# CSC236 Week 09: Languages: The Last Words

#### Hisbaan Noorani

#### November 4 – November 17, 2021

#### Contents

1	Regular languages closure	1
2	Pumping Lemma	2
3	Consequences of regularity	2
4	Another approach Myhill-Nerode	2
5	"Real life" consequences	2
6	How about $L = \{w \in \Sigma^* :  w  = p \text{ is prime}\}$	2
7	A humble admission (from Prof. Heap)	3
8	Push Down Automata (PDA)	3
9	Yet more power	3

### 1 Regular languages closure

Regular languages are those that can be denoted by a regular expression or accept by an FSA. In addition:

- L regular  $\Longrightarrow \overline{L}$  regular.
- L regular  $\Longrightarrow$  Rev(L) regular.

If L has a finite number of strings, then L is regular.

#### 2 Pumping Lemma

If  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is a regular language, then there is some  $n_L \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $n_L$  is the number of states in some FSA that accepts L) such that if  $x \in L$  and  $|x| \ge n_L$  then:

•  $\exists u, v, w \in \Sigma^*, x = uvw$  x is a sandwich

• |v| > 0 the middle of the sandwich is non-empty

•  $|uv| \le n_L$  first two slices no longer than  $n_L$ 

 $\bullet \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, uv^k w \in L$ 

The general idea is if a machine M(L) has  $|Q| = n_L, x \in L \land |x| \ge n_L$ , denote  $q_i = \delta^*(q_0, x[:i])$ , so x "visits"  $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n_L-1}$  with the first  $n_L$  prefixes of x (including  $\varepsilon$ )... so there is at least one state that x "visits" twice (pigeonhole principle, and x has at least  $n_L + 1$  prefixes).

#### 3 Consequences of regularity

How about  $L = \{1^n 0^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ?

Proof: Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that L is regular. Then, there must be a machine  $M_L$  that accepts L. So  $M_L$  has |Q| = m > 0 states. Consider the string  $1^m 0^m$ . By the pumping lemma, x = uvw, where  $|uv| \le m$  and |v| > 0, and  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, uv^k w \in L$ . But, then  $uvvw \in L$ , so m + |v| 1s followed by just m 0s. This is a contradiction. Elements of L must have the same number of 1s as zeros, but m + |v| > m.

#### 4 Another approach... Myhill-Nerode

Consider how many different states  $1^k \in \operatorname{Prefix}(L)$  and end up in... for various k

Scratch work: Could 1, 11, 111 each take the machine to the same state?

Proof: Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that L (previous section) is regular. Then some machine M that accepts L has some number of states |Q| = m. Consider the prefixes  $1^0, 1^1, \ldots, 1^m$ . Since there are m+1 such prefixes, at least two drive M to the same state, so there are  $0 \le h < i \le m$  such that  $1^h$  and  $1^i$  drive M to the same state but then  $1^h0^h$  drive the machine to an accepting state. But so does  $1^i0^h!$  But  $1^i0^h$  (since  $i \ne h$ ) sohuld not be accepted. This is a contradiction. By assuming that L was regular, we had to conclude there was a mchine that accepted L, which lead to a contradiction. So that assumption is false and L is not regular.

## 5 "Real life" consequences

- The proof of irregularity of  $L = \{1^n0^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  suggest a proof of irregularity of  $L' = \{x \in \{0,1\}^* : x \text{ has an equal number of 1s and 0s}\}$  (explain... consider  $L' \cap L(1^*0^*)$ )
- A similar argument implies irregularity of  $L'' = \{x \in \Sigma^* : x \text{ has an equal number of}\}$

### 6 How about $L = \{w \in \Sigma^* : |w| = p \text{ is prime}\}$

Non regular. We can always find a prime that is at least as big as a given machine since there are an infinite amount of primes. There is always some bigger prime. We will prove this by the pumping lemma.

Proof: Assume for the sake of contradiction, that L is regular. So there is some machine M with |Q| = m states, that accepts L. Let p be a prime number that is no smaller then m. Such a p exists since there are an infinite number of primes. Then the regular expression  $1^p$  has length  $\geq m$ . This regular expression  $1^p = uvw$  where |v| > 0, |uv| < m, and  $uv^{kw} \in L$  for all natural numbers k. We know that |uvw| = p, but  $|uv^{1+p}w| = p + p \cdot |v| = p \cdot (1 + |v|)$ , a composite number. This is a contradiction since L consists of only strings of prime length.

#### 7 A humble admission... (from Prof. Heap)

• At any point in time, my computer, and yours, are DFSAs

You machine has a finite number of states, and is driven to new states by strings processed by its instruction set...

• Do the arithmetic...

Prof. Heap's laptop has 66108489728 bits of RAM and 843585945600 bits of disk secondary storage, leading to  $2^{66108489728+843585945600}$  possible different states. This is and always will be a finite number.

- However, we could dynamically add/access increasing stores of memory
  He could run down to Spadina/College to get more RAM (or storage) for bigger jobs.
- If we try and calculate this, we will find that it is impossible. We cannot calculate how many states there are in a given machine using that same machine we will run out of ram.

### 8 Push Down Automata (PDA)

- DFSA plus an infinite stack with finite set of stack symbols. Each transition depends on the state, (optionally) the input symbol, (optionally) a pop from stack.
- Each transition results in a state, (optional) push onto stack.

Design a PDA that accepts  $L = \{1^n0^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ :

Corresponds to a context-free grammar (production rules) that denotes this language

- $S \rightarrow 1S0$
- $S \to \varepsilon$

### 9 Yet more power

• (informally) linear bounded automata: finite states, read/write a tape of memory proportional to input size, tape move are one position L-to-R.

Many computer scientists think this is an accurate model of contemporary computers.

• (informally) Turing machine: finite states, read/write an infinite tape of memory, tape moves are one position L-to-R.

This is the "ultimate" model of what computers can do.

Each machine has a corresponding grammar (e.g.  $FSAs \leftrightarrow regexes$  (right-linear grammar))