

# CSC236 Week 01: Introduction and Basic Induction

Hisbaan Noorani

September 9 – 15, 2021

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Why reason about computing</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>How to reason about computing</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>How to do well in this course</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Assume that you already know</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>By December you'll know</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>domino fates foretold</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Simple induction outline</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Trominoes</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>9</b>	<b><math>3^n \geq n^3</math>?</b>	<b>4</b>
9.1	Scratch Work . . . . .	4
9.2	Simple Induction . . . . .	4

## 1 Why reason about computing

- You're not just hackers anymore  
Sometimes you need to analyze code before it runs. Sometimes it should never be run!
- Can you test everything?  
Infinitely many inputs: integers, strings, lists.
- Careful, you might get to like it. . . (!\*)

## 2 How to reason about computing

- It's messy...  
interesting problems fight back.  
You need to draft, re-draft, and re-re-draft.  
You need to follow blind alleys until you find a solution.  
You can also find a solution that isn't wrong, but could be better.
- It's art...  
Strive for correctness, clarity, surprise, humor, pathos, and others.

## 3 How to do well in this course

- read the syllabus as a two-way promise
- question, answer, record, synthesize  
try annotating blank slides.
- collaborate with respect  
You need computer science friends who are respectful and constructively critical.

## 4 Assume that you already know

- Chapter 0 material from *Introduction to Theory of Computation*.
- CSC110/111 material, especially proofs and big- $\mathcal{O}$ .

## 5 By December you'll know

- understand and use several flavours of induction.  
some of these flavours will taste new
- Formal languages, regular languages, regular expressions  
Sets of strings
- complexity and correctness of programs — both recursive and iterative

## 6 domino fates foretold

$$[P(0) \wedge (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) \implies P(n+1))] \implies \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$$


If the initial case works, and each case that works implies its successor works, then all cases work

## 7 Simple induction outline

- inductive step: introduce  $n$  and inductive hypothesis  $H(n)$ 
  - derive conclusion  $C(n)$ : show that  $C(n)$  follows from  $H(n)$ , indicating **where** you use  $H(n)$  and why that is valid.
- Verify base case(s): verify that the claim is true for any cases not covered in the inductive step
- In simple induction  $C(n)$  is just  $H(n + 1)$

## 8 Trominoes

See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tromino>



Can an  $n \times n$  square grid, with one subsquare removed, be tiled (covered without overlapping) by “chair” trominoes?

- $1 \times 1$ : Yes.
- $2 \times 2$ : Yes.
- $3 \times 3$ : No. The remaining number of squares is not divisible by 3.
- $4 \times 4$ : Yes.

$P(n)$ : a  $2^n \times 2^n$  square grid, with one subsquare removed, can be tiled (covered without overlapping) by “chair” trominoes.

- Induction on  $n$

Let  $n$  be an arbitrary, fixed, natural number. (Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Assume  $P(n)$ , that is a  $2^n \times 2^n$  grid, with one square removed can be tiled with "chairs."

I will prove  $P(n + 1)$ , that is a  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  grid, with one square removed can be tiled by chairs.

Let  $G$  be a  $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$  grid with one square removed. Notice that  $G$  can be decomposed into four  $2^n \times 2^n$  disjoint quadrant grids. We may assume, WLOG (without loss of generality)

that the missing square is in the upper-right quadrant, since otherwise just rotate it there, and rotate back when done. By  $P(n)$  I can tile the upper-right quadrant, minus the missing square. By  $P(n)$  3 more times, I can tile the remaining 3 quadrants, omitting for a moment the 3 tiles nearest the centre of  $G$ , with chairs. The briefly omitted squares form a chair! So I complete the tiling by adding one more chair. Thus  $P(n+1)$ .

- Base Case

A  $2^0 \times 2^0$  grid, with one square removed, is just empty space! This can be tiled with 0 chairs. So  $P(0)$  is true.

## 9 $3^n \geq n^3$ ?

### 9.1 Scratch Work

scratch work: check for a few values of  $n$ :

$$3^0 = 1 \geq 0 = 0^3 \checkmark$$

$$3^1 = 3 \geq 1 = 1^3 \checkmark$$

$$3^2 = 9 \geq 8 = 2^3 \checkmark$$

$$3^3 = 27 \geq 27 = 3^3 \checkmark$$

$$3^4 = 81 \geq 64 = 4^3 \checkmark$$

$$3^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \geq -1 = -1^3 \checkmark$$

$$3^{2.5} = < 2.5^3 = 4^3 \times$$

### 9.2 Simple Induction

- Induction on  $n$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $H(n) : 3^n \geq n^3$ . I will prove  $H(n+1)$  follows, that is  $3^{n+1} \geq (n+1)^3$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & 3^{n+1} \\ &= 3 \cdot 3^n \\ &\geq 3 \cdot n^3 \\ &= n^3 + n^3 + n^3 \\ &\geq n^3 + 3n^2 + 9n && \text{(since } n \geq 3\text{)} \\ &\geq n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 6n \\ &= n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1 && \text{(since } 6n \geq 1\text{)} \\ &= (n+1)^3 \end{aligned}$$

And thus we have shown that, starting at  $n = 3$ ,  $H(n) \implies H(n+1)$ .

- Base Case

$$3^3 \geq 3^3 \text{ so } P(3) \text{ holds.}$$

$$3^2 \geq 2^3 \text{ so } P(2) \text{ holds.}$$

$$3^1 \geq 1^3 \text{ so } P(1) \text{ holds.}$$

$$3^0 \geq 0^3 \text{ so } P(0) \text{ holds.}$$

And thus, we have shown  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 3^n \geq n^3$ , as needed.