


# CSC236 Week 08: Machines, Expressions: Equivalence

Hisbaan Noorani

October 28 – November 3, 2021


## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>NFSA that accepts <math>L((0 + 10)(0 + 10)^*)</math></b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>NFSA that accepts <math>\text{Rev}(L((0 + 10)(0 + 10)^*))</math></b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>FSAs and regexes are equivalent.</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Step 1.0: convert $L(R)$ to $L(M')$ . . . . .	2
3.2	Step 1.5: Convert $L(R)$ to $L(M')$ . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>State elimination recipe for state <math>q</math></b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>FSAs and regexes are equivalent:</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	Step 3: convert $L(M)$ to $L(R)$ and eliminate states. . . . .	5
<b>1</b>	<b>NFSA that accepts <math>L((0 + 10)(0 + 10)^*)</math></b>	




The  $\varepsilon$  transition makes it non deterministic.  $A \xrightarrow{0} A \cup B$  and  $C \xrightarrow{0} A \cup B$ .


The corresponding DFSA is as follows:



## 2 NFSA that accepts $\text{Rev}(L((0 + 10)(0 + 10)^*))$



The corresponding DFSA is as follows:



## 3 FSAs and regexes are equivalent.


$L = L(M)$  for some DFSA  $M \iff L = L(M')$  for some NFSA  $M' \iff L = L(R)$  for some regular expression  $R$ .

### 3.1 Step 1.0: convert $L(R)$ to $L(M')$ .


Start with  $\emptyset, \varepsilon, a \in \Sigma$ .

- Base case: Let  $s$  in  $\{\emptyset, \varepsilon, a\}$  for some  $a \in \Sigma$ .


$L(\emptyset) = L(M)$ , where  $M$  is:



$L(\varepsilon) = L(M)$ , where  $M$  is:




$L(0) = L(M)$ , where  $M$  is:




### 3.2 Step 1.5: Convert $L(R)$ to $L(M')$ .

Suppose  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  denote languages accepted by  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively.




$L(r_1 + r_2) = L(r_1) \cup L(r_2)$ . We could build the corresponding machine using product construction


on  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  or we could use a NFSA.



$L(r_1^*) = L(r_1)^*$ . We want to transform  $M_1$  into a machine that accepts the Kleene star of the language accepted by  $M_1$ .



$L(r_1r_2) = L(r_1)L(r_2)$ . How do we combine  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  to accept this concatenated language? We concatenate the two machines!



Not that all three techniques use non-determinism but we can use subset construction to create an equivalent deterministic machine so these answers are no less valid.


## 4 State elimination recipe for state $q$

1.  $s_1 \dots s_n$  are states with transition to  $q$ , with labels  $S_1 \dots S_n$ .
2.  $t_1 \dots t_n$  are states with transition from  $q$ , with labels  $T_1 \dots T_n$ .
3.  $Q$  is any self-loop state on  $q$ .
4. Eliminate  $q$ , and add (union) transition label  $S_i Q^* T_j$  from  $s_i$  to  $t_j$ .


## 5 FSAs and regexes are equivalent:

$L = L(M)$  for some DFSA  $M \iff L = L(M')$  for some NFSA  $M' \iff L = L(R)$  for some regular expression  $R$ .


### 5.1 Step 3: convert $L(M)$ to $L(R)$ and eliminate states.



Then we eliminate  $Q_1$ :



Then we eliminate  $Q_2$ !



So our regular expression is  $(0 + 11 + 10(1 + 00)^*01)^*$ .