| Content | Visuals | Mechanics | Overall | average_score | Did Well | Needs Work |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 | NA | NA |
| 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6.75 | Great, clean graphs, good presentation skills, I like that you're excited about the data. I like your outfit too!! | The first finding could have been presented as a table or graph (not as code). |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | This individual was very thorough when speaking about their data set! Great job! | N/A |
| 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5.75 | Explained the content really well. Loved the last plot. | There was a lot of text that made it difficult to tell what the box plots were referring to and it took away from looking at the plots themselves. |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | I liked how you justified your reasoning for using this data set. | You sounded a little nervous, could work on talking a little slower. Your presentation was also a little text heavy. |
| 7 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | The data was very clear and the speaker was very knowledgable. | There was a lot of text on the document, I think it is good for an html file but for a presentation is a little rough to follow. |
| 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5.75 | Sleep bouts vs bout duration at night was a very visually appealing graph. | Too much writing that could have been presented in tables or graphs. Some of the acronyms weren't explained and became confusing as the presentation progressed. |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | I appreciated that you made the text bigger and easier to see. Good eye contact with audience. Great job of explaining why you chose the data and your approach to it, good job of taking a second to explain the data that you saw after you processed it and the subsequent steps you took to further analyze based on what you saw. Plots were simply yet effective, good choice of minimal color and contrasting with color when needed (ex. p values). Really nice plots!! | I would have liked to see an $R^2$ value with the correlation plots to better assess how strong of a correlation it actually is. It's hard to say for sure by eye, especially since axis scales can skew it. |
| 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6.75 | I really like the text's font. The presenter was very clear and easy to follow. | The presentation went a little long and was a bit wordy. |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6.25 | The plots were very clear and told a story quite well. | It's a small issue, but all the text being bold was a lot to look at. |
| 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6.5 | RMD was well formatted and helped following along with the data | I was interested in more background, though this was likely limited by the time available |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5.5 | Graphs plotted were great. Conclusions were also very insightful. | There was a lot of text. It was a bit hard to keep up with explanations while reading through paragraphs of text. This also contributed to the presentation going a bit overtime. |
| 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 6.75 | Very polished visuals, interesting study that was explained clearly | Rushed through some areas that need more explanation |