



I can only work for like 1 hour daily, change the road map accordingly

Here's a compact, one-hour-per-day roadmap that keeps a steady cadence and ensures visible GitHub progress. The plan compresses each feature into 3–4 tightly scoped, test-first micro-steps so that every session ends with a passing state, following incremental compiler methodologies designed for small sittings.

Quick answer

Work one focused hour daily on a tiny language compiler, with each session producing a small, testable increment: lexer → parser → AST → semantics → codegen → functions, tagging mini-releases weekly. This mirrors incremental “tiny compiler” practices that deliver a working subset at each step and fit time-limited schedules.[\[1\]](#) [\[2\]](#) [\[3\]](#) [\[4\]](#)

Pacing principles

- One-sitting increments: Design every task to be completable and testable within a single hour to maintain momentum. This incremental compiler approach explicitly targets time-limited learners.[\[1\]](#)
- Always shippable: End each hour with passing tests and a commit; prioritize the smallest vertical slice that runs end-to-end for the current subset.[\[1\]](#)
- Grow in tiny steps: Start with integers → unary → binary → variables → control → functions, as used in popular tutorials and courses.[\[2\]](#) [\[3\]](#) [\[4\]](#)

Week 0: Bootstrap (1 hour/day)

- Day 1: Create repo, README (scope, target: x86-64 or LLVM IR), folder layout, CLI stub to read source from file/stdin, and minimal CI. Commit. This matches toy-compiler course setups emphasizing make/build/CI early.[\[3\]](#) [\[4\]](#)
- Day 2: Draft minimal language v0: ints, + - * /, parentheses, semicolon-terminated statements; write 3–5 example programs and expected behavior. Commit fixtures. Incremental plans start from arithmetic.[\[2\]](#)
- Day 3: Add test harness for golden tests (token streams, AST dump placeholders); wire a test script in CI. Commit. Small, verifiable steps are core to the methodology.[\[1\]](#)

Week 1: Lexer in hour-sized slices

- Day 4: Tokens for integers, + - * / (no identifiers yet), whitespace skipping; unit tests. Commit. Lexing is first stage in compact tutorials.[\[5\]](#) [\[6\]](#)
- Day 5: Add parentheses and semicolons; error on unknown char with line/col. Commit diagnostics. Robust lexer diagnostics are emphasized in tutorials.[\[6\]](#)
- Day 6: Add identifiers and equals to prep for variables later; keep unused for now. Commit. This anticipates semantic/name binding.[\[6\]](#)
- Day 7: Optional comments (// to EOL) and final lexer refactor; tag v0.1. Commit. Coursework often includes stripping comments early.[\[7\]](#)

Week 2: Parser + AST, tiny increments

- Day 8: Recursive-descent for numbers and plus/minus expressions (no precedence yet beyond left-assoc); build minimal AST nodes. Commit. Toy compilers start with simple expressions.[\[4\]](#) [\[3\]](#)
- Day 9: Add multiply/divide and precedence (factor/term/expr). Tests for precedence and errors. Commit. Standard parsing progression.[\[5\]](#) [\[6\]](#)
- Day 10: Parentheses and unary minus; malformed input tests. Commit. These are typical early parser features.[\[5\]](#) [\[6\]](#)
- Day 11: Statement list with semicolons; parse a program of multiple expressions. Commit an AST dump tool.[\[3\]](#) [\[4\]](#)
- Day 12: Add simple error recovery (sync at semicolon) and tag v0.2. Commit. Parser error handling is a key phase goal.[\[6\]](#)

Week 3: Semantics in bite-size steps

- Day 13: Symbol table scaffold; add let statements (let x = 3;). Resolve identifiers. Commit minimal name resolution. Semantic binding is central at this stage.[\[5\]](#) [\[6\]](#)
- Day 14: Type checks for int ops; produce type errors for invalid uses. Commit errors and tests. Tutorials stress type checking as a distinct phase.[\[6\]](#) [\[5\]](#)
- Day 15: Constant folding for pure integer subtrees. Commit before/after AST snapshots. Small optimization fits a single session.[\[6\]](#)
- Day 16: Assignments (x = x + 1;), use-before-def diagnostics. Commit. Name rules round out basics.[\[6\]](#)
- Day 17: Documentation hour: README explaining semantics, error examples; tag v0.3. Commit. Clear specs mirror course expectations.[\[5\]](#) [\[6\]](#)

Week 4: Codegen step-by-step

- Day 18: Decide backend: x86-64 assembly assembled by system toolchain, or LLVM IR with Ili. Wire pipeline to emit a minimal main that returns an integer literal. Commit. This mirrors toy compiler assembly-first workflows.[\[4\]](#) [\[3\]](#)

- Day 19: Emit arithmetic expressions into registers/stack; run “1+2*3;” end-to-end. Commit sample outputs. Course notes show assemble/link loops.[\[3\]](#) [\[4\]](#)
- Day 20: Stack slots for variables; load/store for let and assignment. Commit tests comparing program outputs.[\[3\]](#)
- Day 21: If/else lowering: compare, conditional branches; treat nonzero as true. Commit. Control lowering is typical here.[\[6\]](#)
- Day 22: While loops with labels and jumps; tag v0.4. Commit runnable examples.[\[4\]](#) [\[3\]](#)

Week 5: Functions in micro-steps

- Day 23: Function definitions (no calls yet): prologue/epilogue and return; compile a single function + main that calls none. Commit. Calling conv basics begin here.[\[2\]](#)
- Day 24: Function calls and return value; pass args via stack or simple register set; add recursion test. Commit factorial. Sandler’s series highlights call mechanics as a key learning step.[\[2\]](#)
- Day 25: Block scopes and nested symbol tables for locals; shadowing rules. Commit. This rounds out lexical scoping.[\[6\]](#)
- Day 26: Arity/type checks for calls; error diagnostics; tag v0.5. Commit. Validation improves UX.[\[2\]](#) [\[6\]](#)

Week 6: Polish within one-hour blocks

- Day 27: Booleans, relational ops, and short-circuit `&&/||` lowered to branches; extend type checker. Commit. Common late-stage additions.[\[6\]](#)
- Day 28: Stdlib shim: external print or putchar linkage; show link step with system toolchain. Commit I/O examples. This surfaces linking realities.[\[7\]](#)
- Day 29: Diagnostics polish: caret spans and hints; add a common error guide in README. Commit. User-facing errors matter.[\[6\]](#)
- Day 30: Language tour in README: grammar, examples, how-to-run, CI badges; tag v1.0. Commit. Course roadmaps encourage complete docs and reproducibility.[\[4\]](#) [\[3\]](#)

Daily one-hour template

- 0–10 min: Write 1–3 tests for the next micro-feature. This aligns with the “one-sitting, test-first, expand subset” method.[\[1\]](#)
- 10–40 min: Implement the smallest slice to make tests pass; keep scope narrow. Micro-steps ensure a working compiler every day.[\[1\]](#)
- 40–55 min: Refactor lightly without breaking tests; update docs/snippets. Iterative refactoring is part of the cycle.[\[1\]](#)
- 55–60 min: Commit with concise message; push; open a short checklist issue for tomorrow. Consistent small commits mirror toy-compiler coursework discipline.[\[3\]](#) [\[4\]](#)

What to read alongside (optional 1–2 sessions/week)

- Follow an incremental blog series for motivation and practical patterns; Nora Sandler's "tiny to real" C-compiler journey exemplifies layering features while keeping scope tight.^[8] ^[2]
- Skim a compact "how compilers work" overview before a new phase to anchor concepts of lexing, parsing, semantics, codegen.^[5] ^[6]
- For confidence in the hour-a-day approach, note the incremental paper's explicit design for time-limited settings.^[1]

Release cadence

- v0.1 (end Week 1): Lexer complete with tests.^[5]
- v0.2 (end Week 2): Parser + AST dump working.^[4] ^[3]
- v0.3 (end Week 3): Semantics with variables and constant folding.^[6]
- v0.4 (end Week 4): Codegen for expressions, vars, control; runnable binaries.^[3] ^[4]
- v0.5 (end Week 5): Functions and calls; recursion passes tests.^[2]
- v1.0 (end Week 6): Language tour, diagnostics, basic stdlib/linking published.^[7]

Tips for staying within one hour

- Pre-slice tasks: Open issues that are so small they fit in one sitting, matching the "every step yields a working subset" rule.^[1]
- Prefer simplest backend first (plain assembly with gcc/clang) before LLVM IR to reduce overhead, as many toy compiler courses do.^[4] ^[3]
- Keep failing tests few and targeted to avoid scope creep; grow coverage gradually like the incremental scheme.^[1]

**

1. <http://scheme2006.cs.uchicago.edu/11-ghouloum.pdf>
2. <https://norasandler.com/2017/11/29/Write-a-Compiler.html>
3. https://www.cs.ucf.edu/~gazzillo/teaching/cop3402spring24/lectures/03_toy_compiler.html
4. https://cop3402fall20.github.io/lectures/03_toy_compiler.html
5. <https://dev.to/earnstein/how-compilers-work-a-step-by-step-guide-26c6>
6. <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/compiler-design/compiler-design-tutorials/>
7. <https://www.geeksforgeeks.org/c/compiling-a-c-program-behind-the-scenes/>
8. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/we-speak-your-language-nora-sandler-raincode-labs>
9. <https://hourofcode.com>
10. <https://www.designgurus.io/answers/detail/is-1-hour-a-day-enough-to-learn-coding>
11. <https://williamcareyuniversity.co.in/can-one-hour-a-day-truly-make-you-a-coding-pro>
12. <https://hourofcode.com/learn>
13. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZzaPdXTrSb8>

14. <https://www.cs.ucf.edu/~gazzillo/teaching/cop3402spring24/projects/toycompiler.html>
15. https://www.reddit.com/r/ProgrammingLanguages/comments/1lu9sjd/has_anyone_read_writing_a_c_compiler_by_nora/
16. https://www.reddit.com/r/learnprogramming/comments/relaxs/is_one_hour_a_day_of_learning_programming_enough/