| Content | Visuals | Mechanics | Overall | average_score | Did Well | Needs Work |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | The graphs were very visually appealing and it the presentation was clear to follow. The figure captions was a nice touch. | The presenter could work on speaking a little slower. |
| 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | Data and plots were very well explained | Some plot design choices made them a little hard to interpret at times |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | Spoke very clearly and concisely, really beautiful plots and great use of color to contrast and emphasize certain values!, good job of explaining the data and why you took the subsequent steps you took to further process it | I would include R^2 values with the correlation lines |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | I thought you had a very clear explanation of your data and coding choices. I really liked the story you told with your data. | I thought you gave a great presentation! |
| 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5.75 | Transitions from topic to topic were smooth. Nice use of graphs to visualize relationships and trends. | The conclusion felt abrupt, but understandable working with this dataset. |
| 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6.75 | Great presentation skills, storyline flowed well, graphs are clean and make sense. Lots of thought put into the output of data. | Would have been interested in looking at a larger subset of brain cells instead of these 3 (maybe a different dataset needed). |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | great interpretation of a dataset we've seen numerous times. i thought that she provided a novel outlook to an old data set | n/a, i thought it was great! |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | All of the data was very clear and the presentation was nice. | Seemed a little frazzled. It went well! |
| 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5.5 | Outline was great and background was explained very well. | Pacing was fast and some of the graphs were a bit inconclusive. |
| 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 6 | The presentation had a clear flow and the analyses built on each other quite nicely. | Some of the graphs were hard to intuitively interpret. Maybe labeling the genes of interest by name/zooming in on the area of interest would help. |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | I liked the flow of her presentation. I thought she explained things really well. | I wish she utilized some different plots to visualize her data in other ways. Additionally, for the GC content for length plot I wish the x-axis was longer to show all the data points instead of scrunching it. |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | Great plots and well use of the graphs! | N/A |