Content	Visuals	Mechanics	Overall	average_score	Did Well	Needs Work
7	7	7	7	7	The data was very clean and easy to follow.	I think speaking louder would be helpful.
7	7	6	7	6.75	It was nice to see the different graph presentations of the data.	The speaker could speak up.
7	6	7	7	6.75	Really thorough, good graphs (loved the breakdowns).	Maybe speaking a little louder, the last boxplot was a little cluttered- I'm wondering if it would have been better visualized as a barplot or something more visually simple?
7	7	7	7	7	great use of different plots and colors	talking louder, project your voice! also, simplifying figures by separating them would help to clarify the first figure, it was a bit busy
6	7	6	6	6.25	Box plots with facets for mouse type was really nice! Scale of timepoints was well done. Your visuals were awesome. Really like the dotted line graph.	Some of the code being present but not addressed was distracting.
7	7	6	7	6.75	Box plot was great. I like that she used her own data and taught us about her work.	Working on overall flow of the presentation to make it more concise.
7	7	7	7	7	I really liked how you described your data. Your panel of box plots was very informative.	Would have liked to see a brief background in the presentation. There was a lot of unnecessary code cluttering up your presentation.
7	7	7	7	7	I like that you presented step-wise your approach to looking at the data and analyzing it, good explanation of your rationale, her plots were really beautiful, good choice of colors, and great choice of plot type.	They were a bit quiet, try to speak a little bit louder
7	6	6	6	6.25	Plots were informative and aesthetically pleasing	Some of the plots were a bit cluttered which could make it harder to follow in a talk-through like this.
7	7	6	7	6.75	Really polished and well put-together visuals, explained the data well	Talked a little fast at some points
5	6	6	6	5.75	I really liked the last line graph. It was an effective way of presenting a lot of complex data.	Lots of acronyms were introduced with no explanation. It was not very achievable for someone with little background knowledge.
6	7	6	6	6.25	Great visualizations, conclusions, and follow-up thoughts. Also questions were handled very well.	Pace was a bit fast.