Array Program Verifier

A tool for verification and bug localization for simple program involving arrays

Content:

- Background
 - Verification
 - Bug Localization
- The structure of the tool
- Examples
- Conclusion

By:

- Hitarth
- Bhishmaraj

• Program verification involves proving that the program satisfies the given requirements.

- Program verification involves proving that the program satisfies the given requirements.
- We achieve that by carefully converting the program to logical assertions, and encoding the provided pre and post conditions.

- Program verification involves proving that the program satisfies the given requirements.
- We achieve that by carefully converting the program to logical assertions, and encoding the provided pre and post conditions.
- Let the pre, post condition and the program as logical assertions be P, Q and S, respectively. We form the Hoare Tuple $\{P\}$ S $\{Q\}$.Now, the program is valid if and only if P Λ S Λ \sim Q is **unsatisfiable**.

- Program verification involves proving that the program satisfies the given requirements.
- We achieve that by carefully converting the program to logical assertions, and encoding the provided pre and post conditions.
- Let the pre, post condition and the program as logical assertions be P, Q and S, respectively. We form the Hoare Tuple $\{P\}$ S $\{Q\}$.Now, the program is valid if and only if P Λ S Λ \sim Q is **unsatisfiable**.
- Given the program in our predefined grammar, we convert the program into set of **z3** assertions while converting it to Static Single Assignment form.

- Program verification involves proving that the program satisfies the given requirements.
- We achieve that by carefully converting the program to logical assertions, and encoding the provided pre and post conditions.
- Let the pre, post condition and the program as logical assertions be P, Q and S, respectively. We form the Hoare Tuple $\{P\}$ S $\{Q\}$.Now, the program is valid if and only if P Λ S Λ \sim Q is **unsatisfiable**.
- Given the program in our predefined grammar, we convert the program into set of **z3** assertions while converting it to Static Single Assignment form.
- We get the precondition P, postcondition Q and the program as S. Then we perform the verification as described earlier.

• Bug localization involves finding the possible statement in the program which might be the cause of the error when the program verification fails.

- Bug localization involves finding the possible statement in the program which might be the cause of the error when the program verification fails.
- It cannot be guaranteed to be always correct.

- Bug localization involves finding the possible statement in the program which might be the cause of the error when the program verification fails.
- It cannot be guaranteed to be always correct.
- We, in this tool, use Minimal Unsat Core to localize the bug.

- Bug localization involves finding the possible statement in the program which might be the cause of the error when the program verification fails.
- It cannot be guaranteed to be always correct.
- We, in this tool, use Minimal Unsat Core to localize the bug.
- Given the Hoare Tuple $\{P\}$ S $\{Q\}$ for the program, as the program is incorrect, P \land S \land \backsim Q would be SAT. We find the model $\mathcal M$ for the assertion P \land S \land \backsim Q. Notice that the program fails under this model.

- Bug localization involves finding the possible statement in the program which might be the cause of the error when the program verification fails.
- It cannot be guaranteed to be always correct.
- We, in this tool, use **Minimal Unsat Core** to localize the bug.
- Given the Hoare Tuple $\{P\}$ S $\{Q\}$ for the program, as the program is incorrect, P \land S \land \backsim Q would be SAT. We find the model $\mathcal M$ for the assertion P \land S \land \backsim Q. Notice that the program fails under this model.
- Under the model \mathcal{M} , the assertion $P \wedge S \wedge Q$ would be **UNSAT**. We find the MUC for the assertion $P \wedge S \wedge Q \wedge M$, where M is the conjunction of clauses as per the values assigned by the model \mathcal{M} .

- Bug localization involves finding the possible statement in the program which might be the cause of the error when the program verification fails.
- It cannot be guaranteed to be always correct.
- We, in this tool, use Minimal Unsat Core to localize the bug.
- Given the Hoare Tuple $\{P\}$ S $\{Q\}$ for the program, as the program is incorrect, P \land S \land \backsim Q would be SAT. We find the model $\mathcal M$ for the assertion P \land S \land \backsim Q. Notice that the program fails under this model.
- Under the model \mathcal{M} , the assertion $P \wedge S \wedge Q$ would be **UNSAT**. We find the MUC for the assertion $P \wedge S \wedge Q \wedge M$, where M is the conjunction of clauses as per the values assigned by the model \mathcal{M} .
- We find the lines in the program associated with the clauses contained in this MUC, and return them as the possible cause of error in the program.

• The tool can be decomposed into four modules:

- The tool can be decomposed into four modules:
 - **1. Parser:** Reads the program and converts it to a tree for further processing. We have used **Lark (Python Library)** for that.

- The tool can be decomposed into four modules:
 - **1. Parser:** Reads the program and converts it to a tree for further processing. We have used **Lark (Python Library)** for that.
 - 2. **Encoding as Z3 constraints:** In this module, given the parsed tree of the program, we get the precondition, postcondition and program in logical form as Z3 constraints (while converting the program to SSA).

- The tool can be decomposed into four modules:
 - **1. Parser:** Reads the program and converts it to a tree for further processing. We have used **Lark (Python Library)** for that.
 - 2. **Encoding as Z3 constraints:** In this module, given the parsed tree of the program, we get the precondition, postcondition and program in logical form as Z3 constraints (while converting the program to SSA).
 - 3. **Verifier:** Given the precondition, postcondition and the program execution encoded as Z₃ constraints, we proceed to verify the program by calling the solver on the constraints as described earlier.

- The tool can be decomposed into four modules:
 - **1. Parser:** Reads the program and converts it to a tree for further processing. We have used **Lark (Python Library)** for that.
 - 2. **Encoding as Z3 constraints:** In this module, given the parsed tree of the program, we get the precondition, postcondition and program in logical form as Z3 constraints (while converting the program to SSA).
 - 3. **Verifier:** Given the precondition, postcondition and the program execution encoded as Z₃ constraints, we proceed to verify the program by calling the solver on the constraints as described earlier.
 - 4. **Bug Localizer:** If the program verification fails in the 3rd module, then this module tries to find the unsat core of the constraint system, as described previously, to localize the possible statements cause of the error.

The grammar of the program (broadly) is described below:

Structure of the Tool (Contd.)

Structure of the Tool (Contd.)

The grammar of the program (broadly) is described below:

```
\langle Program \rangle
                                                 ::= @pre(\langle assertion \rangle);
                                                           \langle declaration \rangle^*
                                                            \langle stmt \rangle^*
                                                          @post(\langle assertion \rangle);
\langle declaration \rangle
                                                  ::= int \langle int-var \rangle;
                                                          int[] \langle array-var \rangle;
\langle stmt \rangle
                                                  ::= \langle assignment \rangle
                                                          if (\langle cond \rangle) then do {
                                                           \langle assignment \rangle^* \} else
                                                           ⟨assignment⟩*
⟨assignment⟩
                                                  ::= \langle ident \rangle = \langle expr \rangle; \langle ident \rangle
                                                           ::= \langle integer-identifier \rangle
                                                           \langle array - identifier \rangle [\langle integer - identifier \rangle] 
\langle array - identifier \rangle [\langle number \rangle]
```

Examples. Simple Sum

We pass the following program for verification to our tool:

```
1 @pre(((i<10 and j<10) and a[i] > a[j]));
2 int i;
3 int j;
4 int x;
5 int y;
6 int[] a;
7 a[i] = x;
8 a[j] = y;
9 a[0] = (a[i] + a[j]);
10 @post(a[0] = (x + y));
```

Examples. Simple Sum

• We pass the following program for verification to our tool:

```
1 @pre(((i<10 and j<10) and a[i] > a[j]));
2 int i;
3 int j;
4 int x;
5 int y;
6 int[] a;
7 a[i] = x;
8 a[j] = y;
9 a[0] = (a[i] + a[j]);
10 @post(a[0] = (x + y));
```

The tool identifies the program is valid!

```
[(base) Hit-Laptop:Project hitarth$ python atpy.py at_example_1.atlang
Program is syntactically correct!
-----
The program is valid!
(base) Hit-Laptop:Project hitarth$
```

Examples. 2. Simple Sum (Buggy)

• We pass the following program for verification to our tool:

```
1 @pre(((i<10 and j<10) and a[i] > a[j]));
2 int i;
3 int j;
4 int x;
5 int y;
6 int[] a;
7 a[i] = x;
8 a[j] = y;
9 a[0] = (a[i] + a[i]); #Bug
10 @post(a[0] = (x + y));
```

 The tool identifies the program is invalid and also identifies the buggy line!!

```
Following are possible reason for the error:

Line #9: a[0] = (a[i] + a[i]); #Bug
(base) Hit-Laptop:Project hitarth$
```

Examples. 2. Swap Program

• We pass the following program for verification to our tool:

Examples. 2. Swap Program

 On running this program, our tool correctly identifies that the program is not correct. But it outputs the bug to be due to the line #9, which is not correctly identified.

```
(base) Hit-Laptop:Project hitarth$ python atpy.py at_src_swap.atlang
Program is syntactically correct!
sat
The program is invalid!
Following SAT model is found:
                - Store(Store(K(Int, 6), 1, 8855), 0, 16575)
a_0
                - 8855
stmt rhs 9
                - True
                - Store(Store(K(Int, 6), 1, 8855), 0, 8855)
p3
                - True
                - Store(Store(K(Int, 6), 1, 8855), 0, 8855)
precond
                True
i_0
                - 0
                - True
                - True
                - 8855
stmt rhs 7
postcond
                - True
j_0
                - 1
stmt rhs 8
                - 8855
temp 1
                - 8855
                True
                - True
Following are possible reason for the error:
        Line #9: a[j] = temp;
(base) Hit-Laptop:Project hitarth$
```

• The tool is able to verify the program correctly.

- The tool is able to verify the program correctly.
- It cannot always localize the bug correctly, and sometimes can't find the bug at all.

- The tool is able to verify the program correctly.
- It cannot always localize the bug correctly, and sometimes can't find the bug at all.
- The tool presently doesn't supports loops. We shall try to include that in future.

- The tool is able to verify the program correctly.
- It cannot always localize the bug correctly, and sometimes can't find the bug at all.
- The tool presently doesn't supports loops. We shall try to include that in future.
- We can make the bug localization better by trying MAXSAT instead of MUC as sometimes Z₃ cannot find the unsat core.

The End.

