a projective scheme X, and given Hilbert polynomial P,

$$\operatorname{Hilb}_P X = \left\{ C \subset X \;\middle|\; \text{closed subscheme of } P(C) = P \right\}$$

is called the Hilbert scheme of X.The Hilbert scheme has the following nice properties:

- fine moduli scheme, i.e. it has a universal family $\mathscr{C} \subset X \times \operatorname{Hilb}_P X$ such that every deformation of C in X derived from $\mathscr{C}$
- projective ($\operatorname{Hilb}_P X \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}$)
- existence of nice deformation theories, e.g., if C is a loc. c.i., then $H^0(N_{C/X})$ and $H^1(N_{C/X})$ resp. represent the tangent and obstruction spaces at $[C]$.

while it also has “not so nice” properties: e.g.

- it may have bad singularities (e.g. non-reduced components),
- may be highly reducible (for some P).

Dimension of Hilb X

For simplicity, we assume C is a c.i. in X. Then

$$\underbrace{h^0(C, N_{C/X}) - h^1(C, N_{C/X})}_{\text{exp.dim.}(=\chi(N_{C/X}) \text{ if } C \text{ is a curve})} \leq \dim_{[C]} \operatorname{Hilb} X \leq \underbrace{h^0(C, N_{C/X})}_{\text{tangential dimension}} .$$

However, when $H^1(N_{C/X}) \neq 0$, it is hard to determine the dimension of $\operatorname{Hilb} X$ at $[C]$ (depending on whether C is obstructed or not).
To resolve this problem, we take an intermediate variety $C \subset S \subset X$ and use Hilbert-flag scheme

$$\operatorname{HF} X = \left\{(C, S) \,\middle|\, C \subset S \subset X\right\} \subset \operatorname{Hilb} X \times \operatorname{Hilb} X.$$

Let

$$N_{(C,S)} := N_{C/X} \times_{N_{S/X}\big|_C} N_{S/X}$$

be the normal sheaf of (C, S) in X.

Naive question

Then

$$H^1(N_{(C,S)/X}) = 0 \Longrightarrow \mathrm{HF}\, X \text{ is nonsingular at } (C,S)$$

and if moreover $H^i(N_{(C,S)/X}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, then $\mathrm{HF}\, X$ is of expected dimension

$$\chi(N_{(C,S)/X}) = \chi(N_{C/S}) + \chi(N_{S/X}).$$

Let $\mathcal{W}_{C,S}$ be an irreducible component passing through (C,S), and $pr_1 : \mathrm{HF}\, X \to \mathrm{Hilb}\, X$, $(C',S') \mapsto [C']$, the 1st projection.

Question 1

When is the image $pr_1(\mathcal{W}_{C,S})$ of $\mathcal{W}_{C,S}$ an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Hilb}\, X$?

Stable degeneration

Let $C \subset S \subset X$ a sequence of closed subvarieties with $H^i(N_{(C,S)/X}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

Definition 2

We say C is stably degenerate or stably contained in S, if for every small global deformation C' of C in X, there exists a global deformation S' of S in X such that $S' \subset C'$.

We have the following implications:

$$(1) \Longrightarrow (2) \Longrightarrow (3)$$

where

1. $H^1(\mathcal{I}_{C/S} \otimes_S N_{S/X}) = 0.$
2. $pr_1 : \mathrm{HF}\, X \to \mathrm{Hilb}\, X$, $(C', S') \mapsto [C']$ is smooth at (C, S).
3. C is stably degenerate and $pr_1(\mathcal{W}_{C,S})$ is a component of $\mathrm{Hilb}\, X$.

The implication "(2) $\Longrightarrow$ (3)" follows from the fact that $pr'_1 = pr_1\big|_{\mathcal{W}_{C,S}}$ is locally surjective at $[C]$.

§2 Kleppe-Ellia conjecture and its generalization

Mumford's example and Kleppe's generalization

Given a projective scheme, we denote by $\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} X$ the Hilbert scheme of smooth connected curves in X, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} X = \left\{ C \subset X \;\middle|\; C\text{: smooth connected curve} \right\}.$$

Theorem 3 (Mumford'62, a pathology)

$\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc} \mathbb{P}^3$ contains a generically non-reduced component.

Every its general member, i.e., a smooth curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$, was contained in a smooth cubic surface $S_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$.
Later, Kleppe['87] generalized this example systematically by using the cooordinate of $(a; b_1, \dots, b_6)$ of $[C]$ in $\mathrm{Pic}\, S_3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^7$:

$$C \sim a\mathbf{l} - \sum_{i=1}^{6} b_i \mathbf{e}_i \qquad \longleftrightarrow \qquad (a; b_1, \dots, b_6)$$

where $l = [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)]$ and $\mathbf{e}_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, 6$) are 6 exceptional curves.

Kleppe-Ellia conjecture

He proposed a conjecture, which can be reformulated as follows:

Conjecture (Kleppe'87, modified by Ellia'87)

Let $C \subset S_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a smooth curve of degree $d \geq 14$ and genus g lying on a smooth cubic surface $S_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$. Then C is *stably degenerate* if

1. $\chi(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) \geq 1$ ($\Leftrightarrow g \geq 3d - 18$),
2. C is linearly normal, and
3. C is general in $[C] \in \operatorname{Pic} S_3$.

K-E conj. is non-trivial only if C is not 3-normal ($\Leftrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{I}_C(3)) \neq 0$).In fact, otherwise, $pr_1 : \operatorname{HF} \mathbb{P}^3 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hilb} \mathbb{P}^3$ is smooth at (C, S) by

$$H^0(N_{(C,S)/\mathbb{P}^3}) \xrightarrow{p_1} H^0(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^3}) \longrightarrow \underbrace{H^1(N_{S/\mathbb{P}^3}(-C))}_{\simeq H^1(\mathcal{I}_C(3))} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, the first two assumptions are necessary for the conclusion.

Some remarks

K-E conjecture is Known to be true if

- C is not 3-normal and $g >> d$ (Kleppe'87 and Ellia'87), or
- C is 2-normal, i.e. $H^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 0$ (N'23)

Del Pezzo surfaces

Definition 4

A smooth projective surface S is called del Pezzo if $-K_S$ is ample.

Every del Pezzo surface S is isomorphic to a blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ (at $9-n$ points) or $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.The number $n = (-K_S)^2$ is called the *degree* of S, and $1 \le n \le 9$.

Example 1 (del Pezzo surfaces)

degree n	a description of S_n	$-K_S$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	
3	cubic surface $S_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$	
4	quartic c.i. $S_{2,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$	
5	lin. section $[\mathrm{Gr}(2,5) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^9] \cap \mathbb{L}^{(5)}$	v.a.
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	

Why on del Pezzo?

Proposition 5 (smoothness of flag-scheme)

Let $C \subset S = S_n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth curve of degree d and genus g lying on a del Pezzo surface S_n $(n \geq 3)$.Then the Hilbert-flag scheme $\mathrm{HF}\,\mathbb{P}^n$ is nonsingular at $(C, S)$of expected dimension

$$\chi(N_{(C,S)/\mathbb{P}^n}) = d + g + n^2 + 9,$$

and $H^i(N_{(C,S)/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

In fact, $H^i(N_{C/S}) = H^i(N_{S/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$ for $i > 0$,which implies $C \subset S$ and $S \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ have nice (unobstructed) deformations and hence so does (C, S) in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Generalized Kleppe-Ellia conjecture

Toward a generalization, we study the deformations of space curves lying on a del Pezzo surface of degree.

Conjecture (generalized K-E conj.)

Let $C \subset S_n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth connected curve lying on a smooth del Pezzo surface $S_n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $n \geq 3$. Then C is *stably degenerate* if

1. $\chi(N_{S/\mathbb{P}^n}(-C)) \geq 0$,
2. C is linearly normal,
3. $\deg(C) > 9$ for $n = 3$ and $\deg(C) > 2n$ for $n \geq 4$, and C is general in $[C] \in \operatorname{Pic} S_n$.

Remark 6

(1) $\Longleftrightarrow \chi(N_{(C,S)/\mathbb{P}^n}) \geq \chi(N_{C/\mathbb{P}^n})$.

Main result

We focus on the case $n = 4$, i.e. $S \simeq S_4$ is a smooth complete intersection

$$S_{2,2} = (2) \cap (2) \subset \mathbb{P}^4.$$

We see that $N_{S/\mathbb{P}^4} \simeq \mathcal{O}_S(2)^{\oplus 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_S(-2K_S)^{\oplus 2}$and hence

$$H^1(N_{S/\mathbb{P}^4}(-C)) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-C-2K_S))^{\oplus 2} \simeq H^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2))^{\oplus 2}.$$

Then it follows from a general theory that C is stably degenerate if C is 2-normal.

Theorem 7 (N'25)

Let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a smooth connected curve of degree $d > 8$ contained in a smooth c.i. $S = S_{2,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Then

1. If C is 2-normal, then C is unobstructed and stably degenerate.
2. If $\mathcal{O}_C(2)$ is non-special and C is not 2-normal, then C is unobstructed, but not stably degenerate.
3. If $h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(2)) \geq h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$ and C is general in $[C] \in \mathrm{Pic}\, S$, then C is obstructed and stably degenerate.

Main result (continued)

C is 2-normal (resp. $\mathcal{O}_C(2)$ is nonspecial) if (d, g) belongs to the region [I] (resp. [IV] except the 6 pairs corresponding to $\circ$).



$$\chi(N_{S/\mathbb{P}^4}(-C)) \geq 0$$
$$\Updownarrow$$
$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(2)) \geq h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2))$$
$$\Updownarrow$$
$$g \geq 2d - 12.$$

Applications

Corollary 8

Generalized K-E conjecture holds to be true, if $n = 4$ and $h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 1$.

Let $C \subset S = S_{2,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be as in Theorem 7, and $\mathcal{W}_{C,S}$ the irreducible component of $\mathbf{HF}\,\mathbb{P}^4$ passing through (C, S),and put

$$W_{C,S} := pr_1(\mathcal{W}_{C,S}) \cap \mathbf{Hilb}^{sc}\,\mathbb{P}^4.$$

Then we have the following 3 possibilities for $W_{C,S}$:

degeneration of C	Is C obstructed?	$W_{C,S} \subset \mathbf{Hilb}^{sc}\,\mathbb{P}^4$
stable	NO	gen.smooth component
stable	YES	gen.non-reduced component
unstable	YES/NO	not a component

Analogy of Mumford's example

Example 2

$\mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\,\mathbb{P}^4$ contains a generically non-reduced irreducible component W whose general member C satisfies

1. C is contained in a smooth c.i. $S = S_{2,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$,
2. there exists a line E on S such that C belongs to a complete linear system $\Lambda := |-3K_S + 2E|$ ($\simeq \mathbb{P}^{29}$) on S, and
3. $\dim W = 55$, $h^0(C, N_{C/\mathbb{P}^4}) = 57$, and C is of degree 14 and genus 16.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
C & \in & W^{(55)} & \subset & \mathrm{Hilb}^{sc}\,\mathbb{P}^4 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \mathbb{P}^{29}\text{-b'dle}} & & \\
(E,S) & \in & U^{(26)} & \subset & G \times G(2, H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(2))) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle G(2,12)\text{-b'dle}} & & \downarrow \\
E & \in & G(2,5)^{(6)} & = & \{\text{lines in } \mathbb{P}^4\}.
\end{array}$$

Curves on $S_{2,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ (analogy of Mumford's ex.)



$\varepsilon \downarrow$

$$S_{2,2} = \mathrm{Bl}_{p_1,\ldots,p_5}\mathbf{P}^2$$
$$[C] = (9; 3, 3, 3, 3, 1) \in \mathrm{Pic} S_{2,2} = \mathbf{Z}^6$$
$$d = 3 \cdot 9 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 1 = 14$$
$$g = \frac{(9-1)(9-2)}{2} - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 = 16$$



Standard coordinate

Let D be a divisor on a smooth c.i. $S_{2,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$, i.e., a quartic del Pezzo surface.Then there exists a suitable blow-up $\varepsilon : S_{2,2} \to \mathbb{P}^2$ such that

$$[D] = a - \sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i \mathbf{e}_i,$$

in $\operatorname{Pic} S_{2,2} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^6$,where $\mathbf{l} = [\varepsilon^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)]$and e_i's are 5 exceptionals, and such that

$$b_1 \geq \cdots \geq b_5 \quad \text{and} \quad a \geq b_1 + b_2 + b_3. \tag{1}$$

Then the set of 6-tuples $(a; b_1, \ldots, b_5)$ of integers is called standard coordinate of $[D]$ in $\operatorname{Pic} S_{2,2}$.

A criterion

Theorem 9 (N'25)

Let $C \subset S_{2,2} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ be a smooth curve of degree $d \geq 10$ of genus $g \geq 2d - 12$, contained in a smooth c.i. $S_{2,2}$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$.Let $(a; b_1, \ldots, b_5)$ be the standard coordinate of $[C]$ in $\mathrm{Pic}\, S_{2,2} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^6$. Then

1. If $b_5 \geq 2$, then C is unobstructed and stably degenerate.
2. If $b_5 = 1$ and $b_4 \geq 2$. then C is obstructed and stably degenerate.
3. If $b_5 = 0$, then C is not stably degenerate.

Examples

Table: curves on $S_{2,2}$ and stable degeneration

(d, g)	$(a; b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5)$	$W(a; b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5)$
$(14, 16)$	$(8; 2, 2, 2, 2, 2)$	unobstructed and stab.degenerate
$(14, 16)$	$(9; 4, 3, 2, 2, 2)$	unobstructed and stab.degenerate
$(14, 16)$	$(9; 3, 3, 3, 3, 1)$	obstructed and stab.degenerate
$(15, 18)$	$(9; 4, 2, 2, 2, 2)$	unobstructed and stab.degenerate
$(15, 18)$	$(9; 3, 3, 3, 2, 1)$	obstructed and stab.degenerate
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$(18, 24)$	$(9; 2, 2, 2, 2, 1)$	obstructed and stab.degenerate
$(18, 24)$	$(10; 4, 3, 3, 1, 1)$	unknown $(h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 2)$
$(18, 24)$	$(10; 3, 3, 3, 3, 0)$	not stab.degenerate $(h^1(\mathcal{I}_C(2)) = 3)$
$(18, 24)$	$(11; 6, 3, 2, 2, 2)$	unobstructed and stab.degenerate
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$

further questions

1. Deformations of curves lying on del Pezzo $S_n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of degree $n \geq 5$.
2. Deformation of degenerate curves on del Pezzo manifold of higher dimension (> 3).
3. Study the relation to other examples of obstructed curves $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ (or non-reduced components of $\mathbf{Hilb}^{sc}\, \mathbb{P}^n$).
   [Y. Choi–H. Iliev–S. Kim'24] have recently proved the existence of many non-reduced components of $\mathbf{Hilb}^{sc}\, \mathbb{P}^n$ of higher dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ by using ruled surfaces.
4. ...

References

D. Mumford.
Further pathologies in algebraic geometry.
Amer. J. Math., 84:642–648, 1962.

S. Mukai and H. Nasu.
Obstructions to deforming curves on a 3-fold, I: A generalization of Mumford's example and an application to Hom schemes.
J. Algebraic Geom., 18(4):691–709, 2009.

H. Nasu,
Obstructions to deforming curves on a 3-fold, II: Deformations of degenerate curves on a del Pezzo 3-fold,
Annales de L'Institut Fourier, **60**(2010), no. 4, 1289–1316.

H. Nasu.
Obstructions to deforming curves on a 3-fold, III: Deformations of curves lying on a $K3$ surface.
Internat. J. Math., 28(13):1750099, 30, 2017.

H. Nasu.
Obstructions to deforming space curves lying on a smooth cubic surface.
Manuscripta Math., 172 (2023), pp.31-55.