

CSC111 Lecture 17: Iterative Sorting Algorithms, Part 1

Hisbaan Noorani

March 15, 2021

Contents

1	Exercise 1: Implementing selection sort	1
2	Exercise 2: Running-time analysis	2
3	Additional exercises	3

1 Exercise 1: Implementing selection sort

Here is the skeleton of a selection sort algorithm we developed in lecture:

```
1 def selection_sort(lst: list) -> None:
2     """Sort the given list using the selection sort algorithm.
3
4     Note that this is a *mutating* function.
5
6     >>> lst = [3, 7, 2, 5]
7     >>> selection_sort(lst)
8     >>> lst
9     [2, 3, 5, 7]
10    """
11    for i in range(0, len(lst)):
12        # Loop invariants
13        #   - lst[:i] is sorted
14        #   - if i > 0, lst[i - 1] is less than all items in lst[i:]
15
16        # Find the index of the smallest item in lst[i:] and swap that
17        # item with the item at index i.
18        index_of_smallest = _min_index(lst, i)
19        lst[index_of_smallest], lst[i] = lst[i], lst[index_of_smallest]
20
21
22 def _min_index(lst: list, i: int) -> int:
23     """Return the index of the smallest item in lst[i:].
24
25     In the case of ties, return the smaller index (i.e., the index that appears first).
```

```

26
27     Preconditions:
28         - 0 <= i <= len(lst) - 1
29
30     >>> _min_index([2, 7, 3, 5], 1)
31     2
32     """
33     min_index_so_far = i
34     for x in range(i + 1, len(lst)):
35         if lst[x] < lst[min_index_so_far]:
36             min_index_so_far = x
37
38     return min_index_so_far

```

Complete this implementation by implementing the helper function `_min_index`. Hint: this is similar to one of the functions you implemented on this week's prep!

2 Exercise 2: Running-time analysis

1. Analyse the running time of the helper function `_min_index` in terms of n , the length of the input `lst`, and/or i , the second argument.

We will assume that the smallest item is at the end of the list for a worst case running time analysis.

```

1 def _min_index(lst: list, i: int) -> int:
2     min_index_so_far = i                # 1 step
3     for x in range(i + 1, len(lst)):    # iterates n - i - 1 times
4         if lst[x] < lst[min_index_so_far]: # 1 step for whole if block
5             min_index_so_far = x
6
7     return min_index_so_far             # 1 step

```

Therefore an upper bound for the running time is $2 + n - i - 1 = 1 + n - i \in \mathcal{O}(n - i)$.

Next, we will can find an input family that proves a lower bound, but we will omit it in this example.

Therefore, since we have found both an upper and lower bound that match, $RT_{\text{min_index}} \in \Theta(n - i)$.

2. Analyse the running time of `selection_sort`.

We will assume that the list to be sorted is in reverse order, thus selection sort will take as long as possible.

```

1 def selection_sort(lst: list) -> None:
2     for i in range(0, len(lst)):        # n steps
3         index_of_smallest = _min_index(lst, i) # n - i steps
4         lst[index_of_smallest], lst[i] = \    # 1 step
5             lst[i], lst[index_of_smallest]

```

The loop runs n times for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$

The iteration i takes $n - i$ steps (because of `_min_index(lst, i)`) plus one step for constant time operations.

Therefore $RT_{\text{selection_sort}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n - i + 1) \in \Theta(n^2)$.

3 Additional exercises

1. Translate the two loop invariants in `selection_sort` into Python assert statements. You can use `is_sorted!=is_sorted_sublist` from this week's prep.

(One version is included in the Course Notes, but it's a good exercise for you to try it yourself without looking there first!)